

F. Tavani and Associates, Inc.

Traffic Engineering and Planning

248 Beech Hill Road • Wynnewood • PA • 19096 • (215) 625-3821 Phone • (484) 792-9495 Fax www.FTAVANIASSOCIATES.com

6 May 2020

Judy Lizza Thornbury Township 8 Township Drive Cheyney, PA 19319

VIA EMAIL ONLY

RE: Robinson Tract, Intersection of 926/New, Thornbury Township, Chester County

FTA Job #217-010

Dear Ms. Lizza:

F. Tavani and Associates, Inc. (FTA) has conducted a review of the traffic investigations of the intersection of Route 926 (Street Road) and New Street as prepared by McMahon Associates, Inc. (McM) in its traffic impact study (TIS) for the Robinson Tract dated 13 August 2019. Select pages from that study are attached to this letter.

EXISTING INTERSECTION PERFORMANCE

The subject location is a currently-signalized four-leg intersection. Each leg is a one-lane approach presently. Existing overall levels of service are \mathbf{E} under existing conditions and using existing timings, per the TIS. Level of service summary tables from the TIS are attached.

PROJECTED INTERSECTION PERFORMANCE

Projected future overall levels of service are **C** using optimized signal timings only (no physical road improvements). Even though these levels of service are a significant improvement over the existing condition, the applicant is offering new physical road improvements, namely the addition of left turn lanes in both directions along Street Road as well as a new right turn lane in the WB direction only (along Street Road). See attached "Conceptual Design Exhibit" dated 6 March 2020.

AUXILLIARY TURN LANE WARRANTS

The TIS includes some PennDOT turn lane warrant investigation spreadsheets. The TIS also includes a claim that left turn lanes (in both directions along Street Road) are warranted under existing conditions. One such worksheet (for the WB left turn lane, existing volumes, AM peak hour) is attached. The worksheets contain many user-defined fields, including 'Type of Terrain'. For this field, three responses are available: *level, rolling,* or *mountainous*. The TIS makes use of *rolling,* which does not appear appropriate for the location, since the EB and WB approach grades of Street Road at New Street are generally unremarkable. FTA replicated this worksheet changing the 'type of terrain' field from *rolling* (as in the TIS) to *level* along with one other change and found the WB left turn lane is no longer warranted. This is not especially surprising, since the existing left turn volumes in this direction are low (less than 25 vehicles per hour) and are also virtually unchanged (not meaningfully increased) by the project. Projected conditions also reveal the WB left turn movement operates at LOS B in the morning

Judy Lizza 6 May 2020 Page 2 of 2

and C in the afternoon, <u>without</u> the left turn lane. Providing the left turn lane does not improve LOS in the morning and only marginally increases it in the afternoon (from LOS C to LOS B).

The applicant is also offering to add a WB right turn lane. This lane provides even less benefit than the proposed left turn lane, and is completely unnecessary for mitigation purposes.^{1,2}

CONCLUSIONS

The performance of the intersection of Route 926 (Street Road) and New Street is substantially improved by signal timing changes alone, with overall levels of service being C during both weekday peak hours using optimized signal timings only. As identified in the TIS, no mitigation measures (i.e., lane additions / road widening) are needed to offset the impact of the proposed Robinson Tract development.

Left turn volumes are relatively low, especially in the WB direction, during both peak hours. Adding new left turn and right turn lanes on the WB approach affords no meaningful LOS improvement, are not necessary, and will serve little purpose other than changing the character of the intersection.

I hope this has been helpful. Please let me know if I can answer any questions.



attachments

¹ Per the HCM 6th ed, Rolling terrain is: "...any combination of grades and horizontal or vertical alignment that causes heavy vehicles to reduce their speed **substantially** below that of passenger cars ..."

² The project adds 4 or less vph to the WB left turn movement during peak hours; the project adds 0 peak hour traffic to the WB right turn movement, per the TIS.





Transportation Impact Study

for the Robinson Tract

Westtown Township, Chester County, PA





Nicole Kline, P.E., PTOE Pennsylvania PE License Number PE074792

Prepared by McMahon Associates, Inc. 835 Springdale Drive, Suite 200 Exton, PA 19341 610.594.9995 Prepared for Toll Brothers, Inc.

August 13, 2019 McMahon Project Number 816451.11

Transportation Solutions Building Better Communities

mcmahonassociates.com

Intersection	Existing	Future 2030 Without Development (optimized)	Future 2030 With Development	Requires Mitigation?
U.S. Route 202 (Wilmington Pike) and Street Road (S.R. 0926)	F 90.8	F 107.6	F 95.2	NO
U.S. Route 202 (Wilmington Pike) and Pleasant Grove Road	A 0.6	A 1.4	A 1.5	NO
U.S. Route 202 (Wilmington Pike) and Skiles Boulevard/Stetson School	C 23.2	C 30.2	D 44.5	NO (increase in delay due to traffic diversions, not site traffic)
Street Road (S.R. 0926) and New Street	E 68.7	C 29.5	C 24.5	NO
Street Road (S.R. 0926) and Bridlewood Boulevard/Collector Road	A 1.2	A 1.4	B 14.2 (signalized)	NO
New Street and West Pleasant Grove Road	A 2.5	A 3.3	A 1.8	NO
West Pleasant Grove Road and Dunvegan Drive	A 0.5	A 0.3	A 1.7	NO
West Pleasant Grove Road and Orvis Way	-	A 2.6	A 6.3	NO

Table 4. Overall Intersection Level-of-ServiceWeekday Morning Peak Hour

 Table 5A. Overall Intersection Level-of-Service

Weekday Afternoon Peak Hour

Intersection	Existing	Future 2030 Without Development (optimized)	Future 2030 With Development	Requires Mitigation?
U.S. Route 202 (Wilmington Pike) and Street Road (S.R. 0926)	F 139.4	F 143.6	F 130.8	NO
U.S. Route 202 (Wilmington Pike) and Pleasant Grove Road	A 0.9	A 1.6	A 1.6	NO
U.S. Route 202 (Wilmington Pike) and Skiles Boulevard/Stetson School	В 17.6	C 25.3	D 41.0	NO (increase in delay due to traffic diversions, not site traffic)
Street Road (S.R. 0926) and New Street	E 69.2	C 32.6	C 24.0	NO
Street Road (S.R. 0926) and Bridlewood Boulevard/Collector Road	A 1.4	A 1.6	B 13.6 (signalized)	NO
New Street and West Pleasant Grove Road	A 9.0	B 14.3	A 5.3	NO
West Pleasant Grove Road and Dunvegan Drive	A 0.2	A 0.2	A 0.9	NO
West Pleasant Grove Road and Orvis Way	-	A 1.8	A 7.3	NO

Table 6. Level of Service Matrices

Street Road (S.R. 0926) and New Street

Time Period New Street New S	eft ight bru hru hru hru	Existing A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Weekday Morn w/o Dev Base B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B	ing Peak Hour 2030 Design Year w/o Dev ⁽¹⁾ Optimized B B B 14.1 14.1 14.1 C C C	w/Dev w/Dev C B B B B B B B B B C C C C C C C C C		B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B	Weekday AfternExistingW/o DevB%/o DevBBBB11.913.111.913.12.910.29.910.29.910.234.735.4FFF70.6	Weekday Aftermoon Peak Hou Veekday Aftermoon Peak Hou Existing 2030 Existing w/o Dev w/o Dev ⁽¹⁾ B w/o Dev w/o Dev ⁽¹⁾ B B B D B B B D 11.9 13.1 36.5 11.9 13.1 36.5 0.9 10.2 23.7 9.9 10.2 23.7 9.9 10.2 23.7 7.0 35.4 19.6 F F D
Ri	ight	238.3	312.1	28.2	26.1		205.5	205.5 270.9	205.5 270.9 40.5
		ш	H	υ	υ	9	ш	F	E C
Overall		68.7	91.3	29.5	24.5		69.2	69.2 90.0	69.2 90.0 32.6

(1) Future traffic signal timings have been optimized.

24



53

WESTTOWN TOWNSHIP, CHESTER COUNTY, PA

Off-Site Traffic Improvements

Collector Road

- The applicant will construct the Collector Road through the property between Street Road (S.R. 0926) and West Pleasant Grove Road, which will alleviate traffic at the congested U.S. Route 202 (Wilmington Pike)/Street Road (S.R. 0926) intersection, and reroute traffic currently using West Pleasant Grove Road and New Street as an alternate route to avoid that delay. The overall delays at several study intersections decrease in the with-development conditions versus without-development conditions, due to the diversion of traffic to the Collector Road.
- Based on the estimated Collector Road weekday peak hour traffic volumes in this report, diverted traffic constitutes approximately 70 to 80 percent of the total, while approximately 20 to 30 percent is site traffic from the Robinson Tract.

Street Road (S.R. 0926) and New Street

- The applicant will complete traffic signal retiming optimization.
- Although not necessary to mitigate traffic impact, the applicant will provide a dedicated right-turn lane along westbound Street Road (S.R. 0926) along the Robinson Tract property frontage.
- It is noted that left turn lanes are warranted based on existing traffic volumes. Left-turn lanes along Street Road (S.R. 0926) and New Street cannot be provided within the existing right-of-way or with additional right-of-way from the Robinson Tract alone.

U.S. Route 202 (Wilmington Pike) and Street Road (S.R. 0926)

• The applicant will complete traffic signal retiming optimization.

The traffic analyses contained herein reveal that efficient access to and from the proposed development can be provided, and furthermore, site-generated traffic is mitigated at the study area intersections with the committed improvements.

Turn Lane Warrant and Length Analysis Workbook

		S				SIS INFORM		I			
PennDOT	Municipality: Westtown Township Analysis Date: 1/4/2017 County: Chester County Conducted By: BGG PennDOT Engineering District: 6 Checked By: TML Agency/Company Name: McMahon Associates, Inc.										
Intersection & Ap	oproach De	escription: S	Street Road (S.I Westbound Str	R. 0926) and Ne eet Road (S.R. 0	w Street 926) Left-Tur	n Lane	vanie.	Weiwan	1011 A330		
	Analys	sis Period: sign Hour:	2016 AM P	Existing eak Hour		Number Undivided	of Appro or Divided	ach Lanes: I Highway:	l	1 Undivided	
Postec	Intersectio d Speed Lin Type o	n Control: nit (MPH): of Terrain:	Sigi R(45 olling		Left or Right-	ſurn Lane	Analysis?:	Type Lef	e of Analysis ft Turn Lane]
				VOLUME	CALCUL	ATIONS					
				Left Turn Lan	e Volume C	alculations					
Movemen	t	Include?	Volume	% Trucks	PCEV]					
Advancing	Left Through	Yes -	11 324	27.0% 8.0%	16 363	-		Advancin Opposin	g Volum g Volum	ne: 434 ne: 804	
	Right Left	Yes Yes	50 75	6.0% 3.0%	55 79	Left Turn Volume: 16					
Opposing	Through Right	- Yes	689 4	3.0%	721 4	% Left Turns in Advancing Volume: 3.69%					
Right Turn Lane Volume Calculations											
Movement Include? Volume % Trucks PCEV											
Advancing	Left Through Right	No - -	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	3.0% 3.0% 0.0%	N/A N/A N/A			Advancin Right Tur	g Volum n Volum	ne: N/A	
	•	1	TU		VARRAN			-			
	ft Turn I a	ane Warrar	nt Findings			Rig	ht Turn I	ane Warı	rant Fir	ndings	
Applicable Warrant Figure: Figure 3 Applicable Warrant Figure: N/A											
Warrant Met?: Yes Warrant Met?: N/A											
TURN LANE LENGTH CALCULATIONS											
ا Design Hour Volu Cycles Cycles	Intersectio ume of Turi Per Hour (<i>I</i> Per Hour (I	n Control: ning Lane: Assumed): f Known):	Signalize 16 Known 40	ed	Average	e # of Vehicles/Cyc	:le:	1.0			
				PennDOT Pub	olication 46, E	xhibit 11-6					
				25-35	Sp	eed (MPH) 40-45		50-60			
	Туре	of Traffic Cor	ntrol High	Low	Turn D High	emand Volume	High	Lo	ow		
		Signalized Jnsignalized	A A	A	B or C C	B or C B	B or C B or C	Bo	or C B		
				Left Turn	Lane Storage	e Length, Condit	ion A:	N/A		Feet	
						Condit	ion B:	125		Feet	
				Doguin		Condit	ion C:	150		Feet	
				кеquir	ed Left Turn	i Lane Storage Le	ngui:	15U	dinger	reel	
	. /						Audi		N/A		
Additional Comment:	s / Justifical	tions:									



Turn Lane Warrant and Length Analysis Workbook

		S				YSIS INFORM	1ATION				
	Mu	nicipality:	Westtowr	n Township		Analysis	5 Date:	4/20/2	2020		
		County:	Chester	r County		Conducted By: FLI					
PennDOT E	Ingineerin	g District:		6		Check	ed By:	FL1	Г <u> </u>		
						Agency/Company	Name:	FT/	4		
Intersection & Ap	proach De	escription: S	treet Road (S.R.	. 0926) and Ne	w Street	rnlane					
	Analys	sis Period:	2016 E	Existing		Number	of Approach	1 Lanes:	1		
	Des	sign Hour:	AM Pe	ak Hour		Undivided	or Divided Hi	ghway:	Undivided		
lr D	itersectio	n Control:	Unsigi	nalized							
Posted	Speed Lin	of Torroin	4	vol		Loft or Dight			oft Turn Lano		
	Type c		Le	ver		Left of Right-					
				VOLUME		ATIONS					
			L	eft Turn Lan	e Volume C	Calculations					
Movement		Include?	Volume	% Trucks	PCEV						
	Left	Yes	10	27.0%	12		A	dvancing Volu	me: 401		
Advancing	Through	-	324	8.0%	337	_	C	Opposing Volu	me: 781		
	Right	Yes	50	6.0%	52	_	I	Left Turn Volu	me: 12		
	Left	Yes	75	3.0%	77	-					
Opposing	I hrough Right	-	689	3.0%	/00	2 00%					
	Night	163	4	0.078	4	/// Le			2.5570		
			RI	ght Turn Lai	ne Volume	Calculations					
Movement		Include?	Volume	% Trucks	PCEV	-					
Advancing	Left	No	0	0.0%	N/A	-			NI/A		
Advancing	Right	-	0	0.0%	N/A	-	A	avancing volu ight Turp Volu	me: N/A		
1					,.						
			IUI	KN LANE V	WARRAN	I FINDINGS					
Left Turn Lane Warrant Findings Right Turn Lane Warrant Findings							indings				
Applicable Warrant Figure: Figure 3 Applicable Warrant Figure: N/A											
Warrant Met?: NO Warrant Met?: N/A											
			TURN	I LANE LE	NGTH CA		S				
Ir	tersectio	n Control	Unsignalize	d							
 Design Hour Volur	me of Turr	ning Lane:	12								
Cycles P	er Hour (A	Assumed):	60								
Cycles P	er Hour (I	f Known):			Averag	e # of Vehicles/Cy	cle:	N/A]		
				PennDOT Pub	olication 46,	Exhibit 11-6			_		
					S	peed (MPH)					
	Туре	of Traffic Con	trol	25-35		40-45 50-60					
			High	Low	High	Low	High	Low	-		
		Signalized	A	A	B or (C B or C	B or C	B or C]		
	ι	Jnsignalized	А	А	C	В	B or C	В]		
				Left Turn	Lane Storag	e Length, Condit	ion A:	N/A	Feet		
						Condit	ion B.	N/A	Feet		
						condit					
Condition C: N/A Feet											
				Requir	ed Left Tur	n Lane Storage Le	ength:	N/A	Feet		
							Additio	onal Findings:			
								N/A			
Additional Comments	/ Justificat	ions:									
*** LEFT TURN LANE	NOT WAF	RRANTED IF	TERRAIN SELEC	TED IS 'LEVEL'	and if WB LT	VOLUME IS REDUC	CED 1 VPH***	ĸ			