	1512		1514
		18:04:40 1	months, and I thank you for your courteousness
11:47:5	BEFORE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS	18:04:43 2	and manners and the way you have conducted
	2 OF THE TOWNSHIP OF WESTTOWN	18:04:46 3	yourself.
4	3 CHESTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA	18:04:48 4	I personally would vote tonight
1	4	18:04:50 5	in the affirmative that we run the meeting late
1	5 VOLUME 9	18:04:54 6	so that we can finish this evening. But that's
	7	_	
	IN RE: CONDITIONAL USE APPLICATION  TOLL PA XVIII, L.P.	18:04:57 7	not up to me. It is going to be up to Mr.
	9	18:05:02	Adelman, who represents Toll Brothers, and our
	Hearing was held at the Stetson Middle School Auditorium, 1060 Wilmington	18:05:04	counsel, solicitor, Mr. McKenna, who is not
	Pike, West Chester, Pennsylvania, on Tuesday, October 24, 2017, beginning at 6:05 o'clock,	18:05:07 10	happy with me saying that right now.
	12 p.m.	18:05:10 11	So I am going to turn the meeting
	14	18:05:11 12	over to Mr. McKenna.
	BEFORE: MICHAEL T. DIDOMENICO, Chairman CAROL R. DEWOLF	18:05:13 13	MR. MCKENNA; Thank you. Good
	THOMAS HAWS	18:05:18 14	evening, ladies and gentlemen. Let me know if
	17 ALSO PRESENT: ROBERT R. PINGAR,	18:05:19 15	for some reason you can't hear me. I'll try to
	18 Township Manager	18:05:22 16	speak up.
	19	18:05:22 17	We are back this evening on the
	20	18:05:25 18	continued hearing of the Crebilly application.
	22	18:05:27 19	The applicant is Toll Brothers.
	23 ELEANOR J. SCHWANDT	18:05:29 20	
8 00			As I have with every meeting,
		18:05:31 21	this evening, is there anyone recording the
		16:05:34 22	hearing tonight?
		18:05:35 23	MR. BRAXTON: Yes.
7		18:05:36 24	MR. MCKENNA: Sir, I know you
1	APPEARANCES:	18:05:36 24	MR. MCKENNA: Sir, I know you 1515
1	The state of the s	18:05:36 <b>24</b> 18:05:37 <b>1</b>	
1 2	APPEARANCES:  PATRICK M. MCKENNA, Esquire		1515
	APPEARANCES:	18:05:37	1515 have done it every single time. Would you mind
2	APPEARANCES:  PATRICK M. MCKENNA, Esquire on behalf of the Board of Supervisors  GREGG I. ADELMAN, Esquire	18:05:37 <b>1</b> 18:05:39 <b>2</b>	1515 have done it every single time. Would you mind identifying yourself first on the record to the
2	APPEARANCES:  PATRICK M. MCKENNA, Esquire on behalf of the Board of Supervisors	18:05:37 <b>1</b> 18:05:39 <b>2</b> 18:05:41 <b>3</b>	1515 have done it every single time. Would you mind identifying yourself first on the record to the court reporter so she can hear you.
2	APPEARANCES:  PATRICK M. MCKENNA, Esquire on behalf of the Board of Supervisors  GREGG I. ADELMAN, Esquire	18:05:37	1515 have done it every single time. Would you mind identifying yourself first on the record to the court reporter so she can hear you.  MR. BRAXTON: John Braxton.
2 3 4 5	APPEARANCES:  PATRICK M. MCKENNA, Esquire on behalf of the Board of Supervisors  GREGG I. ADELMAN, Esquire on behalf of the Applicant  KRISTIN CAMP, Esquire on behalf of Westtown Township	18:05:37	have done it every single time. Would you mind identifying yourself first on the record to the court reporter so she can hear you.  MR. BRAXTON: John Braxton.  MR. MCKENNA: And yes, sir, your name?
3 4	APPEARANCES:  PATRICK M. MCKENNA, Esquire on behalf of the Board of Supervisors  GREGG I. ADELMAN, Esquire on behalf of the Applicant  KRISTIN CAMP, Esquire	18:05:37	have done it every single time. Would you mind identifying yourself first on the record to the court reporter so she can hear you.  MR. BRAXTON: John Braxton.  MR. MCKENNA: And yes, sir, your name?  MR. MORO: Vince Moro,
2 3 4 5	APPEARANCES:  PATRICK M. MCKENNA, Esquire on behalf of the Board of Supervisors  GREGG I. ADELMAN, Esquire on behalf of the Applicant  KRISTIN CAMP, Esquire on behalf of Westtown Township	18:05:37	have done it every single time. Would you mind identifying yourself first on the record to the court reporter so she can hear you.  MR. BRAXTON: John Braxton.  MR. MCKENNA: And yes, sir, your name?  MR. MORO: Vince Moro, representing Neighbors for Crebilly.
2 3 4 5 6 7	APPEARANCES:  PATRICK M. MCKENNA, Esquire on behalf of the Board of Supervisors  GREGG I. ADELMAN, Esquire on behalf of the Applicant  KRISTIN CAMP, Esquire on behalf of Westtown Township Planning Commission	18:05:37	have done it every single time. Would you mind identifying yourself first on the record to the court reporter so she can hear you.  MR. BRAXTON: John Braxton.  MR. MCKENNA: And yes, sir, your name?  MR. MORO: Vince Moro, representing Neighbors for Crebilly.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, sir.
2 3 4 5	APPEARANCES:  PATRICK M. MCKENNA, Esquire on behalf of the Board of Supervisors  GREGG I. ADELMAN, Esquire on behalf of the Applicant  KRISTIN CAMP, Esquire on behalf of Westtown Township Planning Commission  MARK THOMPSON, Esquire on behalf of Neighbors for Crebilly, LLC	18:05:37	have done it every single time. Would you mind identifying yourself first on the record to the court reporter so she can hear you.  MR. BRAXTON: John Braxton.  MR. MCKENNA: And yes, sir, your name?  MR. MORO: Vince Moro, representing Neighbors for Crebilly.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, sir.  MR. MORO: You are welcome.
2 3 4 5 6 7	APPEARANCES:  PATRICK M. MCKENNA, Esquire on behalf of the Board of Supervisors  GREGG I. ADELMAN, Esquire on behalf of the Applicant  KRISTIN CAMP, Esquire on behalf of Westtown Township Planning Commission  MARK THOMPSON, Esquire	18:05:37 1 18:05:39 2 18:05:41 3 18:05:45 5 18:05:46 6 18:05:46 7 18:05:46 7 18:05:49 9 18:05:51 10 18:05:51 11	have done it every single time. Would you mind identifying yourself first on the record to the court reporter so she can hear you.  MR. BRAXTON: John Braxton.  MR. MCKENNA: And yes, sir, your name?  MR. MORO: Vince Moro, representing Neighbors for Crebilly.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, sir.  MR. MORO: You are welcome.  MR. MCKENNA: A couple things.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	APPEARANCES:  PATRICK M. MCKENNA, Esquire on behalf of the Board of Supervisors  GREGG I. ADELMAN, Esquire on behalf of the Applicant  KRISTIN CAMP, Esquire on behalf of Westtown Township Planning Commission  MARK THOMPSON, Esquire on behalf of Neighbors for Crebilly, LLC  FRONEFIELD CRAWFORD, Esquire on behalf of Birmingham Township	18:05:37	have done it every single time. Would you mind identifying yourself first on the record to the court reporter so she can hear you.  MR. BRAXTON: John Braxton.  MR. MCKENNA: And yes, sir, your name?  MR. MORO: Vince Moro, representing Neighbors for Crebilly.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, sir.  MR. MORO: You are welcome.  MR. MCKENNA: A couple things.  Let's go through where we were and where we are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	APPEARANCES:  PATRICK M. MCKENNA, Esquire on behalf of the Board of Supervisors  GREGG I. ADELMAN, Esquire on behalf of the Applicant  KRISTIN CAMP, Esquire on behalf of Westtown Township Planning Commission  MARK THOMPSON, Esquire on behalf of Neighbors for Crebilly, LLC  FRONEFIELD CRAWFORD, Esquire on behalf of Birmingham Township  JOHN RENDEMONTI, Esquire	18:05:37 1 18:05:39 2 18:05:41 3 18:05:45 5 18:05:46 6 18:05:46 7 18:05:48 8 18:05:49 9 18:05:51 10 18:05:51 11 18:05:52 12 18:05:55 13	have done it every single time. Would you mind identifying yourself first on the record to the court reporter so she can hear you.  MR. BRAXTON: John Braxton.  MR. MCKENNA: And yes, sir, your name?  MR. MORO: Vince Moro, representing Neighbors for Crebilly.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, sir.  MR. MORO: You are welcome.  MR. MCKENNA: A couple things.  Let's go through where we were and where we are headed tonight. So at our last meeting in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	APPEARANCES:  PATRICK M. MCKENNA, Esquire on behalf of the Board of Supervisors  GREGG I. ADELMAN, Esquire on behalf of the Applicant  KRISTIN CAMP, Esquire on behalf of Westtown Township Planning Commission  MARK THOMPSON, Esquire on behalf of Neighbors for Crebilly, LLC  FRONEFIELD CRAWFORD, Esquire on behalf of Birmingham Township	18:05:37	have done it every single time. Would you mind identifying yourself first on the record to the court reporter so she can hear you.  MR. BRAXTON: John Braxton.  MR. MCKENNA: And yes, sir, your name?  MR. MORO: Vince Moro, representing Neighbors for Crebilly.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, sir.  MR. MORO: You are welcome.  MR. MCKENNA: A couple things.  Let's go through where we were and where we are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	APPEARANCES:  PATRICK M. MCKENNA, Esquire on behalf of the Board of Supervisors  GREGG I. ADELMAN, Esquire on behalf of the Applicant  KRISTIN CAMP, Esquire on behalf of Westtown Township Planning Commission  MARK THOMPSON, Esquire on behalf of Neighbors for Crebilly, LLC  FRONEFIELD CRAWFORD, Esquire on behalf of Birmingham Township  JOHN RENDEMONTI, Esquire	18:05:37 1 18:05:39 2 18:05:41 3 18:05:45 5 18:05:46 6 18:05:46 7 18:05:48 8 18:05:49 9 18:05:51 10 18:05:51 11 18:05:52 12 18:05:55 13	have done it every single time. Would you mind identifying yourself first on the record to the court reporter so she can hear you.  MR. BRAXTON: John Braxton.  MR. MCKENNA: And yes, sir, your name?  MR. MORO: Vince Moro, representing Neighbors for Crebilly.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, sir.  MR. MORO: You are welcome.  MR. MCKENNA: A couple things.  Let's go through where we were and where we are headed tonight. So at our last meeting in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	APPEARANCES:  PATRICK M. MCKENNA, Esquire on behalf of the Board of Supervisors  GREGG I. ADELMAN, Esquire on behalf of the Applicant  KRISTIN CAMP, Esquire on behalf of Westtown Township Planning Commission  MARK THOMPSON, Esquire on behalf of Neighbors for Crebilly, LLC  FRONEFIELD CRAWFORD, Esquire on behalf of Birmingham Township  JOHN RENDEMONTI, Esquire on behalf of Vasilios Moscharis	18:05:37 1 18:05:39 2 18:05:41 3 18:05:43 4 18:05:46 6 18:05:46 7 18:05:46 8 18:05:49 9 16:05:51 10 18:05:52 12 18:05:52 12 18:05:58 14	have done it every single time. Would you mind identifying yourself first on the record to the court reporter so she can hear you.  MR. BRAXTON: John Braxton.  MR. MCKENNA: And yes, sir, your name?  MR. MORO: Vince Moro, representing Neighbors for Crebilly.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, sir.  MR. MORO: You are welcome.  MR. MCKENNA: A couple things.  Let's go through where we were and where we are headed tonight. So at our last meeting in September we ran through a number of parties
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	APPEARANCES:  PATRICK M. MCKENNA, Esquire on behalf of the Board of Supervisors  GREGG I. ADELMAN, Esquire on behalf of the Applicant  KRISTIN CAMP, Esquire on behalf of Westtown Township Planning Commission  MARK THOMPSON, Esquire on behalf of Neighbors for Crebilly, LLC  FRONEFIELD CRAWFORD, Esquire on behalf of Birmingham Township  JOHN RENDEMONTI, Esquire on behalf of Vasilios Moscharis	18:05:37 1 18:05:39 2 18:05:41 3 18:05:45 5 18:05:46 6 18:05:46 7 18:05:48 8 18:05:49 9 18:05:51 10 18:05:51 11 18:05:52 12 18:05:55 13 18:05:58 14 18:05:02 15	have done it every single time. Would you mind identifying yourself first on the record to the court reporter so she can hear you.  MR. BRAXTON: John Braxton.  MR. MCKENNA: And yes, sir, your name?  MR. MORO: Vince Moro, representing Neighbors for Crebilly.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, sir.  MR. MORO: You are welcome.  MR. MCKENNA: A couple things.  Let's go through where we were and where we are headed tonight. So at our last meeting in September we ran through a number of parties with us that evening. A number of parties were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 18:03:48 12 18:03:48 13 18:03:49 14 18:03:52 15	PATRICK M. MCKENNA, Esquire on behalf of the Board of Supervisors  GREGG I. ADELMAN, Esquire on behalf of the Applicant  KRISTIN CAMP, Esquire on behalf of Westtown Township Planning Commission  MARK THOMPSON, Esquire on behalf of Neighbors for Crebilly, LLC  FRONEFIELD CRAWFORD, Esquire on behalf of Birmingham Township  JOHN RENDEMONTI, Esquire on behalf of Vasilios Moscharis  THE CHAIRMAN: Good evening everyone. Welcome to the 9th conditional use hearing of the Crebilly tract and Toll Brothers	18:05:37 1 18:05:39 2 18:05:41 3 18:05:43 4 18:05:45 5 18:05:46 6 18:05:46 7 18:05:48 8 18:05:49 9 18:05:51 10 18:05:51 11 18:05:52 12 18:05:55 13 18:05:58 14 18:05:02 15 18:05:04 16	have done it every single time. Would you mind identifying yourself first on the record to the court reporter so she can hear you.  MR. BRAXTON: John Braxton.  MR. MCKENNA: And yes, sir, your name?  MR. MORO: Vince Moro, representing Neighbors for Crebilly.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, sir.  MR. MORO: You are welcome.  MR. MCKENNA: A couple things.  Let's go through where we were and where we are headed tonight. So at our last meeting in September we ran through a number of parties with us that evening. A number of parties were not. So perhaps they will be here this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 18:03:48 12 18:03:48 13 18:03:48 14	PATRICK M. MCKENNA, Esquire on behalf of the Board of Supervisors  GREGG I. ADELMAN, Esquire on behalf of the Applicant  KRISTIN CAMP, Esquire on behalf of Westtown Township Planning Commission  MARK THOMPSON, Esquire on behalf of Neighbors for Crebilly, LLC  FRONEFIELD CRAWFORD, Esquire on behalf of Birmingham Township  JOHN RENDEMONTI, Esquire on behalf of Vasilios Moscharis  THE CHAIRMAN: Good evening everyone. Welcome to the 9th conditional use	18:05:37 1 18:05:39 2 18:05:41 3 18:05:45 5 18:05:46 6 18:05:46 7 18:05:48 8 18:05:49 9 18:05:51 10 18:05:51 11 18:05:52 12 18:05:55 13 18:05:58 14 18:06:02 15 18:06:04 16 18:06:06 17	have done it every single time. Would you mind identifying yourself first on the record to the court reporter so she can hear you.  MR. BRAXTON: John Braxton.  MR. MCKENNA: And yes, sir, your name?  MR. MORO: Vince Moro, representing Neighbors for Crebilly.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, sir.  MR. MORO: You are welcome.  MR. MCKENNA: A couple things.  Let's go through where we were and where we are headed tonight. So at our last meeting in September we ran through a number of parties with us that evening. A number of parties were not. So perhaps they will be here this evening.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 18:03:48 12 18:03:48 13 18:03:49 14 18:03:52 15 18:03:56 16	PATRICK M. MCKENNA, Esquire on behalf of the Board of Supervisors  GREGG I. ADELMAN, Esquire on behalf of the Applicant  KRISTIN CAMP, Esquire on behalf of Westtown Township Planning Commission  MARK THOMPSON, Esquire on behalf of Neighbors for Crebilly, LLC  FRONEFIELD CRAWFORD, Esquire on behalf of Birmingham Township  JOHN RENDEMONTI, Esquire on behalf of Vasilios Moscharis  THE CHAIRMAN: Good evening everyone. Welcome to the 9th conditional use hearing of the Crebilly tract and Toll Brothers developers. If we would please rise for our pledge of the flag.  (Pledge of Allegiance takes	18:05:37 1 18:05:39 2 18:05:41 3 18:05:45 5 18:05:46 6 18:05:46 7 18:05:46 7 18:05:49 9 18:05:51 10 18:05:51 11 18:05:52 12 18:05:55 13 18:05:58 14 18:06:02 15 18:06:04 16 18:06:06 17 18:06:06 18	have done it every single time. Would you mind identifying yourself first on the record to the court reporter so she can hear you.  MR. BRAXTON: John Braxton.  MR. MCKENNA: And yes, sir, your name?  MR. MORO: Vince Moro, representing Neighbors for Crebilly.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, sir.  MR. MORO: You are welcome.  MR. MCKENNA: A couple things.  Let's go through where we were and where we are headed tonight. So at our last meeting in September we ran through a number of parties with us that evening. A number of parties were not. So perhaps they will be here this evening.  The Planning Commission finished
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 18:03:48 12 18:03:48 13 18:03:48 13 18:03:52 15 18:03:52 15 18:03:56 16 19:03:56 16	APPEARANCES:  PATRICK M. MCKENNA, Esquire on behalf of the Board of Supervisors  GREGG I. ADELMAN, Esquire on behalf of the Applicant  KRISTIN CAMP, Esquire on behalf of Westtown Township Planning Commission  MARK THOMPSON, Esquire on behalf of Neighbors for Crebilly, LLC  FRONEFIELD CRAWFORD, Esquire on behalf of Birmingham Township  JOHN RENDEMONTI, Esquire on behalf of Vasilios Moscharis  THE CHAIRMAN: Good evening everyone. Welcome to the 9th conditional use hearing of the Crebilly tract and Toll Brothers developers. If we would please rise for our pledge of the flag.  (Pledge of Allegiance takes place.)	18:05:37 1 18:05:39 2 18:05:41 3 18:05:45 5 18:05:46 6 18:05:46 7 18:05:48 8 18:05:49 9 18:05:51 10 18:05:51 11 18:05:52 12 18:05:55 13 18:05:58 14 18:06:02 15 18:06:04 16 18:06:06 17 18:06:06 18 18:06:08 19	have done it every single time. Would you mind identifying yourself first on the record to the court reporter so she can hear you.  MR. BRAXTON: John Braxton.  MR. MCKENNA: And yes, sir, your name?  MR. MORO: Vince Moro, representing Neighbors for Crebilly.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, sir.  MR. MORO: You are welcome.  MR. MCKENNA: A couple things.  Let's go through where we were and where we are headed tonight. So at our last meeting in September we ran through a number of parties with us that evening. A number of parties were not. So perhaps they will be here this evening.  The Planning Commission finished their case last month. Birmingham Township
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 18:03:48 12 18:03:48 13 18:03:49 14 18:03:52 15 18:03:56 16	PATRICK M. MCKENNA, Esquire on behalf of the Board of Supervisors  GREGG I. ADELMAN, Esquire on behalf of the Applicant  KRISTIN CAMP, Esquire on behalf of Westtown Township Planning Commission  MARK THOMPSON, Esquire on behalf of Neighbors for Crebilly, LLC  FRONEFIELD CRAWFORD, Esquire on behalf of Birmingham Township  JOHN RENDEMONTI, Esquire on behalf of Vasilios Moscharis  THE CHAIRMAN: Good evening everyone. Welcome to the 9th conditional use hearing of the Crebilly tract and Toll Brothers developers. If we would please rise for our pledge of the flag.  (Pledge of Allegiance takes	18:05:37 1 18:05:39 2 18:05:41 3 18:05:45 5 18:05:46 6 18:05:46 7 18:05:46 8 18:05:49 9 18:05:51 10 18:05:51 11 18:05:52 12 18:05:55 13 18:05:58 14 18:06:02 15 18:06:04 16 18:06:06 17 18:06:06 18 18:06:08 19 18:06:01 20	have done it every single time. Would you mind identifying yourself first on the record to the court reporter so she can hear you.  MR. BRAXTON: John Braxton.  MR. MCKENNA: And yes, sir, your name?  MR. MORO: Vince Moro, representing Neighbors for Crebilly.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, sir.  MR. MORO: You are welcome.  MR. MCKENNA: A couple things.  Let's go through where we were and where we are headed tonight. So at our last meeting in September we ran through a number of parties with us that evening. A number of parties were not. So perhaps they will be here this evening.  The Planning Commission finished their case last month. Birmingham Township stated on the record that they had no case to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 18:03:48 12 18:03:48 13 18:03:49 14 18:03:52 15 18:03:56 16 18:03:56 16 18:03:56 16 18:03:57 17 14 18 15 19 16:04:15 20 16:04:25 21 18:04:25 21 18:04:25 22	APPEARANCES:  PATRICK M. MCKENNA, Esquire on behalf of the Board of Supervisors  GREGG I. ADELMAN, Esquire on behalf of the Applicant  KRISTIN CAMP, Esquire on behalf of Westtown Township Planning Commission  MARK THOMPSON, Esquire on behalf of Neighbors for Crebilly, LLC  FRONEFIELD CRAWFORD, Esquire on behalf of Birmingham Township  JOHN RENDEMONTI, Esquire on behalf of Vasilios Moscharis  THE CHAIRMAN: Good evening everyone. Welcome to the 9th conditional use hearing of the Crebilly tract and Toll Brothers developers. If we would please rise for our pledge of the flag.  (Pledge of Allegiance takes place.)  THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. First of all, this is our ninth month doing this, ninth meeting, and I congratulate all the	18:05:37 1 18:05:39 2 18:05:41 3 18:05:43 4 18:05:45 5 18:05:46 6 18:05:46 7 18:05:48 8 18:05:49 9 18:05:51 10 18:05:51 11 18:05:52 12 18:05:55 13 18:05:58 14 18:06:02 15 18:06:04 16 18:06:04 16 18:06:06 17 18:06:06 18 18:06:08 19 18:06:11 20 18:06:13 21	have done it every single time. Would you mind identifying yourself first on the record to the court reporter so she can hear you.  MR. BRAXTON: John Braxton.  MR. MCKENNA: And yes, sir, your name?  MR. MORO: Vince Moro, representing Neighbors for Crebilly.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, sir.  MR. MORO: You are welcome.  MR. MCKENNA: A couple things.  Let's go through where we were and where we are headed tonight. So at our last meeting in September we ran through a number of parties with us that evening. A number of parties were not. So perhaps they will be here this evening.  The Planning Commission finished their case last month. Birmingham Township stated on the record that they had no case to present. Thornbury Township presented their case and they are now done.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 18:03:48 12 18:03:48 13 18:03:49 14 18:03:52 15 18:03:56 16 18:03:56 16 18:04:55 26 18:04:55 26 18:04:55 26 18:04:55 26 18:04:55 26 18:04:55 26 18:04:55 26 18:04:55 26 18:04:55 26 18:04:56 26 18:05 26	APPEARANCES:  PATRICK M. MCKENNA, Esquire on behalf of the Board of Supervisors  GREGG I. ADELMAN, Esquire on behalf of the Applicant  KRISTIN CAMP, Esquire on behalf of Westtown Township Planning Commission  MARK THOMPSON, Esquire on behalf of Neighbors for Crebilly, LLC  FRONEFIELD CRAWFORD, Esquire on behalf of Birmingham Township  JOHN RENDEMONTI, Esquire on behalf of Vasilios Moscharis  THE CHAIRMAN: Good evening everyone. Welcome to the 9th conditional use hearing of the Crebilly tract and Toll Brothers developers. If we would please rise for our pledge of the flag.  (Pledge of Allegiance takes place.)  THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. First of all, this is our ninth month doing this,	18:05:37 1 18:05:39 2 18:05:41 3 18:05:45 5 18:05:46 6 18:05:46 7 18:05:48 8 18:05:49 9 18:05:51 10 18:05:51 11 18:05:52 12 18:05:52 12 18:05:55 13 18:05:58 14 18:06:02 15 18:06:04 16 18:06:06 17 18:06:06 18 18:06:06 18 18:06:08 19 18:06:11 20 18:06:11 20 18:06:11 21	have done it every single time. Would you mind identifying yourself first on the record to the court reporter so she can hear you.  MR. BRAXTON: John Braxton.  MR. MCKENNA: And yes, sir, your name?  MR. MORO: Vince Moro, representing Neighbors for Crebilly.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, sir.  MR. MORO: You are welcome.  MR. MCKENNA: A couple things.  Let's go through where we were and where we are headed tonight. So at our last meeting in September we ran through a number of parties with us that evening. A number of parties were not. So perhaps they will be here this evening.  The Planning Commission finished their case last month. Birmingham Township stated on the record that they had no case to present. Thornbury Township presented their

	1516	Ī	1518
18:06:21 1		18:08:15 <b>1</b>	we will be back on Monday, the 27th of
10.00.21	be presenting their case tonight.  Brandywine Thornbury HOA I		November.
	believe reserved the right to provide I think	_	In the interim between the
1)	additional commentary. I think I saw Mr.		hearing last month and this month I have had
i -	DuFault come in. We will hear from them	_	communications with counsel, and specifically
	tonight.		with Mr. Thompson on behalf of Neighbors for
_	Quarry Swimming Association had	18:08:26 <b>b</b>	Crebilly. I'm going to mark an additional
	no case to present. Thornbury Farm Trust had		Board exhibit tonight, which is Exhibit B-31.
	no case to present. Robert Daull had no case		That is a string of e-mails initially sent by
18:06:38 <b>9</b> 18:06:41 <b>10</b>	to present, and neither did Ed Skros, Eileen	18:08:34 <b>9</b> 18:08:38 <b>10</b>	Mr. Thompson on the 17th, responded to by Mr.
		18:08:38 10	Adelman on the 17th, and then again responded
18:06:45 11	Carey or Carol Weller. When asked, they had no	18:08:42 11	to by Mr. Thompson on the 19th.
18:06:47 12	case to present.  Mr. Moscharis at least last month	18:08:44 12	Mr. Thompson had made the request
18:06:48 13		l	on behalf of his client to have a witness
18:06:53 14	had an attorney, John Rendemonti. He asked for	18:08:49 14	testify via video testimony. The Board met and
18:06:55 15	the opportunity to present a witness here this	18:08:51 15	discussed that in executive session on the 16th
18:06:57 16	evening. I don't know if he is here. I have	18:08:55 16	
18:06:58 17	not yet seen him. Assuming they are here, I	18:08:58 17	of October and decided to deny that request,
18:07:02 18	believe he would provide his testimony as well.	18:09:04 18	which we are going to hear officially here
18:07:05 19	And it is my understanding that	18:09:06 19	tonight. That was communicated to Mr.
18:07:07 20	the school district, via Mr. Scanlon, will also	18:09:08 20	Thompson, and then a further request was made
18:07:10 21	be testifying tonight. There may be rebuttal	18:09:12 21	in writing, which I have marked as
18:07:12 22	by the Planning Commission, as they have	18:09:14 22	Exhibit B-31.
18:07:15 23	reserved the right to do so. And then, of	18:09:14 23	So I don't want to cut you off,
17 24	course, if the applicant has any rebuttal we	18:09:17 24	Mr. Thompson. You want to preserve the record
7 2-4		10.00.17	
	1517	4	1519
18:07:19 1	1517 might hear it.	18:09:19	on that issue? Or did I state it correctly?
18:07:19 <b>1</b> 18:07:20 <b>2</b>	1517 might hear it. Now, assuming all of that	18:09:19 <b>1</b> 18:09:22 <b>2</b>	on that issue? Or did I state it correctly?  MR. THOMPSON: I can do it now or
1B:07:19 <b>1</b>	night hear it.  Now, assuming all of that finishes tonight, and I know there is a desire	18:09:19 <b>1</b> 18:09:22 <b>2</b>	on that issue? Or did I state it correctly?  MR. THOMPSON: I can do it now or I can do it after my first witness.
18:07:19 <b>1</b> 18:07:20 <b>2</b> 18:07:22 <b>3</b> 18:07:25 <b>4</b>	night hear it.  Now, assuming all of that finishes tonight, and I know there is a desire to try to get it all done tonight, then we will	18:09:19 <b>1</b> 18:09:22 <b>2</b> 18:09:23 <b>3</b> 18:09:25 <b>4</b>	on that issue? Or did I state it correctly?  MR. THOMPSON: I can do it now or I can do it after my first witness.  MR. MCKENNA: You might as well
18:07:19	night hear it.  Now, assuming all of that finishes tonight, and I know there is a desire to try to get it all done tonight, then we will open the floor to public comment. So whether	18:09:19	on that issue? Or did I state it correctly?  MR. THOMPSON: I can do it now or I can do it after my first witness.  MR. MCKENNA: You might as well do it now if you want to.
18:07:19	night hear it.  Now, assuming all of that finishes tonight, and I know there is a desire to try to get it all done tonight, then we will open the floor to public comment. So whether you are a party or not, if you are a party you	18:09:19	on that issue? Or did I state it correctly?  MR. THOMPSON: I can do it now or I can do it after my first witness.  MR. MCKENNA: You might as well do it now if you want to.  MR. THOMPSON: So the request
18:07:19	might hear it.  Now, assuming all of that finishes tonight, and I know there is a desire to try to get it all done tonight, then we will open the floor to public comment. So whether you are a party or not, if you are a party you can make a comment, if you are not a party you	18:09:19	on that issue? Or did I state it correctly?  MR. THOMPSON: I can do it now or I can do it after my first witness.  MR. MCKENNA: You might as well do it now if you want to.  MR. THOMPSON: So the request would be, I would like to make a request to
18:07:19	night hear it.  Now, assuming all of that finishes tonight, and I know there is a desire to try to get it all done tonight, then we will open the floor to public comment. So whether you are a party or not, if you are a party you can make a comment, if you are not a party you can make a comment.	18:09:19	on that issue? Or did I state it correctly?  MR. THOMPSON: I can do it now or I can do it after my first witness.  MR. MCKENNA: You might as well do it now if you want to.  MR. THOMPSON: So the request would be, I would like to make a request to call Dr. Samuel Watson, Professor of Military
18:07:19	might hear it.  Now, assuming all of that finishes tonight, and I know there is a desire to try to get it all done tonight, then we will open the floor to public comment. So whether you are a party or not, if you are a party you can make a comment, if you are not a party you can make a comment.  We are going to ask you, please,	18:09:19	on that issue? Or did I state it correctly?  MR. THOMPSON: I can do it now or I can do it after my first witness.  MR. MCKENNA: You might as well do it now if you want to.  MR. THOMPSON: So the request would be, I would like to make a request to call Dr. Samuel Watson, Professor of Military History at the United States Military Academy,
18:07:19	night hear it.  Now, assuming all of that finishes tonight, and I know there is a desire to try to get it all done tonight, then we will open the floor to public comment. So whether you are a party or not, if you are a party you can make a comment, if you are not a party you can make a comment.  We are going to ask you, please, be respectful of our time for your comments.	18:09:19	on that issue? Or did I state it correctly?  MR. THOMPSON: I can do it now or I can do it after my first witness.  MR. MCKENNA: You might as well do it now if you want to.  MR. THOMPSON: So the request would be, I would like to make a request to call Dr. Samuel Watson, Professor of Military History at the United States Military Academy, West Point, by remote teleconference.
18:07:19	might hear it.  Now, assuming all of that finishes tonight, and I know there is a desire to try to get it all done tonight, then we will open the floor to public comment. So whether you are a party or not, if you are a party you can make a comment, if you are not a party you can make a comment.  We are going to ask you, please, be respectful of our time for your comments. The Board wants to hear from you, but we do	18:09:19	on that issue? Or did I state it correctly?  MR. THOMPSON: I can do it now or I can do it after my first witness.  MR. MCKENNA: You might as well do it now if you want to.  MR. THOMPSON: So the request would be, I would like to make a request to call Dr. Samuel Watson, Professor of Military History at the United States Military Academy, West Point, by remote teleconference.  MR. MCKENNA: Right. Thank you.
18:07:19	night hear it.  Now, assuming all of that finishes tonight, and I know there is a desire to try to get it all done tonight, then we will open the floor to public comment. So whether you are a party or not, if you are a party you can make a comment, if you are not a party you can make a comment.  We are going to ask you, please, be respectful of our time for your comments.  The Board wants to hear from you, but we do have a large amount of people here tonight so	18:09:19	on that issue? Or did I state it correctly?  MR. THOMPSON: I can do it now or I can do it after my first witness.  MR. MCKENNA: You might as well do it now if you want to.  MR. THOMPSON: So the request would be, I would like to make a request to call Dr. Samuel Watson, Professor of Military History at the United States Military Academy, West Point, by remote teleconference.  MR. MCKENNA: Right. Thank you. And I appreciate that. And the Board did
18:07:19	might hear it.  Now, assuming all of that finishes tonight, and I know there is a desire to try to get it all done tonight, then we will open the floor to public comment. So whether you are a party or not, if you are a party you can make a comment, if you are not a party you can make a comment.  We are going to ask you, please, be respectful of our time for your comments. The Board wants to hear from you, but we do have a large amount of people here tonight so we would ask if you please reserve your	18:09:19	on that issue? Or did I state it correctly?  MR. THOMPSON: I can do it now or I can do it after my first witness.  MR. MCKENNA: You might as well do it now if you want to.  MR. THOMPSON: So the request would be, I would like to make a request to call Dr. Samuel Watson, Professor of Military History at the United States Military Academy, West Point, by remote teleconference.  MR. MCKENNA: Right. Thank you. And I appreciate that. And the Board did discuss this at length in executive session. I
18:07:19	might hear it.  Now, assuming all of that finishes tonight, and I know there is a desire to try to get it all done tonight, then we will open the floor to public comment. So whether you are a party or not, if you are a party you can make a comment, if you are not a party you can make a comment.  We are going to ask you, please, be respectful of our time for your comments. The Board wants to hear from you, but we do have a large amount of people here tonight so we would ask if you please reserve your comments to four or five minutes. That's	18:09:19	on that issue? Or did I state it correctly?  MR. THOMPSON: I can do it now or I can do it after my first witness.  MR. MCKENNA: You might as well do it now if you want to.  MR. THOMPSON: So the request would be, I would like to make a request to call Dr. Samuel Watson, Professor of Military History at the United States Military Academy, West Point, by remote teleconference.  MR. MCKENNA: Right. Thank you. And I appreciate that. And the Board did discuss this at length in executive session. I did forward to them your e-mails. We did
18:07:19	might hear it.  Now, assuming all of that finishes tonight, and I know there is a desire to try to get it all done tonight, then we will open the floor to public comment. So whether you are a party or not, if you are a party you can make a comment, if you are not a party you can make a comment.  We are going to ask you, please, be respectful of our time for your comments. The Board wants to hear from you, but we do have a large amount of people here tonight so we would ask if you please reserve your comments to four or five minutes. That's typically the rule for the Board's meetings,	18:09:19	on that issue? Or did I state it correctly?  MR. THOMPSON: I can do it now or I can do it after my first witness.  MR. MCKENNA: You might as well do it now if you want to.  MR. THOMPSON: So the request would be, I would like to make a request to call Dr. Samuel Watson, Professor of Military History at the United States Military Academy, West Point, by remote teleconference.  MR. MCKENNA: Right. Thank you. And I appreciate that. And the Board did discuss this at length in executive session. I did forward to them your e-mails. We did discuss it internally. It is still the Board's
18:07:19	might hear it.  Now, assuming all of that finishes tonight, and I know there is a desire to try to get it all done tonight, then we will open the floor to public comment. So whether you are a party or not, if you are a party you can make a comment, if you are not a party you can make a comment.  We are going to ask you, please, be respectful of our time for your comments. The Board wants to hear from you, but we do have a large amount of people here tonight so we would ask if you please reserve your comments to four or five minutes. That's typically the rule for the Board's meetings, typical meetings.	18:09:19	on that issue? Or did I state it correctly?  MR. THOMPSON: I can do it now or I can do it after my first witness.  MR. MCKENNA: You might as well do it now if you want to.  MR. THOMPSON: So the request would be, I would like to make a request to call Dr. Samuel Watson, Professor of Military History at the United States Military Academy, West Point, by remote teleconference.  MR. MCKENNA: Right. Thank you. And I appreciate that. And the Board did discuss this at length in executive session. I did forward to them your e-mails. We did discuss it internally. It is still the Board's position, and has not changed, it is
18:07:19	might hear it.  Now, assuming all of that finishes tonight, and I know there is a desire to try to get it all done tonight, then we will open the floor to public comment. So whether you are a party or not, if you are a party you can make a comment, if you are not a party you can make a comment.  We are going to ask you, please, be respectful of our time for your comments. The Board wants to hear from you, but we do have a large amount of people here tonight so we would ask if you please reserve your comments to four or five minutes. That's typically the rule for the Board's meetings, typical meetings.  We might conclude tonight. We	18:09:19	on that issue? Or did I state it correctly?  MR. THOMPSON: I can do it now or I can do it after my first witness.  MR. MCKENNA: You might as well do it now if you want to.  MR. THOMPSON: So the request would be, I would like to make a request to call Dr. Samuel Watson, Professor of Military History at the United States Military Academy, West Point, by remote teleconference.  MR. MCKENNA: Right. Thank you. And I appreciate that. And the Board did discuss this at length in executive session. I did forward to them your e-mails. We did discuss it internally. It is still the Board's position, and has not changed, it is respectfully to deny the request. Obviously,
18:07:19 1 18:07:20 2 18:07:22 3 18:07:25 4 18:07:26 5 18:07:30 6 18:07:30 7 18:07:34 8 18:07:34 9 18:07:34 11 18:07:46 11 18:07:46 14 18:07:46 14 18:07:46 15 18:07:51 16 18:07:52 17	might hear it.  Now, assuming all of that finishes tonight, and I know there is a desire to try to get it all done tonight, then we will open the floor to public comment. So whether you are a party or not, if you are a party you can make a comment, if you are not a party you can make a comment.  We are going to ask you, please, be respectful of our time for your comments. The Board wants to hear from you, but we do have a large amount of people here tonight so we would ask if you please reserve your comments to four or five minutes. That's typically the rule for the Board's meetings, typical meetings.  We might conclude tonight. We may not. We have chosen a night in November in	18:09:19	on that issue? Or did I state it correctly?  MR. THOMPSON: I can do it now or I can do it after my first witness.  MR. MCKENNA: You might as well do it now if you want to.  MR. THOMPSON: So the request would be, I would like to make a request to call Dr. Samuel Watson, Professor of Military History at the United States Military Academy, West Point, by remote teleconference.  MR. MCKENNA: Right. Thank you. And I appreciate that. And the Board did discuss this at length in executive session. I did forward to them your e-mails. We did discuss it internally. It is still the Board's position, and has not changed, it is respectfully to deny the request. Obviously, nothing personal to your client or to the
18:07:19	might hear it.  Now, assuming all of that finishes tonight, and I know there is a desire to try to get it all done tonight, then we will open the floor to public comment. So whether you are a party or not, if you are a party you can make a comment, if you are not a party you can make a comment.  We are going to ask you, please, be respectful of our time for your comments. The Board wants to hear from you, but we do have a large amount of people here tonight so we would ask if you please reserve your comments to four or five minutes. That's typically the rule for the Board's meetings, typical meetings.  We might conclude tonight. We may not. We have chosen a night in November in reserve, just in case. That night is November	18:09:19	on that issue? Or did I state it correctly?  MR. THOMPSON: I can do it now or I can do it after my first witness.  MR. MCKENNA: You might as well do it now if you want to.  MR. THOMPSON: So the request would be, I would like to make a request to call Dr. Samuel Watson, Professor of Military History at the United States Military Academy, West Point, by remote teleconference.  MR. MCKENNA: Right. Thank you. And I appreciate that. And the Board did discuss this at length in executive session. I did forward to them your e-mails. We did discuss it internally. It is still the Board's position, and has not changed, it is respectfully to deny the request. Obviously, nothing personal to your client or to the witness.
18:07:19 1 18:07:20 2 18:07:22 3 18:07:25 4 18:07:26 5 18:07:30 6 18:07:30 7 18:07:34 8 18:07:34 9 18:07:34 11 18:07:34 11 18:07:34 11 18:07:45 11 18:07:45 15 18:07:45 17 18:07:55 18 18:07:57 19	might hear it.  Now, assuming all of that finishes tonight, and I know there is a desire to try to get it all done tonight, then we will open the floor to public comment. So whether you are a party or not, if you are a party you can make a comment, if you are not a party you can make a comment.  We are going to ask you, please, be respectful of our time for your comments. The Board wants to hear from you, but we do have a large amount of people here tonight so we would ask if you please reserve your comments to four or five minutes. That's typically the rule for the Board's meetings, typical meetings.  We might conclude tonight. We may not. We have chosen a night in November in reserve, just in case. That night is November 27th. It is a Monday. If we don't finish, it	18:09:19	on that issue? Or did I state it correctly?  MR. THOMPSON: I can do it now or I can do it after my first witness.  MR. MCKENNA: You might as well do it now if you want to.  MR. THOMPSON: So the request would be, I would like to make a request to call Dr. Samuel Watson, Professor of Military History at the United States Military Academy, West Point, by remote teleconference.  MR. MCKENNA: Right. Thank you. And I appreciate that. And the Board did discuss this at length in executive session. I did forward to them your e-mails. We did discuss it internally. It is still the Board's position, and has not changed, it is respectfully to deny the request. Obviously, nothing personal to your client or to the witness.  My research couldn't, frankly,
18:07:19	might hear it.  Now, assuming all of that finishes tonight, and I know there is a desire to try to get it all done tonight, then we will open the floor to public comment. So whether you are a party or not, if you are a party you can make a comment, if you are not a party you can make a comment.  We are going to ask you, please, be respectful of our time for your comments. The Board wants to hear from you, but we do have a large amount of people here tonight so we would ask if you please reserve your comments to four or five minutes. That's typically the rule for the Board's meetings, typical meetings.  We might conclude tonight. We may not. We have chosen a night in November in reserve, just in case. That night is November 27th. It is a Monday. If we don't finish, it will be back at Rustin. I know I have moved	18:09:19	on that issue? Or did I state it correctly?  MR. THOMPSON: I can do it now or I can do it after my first witness.  MR. MCKENNA: You might as well do it now if you want to.  MR. THOMPSON: So the request would be, I would like to make a request to call Dr. Samuel Watson, Professor of Military History at the United States Military Academy, West Point, by remote teleconference.  MR. MCKENNA: Right. Thank you. And I appreciate that. And the Board did discuss this at length in executive session. I did forward to them your e-mails. We did discuss it internally. It is still the Board's position, and has not changed, it is respectfully to deny the request. Obviously, nothing personal to your client or to the witness.  My research couldn't, frankly, disclose an answer on that issue. The MPC
18:07:19 1 18:07:20 2 18:07:22 3 18:07:25 4 18:07:26 5 18:07:30 6 18:07:30 6 18:07:34 8 18:07:34 9 18:07:34 11 18:07:34 11 18:07:34 12 18:07:44 13 18:07:44 13 18:07:45 14 18:07:45 15 18:07:51 16 18:07:52 17 18:07:55 18 18:07:57 19 10 20 18:08:04 21	might hear it.  Now, assuming all of that finishes tonight, and I know there is a desire to try to get it all done tonight, then we will open the floor to public comment. So whether you are a party or not, if you are a party you can make a comment, if you are not a party you can make a comment.  We are going to ask you, please, be respectful of our time for your comments. The Board wants to hear from you, but we do have a large amount of people here tonight so we would ask if you please reserve your comments to four or five minutes. That's typically the rule for the Board's meetings, typical meetings.  We might conclude tonight. We may not. We have chosen a night in November in reserve, just in case. That night is November 27th. It is a Monday. If we don't finish, it will be back at Rustin. I know I have moved you all over the township. I apologize for	18:09:19	on that issue? Or did I state it correctly?  MR. THOMPSON: I can do it now or I can do it after my first witness.  MR. MCKENNA: You might as well do it now if you want to.  MR. THOMPSON: So the request would be, I would like to make a request to call Dr. Samuel Watson, Professor of Military History at the United States Military Academy, West Point, by remote teleconference.  MR. MCKENNA: Right. Thank you. And I appreciate that. And the Board did discuss this at length in executive session. I did forward to them your e-mails. We did discuss it internally. It is still the Board's position, and has not changed, it is respectfully to deny the request. Obviously, nothing personal to your client or to the witness.  My research couldn't, frankly, disclose an answer on that issue. The MPC doesn't specifically permit it. I couldn't
18:07:19	might hear it.  Now, assuming all of that finishes tonight, and I know there is a desire to try to get it all done tonight, then we will open the floor to public comment. So whether you are a party or not, if you are a party you can make a comment, if you are not a party you can make a comment.  We are going to ask you, please, be respectful of our time for your comments. The Board wants to hear from you, but we do have a large amount of people here tonight so we would ask if you please reserve your comments to four or five minutes. That's typically the rule for the Board's meetings, typical meetings.  We might conclude tonight. We may not. We have chosen a night in November in reserve, just in case. That night is November 27th. It is a Monday. If we don't finish, it will be back at Rustin. I know I have moved	18:09:19	on that issue? Or did I state it correctly?  MR. THOMPSON: I can do it now or I can do it after my first witness.  MR. MCKENNA: You might as well do it now if you want to.  MR. THOMPSON: So the request would be, I would like to make a request to call Dr. Samuel Watson, Professor of Military History at the United States Military Academy, West Point, by remote teleconference.  MR. MCKENNA: Right. Thank you. And I appreciate that. And the Board did discuss this at length in executive session. I did forward to them your e-mails. We did discuss it internally. It is still the Board's position, and has not changed, it is respectfully to deny the request. Obviously, nothing personal to your client or to the witness.  My research couldn't, frankly, disclose an answer on that issue. The MPC

	1520	Ī	1522
1			
18:10:09 1	permitted. At best, it is in the discretion of	18:11:49 1	credentials presented. I object on the basis
18:10:13 2	the Board whether or not to grant such a	18:11:51 2	of relevancy at this point in time.
18:10:14 3	request.	18:11:53	MR. THOMPSON: If I could just
5 4	In my discussions with them,	18:11:54 4	respond, I think it is not substantive
18:10:18 5	their reason they had voted no is because they	18:11:58 5	testimony, the offer of proof, under the rules
18:10:20 6	want to hear someone live as a better	18:12:00 6	of evidence. It is just my statement as to
18:10:23	opportunity to determine credibility of the	18:12:04 7	what that testimony would be.
18:10:24	witness.	18:12:05	MR. ADELMAN: Understood.
18:10:26	As you and I had discussed, if we	18:12:06	MR. THOMPSON: There is really no
18:10:28 10	don't finish for some reason tonight, there is	18:12:08 10	reason, no way to object to that.
18:10:30 11	another opportunity perhaps in November.	18:12:10 11	MR. ADELMAN: Sure, there is. I
18:10:33 12	That's entirely up to you and your client. So	18:12:11 12	can always object to an offer of proof.
18:10:35 13	we will see how that goes.	18:12:12 13	MR. MCKENNA: Gentlemen, I don't
18:10:37 14	MR. THOMPSON: At this point I	18:12:13 14	want to argue. I understand your objections.
18:10:38 15	understand the Board's ruling. However, I need	18:12:14 15	We haven't decided anything about November so
18:10:39 16	to put an offer of proof on the record as to	18:12:16 16	I'm going to defer on that.
18:10:42 17	what the substance of his testimony would have	18:12:18 17	Your objection to the video
18:10:44 18	been, for purposes of appellate review of the	18:12:20 18	testimony is noted. It is also preserved in
18:10:47 19	ruling that precludes that testimony.	18:12:22 19	Exhibit B-31.
18:10:48 20	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, is	18:12:23 20	The objection as to the offer of
18:10:49 21	your microphone on? I'm having a little	18:12:25 21	proof is overruled. I think Mr. Thompson is
18:10:53 22	difficulty hearing. Maybe you can slide it a	18:12:27 22	simply preserving the record. That being said,
18:10:54 23	little closer to yourself.	18:12:29 23	I do understand you have another battlefield
55 24	MR. THOMPSON: It is on.	18:12:32 24	expert or witness excuse me, I don't want to
1	1521	,	1523
18:10:56 1	MR. MCKENNA: Maybe you have to	18:12:34 1	speak ahead to testify.
18:10:58 2	move it closer. Thank you.	18:12:37 2	MR. THOMPSON: I do have my witness. Ms. Camp has indicated she would like
18:11:00 3	MR. THOMPSON: Dr. Watson would have testified about the use of modern	18:12:38 3	to go first.
18:11:02 4		18:12:40 <b>4</b> 18:12:41 <b>5</b>	MS. CAMP: Only because Mr.
18:11:05 5	military, use of the modern military historic battlefields, the importance of the Battle of	18:12:41 <b>5</b> 18:12:43 <b>6</b>	Federico has another hearing to get to and it
_	Brandywine, specifically the flanking	18:12:45 7	probably will be five minutes at most.
	engagement of Stephen's Division with the	18:12:47	MR. MCKENNA: Any objection?
18:11:13 8	Hessians, and his opinion on whether a portion	18:12:48	MR. ADELMAN: No objection.
18:11:17 10	of the battlefield located on the Crebilly Farm	18:12:48 10	MR. MCKENNA: That's fine.
18:11:20 11	property should be preserved.	18:12:51 11	MR. HEMPHILL: Can we tell Dr.
18:11:22 12	MR. ADELMAN: Mr. McKenna, I	18:12:53 12	Watson, who is on line, we can hang up?
18:11:23 13	would like to object to both the request, for	18:12:54 13	MR. MCKENNA: I had presumed you
18:11:25 14	the record, of having the witness be available	18:12:56 14	had already done that.
18:11:28 15	by teleconference	18:12:57 15	MR. HEMPHILL: We didn't know you
18:11:29 16	MR. MCKENNA: Your objection is	18:12:58 16	were going to say no.
18:11:31 17	also preserved in writing.	18:13:00 17	MR. MCKENNA: Okay. That was
18:11:32 18	MR. ADELMAN: I also object to	18:13:01 18	communicated to Mr. Thompson already. So, yes,
18:11:33 19	the witness coming on November 27th, based upon	18:13:03 19	certainly, let him know that, please. I don't
ь 20	my prior objections in terms of the order of	18:13:05 20	want to leave him hanging.
The state of the s		18:13:06 21	MR. THOMPSON: I don't think
18:11:38 21	this case and how it has proceeded.	18:13:06	The Triorin Soll I don't think
18:11:38 <b>21</b> 18:11:40 <b>22</b>	And I would object to the offer	18:13:06 21	there is any problem with him listening, but we
	·		

	1524			1526
18:13:12	you hear us?	18:15:56	1	Q. Mr. Tavani made five key points or
18:13:13	A VOICE: Yes, I can.	18:15:58	2	recommendations to the Board. His first point
18:13:14 3	MR. HEMPHILL: So have you heard	18:16:00	3	was that the Board should reconsider your
16 4	the decision by the Board?	18:16:04	4	suggestion that the access onto Route 202 be
18:13:18 5	A VOICE: Yes, I have.	18:16:06	5	eliminated from the plan which has been
18:13:18 6	MR. MCKENNA: Can we go off the	18:16:08	6	submitted for this conditional use application.
18:13:19 7	record for this portion, please.	18:16:11	7	Are you still of the opinion that the right
18:13:23	(Discussion off the record.)	18:16:13	8	in/right out access onto Route 202 should be
18:13:35	MR. MCKENNA: All right. We will	18:16:16	9	eliminated from the conditional use plan?
18:14:18 10	go back on the record. Mr. Federico I believe	18:16:19	10	A. Yes, I am.
18:14:20 11	is going to be recalled.	18:16:19	11	Q. And what do you base this
18:14:21 12	Mr. Federico, I remind you, you	18:16:21	12	recommendation on?
18:14:24 13	are still under oath from your prior testimony.	18:16:22	13	A. General principles of access
18:14:27 14	MS. CAMP: Do you want me to go	18:16:24	14	management, impact of the southbound queues on
18:14:28 15	over there?	18:16:29	15	the accesses functionality, access and the
18:14:38 16	ALBERT FEDERICO,	18:16:34	16	potential of the access to contribute to the
17	the witness herein, having previously been	18:16:39	17	congestion-related accidents that were
18	duly sworn on oath, was examined and	18:16:41	18	identified in the submitted traffic study.
19	testified further as follows:	18:16:43	19	Q. Did Mr. Tavani provide any quantitative
18:14:58 20	MS. CAMP: Kristin Camp,	18:16:45	20	evidence to support his conclusions?
18:14:59 21	representing the Westtown Planning Commission.	18:16:47	21	A. No.
18:15:01 22	And we are here recalling Mr. Federico as a	18:16:47	22	Q. You testified on July 25th, 2017, that
18:15:03 23	rebuttal witness to the testimony offered by	18:16:51	23	the proposed access to Route 202 does not
06 24	the Thornbury Township expert, Frank Tavani.	18:16:54	24	provide safe and efficient access during peak
ì	1525			1527
18:15:09 1	Mr. Federico, at the hearing on	18:16:57	1	hours. Do you still believe that statement to
18:15:12 2	July 25th, 2017, you were recognized as an	18:17:00	2	be true, even after reviewing Mr. Tavani's
18:15:15 3	expert in traffic engineering. And I would ask	18:17:02	3	testimony?
18:15:17 4	that he remain qualified to testify tonight in	18:17:03	4	A. Yes, I do.
18:15:20 5	rebuttal to the testimony offered by Mr. Tavani	18:17:03	5	Q. Is part of your opinion about the 202
18:15:22 6	as an expert in traffic engineering.	18:17:08	6	access consideration of Section 170-1512.B of
18:15:25 7	MR. ADELMAN: I don't have any	18:17:15	7	the Westtown Township Zoning Ordinance?
18:15:26	objection. But I don't know if the attorney	18:17:18	8	A. Yes, it is. That section speaks to
18:15:28	for Thornbury is present this evening.	18:17:20	9	discouraging access to Route 202.
18:15:31 10	MS. CAMP: She is not.	18:17:22	10	Q. The second recommendation that Mr.
18:15:34 11	MR. MCKENNA: That's fine. We	18:17:24	11	Tavani made in his testimony is that the
18:14:42 12	will permit it.	18:17:26	12	applicant should consider installing a traffic
18:14:42 13	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	18:17:28		signal at the intersection of Route 202 and
18:15:36 14	BY MS. CAMP:	18:17:31		West Pleasant Grove Road. Do you have a
18:15:36 15	Q. Mr. Federico, did you attend the	18:17:34		professional opinion as to whether or not a
18:15:37 16	hearing on September 19th, 2017?	18:17:35		traffic signal at that intersection should be
18:15:40 17	A. No.	18:17:38		installed?
18:15:40 18	Q. But did you review the transcript from	18:17:39		A. Yes. I would recommend against
18:15:42 19	the hearing on September 19th, 2017?	18:17:40		installing a signal at that location.
) <sub>4</sub> 20	A. Yes.	18:17:42		Q. Is this something the township has
18:15:45 21	Q. And did you specifically focus your	18:17:44		analyzed in the past when presented with
18:15:50 22	review on Frank Tavani's testimony which was	18:17:46		different development plans for the Crebilly
18:15:52 23	offered on behalf of Thornbury Township?  A. Yes, I did read that section.	18:17:49 18:17:49		tract?  A. Yes. This was presented as part of the
18:15:54 24	A. Yes, I did read that section.		40	w yes inis was presented as part of the

	1528	T	1530
18:17:52		18:19:46 <b>1</b>	A. Yes.
10:17:02	2 development. The township considered against	18:19:46	Q. And do you believe that PennDOT will
	it for several reasons, including the potential	18:19:49 3	require the intersections to be aligned?
0.	for the signal to increase delays along Route	18:19:51 4	A. Yes, it seems it was made pretty clear
18:18:07		18:19:55 5	in the December 6th scoping review, as well as
18:18:09		18:19:59	the March 3rd review of the traffic impact
18:18:10		18:20:02	study.
18:18:12		18:20:02	Q. Both of those documents you just
18:18:13		18:20:04	referenced have already been marked as exhibits
18:18:15	·	18:20:07 10	at this hearing; is that correct?
18:18:16	A. The township.	18:20:08 11	A. Yes. The scoping review I believe was
18:18:18 12	Q. Okay. Mr. Tavani's third point is that	18:20:10 12	B-23, and the TIS review was PC-13.
18:18:21 13	he would not recommend installation of a	18:20:15 13	Q. Does Mr. Tavani's suggestion about the
18:18:23 14	roundabout at the intersection of New Street	18:20:18 14	intersection alignment with Bridlewood conflict
18:18:26 1	and Route 926. Do you have an opinion as to	18:20:20 15	with Section 149-300.E of the Township's
18:18:29 16	whether or not a roundabout would be	18:20:24 16	Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance?
18:18:31 17	appropriate at that intersection?	18:20:27 17	A. Yes. It also conflicts with Section
18:18:33 18	A. At this point I don't believe it has	18:20:31 18	175-503.C.2 of zoning, which discusses linking
18:18:35	been evaluated to a sufficient level to make a	18:20:35 19	the proposed development to existing
18:18:38 20	determination. Considering that roundabouts	18:20:37 20	intersections.
18:18:42 21	typically operate at much lower speeds than	18:20:38 21	MS. CAMP: Thank you, Mr.
18:18:45 22	signalized intersections, it is conceivable	18:20:39 22	Federico. I have nothing further.
18:18:48 23	that a well-designed roundabout could address	18:20:43 23	MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Ms.
51 24	the users identified in his testimony.	18:20:45 24	Camp. Mr. Adelman, any questions?
1	1529		1531
18:18:53	<b>Q.</b> Will PennDOT ultimately be the deciding	18:20:51	MR. ADELMAN: I have no
18:18:56	body as to whether or not a roundabout would	18:20:52	questions.
18:18:58	_	18:20:54	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Crawford, any
18:18:59	A. Yes, they would.	18:20:55 4	questions.
18:19:00		18:20:55 5	MR. CRAWFORD: No questions.
18:19:03		18:20:56	Thank you.
18:19:04	,	18:20:57	MR. MCKENNA: Ms. Labrum, is she
18:19:08		18:20:59	here? Has anyone seen Ms. Labrum?
18:19:09		18:21:01 9	She is not here.
18:19:11 10		18:21:02 10	Mr. Thompson, any questions?
18:19:14 <b>11</b>	_	18:21:04 <b>11</b> 18:21:04 <b>12</b>	MR. THOMPSON: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. DuFault, do you
18:19:14		18:21:04 12	have any questions?
18:19:16	•	18:21:06 13	MR. DUFAULT: No questions.
18:19:17	-	18:21:08 15	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Bertinetti or
18:19:19		18:21:10 16	Tom Martin for Radley Run III HOA? Either of
18:19:25 17	·	18:21:14 17	them here? Anyone here?
18:19:28 18		18:21:15 18	William Hoffman for Arborview
18:19:30		18:21:24 19	HOA?
13 20	-	18:21:24 20	Mr. Bevilacqua for West Glen HOA?
18:19:35 21		18:21:31 21	MR. BEVILACQUA: No questions.
18:19:38 22	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	18:21:31 22	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon for the
18:19:41 23	•	18:21:32 23	district?
18:19:44 24	•	18:21:33 24	DR. SCANLON: No questions.
			10/27/2017 07:27-52 AM

	1532			1534
18:21:34 1	MR. MCKENNA: Ed McFalls for	18;23:19 <b>1</b>		MR. SPACKMAN: Thank you.
18:21:37	Westminster Presbyterian Church?	18:23:20 2		MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.
18:21:40 3	MR. MCFALLS: No questions.	18:23:21 3	Spackman.	Bradley or Amy Harkins?
11 4	MR. MCKENNA: Chris Feryo for the	18:23:25		Mr. Mammucari?
18:21:43 5	Quarry Swimming Association?	18:23:28 5		MR. MAMMUCARI: No questions.
18:21:44 6	MR. FERYO: No questions.	18:23:28 6		MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Gadaleto?
18:21:46 7	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Spackman for	18:23:33 7		Phillip Jones?
18:21:47	the Thornbury Farm Trust?	18:23:35		MR. JONES: No questions.
18:21:49	MR. SPACKMAN: Yes, I do. Hello.	18:23:36		MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Rendemonti.
18:21:55 10	How are you doing?	18:23:39 10		MR. RENDEMONTI: None. Thank
18:21:57 11	In reference to your testimony	18:23:40 11		
18:21:59 12	about the roundabout on South New Street and	18:23:40 12	•	MR. MCKENNA: Allison Corcoran?
18:22:01 13	926, how you are saying that still needs to be	18:23:43 13		Mr. Skupp?
18:22:03 14	developed but would allow perpetual traffic	18:23:45 14		Diana Leraris.
18:22:07 15	flow and motion; is that correct? But there is	18:23:52 15		MS. LERARIS: No questions.
18:22:09 16	no stopping?	18:23:53 16		MR. MCKENNA: Ed Boyer?
18:22:11 17	THE WITNESS: Roundabouts,	18:23:55 17		MS. BOYER: No questions.
18:22:14 18	typically, roundabouts require on vehicles to	18:23:59 18		MR. MCKENNA: Amy Murnane?
18:22:19 19	stop, to yield to pedestrians and to vehicles	18:24:04 19		Kirk Wolter?
18:22:22 20	already in the rotary, so there is stopping	18:24:06 20		Mr. Crognale?
18:22:25 21	involved in the operation. But under ideal	18:24:09 21		Robert Daull?
18:22:29 22	conditions there is minimal stopping.	18:24:12 22		MR. DAULL: No questions.
18:22:30 23	MR. SPACKMAN: Do you ride	18:24:13 23		MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, sir.
32 24	horses?	18:24:14 24		Scott Sobers?
	1533			1535
18:22:32	THE WITNESS: Not regularly, no.	18:24:17		Mr. Pavelchek?
18:22:35 2	MR. SPACKMAN: Do you ride	18:24:19 2		MR. PAVELCHEK: No questions.
18:22:36 3	tractors or hay wagons?	18:24:20		MR. MCKENNA: Phillip Jaeger?
18:22:38 4	THE WITNESS: No.	18:24:24 4	•	Mr. Cahill? David Pryze?
18:22:39 <b>5</b>	MR. SPACKMAN: I ride horses. I	18:24:32 5	_	Jennifer or Jeffrey Kramer?
18:22:40 <b>6</b>	have tractors. I have hay wagons. I have	18:24:34		MS. KRAMER: No questions.
18:22:42 7	never seen a successful horse or tractor	18:24:37 7	•	MR. MCKENNA: Megan Bruns?
18:22:45	crossing a roundabout where there is traffic	18:24:40		Ed Skros?
18:22:48 9	moving through. You are dealing with an animal	18:24:42 <b>9</b>	)	MR. SKROS: No questions.
18:22:50 10	that doesn't necessarily stop and start when it	18:24:43 10	l	MR. MCKENNA: Eileen Carey?
18:22:52 11	wants. Do you think a roundabout would be safe	18:24:47 11		Jim McDermott?
18:22:55 12	with horse/equestrian traffic?	18:24:51 12		Dennis or Patricia McFadden?
18:22:57 13	THE WITNESS: I think it is	18:24:55 13		MR. MCFADDEN: No questions.
18:22:58 14	possible that one could be designed. I don't	18:24:56 14		MR. MCKENNA: Carol Weller?
18:23:01 15	know about this situation because I don't	18:24:58 15		MS. WELLER: No questions.
18:23:03 16	believe it has been evaluated to a high enough	18:24:59 16		MR. MCKENNA: Linda and Matt
18:23:06 17	level yet.	18:25:01 17		
18:23:07 18	MR. SPACKMAN: That would be	18:25:03 18		Does the Board have any
18:23:07 19	something to take into account, is farm traffic	18:25:04 19	•	NO DEWOLE 11 - 1 1 1 1
)9 <b>20</b>	and equestrian traffic, that they are still in	18:25:06 20		MS. DEWOLF: Mr. Federico, if we
18:23:12 21	Chester County, correct?	18:25:18 21	_	n and right out what would the
18:23:13 22	THE WITNESS: Absolutely, the	18:25:20 22		t be to the people living on this
18:23:14 23	design of any intersection improvement should	18:25:26 23		THE WITNESS: Those classes to
18:23:16 24	consider all the anticipated users of it.	18:25:26 24		THE WITNESS: Those closest to

	1536	1	1538
18:25:33	202 would have a more convenient way in and	18:28:12	congestion will remain
18:25:41 2	out, primarily during off-peak periods. There	18:28:14 2	THE WITNESS: Yes.
18:25:46 3	would be a third fourth, I apologize, fourth	18:28:16 3	MS. DEWOLF: for the time.
19 4	potential access that would potentially serve	18:28:17 4	All right.
18:25:54 5	some benefit during, for emergency services.	18:28:18 5	MR. HAWS: I have just one quick
18:26:01 6	MS. DEWOLF: For the residents of	18:28:21 6	question. It is kind of similar to what
18:26:04 7	that development what would be the result of	18:28:23 7	Carol's question was, the last one. So as I
18:26:09	having a right in and right out?	18:28:28 8	understand it right now, the state or the
18:26:17 9	MS. CAMP: I think it is the same	18:28:30 9	developer, if the project goes forward, would
18:26:18 10	question.	18:28:34 10	have to do some sort of signalized upgrades to
18:26:20 11	MS. DEWOLF: I think he answered	18:28:37 11	the 926/202 intersection. What I heard you
18:26:22 12	it for emergency vehicles and I would like to	18:28:42 12	just say is that those upgrades, if this
18:26:24 13	know what	18:28:47 13	development were to go in, will keep the
18:26:25 14	MS. CAMP: No, he	18:28:49 14	congestion the same; is that correct?
18:26:26 15	THE WITNESS: I presumed,	18:28:52 15	THE WITNESS: Yes.
18:26:28 16	apologies, that the additional potential access	18:28:53 16	MR. HAWS: So if the development
18:26:31 17	may at some point benefit the residents if the	18:28:55 17	were not to go in and the state were to
18:26:33 18	other three accesses are otherwise blocked.	18:28:57 18	continue to do their upgrades, would that help
18:26:37 19	MS. DEWOLF: Okay. And your	18:29:01 19	lessen some of the congestion in the area?
18:26:40 20	reasoning was congestion. Is there any	18:29:03 20	THE WITNESS: Yes.
18:26:44 21	mitigation or elimination or a way to alleviate	18:29:04 21	MR. HAWS: And then what
18:26:50 22	that congestion to make a right in and right	18:29:06 22	additional upgrades would be needed at that
18:26:53 23	out a good opportunity?	18:29:10 23	intersection to reduce the stack time, or
56 24	THE WITNESS: The congestion is	18:29:17 24	whatever terminology it is, to allow for the
1	1537		1539
18:27:00	associated with the delays at the intersection	18:29:22	right in/right out access off of 202?
18:27:03	of 926 and Route 202. Improvements to increase	18:29:24	THE WITNESS: I have not
18:27:09	the flow of that intersection sufficiently to	18:29:31	completed an analysis of those options or those
18:27:13 4	reduce the queues so that they do not influence	18:29:39 4	range of improvements. I would expect that
18:27:17 5	the operation of that access would be	18:29:44 5	something that increases the southbound through put, so an additional lane southbound. But
18:27:23 <b>6</b>	warranted.	18:29:46 6	that is somewhat speculative on my part right
	MS. DEWOLF: Do we have any power to do that?		
	THE WITNESS: I would defer the		now. MR. HAWS: Okay. Would it be,
18:27:27 9	limits of your power to the solicitor.	18:29:58 9	and, again, I don't know if this is the right
18:27:35 11	MS. DEWOLF: And as I understand,	18:30:01 11	question or not, would it be prudent for the
18:27:37 12	the improvements at 926 and 202 really only	18:30:04 12	Board, if the application were to go forward,
18:27:42 13	serve to satisfy some of the demands now,	18:30:07 13	to make one of the conditions upon that, if
18:27:48 14	correct?	18:30:12 14	they wanted to keep that access right in/right
18:27:48 15	THE WITNESS: You are referring	18:30:15 15	out, that they needed to do further upgrades to
18:27:50 16	to the improvements proposed in the traffic	18:30:18 16	the 926/202 intersection?
18:27:53 17	study?	18:30:20 17	THE WITNESS: Yes.
18:27:55 18	MS. DEWOLF: Yes.	18:30:21 18	MR. HAWS: Okay. Thank you.
18/27:56 19	THE WITNESS: Those improvements	18:30:24 19	MR. MCKENNA: Any other questions
₃ 20	will mitigate the additional impact associated	18:30:25 20	from the Board?
18:28:01 21	with this development, but will not generally	18:30:27 21	Any redirect, Ms. Camp?
18:28:06 22	alleviate the existing deficiencies at that	18:30:28 22	MS. CAMP: No.
18:28:11 23	location.	18:30:29 23	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman,
18:28:12 24	MS. DEWOLF: So that that	18:30:30 24	anything further?

	1540		1542
18:30:31 1	MR. ADELMAN: Nothing further.	18:32:54 1	A. I am emeritus of the Marine Corps
18:30:32 2	Thank you.	18:32:57 2	History Division.
18:30:32 3	MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.	18:32:59 3	Q. I would like you to take a look at
34 4	Federico.	18:33:01 4	Exhibit NC-1. Do you recognize that exhibit?
18:30:35 5	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	18:33:04 5	A. Yes, I do.
18:30:35 6	(Witness excused.)	18:33:05 6	Q. Is that your curriculum vitae?
18:30:36 7	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, are	18:33:09 7	A. It is also familiar.
18:30:39	you ready to proceed?	18:33:10 8	Q. Could you please briefly describe your
18:30:41 9	MR. THOMPSON: I am. I have some	18:33:15	expertise to the Board of Supervisors as set
18:30:43 10	exhibit packets, six. Perhaps if you can pass	18:33:18 10	forth in that CV?
18:30:44 11	them down, and then maybe one for Mr. Adelman.	18:33:20 11	A. Yes, military historian, covering
18:30:53 12	(Discussion off the record.)	18:33:23 12	American military history, focusing on Marine
18:31:11 13	MR. THOMPSON: I have four	18:33:27 13	Corps history of all periods, writing,
18:31:13 14	exhibits in the packet. The first exhibit is	18:33:31 14	teaching, and staff rides. So my expertise
18:31:15 15	the curriculum vitae of the first witness I'll	18:33:36 15	goes back to giving staff rides in the 1970s
18:31:17 16	be calling, historian of the United States	18:33:40 16	through this year. Those staff rides include
18:31:19 17	Marine Corps.	18:33:46 17	Revolutionary War battlefields, War of 1812
18:31:21 18	The second exhibit is a map from	18:33:50 18	battlefields, Mexican War battlefields, even
18:31:25 19	Sean Moir analysis.	18:33:54 19	climbing mountains in Cerro Gordo, Mexico, and
18:31:27 20	I have three pictures, which is	18:33:58 20	the grounds of the Shores of Vera Cruz.
18:31:30 21	the third exhibit. And the fourth exhibit is	18:34:02 21	Civil War battlefields, a big
18:31:32 22	my second witness' review letter regarding	18:34:04 22	specialty of mine as well, to the point where I
18:31:36 23	stormwater management design.	18:34:08 23	had a three-star general, commander of all the
44 24	With that, I would like to call	18:34:11 24	marine training, pull me off of my regular
1	1541		1543
18:31:45 1	my first witness. Again, my name is Mark	18:34:13	duties as Marine Corps archivist, and that's
18:31:50 2	Thompson. I represent Neighbors for Crebilly.	18:34:17 2	all I did for a year, was staff rides for the
18:31:52 3	And I would like to call J. Michael Miller.	18:34:19 3	generals and Marine Corps and invited generals
18:32:00 4	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Miller, if you	18:34:21 4	of the armed forces.
18:32:01 5	wouldn't mind, come to the podium. You have to	18:34:22 5	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Miller, I need
18:32:06 6	be real close to the microphone so everybody	18:34:23 6	you to use that marine voice of yours.
18:32:07 7	can hear you. It is kind of hard in this room	18:34:26 7	Q. It is a little bit difficult to hear
18:32:09	to hear.	18:34:28	you. Maybe if you could speak right into that
18:32:15	MR. MILLER: I'll use my marine	9	microphone.
18:32:17 10	voice.	18:34:31 10	A. Sure.
18:32:17 11	MR. MCKENNA: That will be great.	18:34:32 11	Q. How long have you been a military
18:32:18 12	The court reporter will swear you in when you	18:34:34 12	historian?
18:32:20 13	get up there.	18:34:34 13	A. I've been a military historian since
18:32:20 14	MR. MILLER: Thank you.	18:34:37 14	1979.
15	J. MICHAEL MILLER,	18:34:37 15	Q. Is that how long you have you have been
16	the witness herein, having first been	18:34:39 16	with the United States Marine Corps History
17	duly sworn on oath, was examined and	18:34:40 17	Division?
18:32:40 18	testified as follows:	18:34:40 18	A. Marine Corps since '83.
18:32:40 19	DIRECT EXAMINATION	18:34:43 19	Q. Have you taught at any universities?
2 20	BY MR. THOMPSON:	18:34:45 20	A. I have taught, adjunct faculty, at Penn
18:32:42 21	Q. Mr. Miller, could you please state your	18:34:48 21	State University, University of Mary
18:32:47 22	full name for the record.	18:34:50 22	Washington, and the Marine Corps University.
18:32:47 23	A. Joseph Michael Miller.	18:34:53 23	Q. And do you have any specific projects
18:32:50 <b>24</b>	Q. And what is your current position?  07:37:52 AM Page 1540 to	18:34:55 <b>24</b>	that you have worked on for the Marine Corps?  8 of 61 sheets
10,21,2011	5552 rage 1540 to	15 15 01 1/2	o or or sneets

	1544		1546
18:34:58 1	A. Articles, publications. I'm working,	18:36:37	A. It is a military term that is really a
18:35:01 2	I'm retired but also still working on marine	18:36:41 2	military look at a battlefield. For example,
18:35:04 3	history, four-volume history of the marines in	18:36:44 3	the staff ride name comes from the Army going
07 4	World War I, of which the first volume will	18:36:49 4	to Civil War battlefields, actually mounted on
18:35:10 5	come out next year.	18:36:51 5	horseback. And the genesis of the American
18:35:11 6	Q. And are you familiar with the Battle of	18:36:56 6	Civil War battlefields were actually Army
18:35:14 7	Brandywine?	18:36:58 7	territories they purchased and then turned over
18:35:14	A. Yes, very much.	18:37:00 8	to the Interior for the national park system.
18:35:17 9	MR. THOMPSON: I would like to	18:37:02 9	So they would go from the Army
18:35:17 10	offer Mr. Miller as an expert in military	18:37:04 10	War College, ride around Civil War
18:35:20 11	history and in the modern use and	18:37:06 11	battlefields, ask themselves questions and try
18:35:22 12	interpretation of historical battlefields.	18:37:09 12	to put themselves in the role of Civil War
18:35:26 13	MR. MCKENNA: Hang on. Let me	18:37:13 13	generals.
18:35:27 14	get all that. Military history, and, I'm	18:37:14 14	Q. Okay. So when you do these staff rides
18:35:29 15	sorry, what was the balance?	18:37:16 15	on a Revolutionary battlefield, you are
18:35:30 16	MR. THOMPSON: Modern use and	18:37:18 16	applying your background in, what, battlefield
18:35:31 17	interpretation of historical battlefields by	18:37:22 17	history?
18:35:34 18	the U.S. military.	18:37:23 18	A. It is an interesting question. What
18:35:45 19	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, any	18:37:27 19	you need to do to do a staff ride is to make
18:35:46 20	questions?	18:37:30 20	them think. I mean the purpose of a staff ride
18:35:46 21	MR. ADELMAN: Yes, I do.	18:37:33 21	is not a tour. So we have all seen historical
22		18:37:37 22	tours of battlefields.
23		18:37:38 23	But what I do and my specialty is
24	_	18:37:41 24	to get our generals, our corporals, our
	1545		1547
18:35:47 1	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION	18:37:46 1	military staff out there on battlefields and
2	BY MR. ADELMAN:	18:37:48 2	make them think, put themselves in the
18:35:51 3	Q. Mr. Miller, good evening. Based on	18:37:51 3	footsteps of their ancestors.
18:35:53	your resume, it appears that the majority, if	18:37:54	In other words, you are stepping
18:35:55 <b>5</b>	not the overwhelming portion, of your expertise	18:37:56 5	in the footsteps of George Washington. He is
18:35:57 6	surrounds the Marine Corps; is that correct?	18:37:59 6	standing here at Brandywine. Here is the
18:35:59 7	A. I would say central, yes.	18:38:01 7	information he had at the time. What would you
18:36:01	<b>Q.</b> And specifically, I see a lot of	18:38:03	do? What steps would you take? And then go to
18:36:05	expertise on here on World War I?	18:38:08	the next stop and give him some more
18:36:08 10	A. Yes.	18:38:11 10	information. What steps would you take?
18:36:08 11	Q. Civil War as well?	18:38:12 11	<b>Q.</b> So does that require you to have any
18:36:09 12	A. Yes.	18:38:15 12	specific expertise with respect to the
18:36:10 13	Q. I don't see anything in here with	18:38:17 13	engagement for the battlefield which you are
18:36:11 14	respect to the Revolutionary War, publications	18:38:19 14	conducting the staff ride?
18:36:16 15	or teaching. Did you have any experience in	18:38:21 15	A. Yes. Normally you do a read-ahead
18:36:20 16	revolutionary articles, books, texts or	18:38:24 16	package. You read all the relevant histories.
18:36:25 17	anthologies?	18:38:27 17	You visit the battlefield. You select stops
18:36:27 18	A. In my teaching and taking groups to	18:38:31 18	that you would take them on. Because normally
18:36:29 19	Revolutionary War battlefields.	18:38:33 19	it is a day or two-day event. And so you focus
31 <b>20</b> 18:36:32 <b>21</b>	Q. Are those the staff rides you were	18:38:36 20	in on what their interest is.
18:36:32 <b>21</b> 18:36:33 <b>22</b>	testifying about?	18:38:37 21	If it is generals, you want them
18:36:33 <b>22</b> 18:36:34 <b>23</b>	A. Yes, staff rides.  O. Can you explain to me what a staff ride	18:38:39 <b>22</b> 18:38:43 <b>23</b>	to know strategy. If it is corporals, you
18:36:34 23	Q. Can you explain to me what a staff ride	18:38:43 23	might want them to know tactics and terrain.
18:36:36	really is?	18:38:47 <b>44</b>	If it is the medical corps, the medical

7			
	1548		1550
18:38:50	evacuation points. Those kind of. Ordnance,	18:40:57	1 Q. Have you ever written anything about
18:38:54 2	same thing. So you tailor your staff ride to	18:40:59	the Battle of Brandywine?
18:38:56 3	their expertise and what they need.	18:41:01	3 A. No.
58 4	<b>Q.</b> And is that what Mr. Thompson meant by	18:41:01	<b>Q.</b> And have you ever taught about the
18:39:00 5	modern use and interpretation of historic	18:41:03	5 Battle of Brandywine?
18:39:03 6	battlefields by the U.S. military?	•	6 A. No.
18:39:05 7	A. Yes, that's exactly what that is. It	18:41:05	MR. ADELMAN: Okay. I have no
18:39:07	is taking the modern military out and educating	18:41:07	
18:39:12	them on decisions that they might have to make	18:41:09	in military history.
18:39:15 10	themselves.	18:41:10 10	I do have an objection with
18:39:16 11	And I have taken out Secretary of	18:41:11 11	respect to the Battle of Brandywine based on
18:39:18 12	Defense, General Mattis; General Dunford,	18:41:13 12	his testimony that he has no expertise with
18:39:23 13	Chairman of Joint Chiefs; and just about every	18:41:15 13	respect to the specific engagement at issue as
18:39:26 14	general that was in Desert Storm and up to	18:41:18 14	part of this application.
18:39:30 15	Secretary of the Navy.	18:41:21 15	MR. THOMPSON: I'm not offering
18:39:33 16	Q. Okay. So am I right then to say that	18:41:23 16	Mr. Miller as an expert on the Battle of
18:39:35 17	your expertise is applying modern principles to	18:41:26 17	7 Brandywine.
18:39:39 18	the battlefields?	18:41:26 18	THE WITNESS: Can I make a
18:39:40 19	A. Modern principles and translating the	18:41:27 19	different interpretation?
18:39:45 20	old principles to modern use of the	18:41:27 20	MR. MCKENNA: Hang on one second,
18:39:48 21	battlefield, yes.	18:41:29 21	sir. Let's see if we can resolve this.
18:39:50 22	So because it is important to	18:41:32 22	So no objection as to him being
18:39:51 23	know the difference. I mean, and they ask me	18:41:33 23	an expert as offered, but an objection as to
·-n:53 <b>24</b>	too, what can I learn from a Revolutionary War	18:41:36 24	being an expert in the Battle of Brandywine?
	1549		1551
18:39:57 1	battlefield? I mean, we do things so much	18:41:37	With respect
18:39:59 2	differently now. We have drones. We have	18:41:39	MR. ADELMAN: With respect to the
18:40:02 3	satellite imagery. We have modern weaponry.	18:41:40	property that's subject of this application.
18:40:05 4	Why? What can I learn from this?	18:41:41	MR. MCKENNA: He is not being
18:40:07 5	Because marines are going to ask	18:41:42	offered as such; is that right?
18:40:09 6	you questions, soldiers are going to ask, why	18:41:44	MR. THOMPSON: No. He is being
18:40:11 7	am I here? And the point is the weapons have	18:41:45	offered on the modern military's use of
18:40:14	changed, the ordnance is different, the	18:41:47	historical battlefields, and specifically the
18:40:18 9	intelligence method is different, but people	18:41:50	
18:40:21 10	have not changed.	18:41:52	MR. ADELMAN: Well, that's
18:40:22 11	<b>Q.</b> With respect to the specific site, have	18:41:53 11	different than what you just said.
18:40:24 12	you done any staff rides for the Battle of	18:41:54 12	MR. THOMPSON: The military's
18:40:28 13	Brandywine?	18:41:57 13	•
18:40:28 14	A. No, no staff rides for Brandywine.	18:42:00 14	battlefields.
18:40:30 15	Q. Okay. And what type of research or	18:42:01 15	3·,
18:40:33 16	professional involvement have you had with the	18:42:01 16	-
18:40:36 17	Battle of Brandywine?	18:42:04 17	any background, specific expertise on the
		1	
18:40:38 18	A. Basically, surveys of what the	18:42:06 18	Battle of Brandywine, which is the relevant
18:40:40 19	A. Basically, surveys of what the literature is out there, what the historical	18:42:09 19	Battle of Brandywine, which is the relevant topic before this Board.
18:40:40 <b>19</b>	A. Basically, surveys of what the literature is out there, what the historical level of expertise is. Searching out firsthand	18:42:09 <b>19</b> 18:42:11 <b>20</b>	Battle of Brandywine, which is the relevant topic before this Board.  So I don't object to his
18:40:40 <b>19</b> 13 <b>20</b> 18:40:49 <b>21</b>	A. Basically, surveys of what the literature is out there, what the historical level of expertise is. Searching out firsthand accounts, visiting battlefield.	18:42:09 <b>19</b> 18:42:11 <b>20</b> 18:42:13 <b>21</b>	Battle of Brandywine, which is the relevant topic before this Board.  So I don't object to his qualifications on what you qualified him as,
18:40:40 <b>19</b> 13 <b>20</b> 18:40:49 <b>21</b> 18:40:50 <b>22</b>	A. Basically, surveys of what the literature is out there, what the historical level of expertise is. Searching out firsthand accounts, visiting battlefield.  I mean, I have to say that the	18:42:09 <b>19</b> 18:42:11 <b>20</b> 18:42:13 <b>21</b> 18:42:15 <b>22</b>	Battle of Brandywine, which is the relevant topic before this Board.  So I don't object to his qualifications on what you qualified him as, but I would object on his testimony on the
18:40:40 <b>19</b> 13 <b>20</b> 18:40:49 <b>21</b>	A. Basically, surveys of what the literature is out there, what the historical level of expertise is. Searching out firsthand accounts, visiting battlefield.	18:42:09 <b>19</b> 18:42:11 <b>20</b> 18:42:13 <b>21</b>	Battle of Brandywine, which is the relevant topic before this Board.  So I don't object to his qualifications on what you qualified him as, but I would object on his testimony on the basis of relevance.

	1552		1554
18:42:19	understand. You are going to apply his	18:44:35	whole symposium down there about it and taught
18:42:21 2	expertise to this particular battle?	18:44:38	the cadets.
18:42:24 3	MR. THOMPSON: That's correct.	18:44:39	So, and we go around on buses.
15 4	MR. MCKENNA: All right. And	18:44:43	We walk. Important thing is I never want to
18:42:26 <b>5</b>	that is your objection?	18:44:47	take a group out to a battlefield and not have
18:42:27 6	MR. ADELMAN: That's correct.	18:44:50	6 them walk because, as General Gray told me in
18:42:29 7	MR. MCKENNA: Hang on one second.	18:44:53	one of the first battlefields I took him to at
18:42:30	(Board members conferring.)	18:44:57	3 Antietam was the most important thing I take
18:42:46 9	MR. MCKENNA: The Board has	18:44:58	away from this battle is terrain. Terrain is
18:42:49 10	discussed and we are going to overrule the	18:45:01 10	everything. Terrain doesn't change. You are
18:42:51 11	objection and permit the testimony.	18:45:04 11	still going to be faced with terrain no matter
18:42:55 12	MR. THOMPSON: Thank you.	18:45:07 12	where you go, Afghanistan or in Africa. You
13	BY MR. THOMPSON:	18:45:11 13	have to make duty command decisions based on
18:42:56 14	Q. Mr. Miller, if I could just remind you	18:45:13	that terrain.
18:42:58 15	to speak clearly into the microphone.	18:45:14	<b>Q.</b> So the branch of the military, Mr.
18:43:01 16	A. Sure.	18:45:16 16	Miller, continues to perform this function, the
18:43:01 17	Q. Mr. Miller, you talked about your	18:45:21 17	staff rides?
18:43:04 18	expertise with historical battlefields. In	18:45:22 18	A. Yes, it is a big program in every
18:43:08 19	your opinion as an historian, why are	18:45:24	history division of every service. The Army
18:43:10 20	historical battlefields important today?	18:45:27 20	has their own. If you go to the website,
18:43:14 21	A. We have to make sure that our armed	18:45:30 21	Centers of Military History, they have staff
18:43:17 22	forces have every tool at their hands, go out	18:45:32 22	ride guides that are published that can be
18:43:21 23	and win battles, no matter where they are in	18:45:34 23	downloaded. Every base, every Army base,
124 24	the world. And every time I have taken a group	18:45:37 24	Marine Corps base has a bunch of staff rides in
1	1553		1555
18:43:26 1	out to a battlefield, no matter where it is,	18:45:40	1555 I their back pocket they can go out and go on the
18:43:26 <b>1</b> 18:43:29 <b>2</b>		l ,	
	out to a battlefield, no matter where it is,	Ι,	their back pocket they can go out and go on the battlefields.
18:43:29 2	out to a battlefield, no matter where it is, they come away with a thank you so much for	18:45:43	their back pocket they can go out and go on the battlefields.  Q. How many staff rides have you
18:43:29 <b>2</b> 18:43:32 <b>3</b>	out to a battlefield, no matter where it is, they come away with a thank you so much for making me think about this and that.	18:45:43 18:45:44	their back pocket they can go out and go on the battlefields.  Q. How many staff rides have you personally performed for?
18:43:29 <b>2</b> 18:43:32 <b>3</b> 18:43:37 <b>4</b>	out to a battlefield, no matter where it is, they come away with a thank you so much for making me think about this and that.  So based on my expertise and	18:45:43 18:45:44 18:45:45	their back pocket they can go out and go on the battlefields.  Q. How many staff rides have you personally performed for?  A. Approximately 150 to 200, I would say.
18:43:29 <b>2</b> 18:43:32 <b>3</b> 18:43:37 <b>4</b> 18:43:38 <b>5</b>	out to a battlefield, no matter where it is, they come away with a thank you so much for making me think about this and that. So based on my expertise and level that you do it, if you do it right, it	18:45:43 18:45:44 18:45:45 18:45:47	their back pocket they can go out and go on the battlefields.  Q. How many staff rides have you personally performed for?  A. Approximately 150 to 200, I would say.  Q. Would you say most of them have been in
18:43:29 <b>2</b> 18:43:32 <b>3</b> 18:43:37 <b>4</b> 18:43:38 <b>5</b> 18:43:40 <b>6</b>	out to a battlefield, no matter where it is, they come away with a thank you so much for making me think about this and that.  So based on my expertise and level that you do it, if you do it right, it adds to their bag of tricks when they go out in the battlefield to help them fight.  Q. And Mr. Adelman asked you the question:	18:45:43 4 18:45:44 4 18:45:45 4 18:45:47 18:45:50	their back pocket they can go out and go on the battlefields.  Q. How many staff rides have you personally performed for?  A. Approximately 150 to 200, I would say.  Q. Would you say most of them have been in the United States or all over the world?  A. Most of them have been in the United
18:43:29 <b>2</b> 18:43:32 <b>3</b> 18:43:37 <b>4</b> 18:43:38 <b>5</b> 18:43:40 <b>6</b> 18:43:43 <b>7</b>	out to a battlefield, no matter where it is, they come away with a thank you so much for making me think about this and that.  So based on my expertise and level that you do it, if you do it right, it adds to their bag of tricks when they go out in the battlefield to help them fight.	18:45:43 4 18:45:44 18:45:45 4 18:45:47 18:45:50 18:45:53	their back pocket they can go out and go on the battlefields.  Q. How many staff rides have you personally performed for?  A. Approximately 150 to 200, I would say.  Q. Would you say most of them have been in the United States or all over the world?  A. Most of them have been in the United States, although I have done some things with
18:43:29 <b>2</b> 18:43:32 <b>3</b> 18:43:37 <b>4</b> 18:43:38 <b>5</b> 18:43:40 <b>6</b> 18:43:43 <b>7</b> 18:43:46 <b>8</b> 18:43:49 <b>9</b> 18:43:51 <b>10</b>	out to a battlefield, no matter where it is, they come away with a thank you so much for making me think about this and that.  So based on my expertise and level that you do it, if you do it right, it adds to their bag of tricks when they go out in the battlefield to help them fight.  Q. And Mr. Adelman asked you the question:	18:45:43	their back pocket they can go out and go on the battlefields.  Q. How many staff rides have you personally performed for?  A. Approximately 150 to 200, I would say. Q. Would you say most of them have been in the United States or all over the world?  A. Most of them have been in the United States, although I have done some things with the British, British, some with the French.
18:43:29 <b>2</b> 18:43:32 <b>3</b> 18:43:37 <b>4</b> 18:43:38 <b>5</b> 18:43:40 <b>6</b> 18:43:43 <b>7</b> 18:43:46 <b>8</b> 18:43:49 <b>9</b> 18:43:51 <b>10</b> 18:43:52 <b>11</b>	out to a battlefield, no matter where it is, they come away with a thank you so much for making me think about this and that.  So based on my expertise and level that you do it, if you do it right, it adds to their bag of tricks when they go out in the battlefield to help them fight.  Q. And Mr. Adelman asked you the question: What is a staff ride? If you could elaborate on your answer.  A. Okay. Going back to the old view of	18:45:43	their back pocket they can go out and go on the battlefields.  Q. How many staff rides have you personally performed for?  A. Approximately 150 to 200, I would say.  Q. Would you say most of them have been in the United States or all over the world?  A. Most of them have been in the United States, although I have done some things with the British, British, some with the French.  Q. Have you ever done any staff rides for
18:43:29 <b>2</b> 18:43:32 <b>3</b> 18:43:37 <b>4</b> 18:43:38 <b>5</b> 18:43:40 <b>6</b> 18:43:43 <b>7</b> 18:43:46 <b>8</b> 18:43:49 <b>9</b> 18:43:51 <b>10</b>	out to a battlefield, no matter where it is, they come away with a thank you so much for making me think about this and that.  So based on my expertise and level that you do it, if you do it right, it adds to their bag of tricks when they go out in the battlefield to help them fight.  Q. And Mr. Adelman asked you the question: What is a staff ride? If you could elaborate on your answer.	18:45:43	their back pocket they can go out and go on the battlefields.  Q. How many staff rides have you personally performed for?  A. Approximately 150 to 200, I would say.  Q. Would you say most of them have been in the United States or all over the world?  A. Most of them have been in the United States, although I have done some things with the British, British, some with the French.  Q. Have you ever done any staff rides for
18:43:29 <b>2</b> 18:43:32 <b>3</b> 18:43:37 <b>4</b> 18:43:38 <b>5</b> 18:43:40 <b>6</b> 18:43:43 <b>7</b> 18:43:46 <b>8</b> 18:43:49 <b>9</b> 18:43:51 <b>10</b> 18:43:52 <b>11</b>	out to a battlefield, no matter where it is, they come away with a thank you so much for making me think about this and that.  So based on my expertise and level that you do it, if you do it right, it adds to their bag of tricks when they go out in the battlefield to help them fight.  Q. And Mr. Adelman asked you the question: What is a staff ride? If you could elaborate on your answer.  A. Okay. Going back to the old view of	18:45:43	their back pocket they can go out and go on the battlefields. Q. How many staff rides have you personally performed for? A. Approximately 150 to 200, I would say. Q. Would you say most of them have been in the United States or all over the world? A. Most of them have been in the United States, although I have done some things with the British, British, some with the French. Q. Have you ever done any staff rides for Revolutionary War battles?
18:43:29 <b>2</b> 18:43:32 <b>3</b> 18:43:37 <b>4</b> 18:43:38 <b>5</b> 18:43:40 <b>6</b> 18:43:43 <b>7</b> 18:43:46 <b>8</b> 18:43:49 <b>9</b> 18:43:51 <b>10</b> 18:43:52 <b>11</b> 18:43:56 <b>12</b>	out to a battlefield, no matter where it is, they come away with a thank you so much for making me think about this and that.  So based on my expertise and level that you do it, if you do it right, it adds to their bag of tricks when they go out in the battlefield to help them fight.  Q. And Mr. Adelman asked you the question: What is a staff ride? If you could elaborate on your answer.  A. Okay. Going back to the old view of that, the staff ride is when the Army officers	18:45:43	their back pocket they can go out and go on the battlefields.  Q. How many staff rides have you personally performed for?  A. Approximately 150 to 200, I would say.  Q. Would you say most of them have been in the United States or all over the world?  A. Most of them have been in the United States, although I have done some things with the British, British, some with the French.  Q. Have you ever done any staff rides for Revolutionary War battles?  A. No.
18:43:29	out to a battlefield, no matter where it is, they come away with a thank you so much for making me think about this and that.  So based on my expertise and level that you do it, if you do it right, it adds to their bag of tricks when they go out in the battlefield to help them fight.  Q. And Mr. Adelman asked you the question: What is a staff ride? If you could elaborate on your answer.  A. Okay. Going back to the old view of that, the staff ride is when the Army officers would ride around on horseback to the different	18:45:43	their back pocket they can go out and go on the battlefields.  Q. How many staff rides have you personally performed for?  A. Approximately 150 to 200, I would say.  Q. Would you say most of them have been in the United States or all over the world?  A. Most of them have been in the United States, although I have done some things with the British, British, some with the French.  Q. Have you ever done any staff rides for Revolutionary War battles?  A. No.  Q. I take it that performing a staff ride is important on a battlefield that's been
18:43:29	out to a battlefield, no matter where it is, they come away with a thank you so much for making me think about this and that.  So based on my expertise and level that you do it, if you do it right, it adds to their bag of tricks when they go out in the battlefield to help them fight.  Q. And Mr. Adelman asked you the question: What is a staff ride? If you could elaborate on your answer.  A. Okay. Going back to the old view of that, the staff ride is when the Army officers would ride around on horseback to the different battlefields and examine the battlefields in a	18:45:43	their back pocket they can go out and go on the battlefields.  Q. How many staff rides have you personally performed for?  A. Approximately 150 to 200, I would say.  Q. Would you say most of them have been in the United States or all over the world?  A. Most of them have been in the United States, although I have done some things with the British, British, some with the French.  Q. Have you ever done any staff rides for Revolutionary War battles?  A. No.  Q. I take it that performing a staff ride is important on a battlefield that's been preserved; is that an accurate statement?
18:43:29	out to a battlefield, no matter where it is, they come away with a thank you so much for making me think about this and that.  So based on my expertise and level that you do it, if you do it right, it adds to their bag of tricks when they go out in the battlefield to help them fight.  Q. And Mr. Adelman asked you the question: What is a staff ride? If you could elaborate on your answer.  A. Okay. Going back to the old view of that, the staff ride is when the Army officers would ride around on horseback to the different battlefields and examine the battlefields in a critical nature. In other words, not just what	18:45:43	their back pocket they can go out and go on the battlefields.  Q. How many staff rides have you personally performed for?  A. Approximately 150 to 200, I would say. Q. Would you say most of them have been in the United States or all over the world?  A. Most of them have been in the United States, although I have done some things with the British, British, some with the French. Q. Have you ever done any staff rides for Revolutionary War battles?  A. No. Q. I take it that performing a staff ride is important on a battlefield that's been preserved; is that an accurate statement?  A. Not only it is essential. They have
18:43:29	out to a battlefield, no matter where it is, they come away with a thank you so much for making me think about this and that.  So based on my expertise and level that you do it, if you do it right, it adds to their bag of tricks when they go out in the battlefield to help them fight.  Q. And Mr. Adelman asked you the question: What is a staff ride? If you could elaborate on your answer.  A. Okay. Going back to the old view of that, the staff ride is when the Army officers would ride around on horseback to the different battlefields and examine the battlefields in a critical nature. In other words, not just what happened, but the important thing is why it	18:45:43	their back pocket they can go out and go on the battlefields.  Q. How many staff rides have you personally performed for?  A. Approximately 150 to 200, I would say. Q. Would you say most of them have been in the United States or all over the world?  A. Most of them have been in the United States, although I have done some things with the British, British, some with the French. Q. Have you ever done any staff rides for Revolutionary War battles?  A. No. Q. I take it that performing a staff ride is important on a battlefield that's been preserved; is that an accurate statement?  A. Not only it is essential. They have to see the terrain as much you can offer it up
18:43:29	out to a battlefield, no matter where it is, they come away with a thank you so much for making me think about this and that.  So based on my expertise and level that you do it, if you do it right, it adds to their bag of tricks when they go out in the battlefield to help them fight.  Q. And Mr. Adelman asked you the question: What is a staff ride? If you could elaborate on your answer.  A. Okay. Going back to the old view of that, the staff ride is when the Army officers would ride around on horseback to the different battlefields and examine the battlefields in a critical nature. In other words, not just what happened, but the important thing is why it happened and what would you do if you were in those exact same shoes. And again, it is because people have not changed.	18:45:43	their back pocket they can go out and go on the battlefields.  Q. How many staff rides have you personally performed for?  A. Approximately 150 to 200, I would say. Q. Would you say most of them have been in the United States or all over the world? A. Most of them have been in the United States, although I have done some things with the British, British, some with the French. Q. Have you ever done any staff rides for Revolutionary War battles? A. No. Q. I take it that performing a staff ride is important on a battlefield that's been preserved; is that an accurate statement? A. Not only it is essential. They have to see the terrain as much you can offer it up as the generals and the soldiers saw the
18:43:29	out to a battlefield, no matter where it is, they come away with a thank you so much for making me think about this and that.  So based on my expertise and level that you do it, if you do it right, it adds to their bag of tricks when they go out in the battlefield to help them fight.  Q. And Mr. Adelman asked you the question: What is a staff ride? If you could elaborate on your answer.  A. Okay. Going back to the old view of that, the staff ride is when the Army officers would ride around on horseback to the different battlefields and examine the battlefields in a critical nature. In other words, not just what happened, but the important thing is why it happened and what would you do if you were in those exact same shoes. And again, it is because people have not changed.  The modern staff ride, we don't	18:45:43	their back pocket they can go out and go on the battlefields.  Q. How many staff rides have you personally performed for?  A. Approximately 150 to 200, I would say. Q. Would you say most of them have been in the United States or all over the world?  A. Most of them have been in the United States, although I have done some things with the British, British, some with the French. Q. Have you ever done any staff rides for Revolutionary War battles?  A. No. Q. I take it that performing a staff ride is important on a battlefield that's been preserved; is that an accurate statement?  A. Not only it is essential. They have to see the terrain as much you can offer it up as the generals and the soldiers saw the terrain when they fought.
18:43:29	out to a battlefield, no matter where it is, they come away with a thank you so much for making me think about this and that.  So based on my expertise and level that you do it, if you do it right, it adds to their bag of tricks when they go out in the battlefield to help them fight.  Q. And Mr. Adelman asked you the question: What is a staff ride? If you could elaborate on your answer.  A. Okay. Going back to the old view of that, the staff ride is when the Army officers would ride around on horseback to the different battlefields and examine the battlefields in a critical nature. In other words, not just what happened, but the important thing is why it happened and what would you do if you were in those exact same shoes. And again, it is because people have not changed.  The modern staff ride, we don't go on horseback. We go on helicopters. I have	18:45:43	their back pocket they can go out and go on the battlefields.  Q. How many staff rides have you personally performed for?  A. Approximately 150 to 200, I would say. Q. Would you say most of them have been in the United States or all over the world? A. Most of them have been in the United States, although I have done some things with the British, British, some with the French. Q. Have you ever done any staff rides for Revolutionary War battles? A. No. Q. I take it that performing a staff ride is important on a battlefield that's been preserved; is that an accurate statement? A. Not only it is essential. They have to see the terrain as much you can offer it up as the generals and the soldiers saw the terrain when they fought. Q. So if you went to historical
18:43:29	out to a battlefield, no matter where it is, they come away with a thank you so much for making me think about this and that.  So based on my expertise and level that you do it, if you do it right, it adds to their bag of tricks when they go out in the battlefield to help them fight.  Q. And Mr. Adelman asked you the question: What is a staff ride? If you could elaborate on your answer.  A. Okay. Going back to the old view of that, the staff ride is when the Army officers would ride around on horseback to the different battlefields and examine the battlefields in a critical nature. In other words, not just what happened, but the important thing is why it happened and what would you do if you were in those exact same shoes. And again, it is because people have not changed.  The modern staff ride, we don't go on horseback. We go on helicopters. I have done staff rides in the Shenandoah Valley	18:45:43	their back pocket they can go out and go on the battlefields.  Q. How many staff rides have you personally performed for?  A. Approximately 150 to 200, I would say. Q. Would you say most of them have been in the United States or all over the world?  A. Most of them have been in the United States, although I have done some things with the British, British, some with the French. Q. Have you ever done any staff rides for Revolutionary War battles?  A. No. Q. I take it that performing a staff ride is important on a battlefield that's been preserved; is that an accurate statement?  A. Not only it is essential. They have to see the terrain as much you can offer it up as the generals and the soldiers saw the terrain when they fought. Q. So if you went to historical battlefield and it turned into a strip mall
18:43:29	out to a battlefield, no matter where it is, they come away with a thank you so much for making me think about this and that.  So based on my expertise and level that you do it, if you do it right, it adds to their bag of tricks when they go out in the battlefield to help them fight.  Q. And Mr. Adelman asked you the question: What is a staff ride? If you could elaborate on your answer.  A. Okay. Going back to the old view of that, the staff ride is when the Army officers would ride around on horseback to the different battlefields and examine the battlefields in a critical nature. In other words, not just what happened, but the important thing is why it happened and what would you do if you were in those exact same shoes. And again, it is because people have not changed.  The modern staff ride, we don't go on horseback. We go on helicopters. I have	18:45:43	their back pocket they can go out and go on the battlefields.  Q. How many staff rides have you personally performed for?  A. Approximately 150 to 200, I would say. Q. Would you say most of them have been in the United States or all over the world? A. Most of them have been in the United States, although I have done some things with the British, British, some with the French. Q. Have you ever done any staff rides for Revolutionary War battles? A. No. Q. I take it that performing a staff ride is important on a battlefield that's been preserved; is that an accurate statement? A. Not only it is essential. They have to see the terrain as much you can offer it up as the generals and the soldiers saw the terrain when they fought. Q. So if you went to historical battlefield and it turned into a strip mall then you couldn't necessarily do a staff ride

	1556		1558
18:46:41 1	A. I have done a few of those and it is	18:48:45	Q. You talked about the economic benefits.
18:46:43 2	very hard to do, and almost impossible.	18:48:47 2	Is that tourism? Is that what you are talking
18:46:48 3	Basically becomes a map exercise of what this	18:48:50 3	about?
i1 <b>4</b>	looked like then.	18:48:50 4	A. Yes, tourism.
18:46:53 5	Q. So staff rides are an important reason	18:48:52 5	Q. So there are battlefields in the United
18:46:56 6	why you would preserve the battlefield. Are	18:48:54 6	States that are magnets for tourists?
18:46:58 7	there any other reasons why it is important to	18:48:57 7	A. I have seen, Fredericksburg is a good
18:47:01 8	preserve these historical battlefields?	18:49:00 8	example, probably close to this example,
18:47:04 9	A. Well, I think the battlefields can be	18:49:02	because the battlefields are close here, Valley
18:47:07 10	certainly a great benefit to just plain	18:49:04 10	Forge is nearby.
18:47:10 11	American citizens, I mean those of us that want	18:49:05 11	But it gives the American people
18:47:13 12	to learn more about our history can come and	18:49:08 12	a chance to put the dots together. In other
18:47:16 13	understand the battle. The staff ride kind of	18:49:12 13	words, Brandywine is a jigsaw puzzle. It fits
18:47:18 14	opens up battlefields, kind of new	18:49:16 14	into their puzzle of the Revolutionary War.
18:47:20 15	interpretations and new ways of studying it,	18:49:19 15	Here is another way you can understand what
18:47:23 16	and that helps people understand and not just	18:49:21 16	happened out there.
18:47:27 17	stand out there in the middle of the field and	18:49:22 17	And the other thing to me is too,
18:47:29 18	wonder where I am.	18:49:24 18	one of the reasons why I'm here and why I do
18:47:29 19	It is why is this important, and	18:49:27 19	this is to honor the men that fought out there.
18:47:32 20	that's why. I've worked in the military for	18:49:30 20	That to me is an important thing, after
18:47:36 21	civilian groups who said thank you for making	18:49:32 21	spending my career with people who that do that
18:47:38 22	me think.	18:49:35 22	today. It is important to make sure these
18:47:40 23	Q. So is education an important aspect of	18:49:38 23	warriors are not forgotten. This ground is
42 24	preserving battlefields?	18:49:42 24	sacred ground really to me.
	1557		1559
18:47:43	A. Education is top of the line. I think	18:49:45 1	Q. Mr. Miller, are you familiar with Sandy
18:47:45 2	that's the most important thing in any number	18:49:48 2	Hollow Park?
18:47:48 3	of levels, for civilians and military.	18:49:49 3	A. Yes.
18:47:50 4	But you also have the economic	18:49:50 4	Q. Are you familiar with the Crebilly Farm
18:47:52 5	benefits of battlefields. For example,	18:49:52 5	property?
18:47:54 6	Brandywine, if you build it, people will come.	18:49:53 6	A. Yes.
18:47:58 7	Military groups will come and use this because	18:49:54 7	Q. How are you familiar with that
18:48:01 8	really for me Brandywine means a study of	18:49:57	property?
18:48:04 9	George Washington. Because what you see as	18:49:57	A. By standing on the ground and reading
18:48:09 10	General Washington loses battle after battle,	18:50:00 10	the battlefield, looking at it from the point
18:48:11 11	he learns from battle to battle, from the	18:50:04 11	of view of Washington, the Americans, up on the
18:48:14 12	Battle of Princeton, on the other side of	18:50:07 12	highest ground, and looking at it from the
18:48:16 13	Philadelphia, to now why are we over here in	18:50:09 13	point of the British, the Jaegers coming at the
18:48:19 14	the Pennsylvania side of farmland. How did	18:50:14 14	Americans from the other side.
18:48:22 15	that happen? Why does General Washington make	18:50:15 15	Q. Now, have you reviewed the proposed
18:48:24 16	his decisions the way he does and decide to	18:50:19 16	plan that Toll Brothers has before this Board?
18:48:26 17	fight the British here?	18:50:21 17	A. I'm familiar with it.
18:48:27 18	And you can see the learning	18:50:24 18	Q. Are you aware of the testimony and
18 48:29 19	curve as you progress through the battlefields.	18:50:26 19	exhibits in this proceeding concerning the
la <b>20</b>	His expertise rose.	18:50:28 20	activities on the Crebilly property related to
18:48:36 21	And the other fact is when	18:50:31 21	the battle?
18:48:38 22	Philadelphia does surrender, does the	18:50:31 22	A. Yes.
۱ ۵۵	Boyelutionary War and? No. We continue to	18:50:31 23	Q. And have you been to Sandy Hollow Park?
18:48:41 23	Revolutionary War end? No. We continue to	18:50:31	And have you been to buildy honow rank:

18:50:36 24

A. Yes, yes, several times.

	1560	T	1562
18:50:41 1	<b>Q.</b> So the Board has heard testimony	18:52:47 1	to that?
18:50:46 2	concerning Stephen's Division and its	18:52:47	So I apply the general principles
18:50:49 3	activities on Sandy Hollow Park. How was	18:52:50 3	of military science to the battle. I can't
13 4	Stephen's Division engagement important to the	18:52:53	tell you where every brigade, every soldier
18:50:56 5	outcome of the Battle of Brandywine?	18:52:56 5	fought, I can't go to that level of detail.
18:50:58 6	MR. ADELMAN: Objection. The	18:52:59 6	But what I can tell you, I can analyze a battle
18:50:59 7	witness has previously testified he has no	18:53:00 7	and within a short time, the important points I
18:51:01	expertise in the Battle of the Brandywine.	18:53:04	would choose and the things I would like to
18:51:04	MR. THOMPSON: This is a battle	18:53:06	talk about, which sometimes is different than
18:51:05 10	that he is aware of. He is not an expert on	18:53:08 10	the general historical narrative out there
18:51:08 11	the exact locations, but certainly he has read	18:53:12 11	already.
18:51:11 12	maps, he has analyzed the terrain, and he can	18:53:12 12	MR. MCKENNA: Did you or have you
18:51:14 13	testify as a military historian as to the	18:53:13 13	reviewed any of other resources about the
18:51:18 14	importance of this particular part of the	18:53:16 14	battle or has it just been this one visit? I
18:51:22 15	Battle of Brandywine.	18:53:19 15	mean, can you just sort of expand what other
18:51:23 16	MR. ADELMAN: He is rendering an	18:53:22 16	materials you may have reviewed?
18:51:24 17	expert conclusion with respect to an engagement	18:53:23 17	THE WITNESS: Sure. Two more
18:51:26 18	that was part of the Battle of Brandywine.	18:53:24 18	visits, and I was actually out there today to
18:51:28 19	MR. MCKENNA: Well, I'm not	18:53:26 19	review it, and so, and then I have reviewed the
18:51:29 20	comfortable because I didn't hear enough about	18:53:29 20	literature overall, Revolutionary War
18:51:31 21	what he has really reviewed in terms of the	18:53:33 21	literature on the battle and General
18:51:33 22	battle yet. I think he said he has been to	18:53:36 22	Washington's writings, published works that he
18:51:36 23	Sandy Hollow, but I didn't quite understand the	18:53:38 23	has.
	nature of his background with the battle	18:53:39 24	I have looked at the sites that
38 24	nature of his background with the battle.	18:53:39 24	I Have looked at the sites that
38 24	1561	18:53:39 24	1563
38 <b>24</b> 18:51:41 <b>1</b>		18:53:40	
	1561		1563
18:51:41 1	Can we lay a little more foundation or can I just ask: What is it you have reviewed related to the battle? How	18:53:40	are out there for the people in general that come to see it, because I want to evaluate that, what do they know, what does a citizen
18:51:41 <b>1</b> 18:51:42 <b>2</b>	1561 Can we lay a little more foundation or can I just ask: What is it you	18:53:40 <b>1</b> 18:53:43 <b>2</b>	are out there for the people in general that come to see it, because I want to evaluate
18:51:41 <b>1</b> 18:51:42 <b>2</b> 18:51:45 <b>3</b>	Can we lay a little more foundation or can I just ask: What is it you have reviewed related to the battle? How familiar are you with it? How did you become familiar with it?	18:53:40 <b>1</b> 18:53:43 <b>2</b> 18:53:46 <b>3</b>	are out there for the people in general that come to see it, because I want to evaluate that, what do they know, what does a citizen know when he comes to this battlefield, what do they learn.
18:51:41 <b>1</b> 18:51:42 <b>2</b> 18:51:45 <b>3</b> 18:51:47 <b>4</b>	Can we lay a little more foundation or can I just ask: What is it you have reviewed related to the battle? How familiar are you with it? How did you become familiar with it?  THE WITNESS: Sure. I first came	18:53:40 <b>1</b> 18:53:43 <b>2</b> 18:53:46 <b>3</b> 18:53:48 <b>4</b>	are out there for the people in general that come to see it, because I want to evaluate that, what do they know, what does a citizen know when he comes to this battlefield, what do they learn.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, your
18:51:41 <b>1</b> 18:51:42 <b>2</b> 18:51:45 <b>3</b> 18:51:47 <b>4</b> 18:51:50 <b>5</b>	Can we lay a little more foundation or can I just ask: What is it you have reviewed related to the battle? How familiar are you with it? How did you become familiar with it?  THE WITNESS: Sure. I first came to Brandywine about 20 years ago and did the	18:53:40	are out there for the people in general that come to see it, because I want to evaluate that, what do they know, what does a citizen know when he comes to this battlefield, what do they learn.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, your question was again, please? I'm sorry. You
18:51:41 <b>1</b> 18:51:42 <b>2</b> 18:51:45 <b>3</b> 18:51:47 <b>4</b> 18:51:50 <b>5</b> 18:51:51 <b>6</b>	Can we lay a little more foundation or can I just ask: What is it you have reviewed related to the battle? How familiar are you with it? How did you become familiar with it?  THE WITNESS: Sure. I first came to Brandywine about 20 years ago and did the flank march on my own, and my son was with me.	18:53:40	are out there for the people in general that come to see it, because I want to evaluate that, what do they know, what does a citizen know when he comes to this battlefield, what do they learn.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, your question was again, please? I'm sorry. You were talking about Stephen's?
18:51:41	Can we lay a little more foundation or can I just ask: What is it you have reviewed related to the battle? How familiar are you with it? How did you become familiar with it?  THE WITNESS: Sure. I first came to Brandywine about 20 years ago and did the flank march on my own, and my son was with me. We went around to the battlefield sites and we	18:53:40	are out there for the people in general that come to see it, because I want to evaluate that, what do they know, what does a citizen know when he comes to this battlefield, what do they learn.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, your question was again, please? I'm sorry. You were talking about Stephen's?  MR. THOMPSON: How was Stephen's
18:51:41	Can we lay a little more foundation or can I just ask: What is it you have reviewed related to the battle? How familiar are you with it? How did you become familiar with it?  THE WITNESS: Sure. I first came to Brandywine about 20 years ago and did the flank march on my own, and my son was with me. We went around to the battlefield sites and we became familiar with that. That's where we	18:53:40	are out there for the people in general that come to see it, because I want to evaluate that, what do they know, what does a citizen know when he comes to this battlefield, what do they learn.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, your question was again, please? I'm sorry. You were talking about Stephen's?  MR. THOMPSON: How was Stephen's Division engagement important to the outcome of
18:51:41	Can we lay a little more foundation or can I just ask: What is it you have reviewed related to the battle? How familiar are you with it? How did you become familiar with it?  THE WITNESS: Sure. I first came to Brandywine about 20 years ago and did the flank march on my own, and my son was with me. We went around to the battlefield sites and we became familiar with that. That's where we first saw the high ground, the American line.	18:53:40	are out there for the people in general that come to see it, because I want to evaluate that, what do they know, what does a citizen know when he comes to this battlefield, what do they learn.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, your question was again, please? I'm sorry. You were talking about Stephen's?  MR. THOMPSON: How was Stephen's Division engagement important to the outcome of the battle?
18:51:41	Can we lay a little more foundation or can I just ask: What is it you have reviewed related to the battle? How familiar are you with it? How did you become familiar with it?  THE WITNESS: Sure. I first came to Brandywine about 20 years ago and did the flank march on my own, and my son was with me. We went around to the battlefield sites and we became familiar with that. That's where we first saw the high ground, the American line.  And basically, when I go to a	18:53:40	are out there for the people in general that come to see it, because I want to evaluate that, what do they know, what does a citizen know when he comes to this battlefield, what do they learn.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, your question was again, please? I'm sorry. You were talking about Stephen's?  MR. THOMPSON: How was Stephen's Division engagement important to the outcome of the battle?  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, your
18:51:41	Can we lay a little more foundation or can I just ask: What is it you have reviewed related to the battle? How familiar are you with it? How did you become familiar with it?  THE WITNESS: Sure. I first came to Brandywine about 20 years ago and did the flank march on my own, and my son was with me. We went around to the battlefield sites and we became familiar with that. That's where we first saw the high ground, the American line.  And basically, when I go to a battlefield, I have done battlefields with	18:53:40	are out there for the people in general that come to see it, because I want to evaluate that, what do they know, what does a citizen know when he comes to this battlefield, what do they learn.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, your question was again, please? I'm sorry. You were talking about Stephen's?  MR. THOMPSON: How was Stephen's Division engagement important to the outcome of the battle?  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, your objection remains?
18:51:41	Can we lay a little more foundation or can I just ask: What is it you have reviewed related to the battle? How familiar are you with it? How did you become familiar with it?  THE WITNESS: Sure. I first came to Brandywine about 20 years ago and did the flank march on my own, and my son was with me. We went around to the battlefield sites and we became familiar with that. That's where we first saw the high ground, the American line.  And basically, when I go to a battlefield, I have done battlefields with staff rides, but I also go to battlefields that	18:53:40	are out there for the people in general that come to see it, because I want to evaluate that, what do they know, what does a citizen know when he comes to this battlefield, what do they learn.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, your question was again, please? I'm sorry. You were talking about Stephen's?  MR. THOMPSON: How was Stephen's Division engagement important to the outcome of the battle?  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, your objection remains?  MR. ADELMAN: My objection
18:51:41	Can we lay a little more foundation or can I just ask: What is it you have reviewed related to the battle? How familiar are you with it? How did you become familiar with it?  THE WITNESS: Sure. I first came to Brandywine about 20 years ago and did the flank march on my own, and my son was with me. We went around to the battlefield sites and we became familiar with that. That's where we first saw the high ground, the American line.  And basically, when I go to a battlefield, I have done battlefields with staff rides, but I also go to battlefields that connect with the staff rides that I have done.	18:53:40	are out there for the people in general that come to see it, because I want to evaluate that, what do they know, what does a citizen know when he comes to this battlefield, what do they learn.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, your question was again, please? I'm sorry. You were talking about Stephen's?  MR. THOMPSON: How was Stephen's Division engagement important to the outcome of the battle?  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, your objection remains?  MR. ADELMAN: My objection remains. He is not an expert on what portions
18:51:41	Can we lay a little more foundation or can I just ask: What is it you have reviewed related to the battle? How familiar are you with it? How did you become familiar with it?  THE WITNESS: Sure. I first came to Brandywine about 20 years ago and did the flank march on my own, and my son was with me. We went around to the battlefield sites and we became familiar with that. That's where we first saw the high ground, the American line.  And basically, when I go to a battlefield, I have done battlefields with staff rides, but I also go to battlefields that connect with the staff rides that I have done.  So, for example, what impressed	18:53:40	are out there for the people in general that come to see it, because I want to evaluate that, what do they know, what does a citizen know when he comes to this battlefield, what do they learn.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, your question was again, please? I'm sorry. You were talking about Stephen's?  MR. THOMPSON: How was Stephen's Division engagement important to the outcome of the battle?  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, your objection remains?  MR. ADELMAN: My objection remains. He is not an expert on what portions of engagements are important to a battle on
18:51:41	Can we lay a little more foundation or can I just ask: What is it you have reviewed related to the battle? How familiar are you with it? How did you become familiar with it?  THE WITNESS: Sure. I first came to Brandywine about 20 years ago and did the flank march on my own, and my son was with me. We went around to the battlefield sites and we became familiar with that. That's where we first saw the high ground, the American line.  And basically, when I go to a battlefield, I have done battlefields with staff rides, but I also go to battlefields that connect with the staff rides that I have done.  So, for example, what impressed me about Brandywine at the time was that it is	18:53:40	are out there for the people in general that come to see it, because I want to evaluate that, what do they know, what does a citizen know when he comes to this battlefield, what do they learn.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, your question was again, please? I'm sorry. You were talking about Stephen's?  MR. THOMPSON: How was Stephen's Division engagement important to the outcome of the battle?  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, your objection remains?  MR. ADELMAN: My objection remains. He is not an expert on what portions of engagements are important to a battle on which he is not an expert.
18:51:41	Can we lay a little more foundation or can I just ask: What is it you have reviewed related to the battle? How familiar are you with it? How did you become familiar with it?  THE WITNESS: Sure. I first came to Brandywine about 20 years ago and did the flank march on my own, and my son was with me. We went around to the battlefield sites and we became familiar with that. That's where we first saw the high ground, the American line.  And basically, when I go to a battlefield, I have done battlefields with staff rides, but I also go to battlefields that connect with the staff rides that I have done.  So, for example, what impressed me about Brandywine at the time was that it is very similar to Jackson's flank mark in	18:53:40	are out there for the people in general that come to see it, because I want to evaluate that, what do they know, what does a citizen know when he comes to this battlefield, what do they learn.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, your question was again, please? I'm sorry. You were talking about Stephen's?  MR. THOMPSON: How was Stephen's Division engagement important to the outcome of the battle?  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, your objection remains?  MR. ADELMAN: My objection remains. He is not an expert on what portions of engagements are important to a battle on which he is not an expert.  MR. THOMPSON: Well, I think it
18:51:41	Can we lay a little more foundation or can I just ask: What is it you have reviewed related to the battle? How familiar are you with it? How did you become familiar with it?  THE WITNESS: Sure. I first came to Brandywine about 20 years ago and did the flank march on my own, and my son was with me. We went around to the battlefield sites and we became familiar with that. That's where we first saw the high ground, the American line.  And basically, when I go to a battlefield, I have done battlefields with staff rides, but I also go to battlefields that connect with the staff rides that I have done.  So, for example, what impressed me about Brandywine at the time was that it is very similar to Jackson's flank mark in Chancellorsville, of which I have done that	18:53:40	are out there for the people in general that come to see it, because I want to evaluate that, what do they know, what does a citizen know when he comes to this battlefield, what do they learn.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, your question was again, please? I'm sorry. You were talking about Stephen's?  MR. THOMPSON: How was Stephen's Division engagement important to the outcome of the battle?  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, your objection remains?  MR. ADELMAN: My objection remains. He is not an expert on what portions of engagements are important to a battle on which he is not an expert.  MR. THOMPSON: Well, I think it goes to the weight of his testimony. He is a
18:51:41	Can we lay a little more foundation or can I just ask: What is it you have reviewed related to the battle? How familiar are you with it? How did you become familiar with it?  THE WITNESS: Sure. I first came to Brandywine about 20 years ago and did the flank march on my own, and my son was with me. We went around to the battlefield sites and we became familiar with that. That's where we first saw the high ground, the American line.  And basically, when I go to a battlefield, I have done battlefields with staff rides, but I also go to battlefields that connect with the staff rides that I have done.  So, for example, what impressed me about Brandywine at the time was that it is very similar to Jackson's flank mark in Chancellorsville, of which I have done that many, many, many times. So when I visit	18:53:40	are out there for the people in general that come to see it, because I want to evaluate that, what do they know, what does a citizen know when he comes to this battlefield, what do they learn.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, your question was again, please? I'm sorry. You were talking about Stephen's?  MR. THOMPSON: How was Stephen's Division engagement important to the outcome of the battle?  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, your objection remains?  MR. ADELMAN: My objection remains. He is not an expert on what portions of engagements are important to a battle on which he is not an expert.  MR. THOMPSON: Well, I think it goes to the weight of his testimony. He is a military historian, and, depending on what he
18:51:41	Can we lay a little more foundation or can I just ask: What is it you have reviewed related to the battle? How familiar are you with it? How did you become familiar with it?  THE WITNESS: Sure. I first came to Brandywine about 20 years ago and did the flank march on my own, and my son was with me. We went around to the battlefield sites and we became familiar with that. That's where we first saw the high ground, the American line.  And basically, when I go to a battlefield, I have done battlefields with staff rides, but I also go to battlefields that connect with the staff rides that I have done.  So, for example, what impressed me about Brandywine at the time was that it is very similar to Jackson's flank mark in Chancellorsville, of which I have done that many, many, many times. So when I visit battlefields I connect the dots, for example,	18:53:40	are out there for the people in general that come to see it, because I want to evaluate that, what do they know, what does a citizen know when he comes to this battlefield, what do they learn.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, your question was again, please? I'm sorry. You were talking about Stephen's?  MR. THOMPSON: How was Stephen's Division engagement important to the outcome of the battle?  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, your objection remains?  MR. ADELMAN: My objection remains. He is not an expert on what portions of engagements are important to a battle on which he is not an expert.  MR. THOMPSON: Well, I think it goes to the weight of his testimony. He is a military historian, and, depending on what he has reviewed, I think the Board can give the
18:51:41	Can we lay a little more foundation or can I just ask: What is it you have reviewed related to the battle? How familiar are you with it? How did you become familiar with it?  THE WITNESS: Sure. I first came to Brandywine about 20 years ago and did the flank march on my own, and my son was with me. We went around to the battlefield sites and we became familiar with that. That's where we first saw the high ground, the American line.  And basically, when I go to a battlefield, I have done battlefields with staff rides, but I also go to battlefields that connect with the staff rides that I have done.  So, for example, what impressed me about Brandywine at the time was that it is very similar to Jackson's flank mark in Chancellorsville, of which I have done that many, many, many times. So when I visit battlefields I connect the dots, for example, Princeton, where Washington does a flank march	18:53:40	are out there for the people in general that come to see it, because I want to evaluate that, what do they know, what does a citizen know when he comes to this battlefield, what do they learn.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, your question was again, please? I'm sorry. You were talking about Stephen's?  MR. THOMPSON: How was Stephen's Division engagement important to the outcome of the battle?  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, your objection remains?  MR. ADELMAN: My objection remains. He is not an expert on what portions of engagements are important to a battle on which he is not an expert.  MR. THOMPSON: Well, I think it goes to the weight of his testimony. He is a military historian, and, depending on what he has reviewed, I think the Board can give the weight that it feels is appropriate.
18:51:41	Can we lay a little more foundation or can I just ask: What is it you have reviewed related to the battle? How familiar are you with it? How did you become familiar with it?  THE WITNESS: Sure. I first came to Brandywine about 20 years ago and did the flank march on my own, and my son was with me. We went around to the battlefield sites and we became familiar with that. That's where we first saw the high ground, the American line.  And basically, when I go to a battlefield, I have done battlefields with staff rides, but I also go to battlefields that connect with the staff rides that I have done.  So, for example, what impressed me about Brandywine at the time was that it is very similar to Jackson's flank mark in Chancellorsville, of which I have done that many, many, many times. So when I visit battlefields I connect the dots, for example,	18:53:40	are out there for the people in general that come to see it, because I want to evaluate that, what do they know, what does a citizen know when he comes to this battlefield, what do they learn.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, your question was again, please? I'm sorry. You were talking about Stephen's?  MR. THOMPSON: How was Stephen's Division engagement important to the outcome of the battle?  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, your objection remains?  MR. ADELMAN: My objection remains. He is not an expert on what portions of engagements are important to a battle on which he is not an expert.  MR. THOMPSON: Well, I think it goes to the weight of his testimony. He is a military historian, and, depending on what he has reviewed, I think the Board can give the

	1564		1566
54:28	objection and allow the testimony.	18:56:48 1	suggest there should be another interpretation
54:30 2	BY MR. THOMPSON:	18:56:50 2	of the battlefield? Because the flank or the
54:30	Q. Okay. Do you want me to repeat the	18:56:55	extreme right of that line is the most critical
<sub>2</sub> <b>4</b>	question, Mr. Miller?	18:56:57	piece. If that right piece should fall and the
54:33 <b>5</b>	A. No, I think I have got it.	18:57:01 5	British come in around that flank, the whole
64:35	So this is the crux of the matter	18:57:06 6	blue line up there, the top will come down like
i4:37 <b>7</b>	for me, is a place that is not as well-known as	18:57:10 7	dominos. There won't be a battle further over.
4:42 8	the other parts of the battlefield, and if you	18:57:13	If you are a flank, you have got
4:44 9	look up at the map you will see that this is	18:57:14	to get back to the road. But even worse, if
4:47 10	after the flank movement has begun.	18:57:17 10	the British push past the Virginians and don't
4:50 11	Q. Just for purposes of the record, this	18:57:21 11	even worry about the rest of the blue and go
4:52 <b>12</b>	is exhibit, is this Exhibit NC-2?	18:57:23 12	down and cut the road behind the rest of
5:00 13	A. Yes. You see the flank march is	18:57:25 13	Washington's Army, that main road back to
5:04 14	coming, Washington has shifted his Army, broken	18:57:28 14	Philadelphia, what happens to the American
5:06 15	it in two. So if you look at that map, and I	18:57:31 15	cause then? They can drive Washington into the
5:10 16	think this is partially missed by published	18:57:34 16	river. It will be like Long Island, exactly
5:13 <b>17</b>	works, is now Washington is fighting two	18:57:39 17	what happened in Long Island, in 1776, when he
5:16 18	battles, not just one.	18:57:44 18	lost a lot of his Army. That could happen
5:19 19	I mean, it is obvious by looking	18:57:46 19	right here if that right piece doesn't hold.
5:20 20	at the map he is fighting one down at Chadds	18:57:49 20	Q. Mr. Miller, in your opinion, is that
5:23 21	Ford and fighting another one up there in the	18:57:53 21	flank the most important location in the
5:25 <b>22</b>	corner.	18:57:55 22	development of the battle?
5:25 <b>23</b>	What are his options at this	18:57:56 23	A. Yes.
26 24	point? These are questions I would ask general	18:57:58 24	Q. And how is that flank important to the
20 27	1565	10.01.00	1567
5:30 1			
	officers, you have been flanked, you have three	18:58:00	American Revolution?
5:34 2	officers, you have been flanked, you have three choices. You can fight that flank march, you	18:58:00 <b>1</b> 18:58:03 <b>2</b>	American Revolution?  A. If they don't hold the Virginians,
_	choices. You can fight that flank march, you		A. If they don't hold the Virginians,
5:37	choices. You can fight that flank march, you can attack across the river and Trade Queens,	18:58:03 2	
5:37 <b>3</b> 5:42 <b>4</b>	choices. You can fight that flank march, you can attack across the river and Trade Queens, or you can withdraw completely. But know that	18:58:03 <b>2</b> 18:58:05 <b>3</b>	A. If they don't hold the Virginians, don't hold, there is the possibility that
5:37 <b>3</b> 5:42 <b>4</b> 5:46 <b>5</b>	choices. You can fight that flank march, you can attack across the river and Trade Queens, or you can withdraw completely. But know that this, that you are risking the fate of the	18:58:03 <b>2</b> 18:58:05 <b>3</b> 18:58:07 <b>4</b>	A. If they don't hold the Virginians, don't hold, there is the possibility that Washington's Army can be rolled up and flee or be driven into the Brandywine.
5:42 <b>4</b> 5:46 <b>5</b> 5:48 <b>6</b>	choices. You can fight that flank march, you can attack across the river and Trade Queens, or you can withdraw completely. But know that this, that you are risking the fate of the nation on your decision, and you have about 15	18:58:03 <b>2</b> 18:58:05 <b>3</b> 18:58:07 <b>4</b> 18:58:11 <b>5</b> 18:58:17 <b>6</b>	A. If they don't hold the Virginians, don't hold, there is the possibility that Washington's Army can be rolled up and flee or be driven into the Brandywine.  And the important thing also, if
5:37 <b>3</b> 5:42 <b>4</b> 5:46 <b>5</b> 5:48 <b>6</b> 5:551 <b>7</b>	choices. You can fight that flank march, you can attack across the river and Trade Queens, or you can withdraw completely. But know that this, that you are risking the fate of the nation on your decision, and you have about 15 minutes to decide.	18:58:03 <b>2</b> 18:58:05 <b>3</b> 18:58:07 <b>4</b> 18:58:11 <b>5</b> 18:58:17 <b>6</b> 18:58:18 <b>7</b>	A. If they don't hold the Virginians, don't hold, there is the possibility that Washington's Army can be rolled up and flee or be driven into the Brandywine. And the important thing also, if you judge battles, I don't always judge battles
5:37 <b>3</b> 5:42 <b>4</b> 5:46 <b>5</b> 5:48 <b>6</b> 5:55 <b>7</b> 6:55 <b>8</b>	choices. You can fight that flank march, you can attack across the river and Trade Queens, or you can withdraw completely. But know that this, that you are risking the fate of the nation on your decision, and you have about 15 minutes to decide.  That's a little different	18:58:03 <b>2</b> 18:58:05 <b>3</b> 18:58:07 <b>4</b> 18:58:17 <b>5</b> 18:58:17 <b>6</b> 18:58:18 <b>7</b> 18:58:21 <b>8</b>	A. If they don't hold the Virginians, don't hold, there is the possibility that Washington's Army can be rolled up and flee or be driven into the Brandywine.  And the important thing also, if you judge battles, I don't always judge battles by casualties, but the Virginians lose about 50
5:37 <b>3 4 5</b> :42 <b>4 5</b> :46 <b>5 6 7 8 9</b>	choices. You can fight that flank march, you can attack across the river and Trade Queens, or you can withdraw completely. But know that this, that you are risking the fate of the nation on your decision, and you have about 15 minutes to decide.  That's a little different proposition than it is for a regular tour out	18:58:03 <b>2</b> 18:58:05 <b>3</b> 18:58:07 <b>4</b> 18:58:11 <b>5</b> 18:58:17 <b>6</b> 18:58:18 <b>7</b> 18:58:21 <b>8</b> 18:58:26 <b>9</b>	A. If they don't hold the Virginians, don't hold, there is the possibility that Washington's Army can be rolled up and flee or be driven into the Brandywine.  And the important thing also, if you judge battles, I don't always judge battles by casualties, but the Virginians lose about 50 percent of their men over there, which is
5:37 <b>3</b> 5:42 <b>4</b> 5:46 <b>5</b> 6:5:48 <b>6</b> 7 8:5:53 <b>8</b> 9 5:56 <b>10</b>	choices. You can fight that flank march, you can attack across the river and Trade Queens, or you can withdraw completely. But know that this, that you are risking the fate of the nation on your decision, and you have about 15 minutes to decide.  That's a little different proposition than it is for a regular tour out there of this battlefield.	18:58:03 2 18:58:05 3 18:58:07 4 18:58:17 5 18:58:17 6 18:58:18 7 18:58:21 8 18:58:26 9 18:58:26 10	A. If they don't hold the Virginians, don't hold, there is the possibility that Washington's Army can be rolled up and flee or be driven into the Brandywine.  And the important thing also, if you judge battles, I don't always judge battles by casualties, but the Virginians lose about 50 percent of their men over there, which is really high for a Revolutionary War battle.
5:37 3 5:42 4 5:46 5 6:546 6 7 7 8:553 8 9 5:56 10	choices. You can fight that flank march, you can attack across the river and Trade Queens, or you can withdraw completely. But know that this, that you are risking the fate of the nation on your decision, and you have about 15 minutes to decide.  That's a little different proposition than it is for a regular tour out there of this battlefield.  But Washington decides that he is	18:58:03	A. If they don't hold the Virginians, don't hold, there is the possibility that Washington's Army can be rolled up and flee or be driven into the Brandywine.  And the important thing also, if you judge battles, I don't always judge battles by casualties, but the Virginians lose about 50 percent of their men over there, which is really high for a Revolutionary War battle. They fight. They fight hard. Because they
5:37 3 4 4 5:42 4 5:5:46 5 6:5:48 6 7 7 5:53 8 9 5:55 10 5:59 11 6:01 12	choices. You can fight that flank march, you can attack across the river and Trade Queens, or you can withdraw completely. But know that this, that you are risking the fate of the nation on your decision, and you have about 15 minutes to decide.  That's a little different proposition than it is for a regular tour out there of this battlefield.  But Washington decides that he is going to fight there against that flank march.	18:58:03 2 18:58:05 3 18:58:07 4 18:58:11 5 18:58:17 6 18:58:18 7 18:58:21 8 18:58:26 9 18:58:28 10 18:58:30 11 18:58:34 12	A. If they don't hold the Virginians, don't hold, there is the possibility that Washington's Army can be rolled up and flee or be driven into the Brandywine.  And the important thing also, if you judge battles, I don't always judge battles by casualties, but the Virginians lose about 50 percent of their men over there, which is really high for a Revolutionary War battle. They fight. They fight hard. Because they know they are on the end.
5:37 3 5:42 4 5:46 5 5:48 6 5:51 7 5:53 8 9 5:56 10 11 6:01 12 6:06 13	choices. You can fight that flank march, you can attack across the river and Trade Queens, or you can withdraw completely. But know that this, that you are risking the fate of the nation on your decision, and you have about 15 minutes to decide.  That's a little different proposition than it is for a regular tour out there of this battlefield.  But Washington decides that he is going to fight there against that flank march. So that's why you see that blue line going	18:58:03	A. If they don't hold the Virginians, don't hold, there is the possibility that Washington's Army can be rolled up and flee or be driven into the Brandywine.  And the important thing also, if you judge battles, I don't always judge battles by casualties, but the Virginians lose about 50 percent of their men over there, which is really high for a Revolutionary War battle. They fight. They fight hard. Because they know they are on the end.  Think about, think about Little
5:37 3 5:42 4 5:48 6 5:5:48 6 6:5:51 7 7:5:53 8 6:5:54 9 10:5:59 11 6:01 12 6:06 13	choices. You can fight that flank march, you can attack across the river and Trade Queens, or you can withdraw completely. But know that this, that you are risking the fate of the nation on your decision, and you have about 15 minutes to decide.  That's a little different proposition than it is for a regular tour out there of this battlefield.  But Washington decides that he is going to fight there against that flank march. So that's why you see that blue line going across that high ground with the artillery	18:58:03 2 18:58:05 3 18:58:07 4 18:58:11 5 18:58:17 6 18:58:18 7 18:58:21 8 18:58:26 9 18:58:28 10 18:58:28 10 18:58:38 11 18:58:38 12	A. If they don't hold the Virginians, don't hold, there is the possibility that Washington's Army can be rolled up and flee or be driven into the Brandywine.  And the important thing also, if you judge battles, I don't always judge battles by casualties, but the Virginians lose about 50 percent of their men over there, which is really high for a Revolutionary War battle. They fight. They fight hard. Because they know they are on the end.  Think about, think about Little Round Top, the 20th Main. What happens when
5:37 3 5:42 4 5:46 5 6:548 6 6:551 7 6:553 8 9 6:554 9 11 6:01 12 6:06 13 6:08 14 15	choices. You can fight that flank march, you can attack across the river and Trade Queens, or you can withdraw completely. But know that this, that you are risking the fate of the nation on your decision, and you have about 15 minutes to decide.  That's a little different proposition than it is for a regular tour out there of this battlefield.  But Washington decides that he is going to fight there against that flank march. So that's why you see that blue line going across that high ground with the artillery pieces. So you see the British forces coming	18:58:03	A. If they don't hold the Virginians, don't hold, there is the possibility that Washington's Army can be rolled up and flee or be driven into the Brandywine.  And the important thing also, if you judge battles, I don't always judge battles by casualties, but the Virginians lose about 50 percent of their men over there, which is really high for a Revolutionary War battle. They fight. They fight hard. Because they know they are on the end.  Think about, think about Little Round Top, the 20th Main. What happens when
5:37 3 5:42 4 5:42 5 6:5:46 5 6:5:54 6 6:5:55 7 7:5:53 8 6:5:54 9 11 6:01 12 6:06 13 6:08 14 6:11 15	choices. You can fight that flank march, you can attack across the river and Trade Queens, or you can withdraw completely. But know that this, that you are risking the fate of the nation on your decision, and you have about 15 minutes to decide.  That's a little different proposition than it is for a regular tour out there of this battlefield.  But Washington decides that he is going to fight there against that flank march. So that's why you see that blue line going across that high ground with the artillery pieces. So you see the British forces coming at them across the fields. They see they are	18:58:03	A. If they don't hold the Virginians, don't hold, there is the possibility that Washington's Army can be rolled up and flee or be driven into the Brandywine.  And the important thing also, if you judge battles, I don't always judge battles by casualties, but the Virginians lose about 50 percent of their men over there, which is really high for a Revolutionary War battle. They fight. They fight hard. Because they know they are on the end.  Think about, think about Little Round Top, the 20th Main. What happens when Little Round Top falls? That's the Little Round Top right there. So they have to hold.
5:37 3 5:42 4 5:46 5 6:548 6 6:551 7 5:53 8 9:556 10 6:55 11 6:01 12 6:06 13 6:08 14 15 6:14 16 6:14 16	choices. You can fight that flank march, you can attack across the river and Trade Queens, or you can withdraw completely. But know that this, that you are risking the fate of the nation on your decision, and you have about 15 minutes to decide.  That's a little different proposition than it is for a regular tour out there of this battlefield.  But Washington decides that he is going to fight there against that flank march. So that's why you see that blue line going across that high ground with the artillery pieces. So you see the British forces coming at them across the fields. They see they are going to engage. So the blue rectangle on the	18:58:03	A. If they don't hold the Virginians, don't hold, there is the possibility that Washington's Army can be rolled up and flee or be driven into the Brandywine.  And the important thing also, if you judge battles, I don't always judge battles by casualties, but the Virginians lose about 50 percent of their men over there, which is really high for a Revolutionary War battle. They fight. They fight hard. Because they know they are on the end.  Think about, think about Little Round Top, the 20th Main. What happens when Little Round Top falls? That's the Little Round Top right there. So they have to hold. Now, what happens is the British
5:37 3 5:42 4 5:42 5 6:546 5 6:554 6 7 5:53 8 5:54 9 11 6:01 12 6:06 13 6:08 14 6:11 15 6:14 16 6:14 16 6:16 17	choices. You can fight that flank march, you can attack across the river and Trade Queens, or you can withdraw completely. But know that this, that you are risking the fate of the nation on your decision, and you have about 15 minutes to decide.  That's a little different proposition than it is for a regular tour out there of this battlefield.  But Washington decides that he is going to fight there against that flank march. So that's why you see that blue line going across that high ground with the artillery pieces. So you see the British forces coming at them across the fields. They see they are going to engage. So the blue rectangle on the right there, little blue bar, that is the	18:58:03	A. If they don't hold the Virginians, don't hold, there is the possibility that Washington's Army can be rolled up and flee or be driven into the Brandywine.  And the important thing also, if you judge battles, I don't always judge battles by casualties, but the Virginians lose about 50 percent of their men over there, which is really high for a Revolutionary War battle. They fight. They fight hard. Because they know they are on the end.  Think about, think about Little Round Top, the 20th Main. What happens when Little Round Top falls? That's the Little Round Top right there. So they have to hold.  Now, what happens is the British overrun the other end and they all come back,
5:37 3 5:42 4 5:46 5 6:48 6 7 7:5:53 8 9 5:56 10 6:5:59 11 6:01 12 6:08 14 6:11 15 6:14 16 6:14 16 6:16 17 6:21 18	choices. You can fight that flank march, you can attack across the river and Trade Queens, or you can withdraw completely. But know that this, that you are risking the fate of the nation on your decision, and you have about 15 minutes to decide.  That's a little different proposition than it is for a regular tour out there of this battlefield.  But Washington decides that he is going to fight there against that flank march. So that's why you see that blue line going across that high ground with the artillery pieces. So you see the British forces coming at them across the fields. They see they are going to engage. So the blue rectangle on the right there, little blue bar, that is the Virginians division, Stephen's, and to me it is	18:58:03	A. If they don't hold the Virginians, don't hold, there is the possibility that Washington's Army can be rolled up and flee or be driven into the Brandywine.  And the important thing also, if you judge battles, I don't always judge battles by casualties, but the Virginians lose about 50 percent of their men over there, which is really high for a Revolutionary War battle. They fight. They fight hard. Because they know they are on the end.  Think about, think about Little Round Top, the 20th Main. What happens wher Little Round Top falls? That's the Little Round Top right there. So they have to hold.  Now, what happens is the British overrun the other end and they all come back, but Green's force comes up and re-enforces tha
5:37 3 5:42 4 5:46 5 6:48 6 7 5:53 8 9 5:56 10 6:55 11 6:01 12 6:06 13 6:14 15 6:14 16 6:14 17 6:21 18 6:26 19	choices. You can fight that flank march, you can attack across the river and Trade Queens, or you can withdraw completely. But know that this, that you are risking the fate of the nation on your decision, and you have about 15 minutes to decide.  That's a little different proposition than it is for a regular tour out there of this battlefield.  But Washington decides that he is going to fight there against that flank march. So that's why you see that blue line going across that high ground with the artillery pieces. So you see the British forces coming at them across the fields. They see they are going to engage. So the blue rectangle on the right there, little blue bar, that is the Virginians division, Stephen's, and to me it is very easy to see that is the most critical part	18:58:03	A. If they don't hold the Virginians, don't hold, there is the possibility that Washington's Army can be rolled up and flee or be driven into the Brandywine.  And the important thing also, if you judge battles, I don't always judge battles by casualties, but the Virginians lose about 50 percent of their men over there, which is really high for a Revolutionary War battle. They fight. They fight hard. Because they know they are on the end.  Think about, think about Little Round Top, the 20th Main. What happens where Little Round Top falls? That's the Little Round Top right there. So they have to hold.  Now, what happens is the British overrun the other end and they all come back, but Green's force comes up and re-enforces that line and they eventually get out in time. But
5:37 3 5:42 4 5:48 6 5:48 6 6:5:51 7 5:53 8 6:554 9 6:559 11 6:01 12 6:06 13 6:08 14 6:11 15 6:14 16 6:16 17 6:21 18 6:22 1	choices. You can fight that flank march, you can attack across the river and Trade Queens, or you can withdraw completely. But know that this, that you are risking the fate of the nation on your decision, and you have about 15 minutes to decide.  That's a little different proposition than it is for a regular tour out there of this battlefield.  But Washington decides that he is going to fight there against that flank march. So that's why you see that blue line going across that high ground with the artillery pieces. So you see the British forces coming at them across the fields. They see they are going to engage. So the blue rectangle on the right there, little blue bar, that is the Virginians division, Stephen's, and to me it is very easy to see that is the most critical part of the battlefield.	18:58:03 2 18:58:05 3 18:58:07 4 18:58:17 6 18:58:18 7 18:58:21 8 18:58:26 9 18:58:26 10 18:58:30 11 18:58:34 12 18:58:34 12 18:58:35 13 18:58:36 14 18:58:41 15 18:58:41 15 18:58:41 15 18:58:41 15 18:58:41 15 18:58:41 15	A. If they don't hold the Virginians, don't hold, there is the possibility that Washington's Army can be rolled up and flee or be driven into the Brandywine.  And the important thing also, if you judge battles, I don't always judge battles by casualties, but the Virginians lose about 50 percent of their men over there, which is really high for a Revolutionary War battle. They fight. They fight hard. Because they know they are on the end.  Think about, think about Little Round Top, the 20th Main. What happens where Little Round Top falls? That's the Little Round Top right there. So they have to hold.  Now, what happens is the British overrun the other end and they all come back, but Green's force comes up and re-enforces that line and they eventually get out in time. But it is that piece of the puzzle that I think for
5:37 3 5:42 4 5:46 5 5:48 6 5:54 9 5:55 10 6:01 12 6:06 13 6:08 14 6:11 15 6:14 16 6:16 17 6:21 18 6:26 19 7 6:32 21 6:36 22	choices. You can fight that flank march, you can attack across the river and Trade Queens, or you can withdraw completely. But know that this, that you are risking the fate of the nation on your decision, and you have about 15 minutes to decide.  That's a little different proposition than it is for a regular tour out there of this battlefield.  But Washington decides that he is going to fight there against that flank march. So that's why you see that blue line going across that high ground with the artillery pieces. So you see the British forces coming at them across the fields. They see they are going to engage. So the blue rectangle on the right there, little blue bar, that is the Virginians division, Stephen's, and to me it is very easy to see that is the most critical part of the battlefield.  Why? Most of the markers now,	18:58:03 2 18:58:05 3 18:58:07 4 18:58:17 6 18:58:17 6 18:58:18 7 18:58:26 9 18:58:26 10 18:58:30 11 18:58:34 12 18:58:38 14 18:58:41 15 18:58:45 16 18:58:49 17 18:58:41 18 18:58:41 19 18:58:54 19 18:58:57 20 18:59:00 21 18:59:00 22	A. If they don't hold the Virginians, don't hold, there is the possibility that Washington's Army can be rolled up and flee or be driven into the Brandywine.  And the important thing also, if you judge battles, I don't always judge battles by casualties, but the Virginians lose about 50 percent of their men over there, which is really high for a Revolutionary War battle. They fight. They fight hard. Because they know they are on the end.  Think about, think about Little Round Top, the 20th Main. What happens when Little Round Top falls? That's the Little Round Top right there. So they have to hold.  Now, what happens is the British overrun the other end and they all come back, but Green's force comes up and re-enforces tha line and they eventually get out in time. But it is that piece of the puzzle that I think for me says the most, and that's where I would take
355:37 3 4 4 55:542 4 55:546 5 55:46 6 6 55:54 9 9 55:55 10 12 55:55 11 12 55:56 11 12 55:56 11 15 56:61 12 56:61 11 15 56:61 11 11 15 56:61 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	choices. You can fight that flank march, you can attack across the river and Trade Queens, or you can withdraw completely. But know that this, that you are risking the fate of the nation on your decision, and you have about 15 minutes to decide.  That's a little different proposition than it is for a regular tour out there of this battlefield.  But Washington decides that he is going to fight there against that flank march. So that's why you see that blue line going across that high ground with the artillery pieces. So you see the British forces coming at them across the fields. They see they are going to engage. So the blue rectangle on the right there, little blue bar, that is the Virginians division, Stephen's, and to me it is very easy to see that is the most critical part of the battlefield.	18:58:03 2 18:58:05 3 18:58:07 4 18:58:17 6 18:58:18 7 18:58:21 8 18:58:26 9 18:58:26 10 18:58:30 11 18:58:34 12 18:58:34 12 18:58:35 13 18:58:36 14 18:58:41 15 18:58:41 15 18:58:41 15 18:58:41 15 18:58:41 15 18:58:41 15	A. If they don't hold the Virginians, don't hold, there is the possibility that Washington's Army can be rolled up and flee or be driven into the Brandywine.  And the important thing also, if you judge battles, I don't always judge battles by casualties, but the Virginians lose about 50 percent of their men over there, which is really high for a Revolutionary War battle. They fight. They fight hard. Because they know they are on the end.  Think about, think about Little Round Top, the 20th Main. What happens when Little Round Top falls? That's the Little Round Top right there. So they have to hold.  Now, what happens is the British overrun the other end and they all come back, but Green's force comes up and re-enforces that line and they eventually get out in time. But

	1568		1570
18:59:12 1	them come again, do Washington's scenario, and	19:01:25	protected mode by the terrain.
18:59:16 2	how does this turn out for them.	19:01:27 <b>2</b>	Q. Just so we are clear for the record,
18:59:18 3	So at this point in the battle	19:01:29 3	the picture that you are describing is on the
10 4	that's critical ground.	19:01:30 4	east side of New Street and is part of the
18:59:21 5	Q. Mr. Miller, turning to the Crebilly	19:01:34 5	Crebilly Farm property; is that correct?
18:59:23 6	property, there are three pictures marked	19:01:35 6	A. Yes. This was the advance of the
18:59:28 7	Exhibit NC-3. Do you have those?	19:01:39 7	Jaeger battalion.
18:59:35	A. Yeah.	19:01:42	<b>Q.</b> If you want to go to the next picture.
18:59:35	<b>Q.</b> If you could describe, this is the, I	19:01:44	A. And this is also about terrain. The
18:59:37 10	think this is the third picture in the exhibit.	19:01:48 10	other one.
18:59:41 11	A. That's fine. This is what you would	19:01:49 11	Q. This is another picture of South New
18:59:43 12	see if you were a Jaeger marching towards the	19:01:52 12	Street along the Crebilly property?
18:59:47 13	Virginians. In other words, you are the left	19:01:55 13	A. Now, remember the one you saw
18:59:50 14	of the British force. You are going to face	19:01:57 14	previously where you were in defilade. This is
18:59:52 15	the Virginians across there, the right.	19:01:59 15	where you move up the rise. And now what can
18:59:56 16	So the other thing, like General	19:02:03 16	you see? You can see the American position
18:59:58 17	Gray told me about terrain, terrain, terrain,	19:02:08 17	over across the way on the high ground, and
19:00:00 18	it is very important. Normally what I look at	19:02:11 18	they can see you.
19:00:03 19	when I go to battlefields, and it speaks, this	19:02:12 19	Now, the advance is on, the
19:00:07 20	is critical terrain because, can you see the	19:02:15 20	battle is on. They can see you and they are
19:00:09 21	high ground where the Americans are from here?	19:02:18 21	going to push forward down in the valley and go
19:00:13 22	Can you see anything over that horizon? Well,	19:02:20 22	up and take them on. So this is where the
19:00:17 23	that means they can't see you either.	19:02:24 23	you can collapse that flank, you can collapse
20 24	So if you are going to approach	19:02:26 24	the entire American line. In other words,
	1569	l .	1571
19:00:21 1	as close as you can, you are going to go over	19:02:28 1	there is nobody, when those Virginians are up
19:00:23 2	that defilade. That's safety. That's life and	19:02:31 2	there, there is nobody to their right.
19:00:29	death.	19:02:33	Q. So in this picture would troops be able
19:00:29 4	Once you get on the horizon you	19:02:36 4	to proceed from Sandy Hollow?
19:00:31 5	are within sight of the Americans and they know	19:02:39 5	A. Yes. Sandy Hollow would be on the
19:00:33	you are there. So that's why it is important	19:02:41 6	highest ground directly to the front. The
19:00:36 7	to maintain terrain in its pristine form,	19:02:42 7	Americans can see you and you can see them.
19:00:40	because this is life and death. This is like	19:02:45	That's why the terrain sight lines are so
19:00:42	this is on modern battlefield.	19:02:47	important. When you go down into the hollow
19:00:44 10	When I take sergeants,	19:02:49 10	and you go out there and drive down that road
19:00:46 11	lieutenants, corporals out, the little	19:02:51 11	today, you can see all the little variations of
19:00:50 12	variations in ground mean everything. That's	19:02:54 <b>12</b> 19:02:56 <b>13</b>	terrain, that they can take cover in the defilade.
19:00:53 13	life and death.	19:02:56 13	When you get down closer to the
19:00:55 <b>14</b> 19:00:56 <b>15</b>	Next slide.  Q. Mr. Miller, you mentioned the word	19:02:57 14	road, you have places where you can be shot at.
19:00:56 15	defilade. What is a defilade?	19:02:59 15	That's what advancing troops today, yesterday,
19:00:58 10	A. Defilade is cover. So you would be in	19:03:02 17	the Revolutionary War took advantage of.
19:01:01 17	defilade behind that rise. So, in other words,	19:03:07	Q. If we can go, this is the third
19:01:03 10	they can't see you. You can't see them.	19:03:10 10	picture. This is a view from Sandy Hollow?
19:01:07	Weapons at that period, again,	19:03:14 20	MR. MCKENNA: To be clear for the
19:01:11 21	are direct fire. In other words, you have to	19:03:15 21	record, this is the second picture.
19:01:11 21	see them to hit them. They have mortars that	19:03:17 22	MR. THOMPSON: I'm sorry, it is
19:01:18 23	will go in. But direct trajectory will not get	19:03:18 23	the second picture in the packet.
19:01:18 23	you here. You are defilade, you are in	19:03:20 24	THE WITNESS: So this is looking
10.01.20	eets Page 1568 to	4	

4	1572		1574
19:03:21	back towards the farm, towards the other	19:05:24 1	most critical view of the battle, correct?
19:03:23	photographs, looking your way. And this is the	19:05:27	A. Yes. If this, if the Jaegers take this
19:03:26	view of the Americans watching those Jaegers	19:05:30	ground, the British take this ground, they fold
19 4	come into play across the farm, so that's why	19:05:33 4	up the American line. There is no stand down
19:03:33 5	it is important when I fix sites on the	19:05:35 5	at the meeting house.
19:03:35 6	battlefield to interpret, you know, the sight	19:05:37	And what is more is you better
19:03:38 7	lines are very important from here down. What	19:05:39 7	protect the road back to Philadelphia or else
19:03:40 8	could you see, if you are in the wilderness in	19:05:41	you are going to be swimming in the Brandywin
9:03:43	the Civil War, what can you see? If you are on	19:05:44	In other words, if you don't hold that ground
9:03:46 10	this battlefield, do you know how important	19:05:45 10	it is like losing Little Round Top, exactly the
9:03:48 11	your position is if you are on the right and	19:05:49 11	same.
9:03:50 12	you see the enemy coming at you?	19:05:50 12	Q. Is this a view that George Washington
9:03:54 13	You know, from the Virginians,	19:05:51 13	would have had?
0:03:55 14	their decision was to stand.	19:05:52 14	A. He would have had a little, little
:03:59 15	Q. What is the view of the Crebilly Farm	19:05:54 15	higher ground, but he certainly would have had
:04:01 16	from here?	19:05:56 16	the view from here. And at this point he is
0:04:02 17	A. It is in the middle distance back on	19:05:59 17	where he should be. He is at the critical
:04:06 18	that high ground rise right there.	19:06:01 18	point of ground.
:04:08 19	Q. It is the area that looks like a green	19:06:03 19	Where, is he over by the meeting
:04:10 <b>20</b>	field?	19:06:06 20	house? Is he back down on the road? He is
:04:11 21	A. Yes. Right there.	19:06:08 21	here. This is why, this is where you can tell
:04:19 22	Q. Mr. Miller, what happens to the	19:06:14 22	his decision is, okay, we will fight it out
:04:21 23	battlefield if the development proceeds as	19:06:16 23	here, but we are going to have to retreat and
24 24	proposed?	19:06:20 24	pull back. Okay.
	1573		1575
:04:25 1	A. It will be impossible to interpret	19:06:21 1	Q. So development in that location on the
:04:28 <b>2</b>	these. You wouldn't have the same view that	19:06:23	Crebilly Farm in that view would make it
:04:31 3	Washington did, or if you are a lance corporal	19:06:26 3	extremely difficult or impossible to interpret
:04:36 4	of the British walking up towards the heights.	19:06:29 4	the battlefield any longer, is that
:04:40 <b>5</b>	Normally what I do is I find a	19:06:32 5	A. It would be near impossible. It would
04:42	quote, and I'll read it as they move forward as	19:06:40 6	be a failing exercise.
:04:45 7	what they can see. Almost every time they talk	19:06:41 7	Q. So in your opinion, would the
:04:47 <b>8</b>	about the terrain, when they first popped into	19:06:42	development harm the preservation of the
:04:49 9	view, and the rounds they started to take.	19:06:44 9	battlefield?
:04:52 10	But from this view is so	19:06:45 10	A. Yes, absolutely.
04:55 11	important because there is no doubt that	19:06:46 11	Q. How would it do that?
:04:57 12	Washington, or anybody else, if you look to	19:06:49 12	A. Well, for example, I have a group of
:05:00 13	your right, there is no one out there, over	19:06:52 13	Army officers up on this high ground or a group
:05:03 14	that mountain. This is the end of the blue,	19:06:55 14	of citizens walking about. How am I going to
:05:06 15	the blue block.	19:06:59 15	interpret that farm which is the third house
:05:07 16	Q. Mr	19:07:00 16	over from the right? How are they going to
:05:07 10	A. Yes.	19:07:03 17	understand that viewpoint? When it is clear
:05:11 17	Q. Mr. Miller, this is the viewshed that	19:07:06 18	that when you have this, you can shoot and you
	concerned the flanking maneuver you talked	19:07:06 10	can be shot at.
10	-	19:07:09 19	And that's, that's critical
	shout ostior?	19:07:12 <b>∠U</b>	·
7 20	about earlier?	24	information for any coldier merice coiler
0:05:17 21	A. Absolutely. This is very critical	19:07:14 21	information for any soldier, marine, sailor,
7 <b>20</b> :05:17 <b>21</b> :05:19 <b>22</b>	A. Absolutely. This is very critical ground right here, heading out towards Crebilly	19:07:17 22	anyone in the Air Force, where is the enemy?
)7 <b>20</b> :05:17 <b>21</b>	A. Absolutely. This is very critical		

		r	
	1576		1578
19:07:23 1	And this is a beautiful,	19:09:04 1	your opinion, preserved on the one hand versus
19:07:24 2	beautiful example of how you are going to do	19:09:07 2	what would be developable without substantial
19:07:26 3	that.	19:09:12 3	harm to the resource?
в 4	<b>Q.</b> Is it most of the western part of the	19:09:13 4	A. You know what, my interpretation now is
19:07:31 5	farm that is in that viewshed? When I say the	19:09:16 5	that I know that there is about 350 Jaegers in
19:07:33 6	farm, the Crebilly Farm?	19:09:20 6	the farm area. So that, and judging from the
19:07:34 7	A. Yes, western part.	19:09:24 7	terrain, they would use that defilade to
19:07:50 8	MR. THOMPSON: I don't have any	19:09:27	advance, because if you go out there and you
19:07:50 9	further questions.	19:09:29	look at the right side of that road, you saw
19:07:52 10	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, cross.	19:09:31 10	the fence on the left. If you look at the
19:07:54 11	MR. ADELMAN: Sure. Thanks.	19:09:34 11	right side, there is no defilade over there.
19:07:55 12	CROSS-EXAMINATION	19:09:37 12	In other words, if you cross that ground you
19:07:55 13	BY MR. ADELMAN:	19:09:39 13	are crossing
19:07:56 14	Q. Mr. Miller, you testified previously	19:09:44 14	Q. I'm still not sure where you would draw
19:07:58 15	you are not an expert on the Battle of	19:09:46 15	a line.
19:08:00 16	Brandywine, correct?	19:09:46 16	A. Oh. Well, I would offer, I would offer
19:08:01 17	A. No, no. An expert is a high, a high	19:09:49 17	to make further, if you would like me to, I
19:08:04 18	level.	19:09:51 18	would like to, I can offer a further study of
19:08:04 19	Q. So you wouldn't be able to definitively	19:09:54 19	the battlefield, go into the original sources.
19:08:07 20	state where the troop movements went, where	19:09:56 20	I mean, you actually have to go to the writings
19:08:09 21	they were, all of those details, correct?	19:10:00 21	of the men who were there. And that would tell
19:08:11 22	A. In general, I can do that based on what	19:10:04 22	me.
19:08:14 23	is out there. In other words, I can say that	19:10:05 23	Q. Well
7 24	the Jaegers came across that ground.	19:10:07 24	A. Right now
			4.500
1	1577		1579
19:08:19	Q. Right. So you are basing it on in this	19:10:09 1	Q. I would suggest that based upon what
19:08:21 2	<b>Q.</b> Right. So you are basing it on in this case NC-2, which was a map prepared by Sean	19:10:11 2	<b>Q.</b> I would suggest that based upon what you already know in terms of, and you are
	<b>Q.</b> Right. So you are basing it on in this case NC-2, which was a map prepared by Sean Moir, correct?		Q. I would suggest that based upon what you already know in terms of, and you are entitled to rely upon what you believe to be
19:08:21 <b>2</b> 19:08:25 <b>3</b> 19:08:25 <b>4</b>	<ul><li>Q. Right. So you are basing it on in this case NC-2, which was a map prepared by Sean Moir, correct?</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>	19:10:11 <b>2</b> 19:10:14 <b>3</b> 19:10:18 <b>4</b>	Q. I would suggest that based upon what you already know in terms of, and you are entitled to rely upon what you believe to be credible other experts to determine where the
19:08:21 <b>2</b> 19:08:25 <b>3</b> 19:08:25 <b>4</b> 19:08:25 <b>5</b>	<ul> <li>Q. Right. So you are basing it on in this case NC-2, which was a map prepared by Sean Moir, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So you are relying on this map in your</li> </ul>	19:10:11 <b>2</b> 19:10:14 <b>3</b> 19:10:18 <b>4</b> 19:10:22 <b>5</b>	Q. I would suggest that based upon what you already know in terms of, and you are entitled to rely upon what you believe to be credible other experts to determine where the troop movements were, based on that
19:08:21 <b>2</b> 19:08:25 <b>3</b> 19:08:25 <b>4</b> 19:08:25 <b>5</b> 19:08:27 <b>6</b>	<ul> <li>Q. Right. So you are basing it on in this case NC-2, which was a map prepared by Sean Moir, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So you are relying on this map in your testimony; is that correct?</li> </ul>	19:10:11 <b>2</b> 19:10:14 <b>3</b> 19:10:18 <b>4</b> 19:10:22 <b>5</b> 19:10:25 <b>6</b>	Q. I would suggest that based upon what you already know in terms of, and you are entitled to rely upon what you believe to be credible other experts to determine where the troop movements were, based on that information
19:08:21 <b>2</b> 19:08:25 <b>3</b> 19:08:25 <b>4</b> 19:08:25 <b>5</b> 19:08:27 <b>6</b> 19:08:27 <b>7</b>	<ul> <li>Q. Right. So you are basing it on in this case NC-2, which was a map prepared by Sean Moir, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So you are relying on this map in your testimony; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Well, that one and other maps along</li> </ul>	19:10:11 <b>2</b> 19:10:14 <b>3</b> 19:10:18 <b>4</b> 19:10:22 <b>5</b> 19:10:25 <b>6</b> 19:10:26 <b>7</b>	Q. I would suggest that based upon what you already know in terms of, and you are entitled to rely upon what you believe to be credible other experts to determine where the troop movements were, based on that information  A. I would say
19:08:21 <b>2</b> 19:08:25 <b>3</b> 19:08:25 <b>4</b> 19:08:25 <b>5</b> 19:08:27 <b>6</b> 19:08:27 <b>7</b> 19:08:29 <b>8</b>	<ul> <li>Q. Right. So you are basing it on in this case NC-2, which was a map prepared by Sean Moir, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So you are relying on this map in your testimony; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Well, that one and other maps along with it, yes.</li> </ul>	19:10:11 <b>2</b> 19:10:14 <b>3</b> 19:10:18 <b>4</b> 19:10:22 <b>5</b> 19:10:25 <b>6</b> 19:10:26 <b>7</b> 19:10:27 <b>8</b>	Q. I would suggest that based upon what you already know in terms of, and you are entitled to rely upon what you believe to be credible other experts to determine where the troop movements were, based on that information  A. I would say Q where would you draw that line?
19:08:21	<ul> <li>Q. Right. So you are basing it on in this case NC-2, which was a map prepared by Sean Moir, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So you are relying on this map in your testimony; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Well, that one and other maps along with it, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if Sean Moir is wrong then</li> </ul>	19:10:11 <b>2</b> 19:10:14 <b>3</b> 19:10:18 <b>4</b> 19:10:22 <b>5</b> 19:10:25 <b>6</b> 19:10:26 <b>7</b> 19:10:27 <b>8</b> 19:10:28 <b>9</b>	Q. I would suggest that based upon what you already know in terms of, and you are entitled to rely upon what you believe to be credible other experts to determine where the troop movements were, based on that information  A. I would say Q where would you draw that line? A. I would draw that line maybe even a
19:08:21	<ul> <li>Q. Right. So you are basing it on in this case NC-2, which was a map prepared by Sean Moir, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So you are relying on this map in your testimony; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Well, that one and other maps along with it, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if Sean Moir is wrong then your testimony might be wrong; is that correct?</li> </ul>	19:10:11	Q. I would suggest that based upon what you already know in terms of, and you are entitled to rely upon what you believe to be credible other experts to determine where the troop movements were, based on that information  A. I would say Q where would you draw that line? A. I would draw that line maybe even a little further over where that blue, blue
19:08:21	<ul> <li>Q. Right. So you are basing it on in this case NC-2, which was a map prepared by Sean Moir, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So you are relying on this map in your testimony; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Well, that one and other maps along with it, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if Sean Moir is wrong then your testimony might be wrong; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. I would depend on that.</li> </ul>	19:10:11 <b>2</b> 19:10:14 <b>3</b> 19:10:18 <b>4</b> 19:10:22 <b>5</b> 19:10:25 <b>6</b> 19:10:26 <b>7</b> 19:10:27 <b>8</b> 19:10:28 <b>9</b> 19:10:31 <b>10</b> 19:10:33 <b>11</b>	Q. I would suggest that based upon what you already know in terms of, and you are entitled to rely upon what you believe to be credible other experts to determine where the troop movements were, based on that information  A. I would say Q where would you draw that line? A. I would draw that line maybe even a little further over where that blue, blue rectangle is, judging from the terrain that I
19:08:21	<ul> <li>Q. Right. So you are basing it on in this case NC-2, which was a map prepared by Sean Moir, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So you are relying on this map in your testimony; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Well, that one and other maps along with it, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if Sean Moir is wrong then your testimony might be wrong; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. I would depend on that.  MR. ADELMAN: Okay. I have no</li> </ul>	19:10:11 2 19:10:14 3 19:10:18 4 19:10:22 5 19:10:25 6 19:10:26 7 19:10:27 8 19:10:28 9 19:10:31 10 19:10:33 11 19:10:35 12	Q. I would suggest that based upon what you already know in terms of, and you are entitled to rely upon what you believe to be credible other experts to determine where the troop movements were, based on that information  A. I would say Q where would you draw that line? A. I would draw that line maybe even a little further over where that blue, blue rectangle is, judging from the terrain that I saw.
19:08:21	<ul> <li>Q. Right. So you are basing it on in this case NC-2, which was a map prepared by Sean Moir, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So you are relying on this map in your testimony; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Well, that one and other maps along with it, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if Sean Moir is wrong then your testimony might be wrong; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. I would depend on that.  MR. ADELMAN: Okay. I have no further questions.</li> </ul>	19:10:11	Q. I would suggest that based upon what you already know in terms of, and you are entitled to rely upon what you believe to be credible other experts to determine where the troop movements were, based on that information  A. I would say Q where would you draw that line? A. I would draw that line maybe even a little further over where that blue, blue rectangle is, judging from the terrain that I saw.  MR. CRAWFORD: I'm going to defer
19:08:21	<ul> <li>Q. Right. So you are basing it on in this case NC-2, which was a map prepared by Sean Moir, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So you are relying on this map in your testimony; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Well, that one and other maps along with it, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if Sean Moir is wrong then your testimony might be wrong; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. I would depend on that.  MR. ADELMAN: Okay. I have no further questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Crawford, any</li> </ul>	19:10:11 2 19:10:14 3 19:10:18 4 19:10:22 5 19:10:25 6 19:10:26 7 19:10:27 8 19:10:28 9 19:10:31 10 19:10:33 11 19:10:35 12 19:10:49 13 19:10:50 14	Q. I would suggest that based upon what you already know in terms of, and you are entitled to rely upon what you believe to be credible other experts to determine where the troop movements were, based on that information  A. I would say Q where would you draw that line? A. I would draw that line maybe even a little further over where that blue, blue rectangle is, judging from the terrain that I saw.  MR. CRAWFORD: I'm going to defer to Mr. Thompson with regards to that specific
19:08:21	<ul> <li>Q. Right. So you are basing it on in this case NC-2, which was a map prepared by Sean Moir, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So you are relying on this map in your testimony; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Well, that one and other maps along with it, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if Sean Moir is wrong then your testimony might be wrong; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. I would depend on that.  MR. ADELMAN: Okay. I have no further questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Crawford, any questions? Excuse me, Ms. Camp, any questions?</li> </ul>	19:10:11	Q. I would suggest that based upon what you already know in terms of, and you are entitled to rely upon what you believe to be credible other experts to determine where the troop movements were, based on that information  A. I would say Q where would you draw that line? A. I would draw that line maybe even a little further over where that blue, blue rectangle is, judging from the terrain that I saw.  MR. CRAWFORD: I'm going to defer to Mr. Thompson with regards to that specific exhibit. I think you answered my question in
19:08:21	<ul> <li>Q. Right. So you are basing it on in this case NC-2, which was a map prepared by Sean Moir, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So you are relying on this map in your testimony; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Well, that one and other maps along with it, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if Sean Moir is wrong then your testimony might be wrong; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. I would depend on that.  MR. ADELMAN: Okay. I have no further questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Crawford, any questions? Excuse me, Ms. Camp, any questions?  MS. CAMP: No questions.</li> </ul>	19:10:11	Q. I would suggest that based upon what you already know in terms of, and you are entitled to rely upon what you believe to be credible other experts to determine where the troop movements were, based on that information  A. I would say Q where would you draw that line? A. I would draw that line maybe even a little further over where that blue, blue rectangle is, judging from the terrain that I saw.  MR. CRAWFORD: I'm going to defer to Mr. Thompson with regards to that specific exhibit. I think you answered my question in your past answer.
19:08:21	<ul> <li>Q. Right. So you are basing it on in this case NC-2, which was a map prepared by Sean Moir, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So you are relying on this map in your testimony; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Well, that one and other maps along with it, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if Sean Moir is wrong then your testimony might be wrong; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. I would depend on that.  MR. ADELMAN: Okay. I have no further questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Crawford, any questions? Excuse me, Ms. Camp, any questions?  MS. CAMP: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Crawford, any</li> </ul>	19:10:11	Q. I would suggest that based upon what you already know in terms of, and you are entitled to rely upon what you believe to be credible other experts to determine where the troop movements were, based on that information  A. I would say Q where would you draw that line? A. I would draw that line maybe even a little further over where that blue, blue rectangle is, judging from the terrain that I saw.  MR. CRAWFORD: I'm going to defer to Mr. Thompson with regards to that specific exhibit. I think you answered my question in your past answer.  THE WITNESS: Yes.
19:08:21	Q. Right. So you are basing it on in this case NC-2, which was a map prepared by Sean Moir, correct?  A. Yes. Q. So you are relying on this map in your testimony; is that correct?  A. Well, that one and other maps along with it, yes. Q. Okay. So if Sean Moir is wrong then your testimony might be wrong; is that correct?  A. Yes. I would depend on that.  MR. ADELMAN: Okay. I have no further questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Crawford, any questions? Excuse me, Ms. Camp, any questions?  MS. CAMP: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Crawford, any questions?	19:10:11	Q. I would suggest that based upon what you already know in terms of, and you are entitled to rely upon what you believe to be credible other experts to determine where the troop movements were, based on that information  A. I would say Q where would you draw that line? A. I would draw that line maybe even a little further over where that blue, blue rectangle is, judging from the terrain that I saw.  MR. CRAWFORD: I'm going to defer to Mr. Thompson with regards to that specific exhibit. I think you answered my question in your past answer.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.
19:08:21	Q. Right. So you are basing it on in this case NC-2, which was a map prepared by Sean Moir, correct?  A. Yes. Q. So you are relying on this map in your testimony; is that correct?  A. Well, that one and other maps along with it, yes. Q. Okay. So if Sean Moir is wrong then your testimony might be wrong; is that correct?  A. Yes. I would depend on that.  MR. ADELMAN: Okay. I have no further questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Crawford, any questions? Excuse me, Ms. Camp, any questions?  MS. CAMP: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Crawford, any questions?  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Crawford, any questions?	19:10:11	Q. I would suggest that based upon what you already know in terms of, and you are entitled to rely upon what you believe to be credible other experts to determine where the troop movements were, based on that information  A. I would say Q where would you draw that line? A. I would draw that line maybe even a little further over where that blue, blue rectangle is, judging from the terrain that I saw.  MR. CRAWFORD: I'm going to defer to Mr. Thompson with regards to that specific exhibit. I think you answered my question in your past answer.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr. Crawford.
19:08:21 2 19:08:25 3 19:08:25 4 19:08:25 5 19:08:27 7 19:08:29 8 19:08:30 9 19:08:32 10 19:08:32 10 19:08:34 11 19:08:37 12 19:08:39 13 19:08:42 14 19:08:43 15 19:08:45 16 19:08:46 17 19:08:47 18 19	Q. Right. So you are basing it on in this case NC-2, which was a map prepared by Sean Moir, correct?  A. Yes. Q. So you are relying on this map in your testimony; is that correct? A. Well, that one and other maps along with it, yes. Q. Okay. So if Sean Moir is wrong then your testimony might be wrong; is that correct? A. Yes. I would depend on that.  MR. ADELMAN: Okay. I have no further questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Crawford, any questions? Excuse me, Ms. Camp, any questions?  MS. CAMP: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Crawford, any questions?  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. CRAWFORD:	19:10:11	Q. I would suggest that based upon what you already know in terms of, and you are entitled to rely upon what you believe to be credible other experts to determine where the troop movements were, based on that information  A. I would say Q where would you draw that line? A. I would draw that line maybe even a little further over where that blue, blue rectangle is, judging from the terrain that I saw.  MR. CRAWFORD: I'm going to defer to Mr. Thompson with regards to that specific exhibit. I think you answered my question in your past answer.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr. Crawford.  Mr. DuFault, any questions from
19:08:21 2 19:08:25 3 19:08:25 4 19:08:25 5 19:08:27 6 19:08:27 7 19:08:29 8 19:08:30 9 19:08:32 10 19:08:32 10 19:08:34 11 19:08:37 12 19:08:39 13 19:08:42 14 19:08:43 15 19:08:45 16 19:08:45 16 19:08:47 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	Q. Right. So you are basing it on in this case NC-2, which was a map prepared by Sean Moir, correct?  A. Yes. Q. So you are relying on this map in your testimony; is that correct? A. Well, that one and other maps along with it, yes. Q. Okay. So if Sean Moir is wrong then your testimony might be wrong; is that correct? A. Yes. I would depend on that.  MR. ADELMAN: Okay. I have no further questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Crawford, any questions? Excuse me, Ms. Camp, any questions?  MS. CAMP: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Crawford, any questions?  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. CRAWFORD: Q. Are you able to apply what you just	19:10:11	Q. I would suggest that based upon what you already know in terms of, and you are entitled to rely upon what you believe to be credible other experts to determine where the troop movements were, based on that information  A. I would say Q where would you draw that line? A. I would draw that line maybe even a little further over where that blue, blue rectangle is, judging from the terrain that I saw.  MR. CRAWFORD: I'm going to defer to Mr. Thompson with regards to that specific exhibit. I think you answered my question in your past answer.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.  Crawford.  Mr. DuFault, any questions from Brandywine at Thornbury?
19:08:21 2 19:08:25 3 19:08:25 4 19:08:25 5 19:08:27 6 19:08:27 7 19:08:29 8 19:08:30 9 19:08:32 10 19:08:34 11 19:08:37 12 19:08:39 13 19:08:42 14 19:08:43 15 19:08:45 16 19:08:46 17 19:08:47 18 19 19 19 20 19:08:49 21 19:08:49 21	Q. Right. So you are basing it on in this case NC-2, which was a map prepared by Sean Moir, correct?  A. Yes. Q. So you are relying on this map in your testimony; is that correct?  A. Well, that one and other maps along with it, yes. Q. Okay. So if Sean Moir is wrong then your testimony might be wrong; is that correct?  A. Yes. I would depend on that.  MR. ADELMAN: Okay. I have no further questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Crawford, any questions? Excuse me, Ms. Camp, any questions?  MS. CAMP: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Crawford, any questions?  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. CRAWFORD: Q. Are you able to apply what you just said in terms of preserving the importance of	19:10:11 2 19:10:14 3 19:10:18 4 19:10:22 5 19:10:25 6 19:10:26 7 19:10:27 8 19:10:28 9 19:10:31 10 19:10:31 11 19:10:35 12 19:10:49 13 19:10:50 14 19:10:50 14 19:10:51 15 19:11:01 17 19:11:01 18 19:11:03 19 19:11:03 20 19:11:05 21 19:11:07 22	Q. I would suggest that based upon what you already know in terms of, and you are entitled to rely upon what you believe to be credible other experts to determine where the troop movements were, based on that information  A. I would say Q where would you draw that line? A. I would draw that line maybe even a little further over where that blue, blue rectangle is, judging from the terrain that I saw.  MR. CRAWFORD: I'm going to defer to Mr. Thompson with regards to that specific exhibit. I think you answered my question in your past answer.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.  Crawford.  Mr. DuFault, any questions from Brandywine at Thornbury?  MR. DUFAULT: No questions.
19:08:21 2 19:08:25 3 19:08:25 4 19:08:25 5 19:08:27 6 19:08:27 7 19:08:29 8 19:08:30 9 19:08:32 10 19:08:32 10 19:08:34 11 19:08:37 12 19:08:39 13 19:08:42 14 19:08:43 15 19:08:45 16 19:08:45 16 19:08:47 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	Q. Right. So you are basing it on in this case NC-2, which was a map prepared by Sean Moir, correct?  A. Yes. Q. So you are relying on this map in your testimony; is that correct? A. Well, that one and other maps along with it, yes. Q. Okay. So if Sean Moir is wrong then your testimony might be wrong; is that correct? A. Yes. I would depend on that.  MR. ADELMAN: Okay. I have no further questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Crawford, any questions? Excuse me, Ms. Camp, any questions?  MS. CAMP: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Crawford, any questions?  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. CRAWFORD: Q. Are you able to apply what you just	19:10:11	Q. I would suggest that based upon what you already know in terms of, and you are entitled to rely upon what you believe to be credible other experts to determine where the troop movements were, based on that information  A. I would say Q where would you draw that line? A. I would draw that line maybe even a little further over where that blue, blue rectangle is, judging from the terrain that I saw.  MR. CRAWFORD: I'm going to defer to Mr. Thompson with regards to that specific exhibit. I think you answered my question in your past answer.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.  Crawford.  Mr. DuFault, any questions from Brandywine at Thornbury?

	1700	I	4500
	1580		1582
19:11:10 1	MR. BEVILACQUA: No question.	19:12:37	contested is that flank before the blue, is
19:11:11 2	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon for the	19:12:41 2	that open space of land.
19:11:14 3	school district, any questions?	19:12:42 3	THE WITNESS: Yes.
5 4	DR. SCANLON: No, no questions.	19:12:42 4	THE CHAIRMAN: It has been said
19:11:16 5	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. McFalls for the	19:12:43 5	that were soldiers really there or weren't
19:11:18 6	church?	19:12:47 6	they, and maybe we should do the radar and find
19:11:18 7	MR. MCFALLS: No.	19:12:52 7	artifacts, those kind of things.
19:11:19	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Feryo for the	19:12:53	What you seem to be saying if I'm
19:11:21 9	swimming association?	19:12:56	correct is the way you look at that
19:11:22 10	MR. FERYO: No questions.	19:13:00 10	battlefield, you would have no doubt that
19:11:22 11	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Spackman, any	19:13:02 11	somebody had to be there?
19:11:24 12	questions?	19:13:06 12	THE WITNESS: Absolutely. And I
19:11:24 13	MR. SPACKMAN: None. Thank you.	19:13:08 13	have asked myself this question many times, how
19:11:26 14	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Mammucari, any	19:13:09 14	do I know, how do I know on any battlefield.
19:11:29 15	questions?	19:13:13 15	And I would say what if we don't
19:11:29 16	MR. MAMMUCARI: No questions.	19:13:17 16	know, what if nothing turns up in the sources,
19:11:31 17	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Jones, any	19:13:20 17	and what if it is our best guess, what if
19:11:32 18	questions? Phillip Jones, any questions?	19:13:23 18	that's the case.
19:11:40 19	Mr. Rendemonti, any questions?	19:13:26 19	My, based on all my experience,
19:11:42 20	MR. RENDEMONTI: No, sir. Thank	19:13:28 20	is you have a jewel in this battlefield. This
19:11:44 21	you.	19:13:32 21	is a prime battlefield, along with Princeton,
19:11:44 22	MR. MCKENNA: Ms. Leraris, any	19:13:38 22	and I would err on saving that ground because
19:11:47 23	questions?	19:13:42 23	the evidence shifts that way. Don't make if
17 24	MS. LERARIS: No questions.	19:13:46 24	you make a mistake and it is gone, you know, it
1	1581		1583
19:11:48	1581 MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Boyer, any	19:13:50 <b>1</b>	1583 is gone.
19:11:48 <b>1</b> 19:11:48 <b>2</b>	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Boyer, any questions?	19:13:50 <b>1</b> 19:13:51 <b>2</b>	is gone. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Boyer, any questions?  MS. BOYER: No questions.		is gone.
19:11:48	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Boyer, any questions?	19:13:51 2	is gone. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
19:11:48 <b>2</b> 19:11:49 <b>3</b>	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Boyer, any questions?  MS. BOYER: No questions.	19:13:51 <b>2</b> 19:13:54 <b>3</b>	is gone.  THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, any redirect?  REDIRECT EXAMINATION
19:11:48 <b>2</b> 19:11:49 <b>3</b> 19:11:50 <b>4</b>	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Boyer, any questions?  MS. BOYER: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Daull, any questions?  MR. DAULL: No questions.	19:13:51 <b>2</b> 19:13:54 <b>3</b> 19:14:01 <b>4</b>	is gone.  THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, any redirect?  REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. THOMPSON:
19:11:48 <b>2</b> 19:11:49 <b>3</b> 19:11:50 <b>4</b> 19:11:52 <b>5</b>	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Boyer, any questions?  MS. BOYER: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Daull, any questions?	19:13:51 <b>2</b> 19:13:54 <b>3</b> 19:14:01 <b>4</b> 19:14:02 <b>5</b>	is gone.  THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, any redirect?  REDIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MR. THOMPSON:  Q. Mr. Miller, even if there wasn't any
19:11:49	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Boyer, any questions?  MS. BOYER: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Daull, any questions?  MR. DAULL: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Pavelchek?  MR. PAVELCHEK: No questions.	19:13:51 <b>2</b> 19:13:54 <b>3</b> 19:14:01 <b>4</b> 19:14:02 <b>5</b> 19:14:03 <b>6</b>	is gone.  THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, any redirect?  REDIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MR. THOMPSON:  Q. Mr. Miller, even if there wasn't any fighting that actually took place on the
19:11:48	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Boyer, any questions?  MS. BOYER: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Daull, any questions?  MR. DAULL: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Pavelchek? MR. PAVELCHEK: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. or Mrs. Kramer?	19:13:51 <b>2</b> 19:13:54 <b>3</b> 19:14:01 <b>4</b> 19:14:02 <b>5</b> 19:14:03 <b>6</b> 19:14:03 <b>7</b> 19:14:05 <b>8</b> 19:14:07 <b>9</b>	is gone.  THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, any redirect?  REDIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MR. THOMPSON:  Q. Mr. Miller, even if there wasn't any fighting that actually took place on the  Crebilly Farm property, would that view still
19:11:48	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Boyer, any questions?  MS. BOYER: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Daull, any questions?  MR. DAULL: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Pavelchek? MR. PAVELCHEK: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. or Mrs. Kramer? MS. KRAMER: No questions.	19:13:51 <b>2</b> 19:13:54 <b>3</b> 19:14:01 <b>4</b> 19:14:02 <b>5</b> 19:14:03 <b>6</b> 19:14:03 <b>7</b> 19:14:05 <b>8</b> 19:14:07 <b>9</b> 19:14:12 <b>10</b>	is gone.  THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, any redirect?  REDIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MR. THOMPSON:  Q. Mr. Miller, even if there wasn't any fighting that actually took place on the  Crebilly Farm property, would that view still be important from Sandy Hollow?
19:11:48	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Boyer, any questions?  MS. BOYER: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Daull, any questions?  MR. DAULL: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Pavelchek? MR. PAVELCHEK: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. or Mrs. Kramer? MS. KRAMER: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Skros, any	19:13:51	is gone.  THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, any redirect?  REDIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MR. THOMPSON:  Q. Mr. Miller, even if there wasn't any fighting that actually took place on the  Crebilly Farm property, would that view still be important from Sandy Hollow?  A. Absolutely. You know, I almost, you
19:11:48	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Boyer, any questions?  MS. BOYER: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Daull, any questions?  MR. DAULL: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Pavelchek? MR. PAVELCHEK: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. or Mrs. Kramer? MS. KRAMER: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Skros, any questions?	19:13:51	is gone.  THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, any redirect?  REDIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MR. THOMPSON:  Q. Mr. Miller, even if there wasn't any fighting that actually took place on the  Crebilly Farm property, would that view still be important from Sandy Hollow?  A. Absolutely. You know, I almost, you know, based on my expertise in battlefields and
19:11:48	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Boyer, any questions?  MS. BOYER: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Daull, any questions?  MR. DAULL: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Pavelchek? MR. PAVELCHEK: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. or Mrs. Kramer? MS. KRAMER: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Skros, any questions?  MR. SKROS: No questions.	19:13:51	is gone.  THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, any redirect?  REDIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MR. THOMPSON:  Q. Mr. Miller, even if there wasn't any fighting that actually took place on the  Crebilly Farm property, would that view still be important from Sandy Hollow?  A. Absolutely. You know, I almost, you know, based on my expertise in battlefields and military movements, you know, I would say I'm
19:11:48	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Boyer, any questions?  MS. BOYER: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Daull, any questions?  MR. DAULL: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Pavelchek? MR. PAVELCHEK: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. or Mrs. Kramer? MS. KRAMER: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Skros, any questions?  MR. SKROS: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. or Mrs.	19:13:51	is gone.  THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, any redirect?  REDIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MR. THOMPSON:  Q. Mr. Miller, even if there wasn't any fighting that actually took place on the  Crebilly Farm property, would that view still be important from Sandy Hollow?  A. Absolutely. You know, I almost, you know, based on my expertise in battlefields and military movements, you know, I would say I'm about 80 percent sure that somewhere on that
19:11:48	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Boyer, any questions?  MS. BOYER: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Daull, any questions?  MR. DAULL: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Pavelchek? MR. PAVELCHEK: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. or Mrs. Kramer? MS. KRAMER: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Skros, any questions?  MR. SKROS: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. or Mrs. MCKENNA: Mr. or Mrs. MCKENNA: Mr. or Mrs.	19:13:51	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, any redirect?  REDIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MR. THOMPSON:  Q. Mr. Miller, even if there wasn't any fighting that actually took place on the  Crebilly Farm property, would that view still be important from Sandy Hollow?  A. Absolutely. You know, I almost, you know, based on my expertise in battlefields and military movements, you know, I would say I'm about 80 percent sure that somewhere on that property the troops came over, because of the
19:11:48	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Boyer, any questions?  MS. BOYER: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Daull, any questions?  MR. DAULL: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Pavelchek? MR. PAVELCHEK: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. or Mrs. Kramer? MS. KRAMER: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Skros, any questions?  MR. SKROS: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. or Mrs. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. or Mrs. MCFadden, do you have any questions? MS. MCFADDEN: No questions.	19:13:51	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, any redirect?  REDIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MR. THOMPSON:  Q. Mr. Miller, even if there wasn't any fighting that actually took place on the  Crebilly Farm property, would that view still be important from Sandy Hollow?  A. Absolutely. You know, I almost, you know, based on my expertise in battlefields and military movements, you know, I would say I'm about 80 percent sure that somewhere on that property the troops came over, because of the terrain itself.
19:11:48	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Boyer, any questions?  MS. BOYER: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Daull, any questions?  MR. DAULL: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Pavelchek? MR. PAVELCHEK: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. or Mrs. Kramer? MS. KRAMER: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Skros, any questions?  MR. SKROS: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. or Mrs. MCKENNA: Mr. or Mrs. MCKENNA: Mr. or Mrs. MCFadden, do you have any questions? MS. MCFADDEN: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Ms. Weller, any	19:13:51	is gone.  THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, any redirect?  REDIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MR. THOMPSON:  Q. Mr. Miller, even if there wasn't any fighting that actually took place on the  Crebilly Farm property, would that view still be important from Sandy Hollow?  A. Absolutely. You know, I almost, you know, based on my expertise in battlefields and military movements, you know, I would say I'm about 80 percent sure that somewhere on that property the troops came over, because of the terrain itself.  Q. Would that be the defilade that you
19:11:48	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Boyer, any questions?  MS. BOYER: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Daull, any questions?  MR. DAULL: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Pavelchek? MR. PAVELCHEK: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. or Mrs. Kramer? MS. KRAMER: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Skros, any questions?  MR. SKROS: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. or Mrs. MCKENNA: Mr. or Mrs. MCFadden, do you have any questions? MS. MCFADDEN: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Ms. Weller, any questions?	19:13:51	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, any redirect?  REDIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MR. THOMPSON:  Q. Mr. Miller, even if there wasn't any fighting that actually took place on the  Crebilly Farm property, would that view still be important from Sandy Hollow?  A. Absolutely. You know, I almost, you know, based on my expertise in battlefields and military movements, you know, I would say I'm about 80 percent sure that somewhere on that property the troops came over, because of the terrain itself.  Q. Would that be the defilade that you talked about?
19:11:48	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Boyer, any questions?  MS. BOYER: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Daull, any questions?  MR. DAULL: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Pavelchek? MR. PAVELCHEK: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. or Mrs. Kramer? MS. KRAMER: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Skros, any questions?  MR. SKROS: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. or Mrs. MCKENNA: Mr. or Mrs. MCFadden, do you have any questions? MS. MCFADDEN: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Ms. Weller, any questions? MS. WELLER: No questions.	19:13:51	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, any redirect?  REDIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MR. THOMPSON:  Q. Mr. Miller, even if there wasn't any fighting that actually took place on the Crebilly Farm property, would that view still be important from Sandy Hollow?  A. Absolutely. You know, I almost, you know, based on my expertise in battlefields and military movements, you know, I would say I'm about 80 percent sure that somewhere on that property the troops came over, because of the terrain itself.  Q. Would that be the defilade that you talked about?  A. Defilade. I mean, think about your own
19:11:48	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Boyer, any questions?  MS. BOYER: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Daull, any questions?  MR. DAULL: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Pavelchek? MR. PAVELCHEK: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. or Mrs. Kramer? MS. KRAMER: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Skros, any questions?  MR. SKROS: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. or Mrs.  MCFadden, do you have any questions? MS. MCFADDEN: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Ms. Weller, any questions?  MS. WELLER: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Does the Board have	19:13:51	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, any redirect?  REDIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MR. THOMPSON:  Q. Mr. Miller, even if there wasn't any fighting that actually took place on the  Crebilly Farm property, would that view still be important from Sandy Hollow?  A. Absolutely. You know, I almost, you know, based on my expertise in battlefields and military movements, you know, I would say I'm about 80 percent sure that somewhere on that property the troops came over, because of the terrain itself.  Q. Would that be the defilade that you talked about?  A. Defilade. I mean, think about your own choice. Would you go out into a field on the
19:11:48	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Boyer, any questions?  MS. BOYER: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Daull, any questions?  MR. DAULL: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Pavelchek? MR. PAVELCHEK: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. or Mrs. Kramer? MS. KRAMER: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Skros, any questions?  MR. SKROS: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. or Mrs.  McFadden, do you have any questions? MS. MCFADDEN: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Ms. Weller, any questions?  MS. WELLER: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Does the Board have any questions of this witness?	19:13:51	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, any redirect?  REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. THOMPSON:  Q. Mr. Miller, even if there wasn't any fighting that actually took place on the Crebilly Farm property, would that view still be important from Sandy Hollow?  A. Absolutely. You know, I almost, you know, based on my expertise in battlefields and military movements, you know, I would say I'm about 80 percent sure that somewhere on that property the troops came over, because of the terrain itself.  Q. Would that be the defilade that you talked about?  A. Defilade. I mean, think about your own choice. Would you go out into a field on the right where there is no cover? Or would you
19:11:48	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Boyer, any questions?  MS. BOYER: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Daull, any questions?  MR. DAULL: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Pavelchek? MR. PAVELCHEK: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. or Mrs. Kramer? MS. KRAMER: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Skros, any questions?  MR. SKROS: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. or Mrs.  MCFadden, do you have any questions? MS. MCFADDEN: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Ms. Weller, any questions?  MS. WELLER: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Does the Board have any questions of this witness? THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Miller, we	19:13:51	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, any redirect?  REDIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MR. THOMPSON:  Q. Mr. Miller, even if there wasn't any fighting that actually took place on the Crebilly Farm property, would that view still be important from Sandy Hollow?  A. Absolutely. You know, I almost, you know, based on my expertise in battlefields and military movements, you know, I would say I'm about 80 percent sure that somewhere on that property the troops came over, because of the terrain itself.  Q. Would that be the defilade that you talked about?  A. Defilade. I mean, think about your own choice. Would you go out into a field on the right where there is no cover? Or would you advance on the left where there is?
19:11:48	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Boyer, any questions?  MS. BOYER: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Daull, any questions?  MR. DAULL: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Pavelchek? MR. PAVELCHEK: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. or Mrs. Kramer? MS. KRAMER: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Skros, any questions?  MR. SKROS: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Mr. or Mrs.  McFadden, do you have any questions? MS. MCFADDEN: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Ms. Weller, any questions?  MS. WELLER: No questions. MR. MCKENNA: Does the Board have any questions of this witness?	19:13:51	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, any redirect?  REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. THOMPSON:  Q. Mr. Miller, even if there wasn't any fighting that actually took place on the Crebilly Farm property, would that view still be important from Sandy Hollow?  A. Absolutely. You know, I almost, you know, based on my expertise in battlefields and military movements, you know, I would say I'm about 80 percent sure that somewhere on that property the troops came over, because of the terrain itself.  Q. Would that be the defilade that you talked about?  A. Defilade. I mean, think about your own choice. Would you go out into a field on the right where there is no cover? Or would you

10:14:49 1	1584	19:16:58 1	MD MCKENNAL Mr. Thompson do
0.14.40	A. Yes, that's exactly where it is. You		MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, do
19:14:51 2	would use every bend and fold in that ground to	19:16:59 2	you have another witness?
19:14:55	protect yourself as you advance to the attack.	19:17:01 3	MR. THOMPSON: I do. I would
;9 <b>4</b>	That's just human nature.	19:17:02 4	like to call Michele Adams. Ms. Adams
19:15:02 5	So, and it is just vitally	19:18:05 5	MR. MCKENNA: Hang on. Have to
19:15:06 6	important, because you can see the viewshed	19:18:06 6	have her sworn in.
19:15:08 7	from both sides there. There are very few	19:18:07 7	MR. THOMPSON: I wanted to see if
19:15:14	places anywhere else you could actually do	19:18:08	she had an exhibit pack.
19:15:16	that.	19:18:09	MS. ADAMS: I do. Should I give
19:15:17 10	<b>Q.</b> With respect to the battlefield itself,	19:18:11 10	them to you?
19:15:20 11	it is the view from Sandy Hollow that's the	19:18:12 11	MR. THOMPSON: No, no. That's
19:15:22 12	critical issue; is that correct?	19:18:14 12	fine. I just wanted to make sure you have a
9:15:23 13	A. Yes. So in any event, no matter what	13	copy.
9:15:26 14	happened there, that viewshed is vital, because	14	(Discussion off the record.)
9:15:31 15	you can see all the way out to the far horizon.	15	MICHELE C. ADAMS,
9:15:36 16	At the very least you can see the advance of	16	the witness herein, having first been
9:15:38 17	the British and any direction that they took.	17	duly sworn on oath, was examined and
9:15:40 18	You can see that off to the right. There is	18	testified as follows:
9:15:45 19	nothing there to protect you. If the Americans	19:18:35 19	MR. MCKENNA: Ma'am, I'll remind
9:15:47 20	are there, how did the British get there too?	19:18:36 20	you, as I did Mr. Miller, I need you to get
9:15:51 21	And fight until half the Virginians are killed	19:18:38 21	nice and comfortable, right up into that
9:15:54 22	or wounded. How did that happen?	19:18:39 22	microphone.
9:15:58 23	Q. Mr. Miller, would there be a view to	19:18:40 23	THE WITNESS: Okay.
00 24	protect from Crebilly Farm, in the opposite	19:18:40 24	MR. MCKENNA: That's perfect. If
3	1585		1587
9:16:03	direction?	19:18:41 1	you could do it that way so everyone can hear
9:16:04 2	A. And that's the other point of it, you	19:18:43 2	you,
9:16:06 3	should see you cannot properly interpret a	19:18:43 3	DIRECT EXAMINATION
9:16:08 4	battle unless you know both sides of it.	19:18:44 4	BY MR. THOMPSON:
9:16:12 5	That's true in the American Civil War. It is	19:18:44 5	Q. Good evening, Ms. Adams. Could you
9:16:14 6	true in the Revolutionary War. You have to	19:18:48 6	please state your full name for the record.
9:16:16 7	look at it from both sides to understand the	19:18:49 7	A. Michele C. Adams.
9:16:20	difficulties and the advantages by both.	19:18:52	Q. And what is your profession?
9:16:24	So crossing that ground, which	19:18:54 9	A. I'm a civil engineer.
9:16:25 10	would you rather be on, the highest ground back	19:18:55 10	Q. With whom are you employed?
9:16:28 11	there, or crossing that open ground across the	19:18:58 11	A. I am founder and owner of Meliora
9:16:31 12	farm? Those are the kind of decisions that you	19:19:02 12	Design. We are a civil engineering firm up in
9:16:31 12	have to make. So as a learning tool to me that	19:19:02 12	Phoenixville, Pennsylvania. I have been in
9:16:38 14	is prime, prime ground.	19:19:04 13	practice for about 33 years as a civil engineer
9:16:41 15	MR. THOMPSON: Thank you.	19:19:08 15	in the field of water resources.
	•		
9:16:43 <b>16</b> 9:16:46 <b>17</b>	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, any	19:19:10 16	Q. And you are a registered Professional
	recross based on the additional testimony?		Engineer?  A In Pennsylvania and a number of other
9:16:47 18	MR. ADELMAN: No, I have nothing	19:19:12 18	A. In Pennsylvania and a number of other
9:16:48 19	further.	19:19:14 19	States.
a 20	MR. MCKENNA: Any further	19:19:15 20	Q. Exhibit NC-4, attached to that exhibit,
9:16:49 21	questions from the Board?	19:19:22 21	is a review letter, as well as your curriculum
9:16:50 22	All right. Thank you, Mr.	19:19:27 22	vitae. Do you recognize that document?
19:16:51 23	Miller, very much.	19:19:28 23	A. Yes.
19:16:53 24	(Witness excused.)	19:19:28 24	Q. If you could just go through and

1	1588		1590
19:19:30 1	explain to the Board your background.	19:21:32 1	the conditional use section actually about
19:19:33 2	MR. ADELMAN: Excuse me, Mr.	19:21:35 2	strong consideration, under Section 172-2009,
19:19:34 3	Thompson. Is there an offer with respect to	19:21:42 3	conditional uses, Section B, B.(6)(e), strong
6 4	her expertise? I'm familiar with Ms. Adams.	19:21:50 4	consideration shall be given to the
19:19:39 <b>5</b>	MR. THOMPSON: She is an expert	19:21:51 5	incorporation of LEED, which is the Leadership
19:19:40 6	in engineering and stormwater management.	19:21:54 6	in Energy and Environmental Design by the U.S.
19:19:41 7	MR. ADELMAN: I have no objection	19:21:57 7	Green Building Council.
19:19:42 8	to those qualifications.	19:22:00 8	So these are the parameters set
19:19:43 9	MR. THOMPSON: Okay.	19:22:01 9	in the municipality's conditional use that
19:19:43 10	MR. MCKENNA: Any other party	19:22:03 10	should hold when looking at the submission by
19:19:44 11	have any objection?	19:22:07 11	the applicant.
19:19:45 12	MS. CAMP: No.	19:22:08 12	Q. Concerning specific sections
19:19:46 13	MR. MCKENNA: Thank you. She	19:22:12 13	referenced, if you could turn to page 3, you
19:19:47 14	will be so admitted.	19:22:17 14	reference Section 170-2009 governing
19:19:48 15	BY MR. THOMPSON:	19:22:20 15	conditional uses. Do you have an opinion as to
19:19:48 16	Q. Ms. Adams, Exhibit NC-4 is a letter	19:22:23 16	whether or not 170-904.A(3)(c)(2) has been met?
19:19:58 17	dated October 24th, 2017. Are you familiar	19:22:32 17	A. Actually, I don't think any of the
19:20:03 18	with that document?	19:22:34 18	requirements related to the conditional uses
19:20:04 19	A. Yes, I prepared that document.	19:22:37 19	for stormwater really have been met. If I can
19:20:05 <b>20</b>	Q. And it is a review letter concerning	19:22:40 20	describe the site conditions and what has been
19:20:10 21	the proposed development that is before the	19:22:43 21	proposed, I can explain why.
19:20:12 22	Board tonight; is that correct?	19:22:45 22	Q. Please go through that if you would.
19:20:13 23	A. Correct, specifically with regards to	19:22:47 23	A. Sure. Well, the site as put up on the
16 24	stormwater management.	19:22:50 24	screen here, I know everyone here is quite
Î	1589		1591
19:20:18	Q. What did you review in order to	19:22:52	familiar with it, it is bordered on the east by
19:20:21 2	generate the opinions set forth in this letter?	19:22:55 2	202, and over here, I don't know if that is
19:20:23	A. Well, I identified the materials in the	19:22:59	Drice I forget the name of the street over
19:20:26			Price. I forget the name of the street over
_	letter, but basically the materials that are	19:23:01 4	there.
19:20:28 5	available on the township's website from the	19:23:02 5	there.  MR. MCKENNA: Let me interrupt
19:20:30 6	available on the township's website from the various submissions related to the stormwater	19:23:02 <b>5</b> 19:23:03 <b>6</b>	there.  MR. MCKENNA: Let me interrupt real quick. What exhibit is up on the board
19:20:30 <b>6</b> 19:20:33 <b>7</b>	available on the township's website from the various submissions related to the stormwater management, the Stormwater Management Narrative	19:23:02 <b>5</b> 19:23:03 <b>6</b> 19:23:05 <b>7</b>	MR. MCKENNA: Let me interrupt real quick. What exhibit is up on the board right now?
19:20:30 <b>6</b> 19:20:33 <b>7</b> 19:20:35 <b>8</b>	available on the township's website from the various submissions related to the stormwater management, the Stormwater Management Narrative prepared by ESE Consultants, the preliminary	19:23:02 <b>5</b> 19:23:03 <b>6</b> 19:23:05 <b>7</b> 19:23:05 <b>8</b>	there.  MR. MCKENNA: Let me interrupt real quick. What exhibit is up on the board right now?  MR. THOMPSON: This is not an
19:20:30 <b>6</b> 19:20:33 <b>7</b> 19:20:35 <b>8</b> 19:20:40 <b>9</b>	available on the township's website from the various submissions related to the stormwater management, the Stormwater Management Narrative prepared by ESE Consultants, the preliminary geotechnical exploration for Crebilly Farm, and	19:23:02 <b>5</b> 19:23:03 <b>6</b> 19:23:05 <b>7</b> 19:23:05 <b>8</b> 19:23:06 <b>9</b>	MR. MCKENNA: Let me interrupt real quick. What exhibit is up on the board right now?  MR. THOMPSON: This is not an exhibit in your packet, but we could label this
19:20:30 <b>6</b> 19:20:33 <b>7</b> 19:20:35 <b>8</b> 19:20:40 <b>9</b> 19:20:43 <b>10</b>	available on the township's website from the various submissions related to the stormwater management, the Stormwater Management Narrative prepared by ESE Consultants, the preliminary geotechnical exploration for Crebilly Farm, and the associated plans and documents.	19:23:02 <b>5</b> 19:23:03 <b>6</b> 19:23:05 <b>7</b> 19:23:05 <b>8</b> 19:23:06 <b>9</b> 19:23:09 <b>10</b>	MR. MCKENNA: Let me interrupt real quick. What exhibit is up on the board right now?  MR. THOMPSON: This is not an exhibit in your packet, but we could label this Exhibit NC-5.
19:20:30 <b>6</b> 19:20:33 <b>7</b> 19:20:35 <b>8</b> 19:20:40 <b>9</b> 19:20:43 <b>10</b> 19:20:47 <b>11</b>	available on the township's website from the various submissions related to the stormwater management, the Stormwater Management Narrative prepared by ESE Consultants, the preliminary geotechnical exploration for Crebilly Farm, and the associated plans and documents.  Q. Before we get into the specific	19:23:02 <b>5</b> 19:23:03 <b>6</b> 19:23:05 <b>7</b> 19:23:05 <b>8</b> 19:23:06 <b>9</b> 19:23:09 <b>10</b> 19:23:10 <b>11</b>	MR. MCKENNA: Let me interrupt real quick. What exhibit is up on the board right now?  MR. THOMPSON: This is not an exhibit in your packet, but we could label this Exhibit NC-5.  MR. MCKENNA: Can we identify
19:20:30 6 19:20:33 7 19:20:35 8 19:20:40 9 19:20:43 10 19:20:47 11 19:20:50 12	available on the township's website from the various submissions related to the stormwater management, the Stormwater Management Narrative prepared by ESE Consultants, the preliminary geotechnical exploration for Crebilly Farm, and the associated plans and documents.  Q. Before we get into the specific provisions concerning conditional use, do you	19:23:02	MR. MCKENNA: Let me interrupt real quick. What exhibit is up on the board right now?  MR. THOMPSON: This is not an exhibit in your packet, but we could label this Exhibit NC-5.
19:20:30 <b>6</b> 19:20:33 <b>7</b> 19:20:35 <b>8</b> 19:20:40 <b>9</b> 19:20:43 <b>10</b> 19:20:47 <b>11</b>	available on the township's website from the various submissions related to the stormwater management, the Stormwater Management Narrative prepared by ESE Consultants, the preliminary geotechnical exploration for Crebilly Farm, and the associated plans and documents.  Q. Before we get into the specific	19:23:02	MR. MCKENNA: Let me interrupt real quick. What exhibit is up on the board right now?  MR. THOMPSON: This is not an exhibit in your packet, but we could label this Exhibit NC-5.  MR. MCKENNA: Can we identify what NC-5 is, please, Mr. Thompson? Can you
19:20:30 6 19:20:33 7 19:20:35 8 19:20:40 9 19:20:43 10 19:20:47 11 19:20:50 12 19:20:53 13	available on the township's website from the various submissions related to the stormwater management, the Stormwater Management Narrative prepared by ESE Consultants, the preliminary geotechnical exploration for Crebilly Farm, and the associated plans and documents.  Q. Before we get into the specific provisions concerning conditional use, do you have any general opinions you would like to	19:23:02	MR. MCKENNA: Let me interrupt real quick. What exhibit is up on the board right now?  MR. THOMPSON: This is not an exhibit in your packet, but we could label this Exhibit NC-5.  MR. MCKENNA: Can we identify what NC-5 is, please, Mr. Thompson? Can you tell me what it is?
19:20:30 6 19:20:33 7 19:20:35 8 19:20:40 9 19:20:43 10 19:20:50 12 19:20:50 13 19:20:56 14 19:20:58 15	available on the township's website from the various submissions related to the stormwater management, the Stormwater Management Narrative prepared by ESE Consultants, the preliminary geotechnical exploration for Crebilly Farm, and the associated plans and documents.  Q. Before we get into the specific provisions concerning conditional use, do you have any general opinions you would like to render to the Board?	19:23:02	MR. MCKENNA: Let me interrupt real quick. What exhibit is up on the board right now?  MR. THOMPSON: This is not an exhibit in your packet, but we could label this Exhibit NC-5.  MR. MCKENNA: Can we identify what NC-5 is, please, Mr. Thompson? Can you tell me what it is?  MR. THOMPSON: It looks like a
19:20:30 6 19:20:33 7 19:20:35 8 19:20:40 9 19:20:43 10 19:20:47 11 19:20:50 12 19:20:53 13 19:20:56 14	available on the township's website from the various submissions related to the stormwater management, the Stormwater Management Narrative prepared by ESE Consultants, the preliminary geotechnical exploration for Crebilly Farm, and the associated plans and documents.  Q. Before we get into the specific provisions concerning conditional use, do you have any general opinions you would like to render to the Board?  A. Well, I do, especially because under	19:23:02	MR. MCKENNA: Let me interrupt real quick. What exhibit is up on the board right now?  MR. THOMPSON: This is not an exhibit in your packet, but we could label this Exhibit NC-5.  MR. MCKENNA: Can we identify what NC-5 is, please, Mr. Thompson? Can you tell me what it is?  MR. THOMPSON: It looks like a topographical map for the Crebilly Farm
19:20:30 6 19:20:33 7 19:20:35 8 19:20:40 9 19:20:43 10 19:20:47 11 19:20:50 12 19:20:53 13 19:20:56 14 19:20:58 15 19:21:02 16	available on the township's website from the various submissions related to the stormwater management, the Stormwater Management Narrative prepared by ESE Consultants, the preliminary geotechnical exploration for Crebilly Farm, and the associated plans and documents.  Q. Before we get into the specific provisions concerning conditional use, do you have any general opinions you would like to render to the Board?  A. Well, I do, especially because under conditional use the applicant is only required	19:23:02	MR. MCKENNA: Let me interrupt real quick. What exhibit is up on the board right now?  MR. THOMPSON: This is not an exhibit in your packet, but we could label this Exhibit NC-5.  MR. MCKENNA: Can we identify what NC-5 is, please, Mr. Thompson? Can you tell me what it is?  MR. THOMPSON: It looks like a topographical map for the Crebilly Farm property.
19:20:30 6 19:20:33 7 19:20:35 8 19:20:40 9 19:20:43 10 19:20:50 12 19:20:50 13 19:20:56 14 19:20:58 15 19:21:02 16 19:21:06 17	available on the township's website from the various submissions related to the stormwater management, the Stormwater Management Narrative prepared by ESE Consultants, the preliminary geotechnical exploration for Crebilly Farm, and the associated plans and documents.  Q. Before we get into the specific provisions concerning conditional use, do you have any general opinions you would like to render to the Board?  A. Well, I do, especially because under conditional use the applicant is only required to submit preliminary site grading, road	19:23:02	MR. MCKENNA: Let me interrupt real quick. What exhibit is up on the board right now?  MR. THOMPSON: This is not an exhibit in your packet, but we could label this Exhibit NC-5.  MR. MCKENNA: Can we identify what NC-5 is, please, Mr. Thompson? Can you tell me what it is?  MR. THOMPSON: It looks like a topographical map for the Crebilly Farm property.  MR. ADELMAN: I'm going to
19:20:30 6 19:20:33 7 19:20:35 8 19:20:40 9 19:20:43 10 19:20:47 11 19:20:50 12 19:20:53 13 19:20:56 14 19:20:58 15 19:21:02 16 19:21:02 17 19:21:01 17	available on the township's website from the various submissions related to the stormwater management, the Stormwater Management Narrative prepared by ESE Consultants, the preliminary geotechnical exploration for Crebilly Farm, and the associated plans and documents.  Q. Before we get into the specific provisions concerning conditional use, do you have any general opinions you would like to render to the Board?  A. Well, I do, especially because under conditional use the applicant is only required to submit preliminary site grading, road profiles, preliminary stormwater analysis, but	19:23:02	MR. MCKENNA: Let me interrupt real quick. What exhibit is up on the board right now?  MR. THOMPSON: This is not an exhibit in your packet, but we could label this Exhibit NC-5.  MR. MCKENNA: Can we identify what NC-5 is, please, Mr. Thompson? Can you tell me what it is?  MR. THOMPSON: It looks like a topographical map for the Crebilly Farm property.  MR. ADELMAN: I'm going to object. I'm not even sure where it is coming
19:20:30 6 19:20:33 7 19:20:35 8 19:20:40 9 19:20:43 10 19:20:50 12 19:20:50 12 19:20:56 14 19:20:56 15 19:21:02 16 19:21:04 17 19:21:11 18 19:21:14 19	available on the township's website from the various submissions related to the stormwater management, the Stormwater Management Narrative prepared by ESE Consultants, the preliminary geotechnical exploration for Crebilly Farm, and the associated plans and documents.  Q. Before we get into the specific provisions concerning conditional use, do you have any general opinions you would like to render to the Board?  A. Well, I do, especially because under conditional use the applicant is only required to submit preliminary site grading, road profiles, preliminary stormwater analysis, but there are some requirements that it be	19:23:02	MR. MCKENNA: Let me interrupt real quick. What exhibit is up on the board right now?  MR. THOMPSON: This is not an exhibit in your packet, but we could label this Exhibit NC-5.  MR. MCKENNA: Can we identify what NC-5 is, please, Mr. Thompson? Can you tell me what it is?  MR. THOMPSON: It looks like a topographical map for the Crebilly Farm property.  MR. ADELMAN: I'm going to object. I'm not even sure where it is coming from, how it was prepared. I think we need
19:20:30 6 19:20:33 7 19:20:35 8 19:20:40 9 19:20:43 10 19:20:47 11 19:20:50 12 19:20:53 13 19:20:56 14 19:20:58 15 19:21:02 16 19:21:02 16 19:21:04 17 19:21:11 18 19:21:14 19	available on the township's website from the various submissions related to the stormwater management, the Stormwater Management Narrative prepared by ESE Consultants, the preliminary geotechnical exploration for Crebilly Farm, and the associated plans and documents.  Q. Before we get into the specific provisions concerning conditional use, do you have any general opinions you would like to render to the Board?  A. Well, I do, especially because under conditional use the applicant is only required to submit preliminary site grading, road profiles, preliminary stormwater analysis, but there are some requirements that it be sufficient to demonstrate that the plan, the	19:23:02	MR. MCKENNA: Let me interrupt real quick. What exhibit is up on the board right now?  MR. THOMPSON: This is not an exhibit in your packet, but we could label this Exhibit NC-5.  MR. MCKENNA: Can we identify what NC-5 is, please, Mr. Thompson? Can you tell me what it is?  MR. THOMPSON: It looks like a topographical map for the Crebilly Farm property.  MR. ADELMAN: I'm going to object. I'm not even sure where it is coming from, how it was prepared. I think we need additional information. And I would also like
19:20:30 6 19:20:33 7 19:20:35 8 19:20:40 9 19:20:43 10 19:20:47 11 19:20:50 12 19:20:50 13 19:20:56 14 19:20:56 15 19:21:02 16 19:21:04 17 19:21:14 18 19:21:14 19	available on the township's website from the various submissions related to the stormwater management, the Stormwater Management Narrative prepared by ESE Consultants, the preliminary geotechnical exploration for Crebilly Farm, and the associated plans and documents.  Q. Before we get into the specific provisions concerning conditional use, do you have any general opinions you would like to render to the Board?  A. Well, I do, especially because under conditional use the applicant is only required to submit preliminary site grading, road profiles, preliminary stormwater analysis, but there are some requirements that it be sufficient to demonstrate that the plan, the proposed development will be able to meet the	19:23:02	MR. MCKENNA: Let me interrupt real quick. What exhibit is up on the board right now?  MR. THOMPSON: This is not an exhibit in your packet, but we could label this Exhibit NC-5.  MR. MCKENNA: Can we identify what NC-5 is, please, Mr. Thompson? Can you tell me what it is?  MR. THOMPSON: It looks like a topographical map for the Crebilly Farm property.  MR. ADELMAN: I'm going to object. I'm not even sure where it is coming from, how it was prepared. I think we need additional information. And I would also like a copy.
19:20:30 6 19:20:33 7 19:20:35 8 19:20:40 9 19:20:43 10 19:20:50 12 19:20:50 13 19:20:56 14 19:20:56 15 19:21:02 16 19:21:04 17 19:21:11 18 19:21:14 19	available on the township's website from the various submissions related to the stormwater management, the Stormwater Management Narrative prepared by ESE Consultants, the preliminary geotechnical exploration for Crebilly Farm, and the associated plans and documents.  Q. Before we get into the specific provisions concerning conditional use, do you have any general opinions you would like to render to the Board?  A. Well, I do, especially because under conditional use the applicant is only required to submit preliminary site grading, road profiles, preliminary stormwater analysis, but there are some requirements that it be sufficient to demonstrate that the plan, the proposed development will be able to meet the township's stormwater management ordinances and	19:23:02 5 19:23:03 6 19:23:05 7 19:23:05 8 19:23:06 9 19:23:09 10 19:23:10 11 19:23:11 12 19:23:13 13 19:23:14 14 19:23:15 15 19:23:17 16 19:23:18 17 19:23:19 18 19:23:21 19 19:23:22 21 19:23:27 22 19:23:28 23 19:23:29 24	MR. MCKENNA: Let me interrupt real quick. What exhibit is up on the board right now?  MR. THOMPSON: This is not an exhibit in your packet, but we could label this Exhibit NC-5.  MR. MCKENNA: Can we identify what NC-5 is, please, Mr. Thompson? Can you tell me what it is?  MR. THOMPSON: It looks like a topographical map for the Crebilly Farm property.  MR. ADELMAN: I'm going to object. I'm not even sure where it is coming from, how it was prepared. I think we need additional information. And I would also like a copy.  MR. THOMPSON: Perhaps I think the witness can tell you.  MR. MCKENNA: If we could get a

	1592		1594
19:23:31 1	little more detail before we get into testimony	19:25:44 1	So it is essentially a very
19:23:33 2	regarding compliance, can you describe what we	19:25:46 2	rolling topographic site, with the tributaries.
19:23:35	are looking at? None of us have that in front	19:25:50 3	And even though it is faint, the land
7 4	of us.	19:25:53 4	development plan is essentially proposing to
19:23:37 <b>5</b>	THE WITNESS: Sure. It is the	19:25:55 <b>5</b>	build in between these fingers of this stream.
19:23:39 6	topographic, aerial with topography from the	19:25:59 6	The land development plan is
19:23:43 7	Pennsylvania GIS PASDA database, with stream	19:26:01 7	proposing a pretty significant amount of
19:23:48 8	information. And there is also an overlay of	19:26:03	development and impervious surfaces. I believe
19:23:51 9	the conditional use applicant's subdivision	19:26:06 9	in the preliminary applications the number
19:23:56 10	layout. It is very faint and hard to see due	19:26:08 10	was let me check here about 50.4 acres of
19:23:59 11	to the nature of the scanned PDFs that were	19:26:19 11	impervious surfaces.
19:24:02 12	posted on the website. But there is a overlay	19:26:21 12	This is a very large parcel going
19:24:05 13	behind there of the development as well. And I	19:26:25 13	to this tributary of Radley Run, which is
19:24:08 14	have paper copies as well.	19:26:27 14	currently an impaired stream. It is not
19:24:10 15	MR. MCKENNA: Great.	19:26:30 15	meeting its designated water uses under the
19:24:11 16	MR. THOMPSON: If you could hand	19:26:33 16	state requirements. And one of the primary
19:24:12 17	those copies.	19:26:35 17	reasons for that is stormwater impacts and
19:24:12 18	MR. MCKENNA: Let's go off the	19:26:38 18	siltation.
19:24:14 19	record and hand out the copies.	19:26:39 19	It is also a scenic river as part
19:24:15 20	(Discussion off the record.)	19:26:41 20	of the Brandywine, and ultimately part of the
19:24:33 21	MR. MCKENNA: Let's go back on	19:26:44 21	Lower Brandywine River.
19:24:34 22	the record.	19:26:46 22	The site will add about 50.4
19:24:35 23	I'm going to mark this as NC-5.	19:26:49 23	acres of impervious. It is hard to get a
≈ <sub>42</sub> 24	Ms. Adams, can you tell me, if you know, what	19:26:51 24	handle, people have a hard time imagining what
	1593		1595
19:24:45	is the date of the topographic information? Do	19:26:54	50 acres of impervious means. If you can
19:24:49 2	you how long ago it was produced?	19:26:58 2	imagine that an acre of impervious is going to
19:24:51 3	THE WITNESS: It is the most	19:27:01 3	add about three feet more runoff every year
19:24:51 4	recent PASDA topographic information. I don't	19:27:05 4	than happens now in a meadow or pasture
19:24:56 <b>5</b>	know the date. I can certainly get that.	19:27:07 5	condition, and 50 acres is equivalent to about,
19:24:58 6	MR. MCKENNA: Thank you.	19:27:12 6	to use something for reference, if you could
19:25:06 7	MR. THOMPSON: Are we okay to	19:27:14 7	imagine a road as wide as 202, say a hundred
19:25:07	proceed with this exhibit?	19:27:18	feet, going from Dilworthtown Inn to West
19:25:08	MR. MCKENNA: Yeah. My only	19:27:21 9	Chester University, with three feet more
19:25:09 10	question is, Mr. Adelman did point out NC-5	19:27:24 10	runoff.
19:25:12 11	that I have does have some red dots. Is that	19:27:24 11	That's about how much this
19:25:15 12	going to be shown up on the exhibit as you go	19:27:25 12	project is going to add to the township in
19:25:17 13	through the testimony?	19:27:28 13	terms of impervious surfaces and additional
19:25:17 14	THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.	19:27:30 14	stormwater management.
19:25:18 15	MR. MCKENNA: Thank you.	19:27:32 15	So it is really critical for this
19:25:21 16	BY MR. THOMPSON:	19:27:34 16	currently impaired stream that the stormwater
19:25:22 17	Q. Please proceed, Ms. Adams.	19:27:37 17	management system be very high functioning.
19:25:24 18	A. Thank you. So the site is large, and	19:27:40 18	And I remind the Board that not only does it
19:25:27 19	it varies in topography from about 275 I'm	19:27:42 19	have to meet the requirements of the
b 20	sorry 375 down to about 255 in the low	19:27:44 20	ordinances, but the conditional use of the
19:25:33 21	corners. And you can see there is a tributary	19:27:46 <b>21</b>	township urges strong consideration of LEED,
19:25:36 22			
	of Radley Run that comes across this lower	19:27:51 22	and this stormwater management plan does not
19:25:40 23	of Radley Run that comes across this lower southwest corner and several tributaries,	19:27:51 <b>22</b> 19:27:54 <b>23</b>	and this stormwater management plan does not come anywhere close to meeting the requirements
	-		- '

	1596	ĺ	1598
19:28:00 1	natural features and stormwater management.	19:29:58	MR. MCKENNA: Okay.
19:28:01 2	And I'm very familiar with LEED	19:29:59 2	
19:28:03 3	because I helped to write the current LEED	19:30:00 3	objection.
5 4	credits for stormwater under the current LEED	19:30:01 4	MR. THOMPSON: It like a text
19:28:08 5	criteria.	19:30:02 5	overlay.
19:28:09 6	If we could go to the next. If	19:30:03 6	THE WITNESS: It is a text
19:28:13 7	we could go to the next slide, the applicant	19:30:03 7	overlay to explain what the data is. There is
19:28:16 8	has proposed nine basins. Yeah. And that is,	19:30:05	also a table attached to the stormwater letter,
19:28:20 9	in fact, on the paper handout, and they are	19:30:08 9	which is an exhibit, that has the same
19:28:24 10	proximally located. I put red dots on top of	19:30:10 10	information.
19:28:27 11	the areas where the applicant has shown basins	19:30:11 11	MR. ADELMAN: Okay. But just for
19:28:30 12	to be located, with the numbers as shown by the	19:30:12 12	consistency sake, what are we calling this now?
19:28:33 13	applicant.	19:30:14 13	Still calling it NC-5 or something different?
19:28:34 14	Nine stormwater basins. And the	19:30:17 14	
19:28:37 15	stormwater report indicates that they will all	19:30:19 15	J .
19:28:39 16	be infiltration basins and that's how volume	19:30:21 16	
19:28:41 17	will be managed.	19:30:24 17	
19:28:44 18	And, again, if you look at where	19:30:26 18	•
19:28:45 19	some of these basins are, you can see they are	19:30:34 19	
19:28:48 20	in close proximity to streams and lower areas	19:30:36 20	,
19:28:50 21	of the site. They are in proximity to	19:30:37 21	the one with the text overlay NC-6?
19:28:53 22	headwaters, which raises the question of will	19:30:39 22	
19:28:55 23	they work for infiltration or not.	19:30:45 23	
7 24	The applicant also submitted a	19:30:46 24	
1	1597		1599
19:28:59	geotechnical report. The geotechnical report	19:30:47	A. Thank you. If you don't mind, so as we
19:29:04 2	did a number of tests. Only one basin has an infiltration test associated in close proximity	19:30:50 2	
19:29:08		19:30:52 3	
19:29:13 4	to that basin. The others have infiltration tests nearby.	19:30:55 4	
	But when you look at those	19:31:01 6	
19:29:17 <b>b</b>	infiltration tests in detail, you start to see	19:31:02 7	
19:29:22	some concerns and issues.	19:31:02 8	
19:29:24	If you could go to the next	19:31:05	
19:29:26 10	slide.	19:31:07 10	
19:29:27 11	Specifically, when you look at	19:31:11 11	
19:29:28 12	the data, there are three basins that there	19:31:13 12	table.
19:29:33 13	aren't any data for infiltration, proximity to	19:31:13 13	Q. If I could just interrupt and say that
19:29:37 14	the basins, 4, A-4, A-9 and A-10.	19:31:16 14	the exhibit that's up on the screen now has Xs
19:29:40 15	MR. ADELMAN: I have to object.	19:31:20 15	on the dots marked A-9, A-10 and A-4; is that
19:29:41 16	Again, this now is a different exhibit than	19:31:26 16	correct?
19:29:43 17	what I have in front of me.	19:31:26 17	A. Yes.
19:29:45 18	MR. MCKENNA: I was going to	19:31:27 18	<b>Q.</b> Okay.
19/29:45 19	suggest that as well. I think, Mr. Thompson,	19:31:28 19	A. Yes.
o <b>20</b>	when this is over we will have to get a	19:31:29 20	
0.4		19:31:31 21	that correct, Ms. Adams?
19:29:51 21	complete copy of the exhibit as it is being	19:31:31	
19:29:53 22	shown because it is different than what we have	19:31:31 21	A. That's for reference, to explain the
			A. That's for reference, to explain the

1600 1602 1 look at engineering plans and geotechnical 1 the lower end. 19:31:39 19:33:47 reports and really get an understanding of what 2 So as you look at the preliminary 19:31:43 19:33:49 the data does and does not show. 3 3 data and the preliminary stormwater plan, the 19:31:44 19:33:52 4 Q. Please proceed. 4 applicant really has not demonstrated that the 19:33:54 5 A. So there is one basin, basin 1, if you 5 site is suitable for infiltration as shown in 19:31:49 19:33:57 6 hit it again an X will come up, that there is 6 this design, these basins and these locations. 19:31:54 19:34:01 7 no test right near it, but the nearest test, 7 It is a challenging site, because 19:31:57 19:34:04 8 one is high groundwater table and one has zero 8 of the topography, because of the locating of 19:32:00 19:34:06 9 infiltration. So now we are starting to raise 9 the development between the fingers of the 19:32:03 19:34:09 questions about will these basins provide 10 10 streams and wetlands, it is a difficult site to 19:32:05 19:34:11 19:32:07 11 volume management, will they infiltrate. 19:34:14 11 work in. It is a difficult site to protect If we continue on to basin 6, A-6 19:32:10 12 19:34:16 12 water quality. 19:32:13 13 and A-8, there are perc tests for these basins, 19:34:17 13 There are also a number of errors 19:32:17 14 and they look pretty good, but when you look at 19:34:20 14 in the preliminary calculations. And I 15 the actual elevations of where those perc tests 19:34:22 15 recognize that they are very preliminary 19:32:20 16 were done -- and, again, they are not in the 19:34:24 16 stormwater calculations that the applicant has 19:32:22 19:32:23 17 basin. They are about 10 feet or more higher 19:34:27 17 supplied, because that's what the conditional 18 than the bottom of the basin, according to the 19:34:29 18 use allows. 19:32:26 applicant's drawing, so we are not testing 19:34:31 19 One of the things that have been 19 19:32:30 20 where the stormwater will be, we are testing at 19:34:32 20 done incorrectly is the calculation of the 19:32:32 21 a higher elevation, which matters. 19:34:35 21 runoff volume. There are components called 19:32:35 **22** When you dig stormwater systems 19:34:39 22 curve numbers that represent land use and how 19:32:38 23 it is not much different than digging a hole in 19:34:41 23 much runoff, an engineer's calculation of 19:34:44 24 10 24 the sand at the beach. If you dig into where runoff of land uses, and you are not allowed to 1601 1603 the water is, the water is going to come in average those numbers when you calculate your 1 19:32:42 from the bottom. The same thing happens when 2 runoff volumes, under your code or under the 2 19:32:44 19:34:48 you dig a hole next to a wetland or a stream. Pennsylvania DEP requirements. That's been 19:34:53 19:32:46 So that raises the question, are 4 done in the preliminary calculations, so those 4 19:32:50 you going to be able to infiltrate and manage calculations are not an adequate representation 5 19:32:52 19:34:57 stormwater volume and mitigate those impacts. 6 of the volume that would be anticipated from 6 19:32:54 19:35:00 7 Q. Ms. Adams, you just mentioned basins 7 this development. 19:35:05 19:32:57 8 A-6 and A-7. The two Xs that came up were A-7 8 Some of the other things, let's 19:35:08 19:33:01 and A-8. Is that the basins you were referring see, weighted runoff coefficients. With those 9 9 19:35:12 19:33:06 to in your previous testimony? analyses, the applicant has not really 10 10 19:35:16 19:33:08 19:33:09 11 A. Oh, yes. A-7, A-8 and A-6, if you hit 19:35:20 11 demonstrated that the proposed stormwater one more it should come up. 19:35:23 12 system as shown with these basins in this 19:33:13 12 MR. HEMPHILL: This one here. location would be significant and adequate 13 19:35:26 13 19:33:15 A. Backwards. There we go. 14 19:35:27 14 enough to mitigate the volume, and also the 19:33:16 19:33:19 15 Basin 6 and 8, the perc tests are 19:35:30 15 rate of runoff coming from the intensity of high. Basin 7, I'm sorry, I skipped over basin 16 development that has been proposed, without 19:33:21 16 19:35:33 19:33:27 17 7. Basin 7, the proposed basin bottom is lower 19:35:36 17 mitigation of the volume, you will have 19:33:29 18 than the nearest test that shows it would hit 19:35:39 18 increased downstream erosion. You will have 19:33:32 19 rock. So it would not comply with the state 19:35:41 19 increased damage. requirements or be feasible as an infiltration 19:35:43 20 That will also contribute to 20 S 19:33:37 21 basin. 19:35:45 21 runoff flow rates that cause the stream bank 19:33:38 22 The same with Basin No. 2, it is 19:35:48 22 erosion. That is the biggest cause of water 19:33:41 23 close to rock refusal, so that, again, would 19:35:51 23 quality impairment in urbanized areas of the not be -- that's the basin down by the pond in 19:33:44 24 19:35:54 24 site. Without groundwater recharge you will

1604 1606 1 not support those headwater streams. Those A. Oh, yes. Thank you. There is a small 19:35:57 19:37:59 2 headwater streams reflect the water that's 2 area on one side of the site over to the side 19:35:59 19:38:02 3 seeping out from the hillside, from the 3 that drains off to the east to Crum Creek. It 19:36:01 4 groundwater. It is that groundwater recharge is not entirely clear how the applicant intends ٠3 19:38:08 5 that keeps those tributaries flowing. 5 to manage stormwater from that area. That area 19:36:05 19:38:11 6 It is important to restore that 6 is subject to the 167 plan in Crum Creek --19:36:07 7 groundwater recharge in those tributaries to 7 A VOICE: Chester. 19:36:09 19:38:19 8 maintain them and maintain the flow. 8 A. -- which is very different 19:38:20 19:36:12 9 So it is my opinion that while 9 requirements, requiring a 50 percent reduction 19:38:22 19:36:15 10 the applicant has submitted a stormwater plan 10 of all flow rates after development compared to 19:36:18 19:38:24 19:36:20 11 showing enough number of basins, as well as 19:38:27 11 pre-development. Pretty high standards. 12 quite a few geotechnical tests borings and 19:38:29 12 Q. You mean Chester Creek, not Crum? 19:36:24 19:38:32 13 13 pits, test pits for stormwater and borings for A. Chester Creek, it eventually goes to 19:36:27 19:36:31 14 primarily it looks like the road construction, 19:38:35 14 Crum. 15 the material that's been submitted, in my 19:38:35 15 Q. So there is special protection 19:36:33 opinion, does not demonstrate that the 19:38:36 16 standards associated with Chester Creek? 16 17 applicant is going to be able to meet the 19:38:39 17 A. No. It is a 167 plan, because this is 19-36-38 18 requirements under the Westtown Township 19:38:40 18 a stream that's been so impaired and so damaged 19:36:41 by flooding, it is a stream that has undergone 19 Stormwater Ordinance, certainly doesn't meet 19:38:43 19 20 LEED, and will not be adequate to protect water 19:38:48 20 so many impacts and problems because of land 19:36:45 19:36:49 21 quality in this already impaired tributary of 19:38:50 21 development and stormwater, lack of stormwater 22 Radley Run, Radley Run. 19:38:53 22 control and flooding, that the current 167 19:36:54 23 Q. So there is insufficient detail for 19:38:55 23 requirements under the state 167 plan, as 24 that determination to be made; is that your 19:38:59 24 reflected in the township's ordinances, are 56 1605 1607 1 opinion? 1 very stringent. 19:39:01 19:36:58 2 2 Q. So what are the potential, the water A. My opinion is that what they have 19:36:58 19:39:01 quality impacts for the downstream areas? 3 submitted indicates that it is, what they are 19:39:05 19:37:00 4 A. Well, when the development occurs and 4 proposing is inadequate. 19:39:08 19:37:04 5 5 Q. Since the majority of the proposed you pave over surfaces, when it rains you have 19:39:11 19:37:08 more runoff. You have more runoff and you have project is upstream from certain streams, does 6 19:37:12 19:39:14 7 7 less groundwater infiltration. So there is a that create an issue with the protection of 19:37:19 Я 8 number of impacts. those streams? 19:39:19 19:37:20 9 9 A. Absolutely. Because we are in the 19:39:20 When you have paved over surfaces 19:37:20 headwaters of tributaries of Radley Run, and 19:39:22 10 and the groundwater and the water can't soak 10 19:37:22 for those here who might follow Stroud Water 19:39:25 11 into the ground, that's the water when it 11 19:37:26 Research Center down in Southern Chester County 19:39:27 12 hasn't rained for a couple days that keeps the 12 19:37:29 streams and tributaries returning, comes 13 and all the work and research they do, talking 19:39:29 13 19:37:31 about the importance of headwater streams, the 19:39:32 14 through the soil, through the shallow geology 14 19:37:33 15 new stream held to the overall health of the 19:39:34 15 and surfaces in the streams, it is the 19:37:36 19:39:36 16 reflection of the groundwater to the 16 entire stream, everything, everything that 19:37:38 happens here affects everything downhill from 19:39:38 17 atmosphere. And so you need that infiltration 17 19:37:42 18 here. 19:39:41 18 to keep the headwater tributaries running and 19:37:44 19 Q. What are those streams, Ms. Adams? 19:39:44 19 to maintain water quality. 19:37:45 A. Radley Run, and then that's a tributary 19:39:46 20 Without groundwater recharge 20 17 21 to Lower Brandywine, which ultimately goes to 19:39:48 21 there can be no stream-based flow and no water 19:37:49 22 the Brandywine, which is the primary drinking 19:39:51 22 quality. So that's one component. 19:37:52 19:37:54 23 water source for the City of Wilmington. 19:39:53 23 Another component is that you 19:37:57 24 Q. Is there another stream? 19:39:55 24 have increased the amount of water you are

		·	
19:39:56	1608 sending downstream. If you don't reduce it by	,	1610
•		19:42:04 1	Q. You mentioned in your letter an adverse
	infiltrating or reusing or managing the volume,	19:42:07 2	impact to the benthic aquatic community. What
	you are sending an increased amount of water	19:42:10 3	is that?
15 4	downstream, and it is more water than the	19:42:11 4	A. The benthic aquatic community are all
19:40:08 5	downstream channels can handle, which is why we	19:42:13 5	those small organisms, insects in their larvae
19:40:10 6	see our streams everywhere with eroded banks,	19:42:17 6	form, everything from mayflies and a variety of
19:40:14 7	steep sides, we see our channels changing	19:42:21 7	other insects that in the spring, especially,
19:40:16	because they can't carry all the stormwater	19:42:24	are on the bottom of channels, breeding. In
19:40:19 9	that we are sending downstream. As they get,	19:42:27	the early parts of their lives, they form the
19:40:22 10	make the channel bigger, it sends sediment	19:42:29 10	basis of the food chain and support the larger
19:40:25 11	Q. Ms. Adams, if you could just slow down	19:42:32 11	aquatic population of fish and other species.
19:40:27 12	a little bit for the court reporter.	19:42:35 12	When you smother them, when you change the
9:40:29 13	A. Sure. Sure. Sorry.	19:42:37 13	channel, bottom, change the channel conditions,
9:40:32 14	<b>Q.</b> Go ahead. Continue with your answer.	19:42:41 14	you damage that, that community.
9:40:33 15	A. As the runoff, as you put more volume	19:42:42 15	Q. And that would be a potential impact
9:40:36 16	into the streams, and the channel is not big	19:42:44 16	from the development?
9:40:39 17	enough to carry all that water, it starts to	19:42:45 17	A. It would be one of many potential
9:40:41 18	erode the channel. And so that's why we see so	19:42:47 18	impacts if the stormwater is not managed
9:40:44 19	many channels with eroded stream banks, why we	19:42:51 19	adequately, because this is a very dense, very
9:40:49 20	see sewer lines become exposed when development	19:42:55 20	intense development, adding 50 some acres of
9:40:51 21	occurs, and the channels get wider as they wash	19:42:59 21	impervious area. So it is critical to avoid
9:40:54 22	away, there is problems with that on a couple	19:43:02 22	those impacts that the stormwater management
9:40:56 <b>23</b>	levels.	19:43:05 23	system function and function very well.
57 24	When the channels downstream get	19:43:08 24	Q. Does the disconnection of the stream
	1609		1611
9:41:00	wider and they have steep sides, when floods	19:43:09 1	channel from the associated floodplain and
:41:03			
9:41:03	happen, the flows come up and they can't easily	19:43:11 2	wetlands create an adverse impact?
_	happen, the flows come up and they can't easily connect to floodplains, so we just shoot all	19:43:11 <b>2</b> 19:43:14 <b>3</b>	wetlands create an adverse impact?  A. Yes, absolutely, especially for
9:41:06 3			
9:41:06 3	connect to floodplains, so we just shoot all	19:43:14 3	A. Yes, absolutely, especially for
9:41:06 <b>3</b> 9:41:08 <b>4</b> 9:41:11 <b>5</b>	connect to floodplains, so we just shoot all the water downstream. So we end up making	19:43:14 <b>3</b> 19:43:16 <b>4</b>	A. Yes, absolutely, especially for downstream properties.
9:41:06	connect to floodplains, so we just shoot all the water downstream. So we end up making things worse and worse for people	19:43:14 <b>3</b> 19:43:16 <b>4</b> 19:43:19 <b>5</b>	<ul><li>A. Yes, absolutely, especially for downstream properties.</li><li>Q. How about the disconnection of the</li></ul>
9:41:06	connect to floodplains, so we just shoot all the water downstream. So we end up making things worse and worse and worse for people downstream with flooding impacts.	19:43:14 <b>3</b> 19:43:16 <b>4</b> 19:43:19 <b>5</b> 19:43:21 <b>6</b>	A. Yes, absolutely, especially for downstream properties.  Q. How about the disconnection of the stream channel from all of the associated
9:41:06	connect to floodplains, so we just shoot all the water downstream. So we end up making things worse and worse for people downstream with flooding impacts.  The other part of that is that	19:43:14 <b>3</b> 19:43:16 <b>4</b> 19:43:19 <b>5</b> 19:43:21 <b>6</b> 19:43:23 <b>7</b>	A. Yes, absolutely, especially for downstream properties.  Q. How about the disconnection of the stream channel from all of the associated wetlands?
9:41:06	connect to floodplains, so we just shoot all the water downstream. So we end up making things worse and worse and worse for people downstream with flooding impacts.  The other part of that is that the stream erodes, and it washes all that	19:43:14 <b>3</b> 19:43:16 <b>4</b> 19:43:19 <b>5</b> 19:43:21 <b>6</b> 19:43:23 <b>7</b> 19:43:24 <b>8</b>	A. Yes, absolutely, especially for downstream properties.  Q. How about the disconnection of the stream channel from all of the associated wetlands?  A. Again, that has an impact, especially
9:41:06	connect to floodplains, so we just shoot all the water downstream. So we end up making things worse and worse and worse for people downstream with flooding impacts.  The other part of that is that the stream erodes, and it washes all that sediment, that sediment is a primary pollutant,	19:43:14 <b>3</b> 19:43:16 <b>4</b> 19:43:19 <b>5</b> 19:43:21 <b>6</b> 19:43:23 <b>7</b> 19:43:24 <b>8</b> 19:43:28 <b>9</b>	A. Yes, absolutely, especially for downstream properties.  Q. How about the disconnection of the stream channel from all of the associated wetlands?  A. Again, that has an impact, especially for downstream communities, on this site. The
3;41:06	connect to floodplains, so we just shoot all the water downstream. So we end up making things worse and worse and worse for people downstream with flooding impacts.  The other part of that is that the stream erodes, and it washes all that sediment, that sediment is a primary pollutant, and so we are changing the shape of the stream	19:43:14 <b>3</b> 19:43:16 <b>4</b> 19:43:19 <b>5</b> 19:43:21 <b>6</b> 19:43:23 <b>7</b> 19:43:24 <b>8</b> 19:43:28 <b>9</b> 19:43:31 <b>10</b>	A. Yes, absolutely, especially for downstream properties.  Q. How about the disconnection of the stream channel from all of the associated wetlands?  A. Again, that has an impact, especially for downstream communities, on this site. The impact on the wetlands may be if there is not
3;41:06	connect to floodplains, so we just shoot all the water downstream. So we end up making things worse and worse and worse for people downstream with flooding impacts.  The other part of that is that the stream erodes, and it washes all that sediment, that sediment is a primary pollutant, and so we are changing the shape of the stream and the habitat and its ability to support	19:43:14	A. Yes, absolutely, especially for downstream properties.  Q. How about the disconnection of the stream channel from all of the associated wetlands?  A. Again, that has an impact, especially for downstream communities, on this site. The impact on the wetlands may be if there is not adequate recharge to supply the water to those
9:41:06	connect to floodplains, so we just shoot all the water downstream. So we end up making things worse and worse and worse for people downstream with flooding impacts.  The other part of that is that the stream erodes, and it washes all that sediment, that sediment is a primary pollutant, and so we are changing the shape of the stream and the habitat and its ability to support aquatic life, fish, with the pools and ripples,	19:43:14	A. Yes, absolutely, especially for downstream properties.  Q. How about the disconnection of the stream channel from all of the associated wetlands?  A. Again, that has an impact, especially for downstream communities, on this site. The impact on the wetlands may be if there is not adequate recharge to supply the water to those wetlands, those wetlands can gradually
3:41:06	connect to floodplains, so we just shoot all the water downstream. So we end up making things worse and worse and worse for people downstream with flooding impacts.  The other part of that is that the stream erodes, and it washes all that sediment, that sediment is a primary pollutant, and so we are changing the shape of the stream and the habitat and its ability to support aquatic life, fish, with the pools and ripples, and we are sending all that stream, that	19:43:14	A. Yes, absolutely, especially for downstream properties.  Q. How about the disconnection of the stream channel from all of the associated wetlands?  A. Again, that has an impact, especially for downstream communities, on this site. The impact on the wetlands may be if there is not adequate recharge to supply the water to those wetlands, those wetlands can gradually diminish.
9:41:06	connect to floodplains, so we just shoot all the water downstream. So we end up making things worse and worse and worse for people downstream with flooding impacts.  The other part of that is that the stream erodes, and it washes all that sediment, that sediment is a primary pollutant, and so we are changing the shape of the stream and the habitat and its ability to support aquatic life, fish, with the pools and ripples, and we are sending all that stream, that sediment downstream, eventually into the	19:43:14	A. Yes, absolutely, especially for downstream properties.  Q. How about the disconnection of the stream channel from all of the associated wetlands?  A. Again, that has an impact, especially for downstream communities, on this site. The impact on the wetlands may be if there is not adequate recharge to supply the water to those wetlands, those wetlands can gradually diminish.  Q. How about reduction in the stream base
341:06	connect to floodplains, so we just shoot all the water downstream. So we end up making things worse and worse and worse for people downstream with flooding impacts.  The other part of that is that the stream erodes, and it washes all that sediment, that sediment is a primary pollutant, and so we are changing the shape of the stream and the habitat and its ability to support aquatic life, fish, with the pools and ripples, and we are sending all that stream, that sediment downstream, eventually into the Delaware Bay.	19:43:14	A. Yes, absolutely, especially for downstream properties.  Q. How about the disconnection of the stream channel from all of the associated wetlands?  A. Again, that has an impact, especially for downstream communities, on this site. The impact on the wetlands may be if there is not adequate recharge to supply the water to those wetlands, those wetlands can gradually diminish.  Q. How about reduction in the stream base flow, does that create an adverse impact?
9:41:06	connect to floodplains, so we just shoot all the water downstream. So we end up making things worse and worse and worse for people downstream with flooding impacts.  The other part of that is that the stream erodes, and it washes all that sediment, that sediment is a primary pollutant, and so we are changing the shape of the stream and the habitat and its ability to support aquatic life, fish, with the pools and ripples, and we are sending all that stream, that sediment downstream, eventually into the Delaware Bay.  And sediment also settles out on	19:43:14	A. Yes, absolutely, especially for downstream properties.  Q. How about the disconnection of the stream channel from all of the associated wetlands?  A. Again, that has an impact, especially for downstream communities, on this site. The impact on the wetlands may be if there is not adequate recharge to supply the water to those wetlands, those wetlands can gradually diminish.  Q. How about reduction in the stream base flow, does that create an adverse impact?  A. Yes, same thing. If you are not
9:41:06	connect to floodplains, so we just shoot all the water downstream. So we end up making things worse and worse and worse for people downstream with flooding impacts.  The other part of that is that the stream erodes, and it washes all that sediment, that sediment is a primary pollutant, and so we are changing the shape of the stream and the habitat and its ability to support aquatic life, fish, with the pools and ripples, and we are sending all that stream, that sediment downstream, eventually into the Delaware Bay.  And sediment also settles out on the bottom of the stream channels and prevents	19:43:14	A. Yes, absolutely, especially for downstream properties.  Q. How about the disconnection of the stream channel from all of the associated wetlands?  A. Again, that has an impact, especially for downstream communities, on this site. The impact on the wetlands may be if there is not adequate recharge to supply the water to those wetlands, those wetlands can gradually diminish.  Q. How about reduction in the stream base flow, does that create an adverse impact?  A. Yes, same thing. If you are not putting the water in the ground to recharge the
9:41:06	connect to floodplains, so we just shoot all the water downstream. So we end up making things worse and worse and worse for people downstream with flooding impacts.  The other part of that is that the stream erodes, and it washes all that sediment, that sediment is a primary pollutant, and so we are changing the shape of the stream and the habitat and its ability to support aquatic life, fish, with the pools and ripples, and we are sending all that stream, that sediment downstream, eventually into the Delaware Bay.  And sediment also settles out on the bottom of the stream channels and prevents aquatic organisms from breathing and supporting	19:43:14	A. Yes, absolutely, especially for downstream properties.  Q. How about the disconnection of the stream channel from all of the associated wetlands?  A. Again, that has an impact, especially for downstream communities, on this site. The impact on the wetlands may be if there is not adequate recharge to supply the water to those wetlands, those wetlands can gradually diminish.  Q. How about reduction in the stream base flow, does that create an adverse impact?  A. Yes, same thing. If you are not putting the water in the ground to recharge the stream and providing the base flow, you are not
9:41:06	connect to floodplains, so we just shoot all the water downstream. So we end up making things worse and worse and worse for people downstream with flooding impacts.  The other part of that is that the stream erodes, and it washes all that sediment, that sediment is a primary pollutant, and so we are changing the shape of the stream and the habitat and its ability to support aquatic life, fish, with the pools and ripples, and we are sending all that stream, that sediment downstream, eventually into the Delaware Bay.  And sediment also settles out on the bottom of the stream channels and prevents aquatic organisms from breathing and supporting the food chain in the streams.	19:43:14	A. Yes, absolutely, especially for downstream properties.  Q. How about the disconnection of the stream channel from all of the associated wetlands?  A. Again, that has an impact, especially for downstream communities, on this site. The impact on the wetlands may be if there is not adequate recharge to supply the water to those wetlands, those wetlands can gradually diminish.  Q. How about reduction in the stream base flow, does that create an adverse impact?  A. Yes, same thing. If you are not putting the water in the ground to recharge the stream and providing the base flow, you are not supporting the stream properly.
9:41:06	connect to floodplains, so we just shoot all the water downstream. So we end up making things worse and worse and worse for people downstream with flooding impacts.  The other part of that is that the stream erodes, and it washes all that sediment, that sediment is a primary pollutant, and so we are changing the shape of the stream and the habitat and its ability to support aquatic life, fish, with the pools and ripples, and we are sending all that stream, that sediment downstream, eventually into the Delaware Bay.  And sediment also settles out on the bottom of the stream channels and prevents aquatic organisms from breathing and supporting the food chain in the streams.  There is a whole cascade of bad	19:43:14	A. Yes, absolutely, especially for downstream properties.  Q. How about the disconnection of the stream channel from all of the associated wetlands?  A. Again, that has an impact, especially for downstream communities, on this site. The impact on the wetlands may be if there is not adequate recharge to supply the water to those wetlands, those wetlands can gradually diminish.  Q. How about reduction in the stream base flow, does that create an adverse impact?  A. Yes, same thing. If you are not putting the water in the ground to recharge the stream and providing the base flow, you are not supporting the stream properly.  Q. How would the proposed alterations have
9:41:06	connect to floodplains, so we just shoot all the water downstream. So we end up making things worse and worse and worse for people downstream with flooding impacts.  The other part of that is that the stream erodes, and it washes all that sediment, that sediment is a primary pollutant, and so we are changing the shape of the stream and the habitat and its ability to support aquatic life, fish, with the pools and ripples, and we are sending all that stream, that sediment downstream, eventually into the Delaware Bay.  And sediment also settles out on the bottom of the stream channels and prevents aquatic organisms from breathing and supporting the food chain in the streams.  There is a whole cascade of bad things that happen when we put too much	19:43:14	A. Yes, absolutely, especially for downstream properties.  Q. How about the disconnection of the stream channel from all of the associated wetlands?  A. Again, that has an impact, especially for downstream communities, on this site. The impact on the wetlands may be if there is not adequate recharge to supply the water to those wetlands, those wetlands can gradually diminish.  Q. How about reduction in the stream base flow, does that create an adverse impact?  A. Yes, same thing. If you are not putting the water in the ground to recharge the stream and providing the base flow, you are not supporting the stream properly.  Q. How would the proposed alterations have an effect on stream temperature?

19:47:47

19:47:50

19:47:54

19:47:59

19:48:01 10

19:48:02 11

19:48:06 12

19:48:08 13

19:48:10 14

19:48:13 15

19:48:15 16

19:48:22 17

19:48:24 18

19:48:28 19

19:48:35 20

19:48:37 21

19:48:40 22

management for infiltration? A. No. And I think that's the point of 5 19:45:41 looking at the basins. In order to provide the 6 19:45:44 7 volume management for infiltration, and the 19:45:46 applicant has said that all the basins will Я 9 infiltrate, the basins have to be physically 19:45:50 19:45:53 10 capable of infiltrating. 19:45:55 11 If the basins are located in an

If the basins are located in an area of seasonal high water table, if, as
Basins 9 and 10 are, if they are located in areas where they are close to rock, they are not going to be able to infiltrate.

And I note that the test results

are not in the basins for the most part. They are nearby the basins. But the data that is available shows that they have not demonstrated at this point that these basins as shown can meet the township requirements of that managed volume.

**Q.** Does the proposed site design and stormwater management system eliminate

bottoms of basins, and where the perc tests
were done, often the perc tests were, there is
two sites where the perc tests were 10 feet
higher than where the bottom of the basin wou
be. So you are not testing the soil where you
are going to be trying to infiltrate it. You
are testing it higher. And soils tend to be
better and yet less capable of infiltration
until you get down onto rock.

And then often if you are close to rock you can -- water will drain very well, but that's not in keeping with the DEP requirements, because if you infiltrate right on the rock, you are sending all the pollutants right into the groundwater without a chance to remove those pollutants and filtrate it, filter the runoff, I should say.

Sorry. I'll have to slow down.

Q. I'm going to continue with the questioning. Has the proposed project demonstrated that it will provide adequate volume control?

19:48:41 **23 A. No.** 

19:48:47 **24 Q.** How do you know that?

19:45:58 12

19:46:07 **15** 19:46:10 **16** 

19:46:12 17

19:46:14 **18** 19:46:19 **19** 

19:46:29 **22** 

19:46:30 23

19:46:32 24

<sup>2</sup> 20

19:46:01 13

14

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

19:51:00

19:51:03

19:51:06

19:51:08

19:51:13

19:51:16

19:51:18

19:51:21

19:51:27

19:51:29 10

19:51:32 11

19:51:33 12

19:51:37 13

19:51:42 14

19:51:46 15

19:51:48 16

19:51:53 17

19;51:55 18

19:51:56 19

19:51:59 20

19:52:02 21

19:52:04 22

19:52:07 23

19:52:11 24

19:52:19

19:52:29

19:52:31

19:52:33

19:52:36

19:52:42

19:52:44 10

19:52:46 11

19:52:50 12

19:52:53 13

19:52:58 14

19:52:59 15

19:53:01 16

19:53:03 17

19:53:06 18

19:53:08 **19** 

19:53:13 20

19:53:17 21

19:53:19 22

19:53:22 23

19:53:25 24

2

3

5

6

7

R

A. Because the applicant has stated that volume control will be achieved through infiltration, and that all the basins are infiltration basins, but the location of the basins and the supporting site information does not support an engineering analysis that these basins will infiltrate.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

15 19:49:34 19:49:37 16

17

18

19:48:48

19:48:51

19:48:53

19:48:59

19:49:03

19:49:06

19:49:09

19:49:18

19:49:26

19:49:39

19:49:43

19:49:46 19 20

19:49:48 19:49:50 21

19:49:52 22

19:49:54 23

19:50:02

19:50:05

19:50:07

19:50:07

19:50:09

19:50:12

19:50:15

19:50:18

19-50-21

19:50:22

19:50:28

19:50:35 19:50:36 17

19:50:37 18 19 50:42 19

19:50:50 22

19:50:53 23

**17 24** 

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 19:50:25 19:50:28 12

13

14

15 19:50:32

16

20 A 19:50:48 21

10:40:23 11

19:49:32 14

Q. Is there an issue that you have identified with the down-slope area of the eastern townhouse cluster shown on the plan?

A. Well, it is not clear where all the, where all the runoff from all the sections of the development are going. The drawings are a little confusing. For instance, there is no one drawing that shows where the geotechnical testing was done in reference to the most recent layouts or the detention, the proposed detention basins.

Sometimes the basins show grading, proposed grading, but not other information, grading of the basin but not other information. It is not clear where that eastern townhouse development will be draining to. So if it is draining to Crum Creek or if

one watershed and divert it to another?

A. I believe it is cited in one of the sections of the township ordinance, and it is also generally frowned upon to move water from one watershed to another, because you are both sending stormwater somewhere it hasn't been going and you are diverting base flow from somewhere it used to ao.

Q. Talk about how the extensive cut and fill that's proposed would alter the soil conditions on the site.

A. Well, the basins are largely excavated, and sometimes pretty extensively excavated, multiple feet deep, and sloped. And when you do that amount of earth work, you tend to change the soil conditions so that it is very hard to achieve good infiltration in a deep basin like that.

And folks who pay attention to basins and probably notice lots of basins, where there is water sitting where it is not supposed to be, because that extensive earth work disturbs the soils, and a lot of fines and other sediment wash into the basin, and they

1617

1616

1619

it is draining to the east to Chester Creek, I 2 don't know what the stormwater management for 3 it is.

If it is draining to one of the basins then it will be taking water from one major watershed into the Radley Run Watershed, crossing the watershed divide. I don't know what the plans are from the information that's been provided.

Q. So you can't tell whether it is actually draining into the Chester Creek Watershed?

A. I can't tell. I can surmise that potentially they are bringing water over to Radley Run. But, again, that level of detail is not in the plans.

And I should note that the plans that are available online are scans of PDFs, so it is difficult to measure things. They are not -- you cannot measure and be accurate in your measurements. There was only one actual PDF which could be used to do engineering measurements on the files that were available.

Q. Is it a problem if you take water from

tend to clog, frankly, when you do very deep, extensive cuts for infiltration basins.

Q. Are the proposed lengths of various steep slopes along the sides of basins likely to cause erosion and discharge of sediment?

A. If they are not properly stabilized and properly maintained they will. And there is plenty of examples of basins where you can see channels that have been cut on the sides of basins where the mud has washed in, where the fabric has washed down post construction. And all that contributes to mud and runoff that enters the streams.

There is lots of examples. I could have brought pictures, and I can bring pictures if you like, of examples along the turnpike, along 202, along some different developments, where steep basins tend to have eroded side slopes, especially in the first year or two after development.

Q. Does the proposed design of the development in your opinion demonstrate that public health, safety and general welfare of property and water quality will be protected?

1620 1622 1 A. No, it does not. have been designed for, if water sits in these 19:53:28 19:56:07 1 2 Q. Does it comply with the protocols 2 basins. 19:53:33 19:56:09 3 written in the Pennsylvania stormwater best 3 Q. Post stormwater management on the plan 19:53:35 19:56:14 management practices manual? consists of only infiltration basins, which is 19:56:24 5 A. No, it doesn't, on a number of factors, 5 only one type of best management practice. 19:53:39 19:56:28 6 including calculation methodologies, distances 6 Should other best management practices be 19:53:41 19:56:30 7 between the bottom of basins and groundwater, 7 considered? 19:56:32 8 etcetera. 8 A. Well, the stormwater manual contains a 19:53:48 19:56:33 9 Q. Is there an issue with the weighted 9 great deal. The U.S. Green Building Council 19:56:37 19:53:50 averaging of the curve number values that you LEED system strongly advocates for a low-impact 10 10 19:53:55 11 have analyzed that were presented as part of 19:56:44 11 development approach, where runoff is managed 19:53:58 12 the development? 19:56:47 12 throughout the development footprint, in 19:53:58 13 A. There is, because the township 19:56:51 13 driveways, in lawns, on roofs throughout the 19:54:00 14 ordinance and the DEP requirements don't allow 19:56:54 14 site, where the soils are more suitable and engineer's to average land uses, because it is 19:56:58 15 more suitable for recharge and volume 19:54:04 15 not a linear mathematical relationship when you 19:57:02 16 reduction. 19:54:08 16 calculate the runoff, and if you average 19:57:03 17 So there are lots of ways to come 19:54:11 17 19:54:15 18 different land uses it tends to underestimate 19:57:07 18 up with a solution that would work for this the impacts of impervious surfaces, which is 19:54:17 19 19:57:10 19 site. 19:54:20 **20** why you calculate volume, you have to calculate 19:57:11 20 Q. There is a chart on page 8 of your 19:54:24 21 each land use type runoff volume on its own. 19:57:14 21 letter. Could you describe what that chart 19:54:28 22 Q. Is there a section of the ordinance 19:57:16 22 demonstrates? 19:57:19 23 19:54:30 23 A. Yes. This is getting to the that requires that or limits the ability to --34 **24** A. I believe so. I would have to look in 19:57:21 24 Pennsylvania DEP requirements. 1623 1621 1 my letter to find the section of the ordinance. **Because stormwater infiltration** 19:57:24 19:54:35 2 Q. It is at the top of page 7. 2 systems tend to fail if you put too much water 19:54:38 19:57:27 in one spot, the department uses something A. Thank you. Yes. Stormwater, the 19:57:29 19:54:40 called loading ratios, which is how much section is 144-305-I, .I, weighted averaging of 19:57:33 19:54:49 5 runoff coefficients shall not be used for impervious area can go to an infiltration 19:57:37 system. manual computations or input data for water 6 6 19:55:01 19:57:40 7 7 quality and runoff calculations. Sorry about So, for instance, if you have a 19:57:40 19:55:11 8 one-acre infiltration system and your loading 8 that. 19:57:43 19:55:16 ratio is five, that means five acres of 9 Q. So the plan doesn't comply with this 19:55:17 19:57:46 19:55:20 10 impervious area go to one acre of infiltration. requirement? 19:57:48 10 19:55:20 11 These are our estimated loading A. It does not comply with this 19:57:51 11 19:55:21 12 ratios for the plan as it been submitted, and requirement. 19:57:53 12 19:55:22 13 Q. I think you have touched on this 19:57:58 13 you can see they range from as high as 120 down 19:58:01 14 to 7 in basin A-7. before, but did the infiltration basins' 14 19:55:34 location, either directly along waterways and 19:58:03 15 Q. And just so we are clear, what is the 19:55:37 15 19:55:40 16 loading ratio? wetlands, low lying areas, create issues for 19:58:05 16 19:55:44 17 19:58:06 17 A. The loading ratio is the ratio of how central water pollution? 19:55:47 18 A. Well, they do because the basins are 19:58:08 18 much impervious area to the area of the 19:55:50 19 less likely to perform their function for 19:58:12 19 stormwater infiltration system, with the idea volume reduction or for water quality 19:58:15 20 being if you put too much water on one spot it 20 4 19:55:56 21 improvement or for even peak rate control, if 19:58:18 21 is not going to infiltrate. You are going to 19:55:59 22 they are in conditions where they can't 19:58:20 22 overload it. It is going to sit there. 19:56:02 23 infiltrate as designed. They don't provide 19:58:21 23 There is some flexibility in adequate capacity for the amount of runoff they 19:58:24 24 loading ratios, but these are also very high 19:56:04 24 Page 1620 to 1623 of 1754 28 of 61 sheets 10/27/2017 07:37:52 AM

	1624		1626
19:58:27	numbers. Basin A-2	20:00:41 1	A. You could put, you could put a basin
19:58:29 2	Q. Well, let's talk, BMP manual has, what	20:00:43	anywhere. That doesn't mean it is going to
19:58:36 3	is the loading ratio that's proposed or	20:00:45 3	infiltrate. They may work for detention, for
0 4	suggested in that manual?	20:00:49 4	peak rate control, but the applicant is
19:58:41 5	A. As I recall, I think it is five for	20:00:51 5	representing that these are intended to be
19:58:43 6	impervious areas to stormwater infiltration	20:00:52 6	infiltration basins to manage volume.
19:58:48 7	systems. I would have to check that. But I	20:01:04 7	MR. HAWS: I'm seeing the court
19:58:52	believe it is five.	8	reporter shaking her head. Maybe we need to
19:58:53	The department does give some	20:01:06 9	break.
19:58:56 10	leeway depending on site conditions. But they	20:01:06 10	MR. MCKENNA: I wasn't sure how
19:59:00 11	are generally	20:01:09 11	many more questions you had.
19:59:01 12	Q. So five-to-one is the loading ratio?	20:01:09 12	MR. THOMPSON: I have a little
19:59:04 13	A. Five-to-one would be a requirement to	20:01:10 13	more.
19:59:06 14	be sure that the system is going to infiltrate.	20:01:10 14	MR. MCKENNA: That's fine. Let's
19:59:09 15	Q. And that's to determine that the system	20:01:10 15	take our ten-minute break now. Thank you.
19:59:12 16	is adequately designed to handle the	20:01:12 16	(Recess taken.)
19:59:14 17	impervious?	20:17:24 17	MR. MCKENNA: Eleanor, let's go
19:59:16 18	A. Correct. Because if you put too much	20:17:28 18	back on the record.
19:59:18 19	water in one spot, and the soil doesn't have	20:17:29 19	Folks, I have spoken with the
19:59:22 20	the capacity, for various reasons, to take that	20:17:30 20	Board and we have a few quick things. First,
19:59:26 21	much water, it is not going to get infiltrated.	20:17:33 21	it is incredibly likely that we are all going
19:59:28 22	It is going to discharge.	20:17:36 22	to be back here November at a minimum for
19:59:29 23	Q. Let's talk about the ratios in the	20:17:39 23	public comment. Even if public comment is
31 24	chart that are provided for, for the basins.	20:17:41 24	started tonight, it is unlikely we will finish.
31 4	chart that are provided for, for the basins.	20:17:41	started torngrit, it is drinkery we will imisit.
3 24	1625	20:17:41 24	1627
19:59:36		20:17:44 1	
	1625		1627
19:59:36	1625 What is the loading ratio proposed for basin	20:17:44 1	So I'm telling you now we will be back on the
19:59:36 <b>1</b> 19:59:39 <b>2</b>	1625 What is the loading ratio proposed for basin A-1?	20:17:44 <b>1</b> 20:17:47 <b>2</b>	1627 So I'm telling you now we will be back on the 27th.
19:59:36 <b>1</b> 19:59:39 <b>2</b> 19:59:40 <b>3</b>	What is the loading ratio proposed for basin A-1?  A. Basin A-1 says 32.	20:17:44 <b>1</b> 20:17:47 <b>2</b> 20:17:47 <b>3</b>	So I'm telling you now we will be back on the 27th.  I tell you that in case anybody
19:59:36 <b>1</b> 19:59:39 <b>2</b> 19:59:40 <b>3</b> 19:59:47 <b>4</b>	What is the loading ratio proposed for basin A-1?  A. Basin A-1 says 32. Q. Is that acceptable?	20:17:44 <b>1</b> 20:17:47 <b>2</b> 20:17:47 <b>3</b> 20:17:48 <b>4</b>	So I'm telling you now we will be back on the 27th.  I tell you that in case anybody wants to go watch the Dodgers and the World
19.59:36	What is the loading ratio proposed for basin A-1?  A. Basin A-1 says 32. Q. Is that acceptable? A. No. That wouldn't be acceptable. The	20:17:44	So I'm telling you now we will be back on the 27th.  I tell you that in case anybody wants to go watch the Dodgers and the World Series play, feel free. You will have an
19:59:36	What is the loading ratio proposed for basin A-1?  A. Basin A-1 says 32. Q. Is that acceptable? A. No. That wouldn't be acceptable. The Pennsylvania DEP might allow a department a	20:17:44	So I'm telling you now we will be back on the 27th.  I tell you that in case anybody wants to go watch the Dodgers and the World Series play, feel free. You will have an opportunity next month at a minimum. We are
19:59:36	What is the loading ratio proposed for basin A-1? A. Basin A-1 says 32. Q. Is that acceptable? A. No. That wouldn't be acceptable. The Pennsylvania DEP might allow a department a ratio that high if there were very good	20:17:44	So I'm telling you now we will be back on the 27th.  I tell you that in case anybody wants to go watch the Dodgers and the World Series play, feel free. You will have an opportunity next month at a minimum. We are going to do our best to finish the testimony
19:59:36	What is the loading ratio proposed for basin A-1?  A. Basin A-1 says 32. Q. Is that acceptable? A. No. That wouldn't be acceptable. The Pennsylvania DEP might allow a department a ratio that high if there were very good site-specific conditions. But as we have	20:17:44	So I'm telling you now we will be back on the 27th.  I tell you that in case anybody wants to go watch the Dodgers and the World Series play, feel free. You will have an opportunity next month at a minimum. We are going to do our best to finish the testimony tonight, I will tell you that.
19:59:36	What is the loading ratio proposed for basin A-1? A. Basin A-1 says 32. Q. Is that acceptable? A. No. That wouldn't be acceptable. The Pennsylvania DEP might allow a department a ratio that high if there were very good site-specific conditions. But as we have talked about, that hasn't been supported by the	20:17:44	So I'm telling you now we will be back on the 27th.  I tell you that in case anybody wants to go watch the Dodgers and the World Series play, feel free. You will have an opportunity next month at a minimum. We are going to do our best to finish the testimony tonight, I will tell you that.  Secondly, I want to go quick out
19:59:36	What is the loading ratio proposed for basin A-1?  A. Basin A-1 says 32. Q. Is that acceptable? A. No. That wouldn't be acceptable. The Pennsylvania DEP might allow a department a ratio that high if there were very good site-specific conditions. But as we have talked about, that hasn't been supported by the geotechnical data that's been submitted with	20:17:44	So I'm telling you now we will be back on the 27th.  I tell you that in case anybody wants to go watch the Dodgers and the World Series play, feel free. You will have an opportunity next month at a minimum. We are going to do our best to finish the testimony tonight, I will tell you that.  Secondly, I want to go quick out of order. I have the consent of counsel, I
19:59:36	What is the loading ratio proposed for basin A-1?  A. Basin A-1 says 32. Q. Is that acceptable? A. No. That wouldn't be acceptable. The Pennsylvania DEP might allow a department a ratio that high if there were very good site-specific conditions. But as we have talked about, that hasn't been supported by the geotechnical data that's been submitted with this application.	20:17:44	So I'm telling you now we will be back on the 27th.  I tell you that in case anybody wants to go watch the Dodgers and the World Series play, feel free. You will have an opportunity next month at a minimum. We are going to do our best to finish the testimony tonight, I will tell you that.  Secondly, I want to go quick out of order. I have the consent of counsel, I have the consent of the Board to have just very
19:59:36	What is the loading ratio proposed for basin A-1?  A. Basin A-1 says 32. Q. Is that acceptable? A. No. That wouldn't be acceptable. The Pennsylvania DEP might allow a department a ratio that high if there were very good site-specific conditions. But as we have talked about, that hasn't been supported by the geotechnical data that's been submitted with this application. Q. How about Basin 2?	20:17:44 1 20:17:47 2 20:17:47 3 20:17:48 4 20:17:52 5 20:17:54 6 20:17:55 7 20:17:58 8 20:17:59 9 20:18:01 10 20:18:03 11 20:18:06 12	So I'm telling you now we will be back on the 27th.  I tell you that in case anybody wants to go watch the Dodgers and the World Series play, feel free. You will have an opportunity next month at a minimum. We are going to do our best to finish the testimony tonight, I will tell you that.  Secondly, I want to go quick out of order. I have the consent of counsel, I have the consent of the Board to have just very limited public. Ms. Laura Steidle, she is
19:59:36	What is the loading ratio proposed for basin A-1?  A. Basin A-1 says 32. Q. Is that acceptable? A. No. That wouldn't be acceptable. The Pennsylvania DEP might allow a department a ratio that high if there were very good site-specific conditions. But as we have talked about, that hasn't been supported by the geotechnical data that's been submitted with this application. Q. How about Basin 2? A. Basin 2, it is 120-to-one. And these	20:17:44	So I'm telling you now we will be back on the 27th.  I tell you that in case anybody wants to go watch the Dodgers and the World Series play, feel free. You will have an opportunity next month at a minimum. We are going to do our best to finish the testimony tonight, I will tell you that.  Secondly, I want to go quick out of order. I have the consent of counsel, I have the consent of the Board to have just very limited public. Ms. Laura Steidle, she is here. She has children. I would like to
19:59:36	What is the loading ratio proposed for basin A-1?  A. Basin A-1 says 32. Q. Is that acceptable? A. No. That wouldn't be acceptable. The Pennsylvania DEP might allow a department a ratio that high if there were very good site-specific conditions. But as we have talked about, that hasn't been supported by the geotechnical data that's been submitted with this application. Q. How about Basin 2? A. Basin 2, it is 120-to-one. And these are our estimates based on the documents that	20:17:44	So I'm telling you now we will be back on the 27th.  I tell you that in case anybody wants to go watch the Dodgers and the World Series play, feel free. You will have an opportunity next month at a minimum. We are going to do our best to finish the testimony tonight, I will tell you that.  Secondly, I want to go quick out of order. I have the consent of counsel, I have the consent of the Board to have just very limited public. Ms. Laura Steidle, she is here. She has children. I would like to accommodate her very quickly if we could. So I
19:59:36	What is the loading ratio proposed for basin A-1?  A. Basin A-1 says 32. Q. Is that acceptable? A. No. That wouldn't be acceptable. The Pennsylvania DEP might allow a department a ratio that high if there were very good site-specific conditions. But as we have talked about, that hasn't been supported by the geotechnical data that's been submitted with this application. Q. How about Basin 2? A. Basin 2, it is 120-to-one. And these are our estimates based on the documents that are available on the township's website.	20:17:44	So I'm telling you now we will be back on the 27th.  I tell you that in case anybody wants to go watch the Dodgers and the World Series play, feel free. You will have an opportunity next month at a minimum. We are going to do our best to finish the testimony tonight, I will tell you that.  Secondly, I want to go quick out of order. I have the consent of counsel, I have the consent of the Board to have just very limited public. Ms. Laura Steidle, she is here. She has children. I would like to accommodate her very quickly if we could. So I know she doesn't want to stay here any longer
19:59:36	What is the loading ratio proposed for basin A-1?  A. Basin A-1 says 32. Q. Is that acceptable? A. No. That wouldn't be acceptable. The Pennsylvania DEP might allow a department a ratio that high if there were very good site-specific conditions. But as we have talked about, that hasn't been supported by the geotechnical data that's been submitted with this application. Q. How about Basin 2? A. Basin 2, it is 120-to-one. And these are our estimates based on the documents that are available on the township's website. Q. With that basin, would that loading	20:17:44 1 20:17:47 2 20:17:47 3 20:17:48 4 20:17:52 5 20:17:54 6 20:17:55 7 20:17:58 8 20:17:59 9 20:18:01 10 20:18:03 11 20:18:06 12 20:18:16 13 20:18:16 14 20:18:16 15	So I'm telling you now we will be back on the 27th.  I tell you that in case anybody wants to go watch the Dodgers and the World Series play, feel free. You will have an opportunity next month at a minimum. We are going to do our best to finish the testimony tonight, I will tell you that.  Secondly, I want to go quick out of order. I have the consent of counsel, I have the consent of the Board to have just very limited public. Ms. Laura Steidle, she is here. She has children. I would like to accommodate her very quickly if we could. So I know she doesn't want to stay here any longer than she absolutely needs to.
19:59:36	What is the loading ratio proposed for basin A-1?  A. Basin A-1 says 32. Q. Is that acceptable? A. No. That wouldn't be acceptable. The Pennsylvania DEP might allow a department a ratio that high if there were very good site-specific conditions. But as we have talked about, that hasn't been supported by the geotechnical data that's been submitted with this application. Q. How about Basin 2? A. Basin 2, it is 120-to-one. And these are our estimates based on the documents that are available on the township's website. Q. With that basin, would that loading ratio be permitted?	20:17:44	So I'm telling you now we will be back on the 27th.  I tell you that in case anybody wants to go watch the Dodgers and the World Series play, feel free. You will have an opportunity next month at a minimum. We are going to do our best to finish the testimony tonight, I will tell you that.  Secondly, I want to go quick out of order. I have the consent of counsel, I have the consent of the Board to have just very limited public. Ms. Laura Steidle, she is here. She has children. I would like to accommodate her very quickly if we could. So I know she doesn't want to stay here any longer than she absolutely needs to.  So unless there is anybody has
19:59:36	What is the loading ratio proposed for basin A-1?  A. Basin A-1 says 32. Q. Is that acceptable? A. No. That wouldn't be acceptable. The Pennsylvania DEP might allow a department a ratio that high if there were very good site-specific conditions. But as we have talked about, that hasn't been supported by the geotechnical data that's been submitted with this application. Q. How about Basin 2? A. Basin 2, it is 120-to-one. And these are our estimates based on the documents that are available on the township's website. Q. With that basin, would that loading ratio be permitted? A. No. That's exceedingly high. Basin 2	20:17:44 1 20:17:47 2 20:17:47 3 20:17:48 4 20:17:52 5 20:17:54 6 20:17:55 7 20:17:58 8 20:17:59 9 20:18:01 10 20:18:03 11 20:18:06 12 20:18:10 13 20:18:11 14 20:18:14 15 20:18:16 16 20:18:17 17 20:18:18 18	So I'm telling you now we will be back on the 27th.  I tell you that in case anybody wants to go watch the Dodgers and the World Series play, feel free. You will have an opportunity next month at a minimum. We are going to do our best to finish the testimony tonight, I will tell you that.  Secondly, I want to go quick out of order. I have the consent of counsel, I have the consent of the Board to have just very limited public. Ms. Laura Steidle, she is here. She has children. I would like to accommodate her very quickly if we could. So I know she doesn't want to stay here any longer than she absolutely needs to.  So unless there is anybody has any objection, and I have spoken with counsel,
19:59:36	What is the loading ratio proposed for basin A-1?  A. Basin A-1 says 32. Q. Is that acceptable? A. No. That wouldn't be acceptable. The Pennsylvania DEP might allow a department a ratio that high if there were very good site-specific conditions. But as we have talked about, that hasn't been supported by the geotechnical data that's been submitted with this application. Q. How about Basin 2? A. Basin 2, it is 120-to-one. And these are our estimates based on the documents that are available on the township's website. Q. With that basin, would that loading ratio be permitted? A. No. That's exceedingly high. Basin 2 is a small basin down here above the pond, so	20:17:44 1 20:17:47 2 20:17:47 3 20:17:48 4 20:17:52 5 20:17:54 6 20:17:55 7 20:17:58 8 20:17:59 9 20:18:01 10 20:18:03 11 20:18:06 12 20:18:10 13 20:18:11 14 20:18:14 15 20:18:16 16 20:18:17 17 20:18:18 18 20:18:21 19	So I'm telling you now we will be back on the 27th.  I tell you that in case anybody wants to go watch the Dodgers and the World Series play, feel free. You will have an opportunity next month at a minimum. We are going to do our best to finish the testimony tonight, I will tell you that.  Secondly, I want to go quick out of order. I have the consent of counsel, I have the consent of the Board to have just very limited public. Ms. Laura Steidle, she is here. She has children. I would like to accommodate her very quickly if we could. So I know she doesn't want to stay here any longer than she absolutely needs to.  So unless there is anybody has any objection, and I have spoken with counsel, why don't we go ahead and have your quick
19:59:36	What is the loading ratio proposed for basin A-1?  A. Basin A-1 says 32. Q. Is that acceptable? A. No. That wouldn't be acceptable. The Pennsylvania DEP might allow a department a ratio that high if there were very good site-specific conditions. But as we have talked about, that hasn't been supported by the geotechnical data that's been submitted with this application. Q. How about Basin 2? A. Basin 2, it is 120-to-one. And these are our estimates based on the documents that are available on the township's website. Q. With that basin, would that loading ratio be permitted? A. No. That's exceedingly high. Basin 2 is a small basin down here above the pond, so that's likely to be a wet spot to begin with.	20:17:44 1 20:17:47 2 20:17:47 3 20:17:48 4 20:17:52 5 20:17:54 6 20:17:55 7 20:17:58 8 20:17:59 9 20:18:01 10 20:18:03 11 20:18:03 12 20:18:10 13 20:18:11 14 20:18:14 15 20:18:16 16 20:18:17 17 20:18:18 18 20:18:21 19 20:18:23 20	So I'm telling you now we will be back on the 27th.  I tell you that in case anybody wants to go watch the Dodgers and the World Series play, feel free. You will have an opportunity next month at a minimum. We are going to do our best to finish the testimony tonight, I will tell you that.  Secondly, I want to go quick out of order. I have the consent of counsel, I have the consent of the Board to have just very limited public. Ms. Laura Steidle, she is here. She has children. I would like to accommodate her very quickly if we could. So I know she doesn't want to stay here any longer than she absolutely needs to.  So unless there is anybody has any objection, and I have spoken with counsel, why don't we go ahead and have your quick public comment. Go ahead now.
19:59:36	What is the loading ratio proposed for basin A-1?  A. Basin A-1 says 32. Q. Is that acceptable? A. No. That wouldn't be acceptable. The Pennsylvania DEP might allow a department a ratio that high if there were very good site-specific conditions. But as we have talked about, that hasn't been supported by the geotechnical data that's been submitted with this application. Q. How about Basin 2? A. Basin 2, it is 120-to-one. And these are our estimates based on the documents that are available on the township's website. Q. With that basin, would that loading ratio be permitted? A. No. That's exceedingly high. Basin 2 is a small basin down here above the pond, so that's likely to be a wet spot to begin with. You have got a little tributary, Radley Run	20:17:44 1 20:17:47 2 20:17:47 3 20:17:48 4 20:17:52 5 20:17:54 6 20:17:55 7 20:17:58 8 20:17:59 9 20:18:01 10 20:18:03 11 20:18:04 12 20:18:10 13 20:18:11 14 20:18:14 15 20:18:14 15 20:18:17 17 20:18:18 18 20:18:21 19 20:18:23 20 20:18:27 21	So I'm telling you now we will be back on the 27th.  I tell you that in case anybody wants to go watch the Dodgers and the World Series play, feel free. You will have an opportunity next month at a minimum. We are going to do our best to finish the testimony tonight, I will tell you that.  Secondly, I want to go quick out of order. I have the consent of counsel, I have the consent of the Board to have just very limited public. Ms. Laura Steidle, she is here. She has children. I would like to accommodate her very quickly if we could. So I know she doesn't want to stay here any longer than she absolutely needs to.  So unless there is anybody has any objection, and I have spoken with counsel, why don't we go ahead and have your quick public comment. Go ahead now.  MS. STEIDLE: Hello. I'm going
19:59:36	What is the loading ratio proposed for basin A-1?  A. Basin A-1 says 32. Q. Is that acceptable? A. No. That wouldn't be acceptable. The Pennsylvania DEP might allow a department a ratio that high if there were very good site-specific conditions. But as we have talked about, that hasn't been supported by the geotechnical data that's been submitted with this application. Q. How about Basin 2? A. Basin 2, it is 120-to-one. And these are our estimates based on the documents that are available on the township's website. Q. With that basin, would that loading ratio be permitted? A. No. That's exceedingly high. Basin 2 is a small basin down here above the pond, so that's likely to be a wet spot to begin with. You have got a little tributary, Radley Run coming in at that pond right there, and Basin 2	20:17:44 1 20:17:47 2 20:17:47 3 20:17:48 4 20:17:52 5 20:17:54 6 20:17:55 7 20:17:58 8 20:17:59 9 20:18:01 10 20:18:03 11 20:18:04 12 20:18:16 14 20:18:16 15 20:18:17 17 20:18:18 18 20:18:21 19 20:18:21 20 20:18:27 21 20:18:30 22	So I'm telling you now we will be back on the 27th.  I tell you that in case anybody wants to go watch the Dodgers and the World Series play, feel free. You will have an opportunity next month at a minimum. We are going to do our best to finish the testimony tonight, I will tell you that.  Secondly, I want to go quick out of order. I have the consent of counsel, I have the consent of the Board to have just very limited public. Ms. Laura Steidle, she is here. She has children. I would like to accommodate her very quickly if we could. So I know she doesn't want to stay here any longer than she absolutely needs to.  So unless there is anybody has any objection, and I have spoken with counsel, why don't we go ahead and have your quick public comment. Go ahead now.  MS. STEIDLE: Hello. I'm going to try to get through this without getting as

1628 1630 1 I need your name, and spelling, please. 1 our future. 20:20:54 2 2 MS. STEIDLE: Laura Steidle, 20:20:55 It really should be maintained 20:18:35 3 S-T-E-I-D-L-E. 3 for future generations. In addition to that, 20:18:38 20:20:58 So I'm going to try to get my children will be going to school in the West 13 20:21:04 5 through this without being as emotion as I can. 5 Chester School District. The way that the 20:21:07 20:18:45 elementary schools are going to have to be 6 I've already come into this with heightened 6 20:18:48 reshuffled to allow for the additional students 7 emotions since this is a piece of property that 20:18:50 20:21:14 from the 350 Toll Brothers homes. 8 means a lot to me, as well as many of the 8 20:21:16 20:18:52 q I also don't understand why a 9 community members. 20:18:54 20:21:23 I was very fortunate to have 20:21:25 10 referendum for the open space that is currently 20:18:55 10 20:21:27 11 11 grown up in the area and be able to share the available hasn't been worked on for these 20:18:58 upcoming elections. They are speaking, you are 12 beauty of this community. After spending ten 20:21:30 12 20:19:01 years away, when it was time to decide where to 13 20:21:34 13 asking these questions about what we want our 20:19:04 20:21:36 14 open space to be. We have given you, we are 14 raise a family, I couldn't think of a better 20:19:06 15 place. 20:21:38 15 giving you the answers, but the meeting is past 20:19:08 the point of when this decision will be made. 16 I grew up off of 926, in Spring 20:21:41 16 20:19:09 Meadows, in Birmingham Township. I now live in I really appreciate you allowing 17 20:21:43 17 20:19:11 18 Westtown Township. I drive past this 20:21:46 18 me to take the time on this busy night for all 20:19:14 19 quintessential Chester County property every 20:21:51 19 of us to have the chance to express how 20:19:19 20:19:20 **20** passionate I am about saving this piece of single day. 20:21:56 20 20:19:21 21 I am here to state my 20:21:58 21 property. Thank you. 20:22:09 22 20:19:22 22 disappointment of the development of Crebilly MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, ma'am, 20:19:25 23 Farm. I can't believe that it has even gotten 20:22:11 23 for you Batman being here this evening. Thank 24 to this point. I moved here to be able to show 20:22:14 24 you, counsel, for the accommodation to allow 28 1629 1631 1 her --1 my children what the beauty of Chester County 20:22:16 20:19:35 2 is. I'm really uncertain as to how the 2 MS. STEIDLE: Thank you. 20:22:17 20:19:38 township even has allowed it to get to this 3 MR. MCKENNA: -- to allow her to 20:22:17 20:19:44 point, as I have received recently numerous make her comments this evening. Ma'am, I don't 4 20:19:47 20:22:19 surveys that have asked me what I would like 5 think you did, if you wouldn't mind, could we 5 20:19:52 20:22:21 our township to be like. 6 have your address? 6 20:22:22 20:19:54 7 MS. STEIDLE: 601 East Pleasant 7 They have been asking about what 20:22:23 20:19:56 R we would like our lands to be, what we would 8 Grove Road. 20-22-26 20:19:57 like the open space, what we would like 9 MR. MCKENNA: Thank you very 9 20:22:26 20:19:59 much. All right. With that in mind, Mr. development. I don't understand why these 20:22:27 10 10 20:20:01 questions are being asked now. These questions 20:22:31 11 Thompson, return back, if it is all right, to 11 20:20:04 that people have been answering are telling you 20:22:34 12 the direct of Ms. Adams. 12 20:20:08 BY MR. THOMPSON: what we want, and we are telling you that we 20:22:38 13 13 20:20:11 14 want open space, that we want land for our 20:22:39 14 **Q.** Ms. Adams, we are back on the record. 20:20:15 20:20:17 15 children to have, to see the beauty of the 20:22:45 15 We were just talking about the chart on page 8 nature, as well as having the opportunity to 20:22:49 16 in your review letter concerning the loading 20:20:20 16 ratios associated with the basins that are 20:20:26 17 have these historic areas that we are so 20:22:52 17 proposed on the plan. You don't have to go 20:20:29 18 fortunate to have. 20:22:55 18 20:22:58 19 through all of the basins, but are any of these 20:20:30 19 As a taxpayer I feel like the basins, Basin 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10, township should be doing more to protect this 20:23:00 20 20 7 compliant with that maximum loading ratio? 20:20:40 21 for our future generations. I don't think it 20:23:06 21 20:23:10 22 A. No, not to the factor of one, no. 20:20:43 22 is fair to back pedal and to speak about other 20:20:49 23 20:23:15 23 Q. Just so that we are clear, the issues things that you want to do for the future when associated with loading ratios that are you don't consider this piece of property into 20:20:52 24 20:23:18 24

1632 1634 1 significantly higher than what is permitted, 1 and dead zones, where there is no oxygen 20:23:22 20:25:43 2 what are those issues? 2 because of the decaying algae mass. 20:23:25 20:25:45 3 A. Well, if you put too much water in one 3 Sometimes it is a problem in the 20:23:27 20:25:48 spot, it is not even if infiltration is viable Brandywine. You will see it in the Brandywine 20:25:49 5 at that location, you may not infiltrate the 5 River. You will see algal mats form in the 20:23:36 20:25:51 6 water in the time required by the ordinances, 6 summertime, if flows are low, depending where 20:23:39 20:25:54 7 say 72 hours. The soils tend to stay saturated 7 you are on the Brandywine. But these are the 20:23:42 20:25:58 and change conditions, and then they don't 8 nutrients that cause excess algal growth. 20:23:47 20:26:00 9 infiltrate at all even if there is good 9 Q. You had mentioned or you provided an 20:23:49 20:26:04 10 underlying infiltration. 20:26:07 10 opinion about the incorrect curve number value 20:23:52 It carries, it is more water than 20:26:11 11 being utilized. Could you elaborate on that? 20:23:53 12 the soil can handle in the time that the 20:26:13 12 A. Oh. I think I mentioned earlier the 20:23:57 13 stormwater calculations are required to show 20:26:17 13 curve number is, the engineers apply different 20:24:00 20:24:02 14 that the water would be infiltrated. 20:26:22 14 coefficients to represent different land uses 15 Q. Does that create a threat to public 15 and how much runoff will come off of land uses. 20:24:05 20-26-24 20:24:08 16 health and the issues related to stormwater 20:26:26 16 And the curve number is the most common way of 20:24:12 17 pollution? 20:26:31 17 doing that. 18 A. Well, when basins that are designed to 20:26:32 18 And there were, I think I noted 20:24:13 infiltrate don't infiltrate, then that 20:26:34 19 on page 9 where there were -- there is 19 20:24:18 20 increases the amount of water sent downstream, 20:26:38 20 situations in the calculations that were 20:24:21 21 and it correspondingly increases the flow rate 20:26:40 21 provided in the October stormwater narrative 22 sent downstream, and conveys with it the 20:26:43 22 where the correct curve number wasn't applied 20:24:27 23 pollutants from the property in question, and 20:26:46 23 according to the tables. It looks like an 29 24 then those increased flows cause stream bank 20:26:48 24 error. 1633 1635 1 erosion, picking up additional pollutants as 20:26:48 Q. So does that cause an issue with the 20:24:32 2 2 post-development peak rate runoff being the streams are eroded downstream. 20:24:35 20:26:54 Q. When you talk about pollutants, are 3 reduced? 3 20:26:55 20:24:37 4 A. If you don't apply the right curve 4 pollutants such as lawn chemicals, things of 20:26:56 20:24:39 5 number, then you will underestimate how much 5 that nature? 20:26:58 20:24:42 6 runoff the site will be generating, 6 A. Lawn chemicals tend to be, fertilizers 20:24:43 20:27:03 7 mathematical mistakes. 7 and pesticides, they are, probably the 20:24:48 nutrients of concern would be nitrogen, 8 Q. Go ahead, continue. 8 20:27:09 nitrates and phosphorous. Phosphorous, when it 9 A. Well, it is one mistake. And then you 9 20:27:11 20:24:54 is in homeowners' fertilizer, tends to bind to 20:27:13 10 are not allowed to average these curve numbers, 10 20:24:59 the sediment so if a basin holds the sediment 11 as I spoke about earlier, to calculate volume. 11 20:25:02 20:27:16 20:25:05 12 it would probably slow down the phosphorous. 20:27:18 12 You have to calculate each land use, If a homeowner applies fertilizers with 20:27:21 13 essentially on individually, to estimate volume 13 20:25:08 14 nitrates, they tend to wash off, go through 20:27:24 14 of runoff and to demonstrate that you can 20:25:11 20:25:15 15 basins, etcetera. 20:27:26 15 manage the volume. 20:27:28 16 Q. And the sum total of those issues, the 20:25:17 16 Q. So a basin wouldn't, would not prevent 20:25:21 17 downstream accumulation of those types of 20:27:32 17 incorrect curve number calculations, could 20:25:24 18 pollutants? 20:27:34 18 potentially cause health, safety and welfare 20:25:25 19 A. No, not significantly. 20:27:37 19 issues for downstream properties; is that a Q. What types of issues do those 20:27:41 20 fair statement? 20 17 21 pollutants cause to downstream environs? 20:27:41 21 A. That is a fair statement. These are 20:25:29 20:27:44 22 22 A. The nutrients are the reason the algal cumulative impacts that impact the design of 20:25:32 20:25:36 23 bloom takes off from water bodies such as the 20:27:49 23 whether or not it works. Delaware Bay, where you have large alga blooms 20:25:39 24 Q. All of these, all of the opinions that Page 1632 to 1635 of 1754 10/27/2017 07:37:52 AM 31 of 61 sheets

	1636		1638
20:27:53 1	you have rendered, they have been rendered in	20:29:57	again.
	your capacity as a professional engineer and	20:29:57 2	Q. Right. So there is a whole bunch of
	are your opinion as a professional?	20:29:59 3	these that you have here generally, at least in
4 4	A. Yes, with a fair degree of scientific	20:30:01 4	your testimony, you are testifying on behalf
î	certainty.	20:30:04 5	of, not the developer or the institutional
	Q. Is there anything else you would like	20:30:06 6	development, but you are testifying on behalf
	to offer concerning the design of the site and	20:30:09 7	of a protestant?
	its ability to handle stormwater?		MR. THOMPSON: I'm going to
	A. There are many locations of		object to that. She has answered that she
	well-draining soils on the site. The site	20:30:13 9	provides testimony on many development
	_	20:30:16	projects.
20:28:26 11 20:28:33 12	could be developed differently if chosen to be.	20:30:17	MR. ADELMAN: I am allowed to ask
	MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Ms.  Adams. I don't have any further questions.	20:30:18 12	questions with respect to the witness' bias.
	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman,	20:30:19 13	MR. MCKENNA: I understand. I
20:28:38 14	W.		would like to not make it duplicative, is all.
	cross-examine.	20:30:23 15	MR. ADELMAN: I'm going through
20:28:40 16	MR. ADELMAN: Thank you.	20:30:25 16	
17	CROSS-EXAMINATION	20:30:26 17	each one of these. She says she actually does work on behalf of developers. And I'm talking
	BY MR. ADELMAN:	20:30:29 18	•
20:28:41 19	Q. Good evening, Ms. Adams.	20:30:32 19	about her testimony right now and hearings.
20:28:42 20	A. Good evening.	20:30:34 20	MR. MCKENNA: Her testimony was
20:28:43 21	Q. In looking at your resume, curriculum	20:30:35 21	that she does land development work for
	vitae, which was introduced as NC-4, isn't it	20:30:38 22	institutional clients.
	true that generally you don't represent	20:30:39 23	MR. ADELMAN: That's right. Now
1 1 24 0	developers? You represent either protestants	20:30:40 24	I'm looking at page, whatever this is, five of  1639
	1637 or environmental groups?	20:30:43	her resume, and I'm going through each one of
20:28:54 1 0	A. No. In fact, most of my office revenue	20:30:45 2	these, finding out who she represented and
	comes from land development projects. They	20:30:47 3	testified for in those hearings.
	tend to be institutional in nature rather than,	20:30:49 4	MR. MCKENNA: That's fine. I
	you know, residential such as Toll Brothers,	20:30:51 5	don't think it is inconsistent, however, with
	although we have done residential developments.	20:30:53 6	her statement as to those institutional
20:29:12 7	Q. On the sixth page of your resume where	20:30:55 7	clients.
l	you have testified in hearings, there is a	20:30:55	MR. ADELMAN: That's great. I
20:29:22 9	whole number of applications listed here, are	20:30:57	moved on to her testimony as an expert witness.
20:29:25 10	you saying that you did work on behalf of the	20:30:59 10	MR. MCKENNA: That's fine. I
·	Tennessee Pipeline as opposed to the Delaware	20:31:02 11	overrule the objection.
20:29:33 12	Riverkeeper?	20:31:03 12	BY MR. ADELMAN:
20:29:33 13	A. No. I'm saying that most of my office	20:31:03 13	Q. Okay. So when I look at the rest of
	revenue comes from land development projects.	20:31:05 14	the testimony then, Citizens for Pennsylvania
20:29:35 <b>14</b> I		1	
20:29:35 <b>14</b> I 20:29:37 <b>15</b>	Q. How about Blue Mountain Preservation	20:31:08 15	Future versus City of Pittsburgh, who did you
20:29:37 15	Q. How about Blue Mountain Preservation Association versus Alpine Development Rose	20:31:08 15 20:31:10 16	Future versus City of Pittsburgh, who did you active on behalf of in that action?
20:29:37 15 20:29:40 16 /			
20:29:37 <b>15</b> 20:29:40 <b>16</b> 20:29:42 <b>17</b>	Association versus Alpine Development Rose	20:31:10 16	active on behalf of in that action?
20:29:37 <b>15</b> 20:29:40 <b>16</b> 20:29:42 <b>17</b>	Association versus Alpine Development Rose Resorts, who did you represent in that hearing	20:31:10 16 20:31:12 17	active on behalf of in that action?  A. Penn Future.
20:29:37 <b>15</b> 20:29:40 <b>16</b> 20:29:42 <b>17</b> 20:29:44 <b>18</b> 20:29:45 <b>19</b>	Association versus Alpine Development Rose Resorts, who did you represent in that hearing and testify for?	20:31:10 <b>16</b> 20:31:12 <b>17</b> 20:31:14 <b>18</b>	active on behalf of in that action?  A. Penn Future.  Q. Right. How about Tim and Jamie Lake
20:29:37	Association versus Alpine Development Rose Resorts, who did you represent in that hearing and testify for?  A. I was engaged by Widener Law Institute	20:31:10 <b>16</b> 20:31:12 <b>17</b> 20:31:14 <b>18</b> 20:31:19 <b>19</b>	<ul> <li>A. Penn Future.</li> <li>Q. Right. How about Tim and Jamie Lake</li> <li>versus The Hankin Group? The Hankin Group is a</li> </ul>
20:29:40 16 // 20:29:42 17   20:29:42 17   20:29:44 18	Association versus Alpine Development Rose Resorts, who did you represent in that hearing and testify for?  A. I was engaged by Widener Law Institute on behalf of Blue Mountain.	20:31:10 16 20:31:12 17 20:31:14 18 20:31:19 19 20:31:21 20	active on behalf of in that action?  A. Penn Future. Q. Right. How about Tim and Jamie Lake versus The Hankin Group? The Hankin Group is a developer, correct?
20:29:37 15 20:29:40 16 // 20:29:42 17   20:29:44 18	Association versus Alpine Development Rose Resorts, who did you represent in that hearing and testify for?  A. I was engaged by Widener Law Institute on behalf of Blue Mountain.  Q. Okay. And Crum Creek Neighbors v. DEP,	20:31:10 16 20:31:12 17 20:31:14 18 20:31:19 19 20:31:21 20 20:31:21 21	A. Penn Future. Q. Right. How about Tim and Jamie Lake versus The Hankin Group? The Hankin Group is a developer, correct? A. They are a developer.

		1640		1642
20:31:35	1	in terms of revenue. In terms of revenue, most	20:33:33 1	stormwater plan?
20:31:38	2	of the revenue comes from civil engineering,	20:33:34	A. It is of a very rudimentary stormwater
20:31:40	3	land development.	20:33:37	plan. But conditional use still requires that
3	4	<b>Q.</b> How about, what page, the page before,	20:33:40 4	adequate performance with the stormwater
20:31:47	5	Clean Air Council versus Commonwealth, DEP and	20:33:42	ordinances to be capable, that the plan must be
20:31:50	6	the Sunoco Mariner East Pipeline project?	20:33:46	able to meet those ordinances in subsequent
20:31:53	7	A. That was Clean Air.	20:33:50 7	submissions.
20:31:55	8	Q. Right. And the one that's listed	20:33:50	Q. Are you aware the township engineer has
20:31:56	9	underneath there as well, Bradley and Amy	20:33:52	reviewed this stormwater plan and determined it
20:31:59	10	Simon, again?	20:33:54 10	to be feasible with respect to those
20:32:00	11	A. On the professional Pennsylvania	20:33:56 11	conditional use criteria?
20:32:03	12	Society of Professional Engineers, they have an	20:33:57 12	
20:32:06	13	oath that your principal duty as an engineer is	20:33:59 13	has reviewed it.
20:32:10	14	the health, safety and welfare of the public,	20:34:00 14	Q. Okay. Are you also aware that he
20:32:12	15	and I take that very seriously.	20:34:02 15	approved it and determined it to be feasible
20:32:13	16	<b>Q</b> . I don't think you answered my question.	20:34:04 16	under the conditional use criteria?
20:32:15	17	With respect to the Bradley and Amy Simon	20:34:07 17	A. I don't know.
20:32:18	18	litigation versus DEP and Sunoco Mariner East	20:34:08 18	MR. THOMPSON: I object to that.
20:32:21	19	Pipeline, who did you represent?	20:34:09 19	I don't think the township engineer approves
20:32:22	20	A. The Simons.	20:34:11 20	plans.
20:32:23	21	Q. Great, thank you. Based on your	20:34:11 21	MR. ADELMAN: Objection. He
20:32:28	22	testimony and what you issued as NC-4, your	20:34:12 22	testified as such. I'm asking her if she is
20:32:32	23	review letter, am I correct in stating that	20:34:14 23	aware.
76	24	your opinion is none of the basins that the	20:34:14 24	MR. THOMPSON: That's my
1		1641		1643
20:32:39	1	developer proposed will infiltrate?	20:34:16 1	objection.
20:32:39	1 2	developer proposed will infiltrate?  A. My opinion is that the data provided	20:34:16	objection.  MR. ADELMAN: Excuse me. He
	1 2 3	developer proposed will infiltrate?  A. My opinion is that the data provided doesn't support that it has been demonstrated	20:34:16	objection.  MR. ADELMAN: Excuse me. He testified it was feasible.
20:32:41	3	developer proposed will infiltrate?  A. My opinion is that the data provided doesn't support that it has been demonstrated that they will infiltrate.	20:34:16 <b>2</b> 20:34:17 <b>3</b> 20:34:19 <b>4</b>	objection.  MR. ADELMAN: Excuse me. He testified it was feasible.  MR. MCKENNA: I have no idea. I
20:32:41	3 4 5	developer proposed will infiltrate?  A. My opinion is that the data provided doesn't support that it has been demonstrated that they will infiltrate.  Q. Okay. So you haven't made a conclusion	20:34:16 2 20:34:17 3 20:34:19 4 20:34:20 5	objection.  MR. ADELMAN: Excuse me. He testified it was feasible.  MR. MCKENNA: I have no idea. I have no recollection whatsoever of what he
20:32:41 20:32:45 20:32:47	3 4 5 6	developer proposed will infiltrate?  A. My opinion is that the data provided doesn't support that it has been demonstrated that they will infiltrate.  Q. Okay. So you haven't made a conclusion as to whether they will or they won't	20:34:16	objection.  MR. ADELMAN: Excuse me. He testified it was feasible.  MR. MCKENNA: I have no idea. I have no recollection whatsoever of what he testified to. On night number nine, I'll be
20:32:41 20:32:45 20:32:47 20:32:48	3 4 5 6 7	developer proposed will infiltrate?  A. My opinion is that the data provided doesn't support that it has been demonstrated that they will infiltrate.  Q. Okay. So you haven't made a conclusion as to whether they will or they won't infiltrate?	20:34:16	objection.  MR. ADELMAN: Excuse me. He testified it was feasible.  MR. MCKENNA: I have no idea. I have no recollection whatsoever of what he testified to. On night number nine, I'll be completely honest, I don't know what he
20:32:41 20:32:45 20:32:47 20:32:48 20:32:50	3 4 5 6 7 8	A. My opinion is that the data provided doesn't support that it has been demonstrated that they will infiltrate.  Q. Okay. So you haven't made a conclusion as to whether they will or they won't infiltrate?  A. My conclusion is there is inadequate	20:34:16	objection.  MR. ADELMAN: Excuse me. He testified it was feasible.  MR. MCKENNA: I have no idea. I have no recollection whatsoever of what he testified to. On night number nine, I'll be completely honest, I don't know what he testified to.
20:32:41 20:32:45 20:32:47 20:32:48 20:32:50 20:32:52 20:32:52 20:32:55	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. My opinion is that the data provided doesn't support that it has been demonstrated that they will infiltrate.  Q. Okay. So you haven't made a conclusion as to whether they will or they won't infiltrate?  A. My conclusion is there is inadequate data. The streams, there is not data at the	20:34:16	objection.  MR. ADELMAN: Excuse me. He testified it was feasible.  MR. MCKENNA: I have no idea. I have no recollection whatsoever of what he testified to. On night number nine, I'll be completely honest, I don't know what he testified to.  Your objection is to the
20:32:41 20:32:45 20:32:47 20:32:48 20:32:50 20:32:52 20:32:52 20:32:55 20:32:55	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. My opinion is that the data provided doesn't support that it has been demonstrated that they will infiltrate.  Q. Okay. So you haven't made a conclusion as to whether they will or they won't infiltrate?  A. My conclusion is there is inadequate data. The streams, there is not data at the basin locations for the most part, and the data	20:34:16	objection.  MR. ADELMAN: Excuse me. He testified it was feasible.  MR. MCKENNA: I have no idea. I have no recollection whatsoever of what he testified to. On night number nine, I'll be completely honest, I don't know what he testified to.  Your objection is to the characterization?
20:32:41 20:32:45 20:32:47 20:32:48 20:32:50 20:32:52 20:32:52 20:32:55 20:32:55	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. My opinion is that the data provided doesn't support that it has been demonstrated that they will infiltrate.  Q. Okay. So you haven't made a conclusion as to whether they will or they won't infiltrate?  A. My conclusion is there is inadequate data. The streams, there is not data at the basin locations for the most part, and the data that is there doesn't represent, for instance,	20:34:16	objection.  MR. ADELMAN: Excuse me. He testified it was feasible.  MR. MCKENNA: I have no idea. I have no recollection whatsoever of what he testified to. On night number nine, I'll be completely honest, I don't know what he testified to.  Your objection is to the characterization?  MR. THOMPSON: That's correct.
20:32:41 20:32:45 20:32:47 20:32:48 20:32:50 20:32:52 20:32:52 20:32:55 20:32:55 20:33:00 20:33:00	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. My opinion is that the data provided doesn't support that it has been demonstrated that they will infiltrate.  Q. Okay. So you haven't made a conclusion as to whether they will or they won't infiltrate?  A. My conclusion is there is inadequate data. The streams, there is not data at the basin locations for the most part, and the data that is there doesn't represent, for instance, the bottom of the basins, the existing	20:34:16	objection.  MR. ADELMAN: Excuse me. He testified it was feasible.  MR. MCKENNA: I have no idea. I have no recollection whatsoever of what he testified to. On night number nine, I'll be completely honest, I don't know what he testified to.  Your objection is to the characterization?  MR. THOMPSON: That's correct. MR. MCKENNA: I overrule because
20:32:41 20:32:45 20:32:47 20:32:48 20:32:50 20:32:52 20:32:52 20:32:55 20:32:58 20:33:00 20:33:00	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. My opinion is that the data provided doesn't support that it has been demonstrated that they will infiltrate.  Q. Okay. So you haven't made a conclusion as to whether they will or they won't infiltrate?  A. My conclusion is there is inadequate data. The streams, there is not data at the basin locations for the most part, and the data that is there doesn't represent, for instance, the bottom of the basins, the existing conditions that will be in place.	20:34:16	objection.  MR. ADELMAN: Excuse me. He testified it was feasible.  MR. MCKENNA: I have no idea. I have no recollection whatsoever of what he testified to. On night number nine, I'll be completely honest, I don't know what he testified to.  Your objection is to the characterization?  MR. THOMPSON: That's correct.  MR. MCKENNA: I overrule because it is cross-examination at this point.
20:32:41 20:32:45 20:32:47 20:32:48 20:32:50 20:32:52 20:32:52 20:32:55 20:32:56 20:33:00 20:33:02 20:33:06	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. My opinion is that the data provided doesn't support that it has been demonstrated that they will infiltrate.  Q. Okay. So you haven't made a conclusion as to whether they will or they won't infiltrate?  A. My conclusion is there is inadequate data. The streams, there is not data at the basin locations for the most part, and the data that is there doesn't represent, for instance, the bottom of the basins, the existing conditions that will be in place.  If you do an infiltration test 10	20:34:16	objection.  MR. ADELMAN: Excuse me. He testified it was feasible.  MR. MCKENNA: I have no idea. I have no recollection whatsoever of what he testified to. On night number nine, I'll be completely honest, I don't know what he testified to.  Your objection is to the characterization?  MR. THOMPSON: That's correct.  MR. MCKENNA: I overrule because it is cross-examination at this point.  BY MR. ADELMAN:
20:32:41 20:32:45 20:32:47 20:32:48 20:32:50 20:32:52 20:32:52 20:32:55 20:32:58 20:33:00 20:33:00 20:33:06 20:33:06	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. My opinion is that the data provided doesn't support that it has been demonstrated that they will infiltrate.  Q. Okay. So you haven't made a conclusion as to whether they will or they won't infiltrate?  A. My conclusion is there is inadequate data. The streams, there is not data at the basin locations for the most part, and the data that is there doesn't represent, for instance, the bottom of the basins, the existing conditions that will be in place.  If you do an infiltration test 10 feet or more above where you are going to be	20:34:16	objection.  MR. ADELMAN: Excuse me. He testified it was feasible.  MR. MCKENNA: I have no idea. I have no recollection whatsoever of what he testified to. On night number nine, I'll be completely honest, I don't know what he testified to.  Your objection is to the characterization?  MR. THOMPSON: That's correct.  MR. MCKENNA: I overrule because it is cross-examination at this point.  BY MR. ADELMAN:  Q. Are you aware that the township
20:32:41 20:32:45 20:32:47 20:32:48 20:32:50 20:32:52 20:32:52 20:32:55 20:32:56 20:33:00 20:33:02 20:33:06 20:33:06	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. My opinion is that the data provided doesn't support that it has been demonstrated that they will infiltrate.  Q. Okay. So you haven't made a conclusion as to whether they will or they won't infiltrate?  A. My conclusion is there is inadequate data. The streams, there is not data at the basin locations for the most part, and the data that is there doesn't represent, for instance, the bottom of the basins, the existing conditions that will be in place.  If you do an infiltration test 10 feet or more above where you are going to be infiltrating, and in a different location,	20:34:16	objection.  MR. ADELMAN: Excuse me. He testified it was feasible.  MR. MCKENNA: I have no idea. I have no recollection whatsoever of what he testified to. On night number nine, I'll be completely honest, I don't know what he testified to.  Your objection is to the characterization?  MR. THOMPSON: That's correct.  MR. MCKENNA: I overrule because it is cross-examination at this point.  BY MR. ADELMAN:  Q. Are you aware that the township engineer has reviewed this stormwater design?
20:32:41 20:32:45 20:32:47 20:32:48 20:32:50 20:32:52 20:32:52 20:32:55 20:32:58 20:33:02 20:33:02 20:33:03 20:33:03 20:33:03 20:33:10 20:33:10	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. My opinion is that the data provided doesn't support that it has been demonstrated that they will infiltrate.  Q. Okay. So you haven't made a conclusion as to whether they will or they won't infiltrate?  A. My conclusion is there is inadequate data. The streams, there is not data at the basin locations for the most part, and the data that is there doesn't represent, for instance, the bottom of the basins, the existing conditions that will be in place.  If you do an infiltration test 10 feet or more above where you are going to be infiltrating, and in a different location, that's not representative of demonstrating that	20:34:16	objection.  MR. ADELMAN: Excuse me. He testified it was feasible.  MR. MCKENNA: I have no idea. I have no recollection whatsoever of what he testified to. On night number nine, I'll be completely honest, I don't know what he testified to.  Your objection is to the characterization?  MR. THOMPSON: That's correct.  MR. MCKENNA: I overrule because it is cross-examination at this point.  BY MR. ADELMAN:  Q. Are you aware that the township engineer has reviewed this stormwater design?  A. I am aware he has reviewed it.
20:32:41 20:32:45 20:32:47 20:32:48 20:32:50 20:32:52 20:32:55 20:32:55 20:32:56 20:33:00 20:33:00 20:33:06 20:33:10 20:33:16 20:33:16	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. My opinion is that the data provided doesn't support that it has been demonstrated that they will infiltrate.  Q. Okay. So you haven't made a conclusion as to whether they will or they won't infiltrate?  A. My conclusion is there is inadequate data. The streams, there is not data at the basin locations for the most part, and the data that is there doesn't represent, for instance, the bottom of the basins, the existing conditions that will be in place.  If you do an infiltration test 10 feet or more above where you are going to be infiltrating, and in a different location, that's not representative of demonstrating that the stormwater system will work.	20:34:16	objection.  MR. ADELMAN: Excuse me. He testified it was feasible.  MR. MCKENNA: I have no idea. I have no recollection whatsoever of what he testified to. On night number nine, I'll be completely honest, I don't know what he testified to.  Your objection is to the characterization?  MR. THOMPSON: That's correct.  MR. MCKENNA: I overrule because it is cross-examination at this point.  BY MR. ADELMAN:  Q. Are you aware that the township engineer has reviewed this stormwater design?  A. I am aware he has reviewed it. Q. Okay. Are you aware in his review
20:32:41 20:32:45 20:32:47 20:32:48 20:32:50 20:32:52 20:32:52 20:32:55 20:32:58 20:33:02 20:33:02 20:33:03 20:33:10 20:33:10 20:33:16 20:33:16 20:33:16	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. My opinion is that the data provided doesn't support that it has been demonstrated that they will infiltrate.  Q. Okay. So you haven't made a conclusion as to whether they will or they won't infiltrate?  A. My conclusion is there is inadequate data. The streams, there is not data at the basin locations for the most part, and the data that is there doesn't represent, for instance, the bottom of the basins, the existing conditions that will be in place.  If you do an infiltration test 10 feet or more above where you are going to be infiltrating, and in a different location, that's not representative of demonstrating that the stormwater system will work.  And a couple of these basins are	20:34:16	objection.  MR. ADELMAN: Excuse me. He testified it was feasible.  MR. MCKENNA: I have no idea. I have no recollection whatsoever of what he testified to. On night number nine, I'll be completely honest, I don't know what he testified to.  Your objection is to the characterization?  MR. THOMPSON: That's correct.  MR. MCKENNA: I overrule because it is cross-examination at this point.  BY MR. ADELMAN:  Q. Are you aware that the township engineer has reviewed this stormwater design?  A. I am aware he has reviewed it.  Q. Okay. Are you aware in his review letters he has determined it to be feasible?
20:32:41 20:32:45 20:32:47 20:32:48 20:32:50 20:32:52 20:32:55 20:32:58 20:33:00 20:33:00 20:33:00 20:33:10 20:33:10 20:33:16 20:33:16 20:33:18 20:33:20	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. My opinion is that the data provided doesn't support that it has been demonstrated that they will infiltrate.  Q. Okay. So you haven't made a conclusion as to whether they will or they won't infiltrate?  A. My conclusion is there is inadequate data. The streams, there is not data at the basin locations for the most part, and the data that is there doesn't represent, for instance, the bottom of the basins, the existing conditions that will be in place.  If you do an infiltration test 10 feet or more above where you are going to be infiltrating, and in a different location, that's not representative of demonstrating that the stormwater system will work.  And a couple of these basins are in areas where the applicant has shown a	20:34:16	objection.  MR. ADELMAN: Excuse me. He testified it was feasible.  MR. MCKENNA: I have no idea. I have no recollection whatsoever of what he testified to. On night number nine, I'll be completely honest, I don't know what he testified to.  Your objection is to the characterization?  MR. THOMPSON: That's correct.  MR. MCKENNA: I overrule because it is cross-examination at this point.  BY MR. ADELMAN:  Q. Are you aware that the township engineer has reviewed this stormwater design?  A. I am aware he has reviewed it.  Q. Okay. Are you aware in his review letters he has determined it to be feasible?  A. Only on the basis of your stating so.
20:32:41 20:32:45 20:32:47 20:32:48 20:32:50 20:32:52 20:32:55 20:32:58 20:33:02 20:33:02 20:33:06 20:33:10 20:33:12 20:33:16 20:33:16 20:33:18 20:33:24	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. My opinion is that the data provided doesn't support that it has been demonstrated that they will infiltrate.  Q. Okay. So you haven't made a conclusion as to whether they will or they won't infiltrate?  A. My conclusion is there is inadequate data. The streams, there is not data at the basin locations for the most part, and the data that is there doesn't represent, for instance, the bottom of the basins, the existing conditions that will be in place.  If you do an infiltration test 10 feet or more above where you are going to be infiltrating, and in a different location, that's not representative of demonstrating that the stormwater system will work.  And a couple of these basins are in areas where the applicant has shown a seasonal high water table, which is definitely	20:34:16	objection.  MR. ADELMAN: Excuse me. He testified it was feasible.  MR. MCKENNA: I have no idea. I have no recollection whatsoever of what he testified to. On night number nine, I'll be completely honest, I don't know what he testified to.  Your objection is to the characterization?  MR. THOMPSON: That's correct.  MR. MCKENNA: I overrule because it is cross-examination at this point.  BY MR. ADELMAN:  Q. Are you aware that the township engineer has reviewed this stormwater design?  A. I am aware he has reviewed it.  Q. Okay. Are you aware in his review letters he has determined it to be feasible?  A. Only on the basis of your stating so.  Q. So you have not reviewed his review
20:32:41 20:32:45 20:32:47 20:32:48 20:32:50 20:32:52 20:32:52 20:32:55 20:32:58 20:33:00 20:33:02 20:33:06 20:33:10 20:33:10 20:33:16 20:33:16 20:33:20 20:33:24 20:33:27	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 2	A. My opinion is that the data provided doesn't support that it has been demonstrated that they will infiltrate.  Q. Okay. So you haven't made a conclusion as to whether they will or they won't infiltrate?  A. My conclusion is there is inadequate data. The streams, there is not data at the basin locations for the most part, and the data that is there doesn't represent, for instance, the bottom of the basins, the existing conditions that will be in place.  If you do an infiltration test 10 feet or more above where you are going to be infiltrating, and in a different location, that's not representative of demonstrating that the stormwater system will work.  And a couple of these basins are in areas where the applicant has shown a seasonal high water table, which is definitely not suitable for infiltration systems.	20:34:16	objection.  MR. ADELMAN: Excuse me. He testified it was feasible.  MR. MCKENNA: I have no idea. I have no recollection whatsoever of what he testified to. On night number nine, I'll be completely honest, I don't know what he testified to.  Your objection is to the characterization?  MR. THOMPSON: That's correct.  MR. MCKENNA: I overrule because it is cross-examination at this point.  BY MR. ADELMAN:  Q. Are you aware that the township engineer has reviewed this stormwater design?  A. I am aware he has reviewed it.  Q. Okay. Are you aware in his review letters he has determined it to be feasible?  A. Only on the basis of your stating so.  Q. So you have not reviewed his review letters?
20:32:41 20:32:45 20:32:47 20:32:48 20:32:50 20:32:52 20:32:55 20:32:58 20:33:02 20:33:02 20:33:06 20:33:10 20:33:12 20:33:16 20:33:16 20:33:18 20:33:24	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. My opinion is that the data provided doesn't support that it has been demonstrated that they will infiltrate.  Q. Okay. So you haven't made a conclusion as to whether they will or they won't infiltrate?  A. My conclusion is there is inadequate data. The streams, there is not data at the basin locations for the most part, and the data that is there doesn't represent, for instance, the bottom of the basins, the existing conditions that will be in place.  If you do an infiltration test 10 feet or more above where you are going to be infiltrating, and in a different location, that's not representative of demonstrating that the stormwater system will work.  And a couple of these basins are in areas where the applicant has shown a seasonal high water table, which is definitely	20:34:16	objection.  MR. ADELMAN: Excuse me. He testified it was feasible.  MR. MCKENNA: I have no idea. I have no recollection whatsoever of what he testified to. On night number nine, I'll be completely honest, I don't know what he testified to.  Your objection is to the characterization?  MR. THOMPSON: That's correct.  MR. MCKENNA: I overrule because it is cross-examination at this point.  BY MR. ADELMAN:  Q. Are you aware that the township engineer has reviewed this stormwater design?  A. I am aware he has reviewed it. Q. Okay. Are you aware in his review letters he has determined it to be feasible?  A. Only on the basis of your stating so. Q. So you have not reviewed his review letters?  A. I have not I have not reviewed a

	1644		1646
20:34:58 1	at an reposit the question an	20:36:52	Q. Okay. And what governmental entity
20:35:00 2		20:36:55 2	reviews and approves NPDES permits?
20:35:02 3	_	20:36:58	A. Pennsylvania DEP and the Chester County
6 4		20:37:02 4	Conservation District, under authorization from
20:35:09 5		20:37:03 5	DEP.
20:35:14 6		20:37:08 6	Q. At the end of your testimony I believe
20:35:15 7		20:37:28 7	you testified that the property does have good,
20:35:18	,	20:37:32 8	well-drained soils; is that correct?
20:35:21		20:37:34 9	A. Portions of the property are very
20:35:22 10	Q. Have you reviewed any letters from the	20:37:36 10	well-drained soils, according to the soil maps.
20:35:24 11	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	20:37:37 11	Q. Right. And where are they located on
20:35:26 12	stormwater engineer?	20:37:39 12	the property?
20:35:30 13		20:37:40 13	A. Generally, on the hillsides or the
20:35:30 14	<b>Q.</b> That answers my question. That's fine.	20:37:43 14	upland areas of the property, for the most
20:35:36 15		20:37:45 15	part.
20:35:41 16	<b>J</b>	20:37:49 16	MR. ADELMAN: I have nothing
20:35:44 17		20:37:49 17	further.
20:35:45 18	A. They are guidance. The whole manual i	is 20:37:51 <b>18</b>	MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.
20:35:47 19	guidance.	20:37:54 19	Adelman.
20:35;47 20	<b>Q.</b> Correct. But they are not regulations?	20:37:54 20	Ms. Camp for the Planning
20:35:49 21	A. They are not regulations.	20:37:55 21	Commission?
20:35:50 22	Q. Is that correct?	20:37:55 22	MS. CAMP: Nothing.
20:35:51 23		20:37:56 <b>23</b>	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Crawford for
54 24	of the manual as if they were regulations, and	20:37:59 24	Pirmingham Township?
54 24			Birmingham Township?
54 24	1645		1647
20:35:56	sometimes not.	20:38:01 1	
	1645 sometimes not. Q. But they are not technically	20:38:01 1 20:38:02 2	1647 MR. CRAWFORD: No questions. Thanks.
20:35:56	1645 sometimes not. Q. But they are not technically	20:38:01	1647 MR. CRAWFORD: No questions.
20:35:56 <b>1</b> 20:35:57 <b>2</b> 20:35:58 <b>3</b> 20:35:58 <b>4</b>	sometimes not.  Q. But they are not technically regulations?  A. They are not.	20:38:01 1 20:38:02 2 20:38:02 3 20:38:04 4	MR. CRAWFORD: No questions.  Thanks.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. DuFault, do you have any questions?
20:35:56	sometimes not.  Q. But they are not technically regulations? A. They are not. Q. Okay. Isn't it true that there are	20:38:01 1 20:38:02 2 20:38:02 3 20:38:04 4 20:38:04 5	MR. CRAWFORD: No questions.  Thanks.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. DuFault, do you have any questions?  MR. DUFAULT: No questions.
20:35:56	sometimes not.  Q. But they are not technically regulations?  A. They are not. Q. Okay. Isn't it true that there are other methods to reduce stormwater volume other	20:38:01 1 20:38:02 2 20:38:02 3 20:38:04 4 20:38:04 5 20:38:05 6	MR. CRAWFORD: No questions.  Thanks.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. DuFault, do you have any questions?  MR. DUFAULT: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Bevilacqua, any
20:35:56	sometimes not.  Q. But they are not technically regulations?  A. They are not.  Q. Okay. Isn't it true that there are other methods to reduce stormwater volume other than infiltration?	20:38:01 1 20:38:02 2 20:38:02 3 20:38:04 4 20:38:04 5 20:38:05 6 20:38:09 7	MR. CRAWFORD: No questions.  Thanks.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. DuFault, do you have any questions?  MR. DUFAULT: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Bevilacqua, any questions?
20:35:56	sometimes not.  Q. But they are not technically regulations?  A. They are not. Q. Okay. Isn't it true that there are other methods to reduce stormwater volume other than infiltration?  A. Oh, yes.	20:38:01 1 20:38:02 2 20:38:02 3 20:38:04 4 20:38:04 5 20:38:05 6 20:38:09 7 20:38:10 8	MR. CRAWFORD: No questions.  Thanks.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. DuFault, do you have any questions?  MR. DUFAULT: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Bevilacqua, any questions?  MR. BEVILACQUA: No questions.
20:35:56	sometimes not.  Q. But they are not technically regulations?  A. They are not. Q. Okay. Isn't it true that there are other methods to reduce stormwater volume other than infiltration?  A. Oh, yes. Q. Okay. So if these basins as designed	20:38:01 1 20:38:02 2 20:38:02 3 20:38:04 4 20:38:04 5 20:38:05 6 20:38:09 7 20:38:10 8 20:38:11 9	MR. CRAWFORD: No questions.  Thanks.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. DuFault, do you have any questions?  MR. DUFAULT: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Bevilacqua, any questions?  MR. BEVILACQUA: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon?
20:35:56	sometimes not.  Q. But they are not technically regulations?  A. They are not. Q. Okay. Isn't it true that there are other methods to reduce stormwater volume other than infiltration?  A. Oh, yes. Q. Okay. So if these basins as designed couldn't infiltrate, there is other	20:38:01 1 20:38:02 2 20:38:02 3 20:38:04 4 20:38:04 5 20:38:05 6 20:38:05 7 20:38:10 8 20:38:11 9 20:38:12 10	MR. CRAWFORD: No questions.  Thanks.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. DuFault, do you have any questions?  MR. DUFAULT: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Bevilacqua, any questions?  MR. BEVILACQUA: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon?  DR. SCANLON: No.
20:35:56	sometimes not.  Q. But they are not technically regulations?  A. They are not. Q. Okay. Isn't it true that there are other methods to reduce stormwater volume other than infiltration?  A. Oh, yes. Q. Okay. So if these basins as designed couldn't infiltrate, there is other methodologies to reduce the stormwater volume,	20:38:01 1 20:38:02 2 20:38:02 3 20:38:04 4 20:38:04 5 20:38:05 6 20:38:09 7 20:38:10 8 20:38:11 9 20:38:12 10 20:38:13 11	MR. CRAWFORD: No questions.  Thanks.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. DuFault, do you have any questions?  MR. DUFAULT: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Bevilacqua, any questions?  MR. BEVILACQUA: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon?  DR. SCANLON: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. McFalls?
20:35:56	sometimes not.  Q. But they are not technically regulations?  A. They are not. Q. Okay. Isn't it true that there are other methods to reduce stormwater volume other than infiltration?  A. Oh, yes. Q. Okay. So if these basins as designed couldn't infiltrate, there is other methodologies to reduce the stormwater volume, correct?	20:38:01 1 20:38:02 2 20:38:02 3 20:38:04 4 20:38:04 5 20:38:05 6 20:38:09 7 20:38:10 8 20:38:11 9 20:38:12 10 20:38:13 11 20:38:15 12	MR. CRAWFORD: No questions.  Thanks.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. DuFault, do you have any questions?  MR. DUFAULT: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Bevilacqua, any questions?  MR. BEVILACQUA: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon?  DR. SCANLON: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. McFalls?  MR. MCFALLS: No.
20:35:56	sometimes not.  Q. But they are not technically regulations?  A. They are not. Q. Okay. Isn't it true that there are other methods to reduce stormwater volume other than infiltration?  A. Oh, yes. Q. Okay. So if these basins as designed couldn't infiltrate, there is other methodologies to reduce the stormwater volume, correct?  A. There are. But, remember, there is	20:38:01 1 20:38:02 2 20:38:02 3 20:38:04 4 20:38:04 5 20:38:05 6 20:38:06 7 20:38:10 8 20:38:11 9 20:38:12 10 20:38:13 11 20:38:15 12 20:38:16 13	MR. CRAWFORD: No questions.  Thanks.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. DuFault, do you have any questions?  MR. DUFAULT: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Bevilacqua, any questions?  MR. BEVILACQUA: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon?  DR. SCANLON: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. McFalls?  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Feryo?
20:35:56	sometimes not.  Q. But they are not technically regulations?  A. They are not. Q. Okay. Isn't it true that there are other methods to reduce stormwater volume other than infiltration?  A. Oh, yes. Q. Okay. So if these basins as designed couldn't infiltrate, there is other methodologies to reduce the stormwater volume, correct?  A. There are. But, remember, there is both volume for downstream protection and	20:38:01 1 20:38:02 2 20:38:02 3 20:38:04 4 20:38:04 5 20:38:05 6 20:38:05 7 20:38:10 8 20:38:11 9 20:38:12 10 20:38:13 11 20:38:15 12 20:38:16 13 20:38:19 14	MR. CRAWFORD: No questions.  Thanks.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. DuFault, do you have any questions?  MR. DUFAULT: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Bevilacqua, any questions?  MR. BEVILACQUA: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon?  DR. SCANLON: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. McFalls?  MR. MCFALLS: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Feryo?  MR. FERYO: Yes, please.
20:35:56	sometimes not.  Q. But they are not technically regulations?  A. They are not. Q. Okay. Isn't it true that there are other methods to reduce stormwater volume other than infiltration?  A. Oh, yes. Q. Okay. So if these basins as designed couldn't infiltrate, there is other methodologies to reduce the stormwater volume, correct?  A. There are. But, remember, there is both volume for downstream protection and infiltration to promote the base flow of the	20:38:01 1 20:38:02 2 20:38:02 3 20:38:04 4 20:38:04 5 20:38:05 6 20:38:09 7 20:38:10 8 20:38:11 9 20:38:12 10 20:38:13 11 20:38:15 12 20:38:16 13 20:38:19 14 20:38:27 15	MR. CRAWFORD: No questions.  Thanks.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. DuFault, do you have any questions?  MR. DUFAULT: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Bevilacqua, any questions?  MR. BEVILACQUA: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon?  DR. SCANLON: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. McFalls?  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Feryo?  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Feryo?  MR. FERYO: Yes, please.  Ma'am, thank you for being here
20:35:56	sometimes not.  Q. But they are not technically regulations?  A. They are not. Q. Okay. Isn't it true that there are other methods to reduce stormwater volume other than infiltration?  A. Oh, yes. Q. Okay. So if these basins as designed couldn't infiltrate, there is other methodologies to reduce the stormwater volume, correct?  A. There are. But, remember, there is both volume for downstream protection and infiltration to promote the base flow of the streams.	20:38:01 1 20:38:02 2 20:38:02 3 20:38:04 4 20:38:04 5 20:38:05 6 20:38:06 7 20:38:10 8 20:38:11 9 20:38:12 10 20:38:13 11 20:38:15 12 20:38:16 13 20:38:19 14 20:38:27 15 20:38:28 16	MR. CRAWFORD: No questions.  Thanks.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. DuFault, do you have any questions?  MR. DUFAULT: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Bevilacqua, any questions?  MR. BEVILACQUA: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon?  DR. SCANLON: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. McFalls?  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Feryo?  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Feryo?  MR. FERYO: Yes, please.  Ma'am, thank you for being here tonight.
20:35:56	sometimes not.  Q. But they are not technically regulations?  A. They are not. Q. Okay. Isn't it true that there are other methods to reduce stormwater volume other than infiltration?  A. Oh, yes. Q. Okay. So if these basins as designed couldn't infiltrate, there is other methodologies to reduce the stormwater volume, correct?  A. There are. But, remember, there is both volume for downstream protection and infiltration to promote the base flow of the streams.  Q. Okay. Ultimately, whose regulations	20:38:01 1 20:38:02 2 20:38:02 3 20:38:04 4 20:38:04 5 20:38:05 6 20:38:09 7 20:38:10 8 20:38:11 9 20:38:12 10 20:38:13 11 20:38:15 12 20:38:16 13 20:38:19 14 20:38:27 15 20:38:28 16 20:38:29 17	MR. CRAWFORD: No questions.  Thanks.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. DuFault, do you have any questions?  MR. DUFAULT: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Bevilacqua, any questions?  MR. BEVILACQUA: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon?  DR. SCANLON: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. McFalls?  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Feryo?  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Feryo?  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Feryo?  MR. FERYO: Yes, please.  Ma'am, thank you for being here tonight.
20:35:56	sometimes not.  Q. But they are not technically regulations?  A. They are not. Q. Okay. Isn't it true that there are other methods to reduce stormwater volume other than infiltration?  A. Oh, yes. Q. Okay. So if these basins as designed couldn't infiltrate, there is other methodologies to reduce the stormwater volume, correct?  A. There are. But, remember, there is both volume for downstream protection and infiltration to promote the base flow of the streams.  Q. Okay. Ultimately, whose regulations will the developer have to satisfy in order to	20:38:01 1 20:38:02 2 20:38:02 3 20:38:04 4 20:38:04 5 20:38:05 6 20:38:06 7 20:38:10 8 20:38:11 9 20:38:12 10 20:38:13 11 20:38:15 12 20:38:16 13 20:38:19 14 20:38:21 15 20:38:28 16 20:38:28 17 20:38:32 18	MR. CRAWFORD: No questions.  Thanks.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. DuFault, do you have any questions?  MR. DUFAULT: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Bevilacqua, any questions?  MR. BEVILACQUA: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon?  DR. SCANLON: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. McFalls?  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Feryo?  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Feryo?  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Feryo?  MR. FERYO: Yes, please.  Ma'am, thank you for being here tonight.  Are you familiar with the Quarry  Swimming Association property directly west of
20:35:56	sometimes not.  Q. But they are not technically regulations?  A. They are not. Q. Okay. Isn't it true that there are other methods to reduce stormwater volume other than infiltration?  A. Oh, yes. Q. Okay. So if these basins as designed couldn't infiltrate, there is other methodologies to reduce the stormwater volume, correct?  A. There are. But, remember, there is both volume for downstream protection and infiltration to promote the base flow of the streams.  Q. Okay. Ultimately, whose regulations will the developer have to satisfy in order to have the stormwater facilities permitted and	20:38:01 1 20:38:02 2 20:38:02 3 20:38:04 4 20:38:04 5 20:38:05 6 20:38:09 7 20:38:10 8 20:38:11 9 20:38:12 10 20:38:13 11 20:38:15 12 20:38:16 13 20:38:19 14 20:38:27 15 20:38:28 16 20:38:32 17 20:38:32 18 20:38:33 19	MR. CRAWFORD: No questions.  Thanks.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. DuFault, do you have any questions?  MR. DUFAULT: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Bevilacqua, any questions?  MR. BEVILACQUA: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon?  DR. SCANLON: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. McFalls?  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Feryo?  MR. FERYO: Yes, please.  Ma'am, thank you for being here tonight.  Are you familiar with the Quarry  Swimming Association property directly west of the Crebilly property?
20:35:56	sometimes not.  Q. But they are not technically regulations?  A. They are not. Q. Okay. Isn't it true that there are other methods to reduce stormwater volume other than infiltration?  A. Oh, yes. Q. Okay. So if these basins as designed couldn't infiltrate, there is other methodologies to reduce the stormwater volume, correct?  A. There are. But, remember, there is both volume for downstream protection and infiltration to promote the base flow of the streams.  Q. Okay. Ultimately, whose regulations will the developer have to satisfy in order to have the stormwater facilities permitted and approved?	20:38:01 1 20:38:02 2 20:38:02 3 20:38:04 4 20:38:04 5 20:38:05 6 20:38:09 7 20:38:10 8 20:38:11 9 20:38:12 10 20:38:13 11 20:38:15 12 20:38:16 13 20:38:19 14 20:38:29 17 20:38:28 16 20:38:32 18 20:38:33 19 20:38:34 20	MR. CRAWFORD: No questions.  Thanks.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. DuFault, do you have any questions?  MR. DUFAULT: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Bevilacqua, any questions?  MR. BEVILACQUA: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon?  DR. SCANLON: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. McFalls?  MR. MCFALLS: No.  MR. MCFALLS: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Feryo?  MR. FERYO: Yes, please.  Ma'am, thank you for being here tonight.  Are you familiar with the Quarry  Swimming Association property directly west of the Crebilly property?  THE WITNESS: Not intimately
20:35:56	sometimes not.  Q. But they are not technically regulations?  A. They are not. Q. Okay. Isn't it true that there are other methods to reduce stormwater volume other than infiltration?  A. Oh, yes. Q. Okay. So if these basins as designed couldn't infiltrate, there is other methodologies to reduce the stormwater volume, correct?  A. There are. But, remember, there is both volume for downstream protection and infiltration to promote the base flow of the streams. Q. Okay. Ultimately, whose regulations will the developer have to satisfy in order to have the stormwater facilities permitted and approved?  A. Well, both the municipality's, Westtown	20:38:01 1 20:38:02 2 20:38:02 3 20:38:04 4 20:38:04 5 20:38:05 6 20:38:09 7 20:38:10 8 20:38:11 9 20:38:12 10 20:38:13 11 20:38:15 12 20:38:16 13 20:38:19 14 20:38:27 15 20:38:28 16 20:38:29 17 20:38:32 18 20:38:33 19 20:38:34 20 20:38:36 21	MR. CRAWFORD: No questions.  Thanks.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. DuFault, do you have any questions?  MR. DUFAULT: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Bevilacqua, any questions?  MR. BEVILACQUA: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon?  DR. SCANLON: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. McFalls?  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Feryo?  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Feryo?  MR. FERYO: Yes, please.  Ma'am, thank you for being here tonight.  Are you familiar with the Quarry  Swimming Association property directly west of the Crebilly property?  THE WITNESS: Not intimately familiar. I have driven past, but I have never
20:35:56	sometimes not.  Q. But they are not technically regulations?  A. They are not. Q. Okay. Isn't it true that there are other methods to reduce stormwater volume other than infiltration?  A. Oh, yes. Q. Okay. So if these basins as designed couldn't infiltrate, there is other methodologies to reduce the stormwater volume, correct?  A. There are. But, remember, there is both volume for downstream protection and infiltration to promote the base flow of the streams. Q. Okay. Ultimately, whose regulations will the developer have to satisfy in order to have the stormwater facilities permitted and approved?  A. Well, both the municipality's, Westtown Township's, and the NPDES permit requirement.	20:38:01 1 20:38:02 2 20:38:02 3 20:38:04 4 20:38:04 5 20:38:05 6 20:38:06 7 20:38:10 8 20:38:11 9 20:38:12 10 20:38:15 12 20:38:16 13 20:38:19 14 20:38:27 15 20:38:28 16 20:38:28 16 20:38:31 19 20:38:32 18 20:38:33 19 20:38:34 20 20:38:36 21 20:38:38 22	MR. CRAWFORD: No questions.  Thanks.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. DuFault, do you have any questions?  MR. DUFAULT: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Bevilacqua, any questions?  MR. BEVILACQUA: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon?  DR. SCANLON: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. McFalls?  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Feryo?  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Feryo?  MR. FERYO: Yes, please.  Ma'am, thank you for being here tonight.  Are you familiar with the Quarry  Swimming Association property directly west of the Crebilly property?  THE WITNESS: Not intimately familiar. I have driven past, but I have never gone there.
20:35:56	sometimes not.  Q. But they are not technically regulations?  A. They are not. Q. Okay. Isn't it true that there are other methods to reduce stormwater volume other than infiltration?  A. Oh, yes. Q. Okay. So if these basins as designed couldn't infiltrate, there is other methodologies to reduce the stormwater volume, correct?  A. There are. But, remember, there is both volume for downstream protection and infiltration to promote the base flow of the streams. Q. Okay. Ultimately, whose regulations will the developer have to satisfy in order to have the stormwater facilities permitted and approved?  A. Well, both the municipality's, Westtown Township's, and the NPDES permit requirement for the post-construction stormwater management	20:38:01 1 20:38:02 2 20:38:02 3 20:38:04 4 20:38:04 5 20:38:05 6 20:38:06 7 20:38:10 8 20:38:11 9 20:38:12 10 20:38:15 12 20:38:16 13 20:38:19 14 20:38:27 15 20:38:28 16 20:38:28 16 20:38:31 19 20:38:32 18 20:38:33 19 20:38:34 20 20:38:36 21 20:38:38 22	MR. CRAWFORD: No questions.  Thanks.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. DuFault, do you have any questions?  MR. DUFAULT: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Bevilacqua, any questions?  MR. BEVILACQUA: No questions.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon?  DR. SCANLON: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. McFalls?  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Feryo?  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Feryo?  MR. FERYO: Yes, please.  Ma'am, thank you for being here tonight.  Are you familiar with the Quarry  Swimming Association property directly west of the Crebilly property?  THE WITNESS: Not intimately familiar. I have driven past, but I have never

	1648			1650
20:38:45 1	self-contained basins filled with water. One	20:40:39	1	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
20:38:48 2	is much lower than the other. Do you	20:40:41	2	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Spackman,
20:36:50 3	anticipate any stormwater issues for those,	20:40:46	3	Thornbury Farm Trust?
4 4	especially the low one which is below the grade	20:40:47	4	MR. SPACKMAN: None. Thank you.
20:38:57 5	of the road, which is New Street?	20:40:48	5	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Mammucari? I
20:38:58 6	THE WITNESS: Does the drainage	20:40:51	6	believe I saw Mr. Mammucari leave for the
20:39:01 7	from the Crebilly property drain through the	20:40:53	7	evening.
20:39:06	MR. FERYO: Well, the Crebilly	20:40:54	8	Mr. Jones, Phillip Jones?
20:39:07	property is higher, so I would say logically	20:40:57	9	MR. JONES: No questions.
20:39:10 10	yes. But I'm not an expert. You are.	20:40:58	10	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Rendemonti?
20:39:12 11	THE WITNESS: I don't know where	20:41:01	11	MR. RENDEMONTI: None. Thank
20:39:13 12	the tributaries drain is.	20:41:02	12	you.
20:39:14 13	MR. FERYO: There are no direct	20:41:02	13	MR. MCKENNA: Allison Corcoran I
20:39:15 14	tributaries. But just water runoff itself from	20:41:05	14	believe is here now.
20:39:17 15	the road would definitely drain into that	20:41:06	15	MS. CORCORAN: No questions.
20:39:19 16	property?	20:41:07	16	MR. MCKENNA: Dianne Leraris?
20:39:20 17	THE WITNESS: Yeah, potentially.	20:41:11	17	Ms. Leraris, any questions? I'm not hearing
20:39:23 18	But without knowing where you are in relation	20:41:15	18	her here.
20:39:25 19	to the property, it is difficult for me to say.	20:41:16		Ed Boyer?
20:39:26 20	I'm sorry, but I don't know.	20:41:17	20	Amy Murnane?
20:39:29 21	MR. FERYO: Well, it is directly	20:41:23		MS. MURNANE: No questions.
20:39:30 22	west. In addition, the quarry property	20:41:24		MR. MCKENNA: Robert Daull?
20:39:32 23	utilizes a well, as do about 20 properties,	20:41:27		MR. DAULL: No questions.
<sub>36</sub> <b>24</b>	home properties that are directly to the west.	20:41:28	24	MR. MCKENNA: Jennifer or Jeffrey
1 .	1649			1651
20:39:40	They are the only wells that would be directly	20:41:32	1	Kramer? Are the Kramers still here? Appears
20:39:42 2	west and downstream of this property. So would you say that there is any impact to our wells,	20:41:37	2	they have left.  Ed Skros?
20:39:46 3	either the neighborhood or the quarry property?	20:41:38	4	MR. SKROS: No questions.
20:39:49 4	THE WITNESS: That's a hard	20:41:39	5	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. or Mrs.
	question to answer. It is important to	20:41:44	6	McFadden?
20:39:54 <b>7</b>	maintain groundwater recharge for both wells	20:41:45	7	MR, MCFADDEN: No questions.
20:39:59	and to keep streams flowing.	20:41:46	8	MR. MCKENNA: Carol Weller?
20:40:01	Will this development have an	20:41:47	9	MS. WELLER: Yes. Hi. How are
20:40:03 10	impact on those wells, I can't say without more	20:41:56	10	you?
20:40:06 11	information. And, frankly, that's a very	20:41:57		THE WITNESS: Fine, thanks.
20:40:09 12	complicated question even for hydrogeologists.	20:41:58	12	MS. WELLER: My pond is
20:40:14 13	MR. FERYO: Knowing that most of	20:42:00	13	approximately an eighth of a mile downstream,
20:40:15 14	the property to the west of that is serpentine	20:42:03	14	and it is fed by an intake pipe to the Radley
20:40:19 15	rock, as opposed to other kinds of rock, which	20:42:08	15	Run. With this proposed development do I need
20:40:22 16	is very brittle, do you anticipate stormwater	20:42:12	16	to be concerned about my pond filling in with
20:40:23 17	causing a problem, stormwater runoff causing a	20:42:14	17	sediment?
20:40:26 18	problem, knowing that the serpentine is	20:42:15	18	THE WITNESS: Again, without
20:40:29 19	directly west?	20:42:17	19	knowing the details, if your pond were directly
)º <b>20</b>	THE WITNESS: I really can't say	20:42:20	20	receiving the runoff from Radley Run,
20:40:30 21	without understanding better where your	20:42:22	21	potentially. If it is coming from an intake
20:40:33 22	property is in relation to the runoff that	20:42:25		pipe.
20:40:36 23	could come from the Crebilly Farm.	20:42:26	23	MS. WELLER: Right into the
20:40:38 24	MR. FERYO: Thank you.	20:42:27		stream.

		r	
	1652		1654
20:42:27	THE WITNESS: Yeah, it is hard to	20:45:01	the plaza down in Washington, D.C., Bartholdi
20:42:29 2	say without knowing the details. Potentially.	20:45:04 2	Plaza, by the capitol building, and that is
20:42:32 3	But yeah.	20:45:06 3	also a stormwater demonstration site.
14 4	MS. WELLER: Thank you.	20:45:10 4	MS. DEWOLF: University of
20:42:37 5	MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, ma'am.	20:45:11 5	Pennsylvania, Shoemaker Green, Philadelphia?
20:42:38 6	Any questions from the Board?	20:45:12 6	THE WITNESS: Yes. And we are
20:42:40 7	MS. DEWOLF: Hello. All right.	20:45:13 7	currently designing, doing the engineering for
20:43:03	I would like to understand the conditional use	20:45:16	the Wharton, the new Wharton building.
20:43:08	application reference to 170-2009 for	20:45:19	MS. DEWOLF: Acme Market?
20:43:13 10	conditional uses, in regard to LEED. And so	20:45:20 10	THE WITNESS: We did the retrofit
20:43:19 11	this sort of analysis that was done, and your	20:45:21 11	of the Acme in Wilmington, Delaware, to improve
20:43:23 12	findings, you can document are required for the	20:45:24 12	the stormwater management there.
20:43:27 13	conditional use process at the township; is	20:45:27 13	MS. DEWOLF: Drexel University?
20:43:29 14	that correct?	20:45:29 14	THE WITNESS: Yes, we did the
20:43:29 15	THE WITNESS: Well, the	20:45:30 15	engineering for the two new plazas, Perelman
20:43:31 16	conditional use section under 170-2009 has some	20:45:34 16	Plaza and Korman Plaza, where the dragon is
20:43:38 17	requirements for a variety of plans, including	20:45:37 17	down at Drexel.
20:43:42 18	a stormwater management analysis. It says:	20:45:38 18	MS. DEWOLF: I could go on. And
20:43:45 19	Applicant shall include sufficient information,	20:45:39 19	you also work for municipalities, Upper Merion,
20:43:48 20	for example, preliminary site grading and road	20:45:43 20	Oxford Library, some other; is that correct?
20:43:50 21	profiles, preliminary stormwater analysis,	20:45:46 21	THE WITNESS: Yes. That's the
20:43:52 22	etcetera, to preliminarily determine compliance	20:45:49 22	majority of the work we do. The revenue, the
20:43:55 23	with the township natural features site	20:45:51 23	majority of our revenue is from development
24	analysis, conservation design process and	20:45:54 24	projects of those nature.
57 24		20.70.01	
57 24	1653		1655
20:43:59		20:45:55 <b>1</b>	1655 MS. DEWOLF: Right. Okay. The
	1653 density requirements. There is another one, it says:		1655 MS. DEWOLF: Right. Okay. The next question I have is do you want to do
20:43:59	density requirements.  There is another one, it says:  Adequate handling of stormwater in the form	20:45:55	MS. DEWOLF: Right. Okay. The next question I have is do you want to do your question while I'm finding mine?
20:43:59 <b>1</b> 20:44:01 <b>2</b>	density requirements.  There is another one, it says:  Adequate handling of stormwater in the form sorry, I read too fast. Again, I'm sorry.	20:45:55 <b>1</b> 20:45:59 <b>2</b>	MS. DEWOLF: Right. Okay. The next question I have is do you want to do your question while I'm finding mine?  MR. HAWS: Sure. I just have one
20:43:59 <b>1</b> 20:44:01 <b>2</b> 20:44:02 <b>3</b>	density requirements.  There is another one, it says:  Adequate handling of stormwater in the form sorry, I read too fast. Again, I'm sorry.  This is Section 6(c) under the	20:45:55 <b>1</b> 20:45:59 <b>2</b> 20:46:08 <b>3</b>	MS. DEWOLF: Right. Okay. The next question I have is do you want to do your question while I'm finding mine?  MR. HAWS: Sure. I just have one quick question. I think I heard you say, but I
20:43:59 <b>1</b> 20:44:01 <b>2</b> 20:44:02 <b>3</b> 20:44:07 <b>4</b>	density requirements.  There is another one, it says:  Adequate handling of stormwater in the form sorry, I read too fast. Again, I'm sorry.  This is Section 6(c) under the conditional use, adequate handling of	20:45:55 <b>1</b> 20:45:59 <b>2</b> 20:46:08 <b>3</b> 20:46:10 <b>4</b>	MS. DEWOLF: Right. Okay. The next question I have is do you want to do your question while I'm finding mine?  MR. HAWS: Sure. I just have one quick question. I think I heard you say, but I just wanted to hear, it is in your opinion that
20:43:59 <b>1</b> 20:44:01 <b>2</b> 20:44:02 <b>3</b> 20:44:07 <b>4</b> 20:44:10 <b>5</b>	density requirements.  There is another one, it says:  Adequate handling of stormwater in the form sorry, I read too fast. Again, I'm sorry.  This is Section 6(c) under the conditional use, adequate handling of stormwater in the form of a preliminary written	20:45:55 <b>1</b> 20:45:59 <b>2</b> 20:46:08 <b>3</b> 20:46:10 <b>4</b> 20:46:12 <b>5</b>	MS. DEWOLF: Right. Okay. The next question I have is do you want to do your question while I'm finding mine?  MR. HAWS: Sure. I just have one quick question. I think I heard you say, but I just wanted to hear, it is in your opinion that the way that the stormwater management is laid
20:43:58	density requirements.  There is another one, it says:  Adequate handling of stormwater in the form sorry, I read too fast. Again, I'm sorry.  This is Section 6(c) under the conditional use, adequate handling of stormwater in the form of a preliminary written analysis and conclusions as to the anticipated	20:45:55	MS. DEWOLF: Right. Okay. The next question I have is do you want to do your question while I'm finding mine?  MR. HAWS: Sure. I just have one quick question. I think I heard you say, but I just wanted to hear, it is in your opinion that the way that the stormwater management is laid out currently on the proposed plan, it does not
20:43:59 1 20:44:01 2 20:44:02 3 20:44:07 4 20:44:10 5 20:44:14 6 20:44:17 7 20:44:20 8 20:44:23 9	density requirements.  There is another one, it says:  Adequate handling of stormwater in the form sorry, I read too fast. Again, I'm sorry.  This is Section 6(c) under the conditional use, adequate handling of stormwater in the form of a preliminary written analysis and conclusions as to the anticipated methods, prepared by a registered professional	20:45:55	MS. DEWOLF: Right. Okay. The next question I have is do you want to do your question while I'm finding mine?  MR. HAWS: Sure. I just have one quick question. I think I heard you say, but I just wanted to hear, it is in your opinion that the way that the stormwater management is laid out currently on the proposed plan, it does not meet the minimum requirements of our ordinance;
20:43:59 1 20:44:01 2 20:44:02 3 20:44:07 4 20:44:10 5 20:44:14 6 20:44:17 7 20:44:20 8 20:44:23 9 20:44:25 10	density requirements.  There is another one, it says:  Adequate handling of stormwater in the form sorry, I read too fast. Again, I'm sorry.  This is Section 6(c) under the conditional use, adequate handling of stormwater in the form of a preliminary written analysis and conclusions as to the anticipated methods, prepared by a registered professional engineer. Those are components in the	20:45:55	MS. DEWOLF: Right. Okay. The next question I have is do you want to do your question while I'm finding mine?  MR. HAWS: Sure. I just have one quick question. I think I heard you say, but I just wanted to hear, it is in your opinion that the way that the stormwater management is laid out currently on the proposed plan, it does not meet the minimum requirements of our ordinance; is that correct?
20:43:59 1 20:44:01 2 20:44:02 3 20:44:07 4 20:44:10 5 20:44:14 6 20:44:17 7 20:44:20 8 20:44:23 9 20:44:25 10 20:44:29 11	density requirements.  There is another one, it says:  Adequate handling of stormwater in the form sorry, I read too fast. Again, I'm sorry.  This is Section 6(c) under the conditional use, adequate handling of stormwater in the form of a preliminary written analysis and conclusions as to the anticipated methods, prepared by a registered professional engineer. Those are components in the conditional use section of here.	20:45:55	MS. DEWOLF: Right. Okay. The next question I have is do you want to do your question while I'm finding mine?  MR. HAWS: Sure. I just have one quick question. I think I heard you say, but I just wanted to hear, it is in your opinion that the way that the stormwater management is laid out currently on the proposed plan, it does not meet the minimum requirements of our ordinance; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: It is my opinion
20:44:59 1 20:44:01 2 20:44:02 3 20:44:07 4 20:44:10 5 20:44:14 6 20:44:17 7 20:44:20 8 20:44:23 9 20:44:23 10 20:44:25 10 20:44:25 11 20:44:35 12	density requirements.  There is another one, it says:  Adequate handling of stormwater in the form sorry, I read too fast. Again, I'm sorry.  This is Section 6(c) under the conditional use, adequate handling of stormwater in the form of a preliminary written analysis and conclusions as to the anticipated methods, prepared by a registered professional engineer. Those are components in the conditional use section of here.  MS. DEWOLF: And the next	20:45:55	MS. DEWOLF: Right. Okay. The next question I have is do you want to do your question while I'm finding mine?  MR. HAWS: Sure. I just have one quick question. I think I heard you say, but I just wanted to hear, it is in your opinion that the way that the stormwater management is laid out currently on the proposed plan, it does not meet the minimum requirements of our ordinance; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: It is my opinion that they haven't demonstrated that these will
20:43:59 1 20:44:01 2 20:44:02 3 20:44:07 4 20:44:10 5 20:44:14 6 20:44:17 7 20:44:20 8 20:44:23 9 20:44:25 10 20:44:25 11 20:44:35 12 20:44:36 13	density requirements.  There is another one, it says:  Adequate handling of stormwater in the form sorry, I read too fast. Again, I'm sorry.  This is Section 6(c) under the conditional use, adequate handling of stormwater in the form of a preliminary written analysis and conclusions as to the anticipated methods, prepared by a registered professional engineer. Those are components in the conditional use section of here.  MS. DEWOLF: And the next question I have, I'm a little confused, it	20:45:55	MS. DEWOLF: Right. Okay. The next question I have is do you want to do your question while I'm finding mine?  MR. HAWS: Sure. I just have one quick question. I think I heard you say, but I just wanted to hear, it is in your opinion that the way that the stormwater management is laid out currently on the proposed plan, it does not meet the minimum requirements of our ordinance; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: It is my opinion that they haven't demonstrated that these will be infiltration basins and meet the
20:44:59 1 20:44:01 2 20:44:02 3 20:44:07 4 20:44:10 5 20:44:14 6 20:44:17 7 20:44:20 8 20:44:23 9 20:44:25 10 20:44:25 11 20:44:35 12 20:44:36 13 20:44:41 14	density requirements.  There is another one, it says:  Adequate handling of stormwater in the form sorry, I read too fast. Again, I'm sorry.  This is Section 6(c) under the conditional use, adequate handling of stormwater in the form of a preliminary written analysis and conclusions as to the anticipated methods, prepared by a registered professional engineer. Those are components in the conditional use section of here.  MS. DEWOLF: And the next question I have, I'm a little confused, it seems like you have worked for Stroud Water	20:45:55	MS. DEWOLF: Right. Okay. The next question I have is do you want to do your question while I'm finding mine?  MR. HAWS: Sure. I just have one quick question. I think I heard you say, but I just wanted to hear, it is in your opinion that the way that the stormwater management is laid out currently on the proposed plan, it does not meet the minimum requirements of our ordinance; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: It is my opinion that they haven't demonstrated that these will be infiltration basins and meet the requirements for volume control in your
20:43:59 1 20:44:01 2 20:44:02 3 20:44:07 4 20:44:10 5 20:44:14 6 20:44:17 7 20:44:20 8 20:44:23 9 20:44:25 10 20:44:25 11 20:44:35 12 20:44:36 13 20:44:41 14 20:44:43 15	density requirements.  There is another one, it says:  Adequate handling of stormwater in the form sorry, I read too fast. Again, I'm sorry.  This is Section 6(c) under the conditional use, adequate handling of stormwater in the form of a preliminary written analysis and conclusions as to the anticipated methods, prepared by a registered professional engineer. Those are components in the conditional use section of here.  MS. DEWOLF: And the next question I have, I'm a little confused, it seems like you have worked for Stroud Water Research Center; is that correct?	20:45:55	MS. DEWOLF: Right. Okay. The next question I have is do you want to do your question while I'm finding mine?  MR. HAWS: Sure. I just have one quick question. I think I heard you say, but I just wanted to hear, it is in your opinion that the way that the stormwater management is laid out currently on the proposed plan, it does not meet the minimum requirements of our ordinance; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: It is my opinion that they haven't demonstrated that these will be infiltration basins and meet the requirements for volume control in your ordinance.
20:44:59 1 20:44:01 2 20:44:02 3 20:44:07 4 20:44:10 5 20:44:14 6 20:44:14 7 20:44:20 8 20:44:23 9 20:44:25 10 20:44:25 11 20:44:35 12 20:44:36 13 20:44:41 14 20:44:41 15	density requirements.  There is another one, it says:  Adequate handling of stormwater in the form sorry, I read too fast. Again, I'm sorry.  This is Section 6(c) under the conditional use, adequate handling of stormwater in the form of a preliminary written analysis and conclusions as to the anticipated methods, prepared by a registered professional engineer. Those are components in the conditional use section of here.  MS. DEWOLF: And the next question I have, I'm a little confused, it seems like you have worked for Stroud Water Research Center; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: I have. We did the	20:45:55	MS. DEWOLF: Right. Okay. The next question I have is do you want to do your question while I'm finding mine?  MR. HAWS: Sure. I just have one quick question. I think I heard you say, but I just wanted to hear, it is in your opinion that the way that the stormwater management is laid out currently on the proposed plan, it does not meet the minimum requirements of our ordinance; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: It is my opinion that they haven't demonstrated that these will be infiltration basins and meet the requirements for volume control in your ordinance.  MR. HAWS: Okay. Great. Just a
20:43:59 1 20:44:01 2 20:44:02 3 20:44:07 4 20:44:10 5 20:44:14 6 20:44:17 7 20:44:20 8 20:44:23 9 20:44:25 10 20:44:25 11 20:44:35 12 20:44:36 13 20:44:41 14 20:44:43 15 20:44:44 16 20:44:47 17	density requirements.  There is another one, it says:  Adequate handling of stormwater in the form sorry, I read too fast. Again, I'm sorry.  This is Section 6(c) under the conditional use, adequate handling of stormwater in the form of a preliminary written analysis and conclusions as to the anticipated methods, prepared by a registered professional engineer. Those are components in the conditional use section of here.  MS. DEWOLF: And the next question I have, I'm a little confused, it seems like you have worked for Stroud Water Research Center; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: I have. We did the site engineering for the education building.	20:45:55	MS. DEWOLF: Right. Okay. The next question I have is do you want to do your question while I'm finding mine?  MR. HAWS: Sure. I just have one quick question. I think I heard you say, but I just wanted to hear, it is in your opinion that the way that the stormwater management is laid out currently on the proposed plan, it does not meet the minimum requirements of our ordinance; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: It is my opinion that they haven't demonstrated that these will be infiltration basins and meet the requirements for volume control in your ordinance.  MR. HAWS: Okay. Great. Just a follow-up question. In your opinion, if the
20:43:59 1 20:44:01 2 20:44:02 3 20:44:07 4 20:44:10 5 20:44:14 6 20:44:14 7 20:44:20 8 20:44:23 9 20:44:25 10 20:44:25 11 20:44:35 12 20:44:36 13 20:44:41 14 20:44:43 15 20:44:41 16 20:44:47 17 20:44:51 18	density requirements.  There is another one, it says:  Adequate handling of stormwater in the form sorry, I read too fast. Again, I'm sorry.  This is Section 6(c) under the conditional use, adequate handling of stormwater in the form of a preliminary written analysis and conclusions as to the anticipated methods, prepared by a registered professional engineer. Those are components in the conditional use section of here.  MS. DEWOLF: And the next question I have, I'm a little confused, it seems like you have worked for Stroud Water Research Center; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: I have. We did the site engineering for the education building.  MS. DEWOLF: And Longwood	20:45:55	MS. DEWOLF: Right. Okay. The next question I have is do you want to do your question while I'm finding mine?  MR. HAWS: Sure. I just have one quick question. I think I heard you say, but I just wanted to hear, it is in your opinion that the way that the stormwater management is laid out currently on the proposed plan, it does not meet the minimum requirements of our ordinance; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: It is my opinion that they haven't demonstrated that these will be infiltration basins and meet the requirements for volume control in your ordinance.  MR. HAWS: Okay. Great. Just a follow-up question. In your opinion, if the site was redesigned, could they meet the
20:44:59 1 20:44:01 2 20:44:02 3 20:44:07 4 20:44:10 5 20:44:14 6 20:44:17 7 20:44:20 8 20:44:23 9 20:44:25 10 20:44:25 11 20:44:35 12 20:44:36 13 20:44:41 14 20:44:41 15 20:44:41 15 20:44:41 17 20:44:51 18 20:44:51 18	density requirements.  There is another one, it says:  Adequate handling of stormwater in the form sorry, I read too fast. Again, I'm sorry.  This is Section 6(c) under the conditional use, adequate handling of stormwater in the form of a preliminary written analysis and conclusions as to the anticipated methods, prepared by a registered professional engineer. Those are components in the conditional use section of here.  MS. DEWOLF: And the next question I have, I'm a little confused, it seems like you have worked for Stroud Water Research Center; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: I have. We did the site engineering for the education building.  MS. DEWOLF: And Longwood Gardens; is that correct?	20:45:55	MS. DEWOLF: Right. Okay. The next question I have is do you want to do your question while I'm finding mine?  MR. HAWS: Sure. I just have one quick question. I think I heard you say, but I just wanted to hear, it is in your opinion that the way that the stormwater management is laid out currently on the proposed plan, it does not meet the minimum requirements of our ordinance; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: It is my opinion that they haven't demonstrated that these will be infiltration basins and meet the requirements for volume control in your ordinance.  MR. HAWS: Okay. Great. Just a follow-up question. In your opinion, if the site was redesigned, could they meet the requirements of our zoning and of the
20:43:59 1 20:44:01 2 20:44:02 3 20:44:07 4 20:44:10 5 20:44:14 6 20:44:17 7 20:44:20 8 20:44:23 9 20:44:25 10 20:44:25 11 20:44:35 12 20:44:36 13 20:44:41 14 20:44:41 15 20:44:41 15 20:44:41 17 20:44:51 18 20:44:51 18 20:44:51 19	density requirements.  There is another one, it says:  Adequate handling of stormwater in the form sorry, I read too fast. Again, I'm sorry.  This is Section 6(c) under the conditional use, adequate handling of stormwater in the form of a preliminary written analysis and conclusions as to the anticipated methods, prepared by a registered professional engineer. Those are components in the conditional use section of here.  MS. DEWOLF: And the next question I have, I'm a little confused, it seems like you have worked for Stroud Water Research Center; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: I have. We did the site engineering for the education building.  MS. DEWOLF: And Longwood Gardens; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: We did the	20:45:55	MS. DEWOLF: Right. Okay. The next question I have is do you want to do your question while I'm finding mine?  MR. HAWS: Sure. I just have one quick question. I think I heard you say, but I just wanted to hear, it is in your opinion that the way that the stormwater management is laid out currently on the proposed plan, it does not meet the minimum requirements of our ordinance; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: It is my opinion that they haven't demonstrated that these will be infiltration basins and meet the requirements for volume control in your ordinance.  MR. HAWS: Okay. Great. Just a follow-up question. In your opinion, if the site was redesigned, could they meet the requirements of our zoning and of the stormwater management based on the property?
20:43:59 1 20:44:01 2 20:44:02 3 20:44:07 4 20:44:10 5 20:44:14 6 20:44:17 7 20:44:20 8 20:44:23 9 20:44:25 10 20:44:25 11 20:44:35 12 20:44:36 13 20:44:41 14 20:44:41 15 20:44:41 15 20:44:41 17 20:44:51 18 20:44:51 19 2 20 20:44:53 21	density requirements.  There is another one, it says: Adequate handling of stormwater in the form sorry, I read too fast. Again, I'm sorry.  This is Section 6(c) under the conditional use, adequate handling of stormwater in the form of a preliminary written analysis and conclusions as to the anticipated methods, prepared by a registered professional engineer. Those are components in the conditional use section of here.  MS. DEWOLF: And the next question I have, I'm a little confused, it seems like you have worked for Stroud Water Research Center; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: I have. We did the site engineering for the education building.  MS. DEWOLF: And Longwood Gardens; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: We did the engineering for their meadow expansion.	20:45:55	MS. DEWOLF: Right. Okay. The next question I have is do you want to do your question while I'm finding mine?  MR. HAWS: Sure. I just have one quick question. I think I heard you say, but I just wanted to hear, it is in your opinion that the way that the stormwater management is laid out currently on the proposed plan, it does not meet the minimum requirements of our ordinance; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: It is my opinion that they haven't demonstrated that these will be infiltration basins and meet the requirements for volume control in your ordinance.  MR. HAWS: Okay. Great. Just a follow-up question. In your opinion, if the site was redesigned, could they meet the requirements of our zoning and of the stormwater management based on the property?  THE WITNESS: Potentially.
20:43:59 1 20:44:01 2 20:44:02 3 20:44:07 4 20:44:10 5 20:44:14 6 20:44:17 7 20:44:20 8 20:44:23 9 20:44:25 10 20:44:25 11 20:44:36 13 20:44:41 14 20:44:43 15 20:44:41 15 20:44:41 17 20:44:51 18 20:44:51 18 20:44:52 19 2 20 20:44:53 21 20:44:56 22	density requirements.  There is another one, it says:  Adequate handling of stormwater in the form sorry, I read too fast. Again, I'm sorry.  This is Section 6(c) under the conditional use, adequate handling of stormwater in the form of a preliminary written analysis and conclusions as to the anticipated methods, prepared by a registered professional engineer. Those are components in the conditional use section of here.  MS. DEWOLF: And the next question I have, I'm a little confused, it seems like you have worked for Stroud Water Research Center; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: I have. We did the site engineering for the education building.  MS. DEWOLF: And Longwood Gardens; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: We did the engineering for their meadow expansion.  MS. DEWOLF: U.S. Botanic Garden,	20:45:55	MS. DEWOLF: Right. Okay. The next question I have is do you want to do your question while I'm finding mine?  MR. HAWS: Sure. I just have one quick question. I think I heard you say, but I just wanted to hear, it is in your opinion that the way that the stormwater management is laid out currently on the proposed plan, it does not meet the minimum requirements of our ordinance; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: It is my opinion that they haven't demonstrated that these will be infiltration basins and meet the requirements for volume control in your ordinance.  MR. HAWS: Okay. Great. Just a follow-up question. In your opinion, if the site was redesigned, could they meet the requirements of our zoning and of the stormwater management based on the property?  THE WITNESS: Potentially.  MR. HAWS: Okay. Thank you.
20:43:59 1 20:44:01 2 20:44:02 3 20:44:07 4 20:44:10 5 20:44:14 6 20:44:17 7 20:44:20 8 20:44:23 9 20:44:25 10 20:44:25 11 20:44:35 12 20:44:36 13 20:44:41 14 20:44:41 15 20:44:41 15 20:44:41 17 20:44:51 18 20:44:51 19 2 20 20:44:53 21	density requirements.  There is another one, it says: Adequate handling of stormwater in the form sorry, I read too fast. Again, I'm sorry.  This is Section 6(c) under the conditional use, adequate handling of stormwater in the form of a preliminary written analysis and conclusions as to the anticipated methods, prepared by a registered professional engineer. Those are components in the conditional use section of here.  MS. DEWOLF: And the next question I have, I'm a little confused, it seems like you have worked for Stroud Water Research Center; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: I have. We did the site engineering for the education building.  MS. DEWOLF: And Longwood Gardens; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: We did the engineering for their meadow expansion.	20:45:55	MS. DEWOLF: Right. Okay. The next question I have is do you want to do your question while I'm finding mine?  MR. HAWS: Sure. I just have one quick question. I think I heard you say, but I just wanted to hear, it is in your opinion that the way that the stormwater management is laid out currently on the proposed plan, it does not meet the minimum requirements of our ordinance; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: It is my opinion that they haven't demonstrated that these will be infiltration basins and meet the requirements for volume control in your ordinance.  MR. HAWS: Okay. Great. Just a follow-up question. In your opinion, if the site was redesigned, could they meet the requirements of our zoning and of the stormwater management based on the property?  THE WITNESS: Potentially.

	1656	İ	1658
	1656	4	
20:47:00 1	THE WITNESS: Well, for a site of	20:49:07	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, do
20:47:04 2	this density, where most of the development	20:49:08 2	you have anything further?
20:47:07	that is occurring are on the better soils,	20:49:09	MR. THOMPSON: Nothing further.  I would move I don't know if we want to wait
9 4	integrating stormwater management into the land	20:49:17 4	
20:47:13 5	development, low-impact development approach,	20:49:19 5	until the end.
20:47:15 6	and there are various examples of that being	20:49:20 6	MR. MCKENNA: I think the plan is
20:47:18 7	done for residential development in Pennsylvania, where volume and rate are	20:49:21 7	to wait until the end at this point. We have marked NC-1 through NC-5, with the
20:47:20 8	•		understanding that NC-6 will be provided by the
20:47:24 9	controlled closer to the source, that	20:49:27 9	Neighbors for Crebilly, which will show the
20:47:27 10	low-impact development is basically under EPA's	20:49:35 11	NC-5 with the notations as depicted and we saw
20:47:31 11	definition managing runoff close to where it originates by using soils and vegetation to	20:49:40 12	this evening.
20:47:33 12	hold the water, infiltrate it, evaporate it,	20:49:40 12	MR. THOMPSON: That's correct.
20:47:36 13	incorporate different practices into the	20:49:40 13	MR. MCKENNA: So you have nothing
20:47:39 14	development itself.	20:49:42 14	further from your point of view tonight?
20:47:44 16	MS. DEWOLF: And in respect to	20:49:47 16	MR. THOMPSON: No.
20:47:44 17	cross space and transfers, does D+EP allow	20:49:47 17	MR. MCKENNA: All right. Moving
20:47:50 18	those?	20:49:49 18	down the list
20:47:50 19	THE WITNESS: They may for a	20:49:49 10	MR. ADELMAN: Mr. McKenna, if I
20:47:50 19	project of, for a project of this nature. I	20:49:52 20	may interject for a moment, I do have a
20:47:55 21	don't know if they ever I don't know what	20:49:54 21	rebuttal witness on point two in Ms. Adams'
20:47:59 22	DEP's policy is on stormwater projects or if	20:49:58 22	testimony. I don't know if you would like to
20:48:03 23	they have an absolute policy.	20:49:59 23	take that now or if you would like to wait.
16 24	MS. DEWOLF: That's all I have.	20:50:01 24	Whatever the Board's pleasure is. I think it
1	1657	l.	1659
20:48:11	1657 THE CHAIRMAN: Based on what you	20:50:03	1659 makes sense to take it now.
20:48:11 <b>1</b> 20:48:13 <b>2</b>	THE CHAIRMAN: Based on what you	20:50:03 1	makes sense to take it now.
20:48:11 <b>1</b> 20:48:13 <b>2</b> 20:48:18 <b>3</b>			
20:48:13 2	THE CHAIRMAN: Based on what you just said, would you say that the applicant needs to reduce the number of homes?	20:50:07 2	makes sense to take it now. (Board members conferring.)
20:48:13 2 20:48:18 3	THE CHAIRMAN: Based on what you just said, would you say that the applicant	20:50:07 2 20:50:15 3	makes sense to take it now.  (Board members conferring.)  MR. MCKENNA: Who is the witness?
20:48:13 <b>2</b> 20:48:18 <b>3</b> 20:48:20 <b>4</b>	THE CHAIRMAN: Based on what you just said, would you say that the applicant needs to reduce the number of homes?  THE WITNESS: Well, reducing the	20:50:07 <b>2</b> 20:50:15 <b>3</b> 20:50:17 <b>4</b>	makes sense to take it now.  (Board members conferring.)  MR. MCKENNA: Who is the witness?  MR. ADELMAN: Our stormwater
20:48:13 <b>2</b> 20:48:18 <b>3</b> 20:48:20 <b>4</b> 20:48:24 <b>5</b>	THE CHAIRMAN: Based on what you just said, would you say that the applicant needs to reduce the number of homes?  THE WITNESS: Well, reducing the number of homes would reduce the amount of	20:50:07 <b>2</b> 20:50:15 <b>3</b> 20:50:17 <b>4</b> 20:50:19 <b>5</b>	makes sense to take it now.  (Board members conferring.)  MR. MCKENNA: Who is the witness?  MR. ADELMAN: Our stormwater  engineer, who has been previously sworn, Jeff
20:48:13 <b>2</b> 20:48:18 <b>3</b> 20:48:20 <b>4</b> 20:48:24 <b>5</b> 20:48:26 <b>6</b>	THE CHAIRMAN: Based on what you just said, would you say that the applicant needs to reduce the number of homes?  THE WITNESS: Well, reducing the number of homes would reduce the amount of stormwater runoff. Even if the amount of	20:50:07 <b>2</b> 20:50:15 <b>3</b> 20:50:17 <b>4</b> 20:50:19 <b>5</b> 20:50:21 <b>6</b>	makes sense to take it now.  (Board members conferring.)  MR. MCKENNA: Who is the witness?  MR. ADELMAN: Our stormwater  engineer, who has been previously sworn, Jeff Madden.
20:48:13	THE CHAIRMAN: Based on what you just said, would you say that the applicant needs to reduce the number of homes?  THE WITNESS: Well, reducing the number of homes would reduce the amount of stormwater runoff. Even if the amount of stormwater runoff was reduced, I don't believe	20:50:07 <b>2</b> 20:50:15 <b>3</b> 20:50:17 <b>4</b> 20:50:19 <b>5</b> 20:50:21 <b>6</b> 20:50:24 <b>7</b>	makes sense to take it now.  (Board members conferring.)  MR. MCKENNA: Who is the witness?  MR. ADELMAN: Our stormwater  engineer, who has been previously sworn, Jeff  Madden.  MR. MCKENNA: We will go ahead
20:48:13	THE CHAIRMAN: Based on what you just said, would you say that the applicant needs to reduce the number of homes?  THE WITNESS: Well, reducing the number of homes would reduce the amount of stormwater runoff. Even if the amount of stormwater runoff was reduced, I don't believe the basins, as they have been shown, are	20:50:07	makes sense to take it now.  (Board members conferring.)  MR. MCKENNA: Who is the witness?  MR. ADELMAN: Our stormwater  engineer, who has been previously sworn, Jeff  Madden.  MR. MCKENNA: We will go ahead  and do that now then.
20:48:13	THE CHAIRMAN: Based on what you just said, would you say that the applicant needs to reduce the number of homes?  THE WITNESS: Well, reducing the number of homes would reduce the amount of stormwater runoff. Even if the amount of stormwater runoff was reduced, I don't believe the basins, as they have been shown, are adequate. I think the location and information	20:50:07	makes sense to take it now.  (Board members conferring.)  MR. MCKENNA: Who is the witness?  MR. ADELMAN: Our stormwater engineer, who has been previously sworn, Jeff Madden.  MR. MCKENNA: We will go ahead and do that now then.  MR. ADELMAN: Great, thanks.
20:48:13	THE CHAIRMAN: Based on what you just said, would you say that the applicant needs to reduce the number of homes?  THE WITNESS: Well, reducing the number of homes would reduce the amount of stormwater runoff. Even if the amount of stormwater runoff was reduced, I don't believe the basins, as they have been shown, are adequate. I think the location and information on the proposed basins isn't adequate to	20:50:07	makes sense to take it now.  (Board members conferring.)  MR. MCKENNA: Who is the witness?  MR. ADELMAN: Our stormwater engineer, who has been previously sworn, Jeff Madden.  MR. MCKENNA: We will go ahead and do that now then.  MR. ADELMAN: Great, thanks.  Jeff.
20:48:13	THE CHAIRMAN: Based on what you just said, would you say that the applicant needs to reduce the number of homes?  THE WITNESS: Well, reducing the number of homes would reduce the amount of stormwater runoff. Even if the amount of stormwater runoff was reduced, I don't believe the basins, as they have been shown, are adequate. I think the location and information on the proposed basins isn't adequate to demonstrate that it can meet your stormwater	20:50:07	makes sense to take it now.  (Board members conferring.)  MR. MCKENNA: Who is the witness?  MR. ADELMAN: Our stormwater engineer, who has been previously sworn, Jeff Madden.  MR. MCKENNA: We will go ahead and do that now then.  MR. ADELMAN: Great, thanks.  Jeff.  JEFFREY MADDEN,
20:48:13	THE CHAIRMAN: Based on what you just said, would you say that the applicant needs to reduce the number of homes?  THE WITNESS: Well, reducing the number of homes would reduce the amount of stormwater runoff. Even if the amount of stormwater runoff was reduced, I don't believe the basins, as they have been shown, are adequate. I think the location and information on the proposed basins isn't adequate to demonstrate that it can meet your stormwater ordinance.	20:50:07	makes sense to take it now.  (Board members conferring.)  MR. MCKENNA: Who is the witness?  MR. ADELMAN: Our stormwater engineer, who has been previously sworn, Jeff Madden.  MR. MCKENNA: We will go ahead and do that now then.  MR. ADELMAN: Great, thanks.  Jeff.  JEFFREY MADDEN, the witness herein, having previously been
20:48:13	THE CHAIRMAN: Based on what you just said, would you say that the applicant needs to reduce the number of homes?  THE WITNESS: Well, reducing the number of homes would reduce the amount of stormwater runoff. Even if the amount of stormwater runoff was reduced, I don't believe the basins, as they have been shown, are adequate. I think the location and information on the proposed basins isn't adequate to demonstrate that it can meet your stormwater ordinance.  THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	20:50:07	makes sense to take it now.  (Board members conferring.)  MR. MCKENNA: Who is the witness?  MR. ADELMAN: Our stormwater engineer, who has been previously sworn, Jeff Madden.  MR. MCKENNA: We will go ahead and do that now then.  MR. ADELMAN: Great, thanks.  Jeff.  JEFFREY MADDEN, the witness herein, having previously been duly sworn on oath, was examined and
20:48:13	THE CHAIRMAN: Based on what you just said, would you say that the applicant needs to reduce the number of homes?  THE WITNESS: Well, reducing the number of homes would reduce the amount of stormwater runoff. Even if the amount of stormwater runoff was reduced, I don't believe the basins, as they have been shown, are adequate. I think the location and information on the proposed basins isn't adequate to demonstrate that it can meet your stormwater ordinance.  THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, any	20:50:07	makes sense to take it now.  (Board members conferring.)  MR. MCKENNA: Who is the witness?  MR. ADELMAN: Our stormwater engineer, who has been previously sworn, Jeff Madden.  MR. MCKENNA: We will go ahead and do that now then.  MR. ADELMAN: Great, thanks.  Jeff.  JEFFREY MADDEN, the witness herein, having previously been duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified further as follows:
20:48:13	THE CHAIRMAN: Based on what you just said, would you say that the applicant needs to reduce the number of homes?  THE WITNESS: Well, reducing the number of homes would reduce the amount of stormwater runoff. Even if the amount of stormwater runoff was reduced, I don't believe the basins, as they have been shown, are adequate. I think the location and information on the proposed basins isn't adequate to demonstrate that it can meet your stormwater ordinance.  THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, any redirect?	20:50:07	makes sense to take it now.  (Board members conferring.)  MR. MCKENNA: Who is the witness?  MR. ADELMAN: Our stormwater engineer, who has been previously sworn, Jeff Madden.  MR. MCKENNA: We will go ahead and do that now then.  MR. ADELMAN: Great, thanks.  Jeff.  JEFFREY MADDEN, the witness herein, having previously been duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified further as follows:  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Madden, you were previously sworn, correct?  THE WITNESS: Correct.
20:48:13	THE CHAIRMAN: Based on what you just said, would you say that the applicant needs to reduce the number of homes?  THE WITNESS: Well, reducing the number of homes would reduce the amount of stormwater runoff. Even if the amount of stormwater runoff was reduced, I don't believe the basins, as they have been shown, are adequate. I think the location and information on the proposed basins isn't adequate to demonstrate that it can meet your stormwater ordinance.  THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, any redirect?  MR. THOMPSON: No.	20:50:07	makes sense to take it now.  (Board members conferring.)  MR. MCKENNA: Who is the witness?  MR. ADELMAN: Our stormwater engineer, who has been previously sworn, Jeff Madden.  MR. MCKENNA: We will go ahead and do that now then.  MR. ADELMAN: Great, thanks.  Jeff.  JEFFREY MADDEN, the witness herein, having previously been duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified further as follows:  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Madden, you were previously sworn, correct?  THE WITNESS: Correct. MR. MCKENNA: So you are still
20:48:13	THE CHAIRMAN: Based on what you just said, would you say that the applicant needs to reduce the number of homes?  THE WITNESS: Well, reducing the number of homes would reduce the amount of stormwater runoff. Even if the amount of stormwater runoff was reduced, I don't believe the basins, as they have been shown, are adequate. I think the location and information on the proposed basins isn't adequate to demonstrate that it can meet your stormwater ordinance.  THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, any redirect?  MR. THOMPSON: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, any	20:50:07	makes sense to take it now.  (Board members conferring.)  MR. MCKENNA: Who is the witness?  MR. ADELMAN: Our stormwater engineer, who has been previously sworn, Jeff Madden.  MR. MCKENNA: We will go ahead and do that now then.  MR. ADELMAN: Great, thanks.  Jeff.  JEFFREY MADDEN, the witness herein, having previously been duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified further as follows:  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Madden, you were previously sworn, correct?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  MR. MCKENNA: So you are still under oath. Again, as with all the other
20:48:13	THE CHAIRMAN: Based on what you just said, would you say that the applicant needs to reduce the number of homes?  THE WITNESS: Well, reducing the number of homes would reduce the amount of stormwater runoff. Even if the amount of stormwater runoff was reduced, I don't believe the basins, as they have been shown, are adequate. I think the location and information on the proposed basins isn't adequate to demonstrate that it can meet your stormwater ordinance.  THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, any redirect?  MR. THOMPSON: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, any recross based on what you have heard?	20:50:07	makes sense to take it now.  (Board members conferring.)  MR. MCKENNA: Who is the witness?  MR. ADELMAN: Our stormwater engineer, who has been previously sworn, Jeff Madden.  MR. MCKENNA: We will go ahead and do that now then.  MR. ADELMAN: Great, thanks.  Jeff.  JEFFREY MADDEN, the witness herein, having previously been duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified further as follows:  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Madden, you were previously sworn, correct?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  MR. MCKENNA: So you are still under oath. Again, as with all the other witnesses, please get right up close to that
20:48:13	THE CHAIRMAN: Based on what you just said, would you say that the applicant needs to reduce the number of homes?  THE WITNESS: Well, reducing the number of homes would reduce the amount of stormwater runoff. Even if the amount of stormwater runoff was reduced, I don't believe the basins, as they have been shown, are adequate. I think the location and information on the proposed basins isn't adequate to demonstrate that it can meet your stormwater ordinance.  THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, any redirect?  MR. THOMPSON: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, any recross based on what you have heard?  MR. ADELMAN: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Any other parties, any recross?	20:50:07	makes sense to take it now.  (Board members conferring.)  MR. MCKENNA: Who is the witness?  MR. ADELMAN: Our stormwater engineer, who has been previously sworn, Jeff Madden.  MR. MCKENNA: We will go ahead and do that now then.  MR. ADELMAN: Great, thanks.  Jeff.  JEFFREY MADDEN, the witness herein, having previously been duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified further as follows:  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Madden, you were previously sworn, correct?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  MR. MCKENNA: So you are still under oath. Again, as with all the other witnesses, please get right up close to that microphone.
20:48:13	THE CHAIRMAN: Based on what you just said, would you say that the applicant needs to reduce the number of homes?  THE WITNESS: Well, reducing the number of homes would reduce the amount of stormwater runoff. Even if the amount of stormwater runoff was reduced, I don't believe the basins, as they have been shown, are adequate. I think the location and information on the proposed basins isn't adequate to demonstrate that it can meet your stormwater ordinance.  THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, any redirect?  MR. THOMPSON: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, any recross based on what you have heard?  MR. ADELMAN: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Any other parties, any recross?  Ms. Adams, thank you very much.	20:50:07	makes sense to take it now.  (Board members conferring.)  MR. MCKENNA: Who is the witness?  MR. ADELMAN: Our stormwater engineer, who has been previously sworn, Jeff Madden.  MR. MCKENNA: We will go ahead and do that now then.  MR. ADELMAN: Great, thanks.  Jeff.  JEFFREY MADDEN, the witness herein, having previously been duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified further as follows:  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Madden, you were previously sworn, correct?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  MR. MCKENNA: So you are still under oath. Again, as with all the other witnesses, please get right up close to that microphone.  REDIRECT EXAMINATION
20:48:13	THE CHAIRMAN: Based on what you just said, would you say that the applicant needs to reduce the number of homes?  THE WITNESS: Well, reducing the number of homes would reduce the amount of stormwater runoff. Even if the amount of stormwater runoff was reduced, I don't believe the basins, as they have been shown, are adequate. I think the location and information on the proposed basins isn't adequate to demonstrate that it can meet your stormwater ordinance.  THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Thompson, any redirect?  MR. THOMPSON: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, any recross based on what you have heard?  MR. ADELMAN: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Any other parties, any recross?	20:50:07	makes sense to take it now.  (Board members conferring.)  MR. MCKENNA: Who is the witness?  MR. ADELMAN: Our stormwater engineer, who has been previously sworn, Jeff Madden.  MR. MCKENNA: We will go ahead and do that now then.  MR. ADELMAN: Great, thanks.  Jeff.  JEFFREY MADDEN, the witness herein, having previously been duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified further as follows:  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Madden, you were previously sworn, correct?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  MR. MCKENNA: So you are still under oath. Again, as with all the other witnesses, please get right up close to that microphone.

		1660		1662
20:50:50	1	testimony; is that correct?	20:53:09	elevations, we will have to, as per the DEP
20:50:50	2	A. Yes, I was.	20:53:13	regulations, show the two-foot separation on
20:50:51	3	<b>Q.</b> And have you had an opportunity to	20:53:18 3	our design or modify the basins, if needed,
3	4	review and analyze Ms. Adams' review letter	20:53:22 4	once additional testing is necessary.
20:50:57	5	which was introduced as NC-4?	20:53:24 <b>5</b>	But at this moment the testing by
20:50:59	6	A. Yes, briefly, as it was given to us	20:53:27 6	GTA Associates on the whole shows that the
20:51:01	7	tonight.	20:53:32 7	infiltration practices on the site are
20:51:01	8	Q. Okay. And do you agree with Ms. Adams'	20:53:34	feasible.
20:51:04	9	testimony and her findings and conclusions in	20:53:35	Q. Okay. Did you meet with the township
20:51:07	10	NC-4?	20:53:36 10	engineer or have discussions with the township
20:51:08	11	A. No, I do not agree with her points.	20:53:38 11	engineer with respect to your conceptual design
20:51:11	12	Q. Looking directly at NC-4, her review	20:53:41 12	and the preliminary infiltration testing?
20:51:15	13	letter, please tell us what you disagree with.	20:53:43 13	A. Yes, we did.
20:51:18	14	A. On the general rule, the stormwater	20:53:44 14	<b>Q.</b> And in response to the township
20:51:23	15	management testing is one of the aspects. We	20:53:47 15	engineer's comments did you supply additional
20:51:27	16	have done testing throughout the site which	20:53:49 16	information?
20;51;33	17	will show that the infiltration is possible on	20:53:50 17	A. As requested, both the township
20:51:35	18	this site. The testing that we received, the	20:53:53 18	engineer was satisfied with the information
20:51:41	19	infiltration results, anywhere from half an	20:53:55 19	that was presented and as a whole he stated in
20:51:45	20	inch to four inches per hour, was reduced to a	20:53:58 20	his Exhibit A-10, comment 10, that at this
20;51;49	21	lesser amount of infiltration in our design.	20:54:02 21	point it demonstrates the ability to provide
20:51:53	22	So we are not designed to a maximum.	20:54:05 22	stormwater management.
20;51:56	23	The basins themselves are	20:54:07 23	Q. Okay. If you can continue going
58	24	designed for infiltration. As was stated, and	20:54:09 24	through Ms. Adams' review letter, NC-4, please,
Ĩ		1661		1663
			II -	
20:52:02	1	as she also stated, that if and when	20:54:13	and tell us what you agree with or disagree
20:52:02	1	as she also stated, that if and when infiltration is not possible, there are other	20:54:13 1 20:54:15 2	and tell us what you agree with or disagree with, and why?
	1 2 3	infiltration is not possible, there are other alternatives, as the township engineer	_	with, and why?  A. Sure. I'll just start at the bottom
20:52:06	_	infiltration is not possible, there are other alternatives, as the township engineer previously stated in his testimony, that this	20:54:15 2	with, and why?
20:52:06	3	infiltration is not possible, there are other alternatives, as the township engineer previously stated in his testimony, that this is a conceptual process and that more	20:54:15 2 20:54:16 3	with, and why?  A. Sure. I'll just start at the bottom of sorry, the top of page 3, the second bullet point.
20:52:06 20:52:09 20:52:13	3	infiltration is not possible, there are other alternatives, as the township engineer previously stated in his testimony, that this	20:54:15 <b>2</b> 20:54:16 <b>3</b> 20:54:20 <b>4</b>	with, and why?  A. Sure. I'll just start at the bottom of sorry, the top of page 3, the second bullet point.  Q. Please get close to the mike.
20:52:06 20:52:09 20:52:13 20:52:16	3 4 5	infiltration is not possible, there are other alternatives, as the township engineer previously stated in his testimony, that this is a conceptual process and that more	20:54:15 <b>2</b> 20:54:16 <b>3</b> 20:54:20 <b>4</b> 20:54:26 <b>5</b>	with, and why?  A. Sure. I'll just start at the bottom of sorry, the top of page 3, the second bullet point.  Q. Please get close to the mike.  A. Sorry. The second bullet point, which
20:52:06 20:52:09 20:52:13 20:52:16 20:52:20	3 4 5 6	infiltration is not possible, there are other alternatives, as the township engineer previously stated in his testimony, that this is a conceptual process and that more information and detail will be done during the	20:54:15 <b>2</b> 20:54:16 <b>3</b> 20:54:20 <b>4</b> 20:54:26 <b>5</b> 20:54:26 <b>6</b>	with, and why?  A. Sure. I'll just start at the bottom of sorry, the top of page 3, the second bullet point.  Q. Please get close to the mike.  A. Sorry. The second bullet point, which is 170-904.A(3)(c)[2], regarding the applicant
20:52:06 20:52:09 20:52:13 20:52:16 20:52:20 20:52:22	3 4 5 6 7	infiltration is not possible, there are other alternatives, as the township engineer previously stated in his testimony, that this is a conceptual process and that more information and detail will be done during the preliminary process.  Q. Ms. Adams testified that certain locations where preliminary infiltration	20:54:15	with, and why?  A. Sure. I'll just start at the bottom of sorry, the top of page 3, the second bullet point.  Q. Please get close to the mike.  A. Sorry. The second bullet point, which is 170-904.A(3)(c)[2], regarding the applicant shall be required to submit credible evidence
20:52:06 20:52:09 20:52:13 20:52:16 20:52:20 20:52:22 20:52:24 20:52:27	3 4 5 6 7 8	infiltration is not possible, there are other alternatives, as the township engineer previously stated in his testimony, that this is a conceptual process and that more information and detail will be done during the preliminary process.  Q. Ms. Adams testified that certain locations where preliminary infiltration testing was performed had certain limiting	20:54:15	with, and why?  A. Sure. I'll just start at the bottom of sorry, the top of page 3, the second bullet point.  Q. Please get close to the mike.  A. Sorry. The second bullet point, which is 170-904.A(3)(c)[2], regarding the applicant shall be required to submit credible evidence satisfactory to demonstrate long-term
20:52:06 20:52:09 20:52:13 20:52:16 20:52:20 20:52:22 20:52:24 20:52:27 20:52:30	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	infiltration is not possible, there are other alternatives, as the township engineer previously stated in his testimony, that this is a conceptual process and that more information and detail will be done during the preliminary process.  Q. Ms. Adams testified that certain locations where preliminary infiltration testing was performed had certain limiting zones. Did you hear that testimony?	20:54:15	with, and why?  A. Sure. I'll just start at the bottom of sorry, the top of page 3, the second bullet point.  Q. Please get close to the mike.  A. Sorry. The second bullet point, which is 170-904.A(3)(c)[2], regarding the applicant shall be required to submit credible evidence satisfactory to demonstrate long-term feasibility of required groundwater
20:52:06 20:52:09 20:52:13 20:52:16 20:52:20 20:52:22 20:52:24 20:52:27 20:52:30 20:52:33	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	infiltration is not possible, there are other alternatives, as the township engineer previously stated in his testimony, that this is a conceptual process and that more information and detail will be done during the preliminary process.  Q. Ms. Adams testified that certain locations where preliminary infiltration testing was performed had certain limiting zones. Did you hear that testimony?  A. Yes, I did.	20:54:15	with, and why?  A. Sure. I'll just start at the bottom of sorry, the top of page 3, the second bullet point.  Q. Please get close to the mike.  A. Sorry. The second bullet point, which is 170-904.A(3)(c)[2], regarding the applicant shall be required to submit credible evidence satisfactory to demonstrate long-term feasibility of required groundwater infiltration. We have provided infiltration
20:52:06 20:52:09 20:52:13 20:52:16 20:52:20 20:52:22 20:52:24 20:52:27 20:52:30	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	infiltration is not possible, there are other alternatives, as the township engineer previously stated in his testimony, that this is a conceptual process and that more information and detail will be done during the preliminary process.  Q. Ms. Adams testified that certain locations where preliminary infiltration testing was performed had certain limiting zones. Did you hear that testimony?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. And do you recall what limiting zones	20:54:15	A. Sure. I'll just start at the bottom of sorry, the top of page 3, the second bullet point. Q. Please get close to the mike. A. Sorry. The second bullet point, which is 170-904.A(3)(c)[2], regarding the applicant shall be required to submit credible evidence satisfactory to demonstrate long-term feasibility of required groundwater infiltration. We have provided infiltration testing, and when the preliminary plans and
20:52:06 20:52:09 20:52:13 20:52:16 20:52:20 20:52:22 20:52:24 20:52:27 20:52:30 20:52:33 20:52:34 20:52:35	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	infiltration is not possible, there are other alternatives, as the township engineer previously stated in his testimony, that this is a conceptual process and that more information and detail will be done during the preliminary process.  Q. Ms. Adams testified that certain locations where preliminary infiltration testing was performed had certain limiting zones. Did you hear that testimony?  A. Yes, I did. Q. And do you recall what limiting zones she referenced?	20:54:15	A. Sure. I'll just start at the bottom of sorry, the top of page 3, the second bullet point. Q. Please get close to the mike. A. Sorry. The second bullet point, which is 170-904.A(3)(c)[2], regarding the applicant shall be required to submit credible evidence satisfactory to demonstrate long-term feasibility of required groundwater infiltration. We have provided infiltration testing, and when the preliminary plans and final plans are proposed, as per the DEP
20:52:06 20:52:09 20:52:13 20:52:16 20:52:20 20:52:22 20:52:24 20:52:27 20:52:30 20:52:33 20:52:34 20:52:35 20:52:37	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	infiltration is not possible, there are other alternatives, as the township engineer previously stated in his testimony, that this is a conceptual process and that more information and detail will be done during the preliminary process.  Q. Ms. Adams testified that certain locations where preliminary infiltration testing was performed had certain limiting zones. Did you hear that testimony?  A. Yes, I did. Q. And do you recall what limiting zones she referenced?  A. A few of the limiting zones were for	20:54:15	A. Sure. I'll just start at the bottom of sorry, the top of page 3, the second bullet point. Q. Please get close to the mike. A. Sorry. The second bullet point, which is 170-904.A(3)(c)[2], regarding the applicant shall be required to submit credible evidence satisfactory to demonstrate long-term feasibility of required groundwater infiltration. We have provided infiltration testing, and when the preliminary plans and final plans are proposed, as per the DEP regulations, we have to create an operation and
20:52:06 20:52:09 20:52:13 20:52:16 20:52:20 20:52:22 20:52:24 20:52:27 20:52:30 20:52:33 20:52:34 20:52:35 20:52:36 20:52:38	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15	infiltration is not possible, there are other alternatives, as the township engineer previously stated in his testimony, that this is a conceptual process and that more information and detail will be done during the preliminary process.  Q. Ms. Adams testified that certain locations where preliminary infiltration testing was performed had certain limiting zones. Did you hear that testimony?  A. Yes, I did. Q. And do you recall what limiting zones she referenced?  A. A few of the limiting zones were for high groundwater, which the location of the	20:54:15	A. Sure. I'll just start at the bottom of sorry, the top of page 3, the second bullet point.  Q. Please get close to the mike.  A. Sorry. The second bullet point, which is 170-904.A(3)(c)[2], regarding the applicant shall be required to submit credible evidence satisfactory to demonstrate long-term feasibility of required groundwater infiltration. We have provided infiltration testing, and when the preliminary plans and final plans are proposed, as per the DEP regulations, we have to create an operation and maintenance plan that will be implemented and
20:52:06 20:52:09 20:52:13 20:52:16 20:52:20 20:52:22 20:52:24 20:52:27 20:52:30 20:52:33 20:52:34 20:52:35 20:52:37 20:52:38 20:52:41	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	infiltration is not possible, there are other alternatives, as the township engineer previously stated in his testimony, that this is a conceptual process and that more information and detail will be done during the preliminary process.  Q. Ms. Adams testified that certain locations where preliminary infiltration testing was performed had certain limiting zones. Did you hear that testimony?  A. Yes, I did. Q. And do you recall what limiting zones she referenced?  A. A few of the limiting zones were for high groundwater, which the location of the high groundwater that we have shown on our site	20:54:15	A. Sure. I'll just start at the bottom of sorry, the top of page 3, the second bullet point.  Q. Please get close to the mike.  A. Sorry. The second bullet point, which is 170-904.A(3)(c)[2], regarding the applicant shall be required to submit credible evidence satisfactory to demonstrate long-term feasibility of required groundwater infiltration. We have provided infiltration testing, and when the preliminary plans and final plans are proposed, as per the DEP regulations, we have to create an operation and maintenance plan that will be implemented and used by the faculty that is to maintain these
20:52:06 20:52:09 20:52:13 20:52:16 20:52:20 20:52:22 20:52:24 20:52:27 20:52:33 20:52:34 20:52:35 20:52:36 20:52:36	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	infiltration is not possible, there are other alternatives, as the township engineer previously stated in his testimony, that this is a conceptual process and that more information and detail will be done during the preliminary process.  Q. Ms. Adams testified that certain locations where preliminary infiltration testing was performed had certain limiting zones. Did you hear that testimony?  A. Yes, I did. Q. And do you recall what limiting zones she referenced?  A. A few of the limiting zones were for high groundwater, which the location of the high groundwater that we have shown on our site is below the basin areas, so it is not directly	20:54:15	A. Sure. I'll just start at the bottom of sorry, the top of page 3, the second bullet point.  Q. Please get close to the mike.  A. Sorry. The second bullet point, which is 170-904.A(3)(c)[2], regarding the applicant shall be required to submit credible evidence satisfactory to demonstrate long-term feasibility of required groundwater infiltration. We have provided infiltration testing, and when the preliminary plans and final plans are proposed, as per the DEP regulations, we have to create an operation and maintenance plan that will be implemented and used by the faculty that is to maintain these basins, whether it is the township or the HOA.
20:52:06 20:52:09 20:52:13 20:52:16 20:52:20 20:52:22 20:52:24 20:52:27 20:52:30 20:52:33 20:52:34 20:52:35 20:52:37 20:52:38 20:52:41 20:52:44 20:52:44	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	infiltration is not possible, there are other alternatives, as the township engineer previously stated in his testimony, that this is a conceptual process and that more information and detail will be done during the preliminary process.  Q. Ms. Adams testified that certain locations where preliminary infiltration testing was performed had certain limiting zones. Did you hear that testimony?  A. Yes, I did. Q. And do you recall what limiting zones she referenced?  A. A few of the limiting zones were for high groundwater, which the location of the high groundwater that we have shown on our site is below the basin areas, so it is not directly in contact with the high groundwater areas as	20:54:15	A. Sure. I'll just start at the bottom of sorry, the top of page 3, the second bullet point.  Q. Please get close to the mike.  A. Sorry. The second bullet point, which is 170-904.A(3)(c)[2], regarding the applicant shall be required to submit credible evidence satisfactory to demonstrate long-term feasibility of required groundwater infiltration. We have provided infiltration testing, and when the preliminary plans and final plans are proposed, as per the DEP regulations, we have to create an operation and maintenance plan that will be implemented and used by the faculty that is to maintain these basins, whether it is the township or the HOA.  Just going down the list,
20:52:06 20:52:09 20:52:13 20:52:16 20:52:20 20:52:22 20:52:24 20:52:27 20:52:33 20:52:34 20:52:35 20:52:38 20:52:38 20:52:44 20:52:44 20:52:44	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	infiltration is not possible, there are other alternatives, as the township engineer previously stated in his testimony, that this is a conceptual process and that more information and detail will be done during the preliminary process.  Q. Ms. Adams testified that certain locations where preliminary infiltration testing was performed had certain limiting zones. Did you hear that testimony?  A. Yes, I did. Q. And do you recall what limiting zones she referenced?  A. A few of the limiting zones were for high groundwater, which the location of the high groundwater that we have shown on our site is below the basin areas, so it is not directly in contact with the high groundwater areas as shown on our plans.	20:54:15	A. Sure. I'll just start at the bottom of sorry, the top of page 3, the second bullet point.  Q. Please get close to the mike.  A. Sorry. The second bullet point, which is 170-904.A(3)(c)[2], regarding the applicant shall be required to submit credible evidence satisfactory to demonstrate long-term feasibility of required groundwater infiltration. We have provided infiltration testing, and when the preliminary plans and final plans are proposed, as per the DEP regulations, we have to create an operation and maintenance plan that will be implemented and used by the faculty that is to maintain these basins, whether it is the township or the HOA.  Just going down the list,
20:52:06 20:52:09 20:52:13 20:52:16 20:52:20 20:52:22 20:52:24 20:52:27 20:52:30 20:52:33 20:52:34 20:52:35 20:52:37 20:52:38 20:52:41 20:52:44 20:52:44 20:52:47	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	infiltration is not possible, there are other alternatives, as the township engineer previously stated in his testimony, that this is a conceptual process and that more information and detail will be done during the preliminary process.  Q. Ms. Adams testified that certain locations where preliminary infiltration testing was performed had certain limiting zones. Did you hear that testimony?  A. Yes, I did. Q. And do you recall what limiting zones she referenced?  A. A few of the limiting zones were for high groundwater, which the location of the high groundwater that we have shown on our site is below the basin areas, so it is not directly in contact with the high groundwater areas as shown on our plans.  Other avenues that she talked	20:54:15	A. Sure. I'll just start at the bottom of sorry, the top of page 3, the second bullet point.  Q. Please get close to the mike.  A. Sorry. The second bullet point, which is 170-904.A(3)(c)[2], regarding the applicant shall be required to submit credible evidence satisfactory to demonstrate long-term feasibility of required groundwater infiltration. We have provided infiltration testing, and when the preliminary plans and final plans are proposed, as per the DEP regulations, we have to create an operation and maintenance plan that will be implemented and used by the faculty that is to maintain these basins, whether it is the township or the HOA.  Just going down the list,  170-905.A.(2) regarding that the finished topography of the site shall adequately
20:52:06 20:52:09 20:52:13 20:52:16 20:52:20 20:52:22 20:52:24 20:52:27 20:52:33 20:52:34 20:52:35 20:52:38 20:52:34 20:52:44 20:52:47 20:52:44 20:52:47	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	infiltration is not possible, there are other alternatives, as the township engineer previously stated in his testimony, that this is a conceptual process and that more information and detail will be done during the preliminary process.  Q. Ms. Adams testified that certain locations where preliminary infiltration testing was performed had certain limiting zones. Did you hear that testimony?  A. Yes, I did. Q. And do you recall what limiting zones she referenced?  A. A few of the limiting zones were for high groundwater, which the location of the high groundwater that we have shown on our site is below the basin areas, so it is not directly in contact with the high groundwater areas as shown on our plans.  Other avenues that she talked about were the encountering of rock. When we	20:54:15	A. Sure. I'll just start at the bottom of sorry, the top of page 3, the second bullet point.  Q. Please get close to the mike.  A. Sorry. The second bullet point, which is 170-904.A(3)(c)[2], regarding the applicant shall be required to submit credible evidence satisfactory to demonstrate long-term feasibility of required groundwater infiltration. We have provided infiltration testing, and when the preliminary plans and final plans are proposed, as per the DEP regulations, we have to create an operation and maintenance plan that will be implemented and used by the faculty that is to maintain these basins, whether it is the township or the HOA.  Just going down the list,  170-905.A.(2) regarding that the finished topography of the site shall adequately facilitate the proposed development without
20:52:06 20:52:09 20:52:13 20:52:16 20:52:20 20:52:22 20:52:24 20:52:27 20:52:30 20:52:33 20:52:34 20:52:35 20:52:37 20:52:38 20:52:41 20:52:44 20:52:44 20:52:47	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	infiltration is not possible, there are other alternatives, as the township engineer previously stated in his testimony, that this is a conceptual process and that more information and detail will be done during the preliminary process.  Q. Ms. Adams testified that certain locations where preliminary infiltration testing was performed had certain limiting zones. Did you hear that testimony?  A. Yes, I did. Q. And do you recall what limiting zones she referenced?  A. A few of the limiting zones were for high groundwater, which the location of the high groundwater that we have shown on our site is below the basin areas, so it is not directly in contact with the high groundwater areas as shown on our plans.  Other avenues that she talked	20:54:15	A. Sure. I'll just start at the bottom of sorry, the top of page 3, the second bullet point.  Q. Please get close to the mike.  A. Sorry. The second bullet point, which is 170-904.A(3)(c)[2], regarding the applicant shall be required to submit credible evidence satisfactory to demonstrate long-term feasibility of required groundwater infiltration. We have provided infiltration testing, and when the preliminary plans and final plans are proposed, as per the DEP regulations, we have to create an operation and maintenance plan that will be implemented and used by the faculty that is to maintain these basins, whether it is the township or the HOA.  Just going down the list,  170-905.A.(2) regarding that the finished topography of the site shall adequately

1664 1666 1 Q. Jeff, slow down. reductions need to be 50 percent of the 20:55:23 2 A. Sorry. Just like --2 pre-development flows for each individual storm 20:55:25 20:57:48 3 Q. Repeat that, please, and slow. 3 20:57:50 20:55:25 4 A. Sorry. 170-905.A.(2), the finished We have shown that the two-year, 20:57:51 topography of the site shall adequately 5 for example, the two-year post development is 5 20:55:32 20:57:54 6 facilitate the proposed development without 6 less than 50 percent of the two-year 20:57:57 20:55:34 7 excessive earthmoving, tree clearing, or 7 pre-development flows to those specific study 20:55:37 8 destruction of natural amenities. 8 lines. One for the Chester Creek which goes to 20:55:40 20:58:03 9 Q. How did you address that in terms of a culvert underneath of Route 202. 20:58:06 20:55:42 the site design? 20:58:08 10 Q. Is that in response to one of Ms. 10 20:55:44 20:55:45 11 A. The site, if I continue, in the actual 20:58:10 11 Adams' questions about she couldn't determine 20:55:50 12 exhibit it specifies lakes and streams as two 20:58:13 12 where the stormwater would go for that area of of the, two of the areas to be preserved. 20:58:15 13 the property? 13 20:55:52 20:55:57 14 We have preserved lakes and 20:58:15 14 A. That's correct. Q. So where does it go again, just to be 15 streams. The wooded slopes shall be preserved 20:58:16 15 20:55:58 16 and incorporated. Per code, we are to protect 20:58:18 16 clear? 20:56:02 75 percent of the slopes 25 percent or greater, 20:58:18 17 A. Ultimately, the area to the east along 17 20:56:06 and we need to protect 50 percent of the 20:58:21 18 the Route 202 drains towards 202, to, 20:56:10 18 secondary features, one of the secondary ultimately to a culvert that is on the Crebilly 19 20:58:25 19 20:56:14 features being woodlands. 20:58:29 20 property but closer to the church to the north. 20 20:56:17 20:56:19 21 So the ordinance does have an arm 20:58:33 21 Q. Okay. Please continue. 22 that requires certain criteria to be met. 20:58:36 22 A. At the bottom of page 3, which is, 20:56:21 20:56:23 23 20:58:41 23 again, just it is an outsized bullet point in Q. Again, was that laid out on the plan? s 24 A. Yes, it was in the exhibits in the 20:58:45 24 bold: Increases in the volume and frequency of 1665 1667 beginning, I think it is pages 3A through 3G. stormwater discharge from the project. 20:58:47 20:56:28 2 2 The plans in front of, that have Q. Okay. Please continue. What is the 20:58:50 20:56:32 been submitted show that the site will meet the next issue or item in her review letter, NC-4? 3 3 20:56:36 20:58:52 township and DEP requirements, will meet the A. I guess in the middle of page 3 it 20:58:58 20:56:41 talks about no assurances are provided in the 5 water quality requirements of volume, and, as 5 20:56:43 20:59:01 stated previously as well, that the township preliminary design that will protect existing 6 6 20:56:47 20:59:05 7 engineer agrees with the general design of the 7 is wetlands on the site. 20:59:07 20:56:49 Again, per the code, you have to 8 plans in front of the Board. R 20:56:50 20:59:10 Q. Let me just jump in there for a second. protect 75 percent of the wetlands on the site. 9 20:56:52 20:59:13 Do you recall Ms. Adams' testimony where she 10 We are protecting, we are protecting the 20:59:15 10 20:56:56 wetlands on the site except for where the roads highlighted alleged errors in your stormwater 20:59:18 11 11 calculations? 12 will be crossed, and we will need to obtain a 20:59:21 12 20:57:04 20:59:22 13 A. Yes, I do. permit from the DEP for those crossings. 13 20:57:06 20:59:22 14 Q. In particular, there was multiple Q. Okay. 14 20:57:09 20:57:12 15 A. Just as a general Act 167 management questions with respect to the curve numbers? 20:59:24 15 20:59:27 16 A. Yes. I believe on the -- I believe 20:57:17 16 for the area, going towards the left of the what Ms. Adams was referencing was page 9 in site, we need to reduce from the two-year 20:57:21 17 20:59:30 17 20:57:25 18 development post to the one-year development the middle of her letter, she states that the B 20:59:33 18 20:57:27 19 pre, and then from the five-year post to the 20:59:39 19 soils we have classified as meadow going 20:59:41 20 towards the Chester Creek Watershed should be 9 20 five-year pre, on up to each individual storm. 20:57:33 21 Again, the basins have been 20:59:45 21 considered in some way, shape or form some 20:57:35 22 designed to meet those requirements. Going 20:59:47 22 forest, which does have a low curve number. 20:59:50 23 20:57:38 **23** towards the east, which is towards the Chester The area that goes towards Creek Watershed, as stated by Ms. Adams, the Chester Creek is predominantly meadow or road 20:57:41 24

	1000		_	4670
	1668			1670
21:00:00 1	crops, but we have to upsize we have to	21:02:07	1	several areas to keep the wetlands wet and the
21:00:02 2	downsize the CN to be meadow. And there are	21:02:11	2	streams wet, without degrading them, without
21:00:07	sporadic single trees that we have lumped in as	21:02:15	3	increasing the required volume and rate, as per
0 4	meadow, as they are not forested,	21:02:20	4	the township DEP regulations.
21:00:14 5	quarter-of-an-acre stands of trees.	21:02:25	5	And the basins are not located in
21:00:15 6	Q. So you don't agree with her	21:02:29	6	the wetlands but upstream of the wetlands, in a
21:00:17 7	characterization?	21:02:32	7	typical area, the lower portion of the site
21:00:18	A. Correct.	21:02:35	8	where water would drain to, it would be
21:00:19	Q. Okay. I believe she also mentioned an	21:02:38	9	conveyed to the basins, held, routed, and then
21:00:25 10	alleged improper use of weighted averages; is	21:02:43	10	discharged in a flow that would mimic the
21:00:29 11	that correct?	21:02:48	11	required flows from the township or DEP with
21:00:29 12	A. Yes. That's what issue she has talked	21:02:50	12	reductions to the pre-development flows.
21:00:33 13	about in her letter.	21:02:53	13	Q. Okay. And what water quality measures
21:00:33 14	Q. Do you agree or disagree with her	21:02:55	14	would you typically have to include in those
21:00:35 15	characterization?	21:02:58	15	basins that discharge to the wetlands?
21:00:35 16	A. I disagree with that.	21:03:00	16	A. Water quality measures would include,
21:00:37 17	Q. Why?	21:03:03	17	per the DEP regulations and the Conservation
21:00:38 18	A. Per the TR-55 manual, that is guidance	21:03:07	18	District, as this is, they are going to an
21:00:45 19	for the stormwater design, there is, there is	21:03:10	19	impaired stream, we would have to show that we
21:00:49 20	an area for what is considered weighted	21:03:12	20	would reduce the total nitrates to 50 percent
21:00:52 21	averages, where an example would be you can	21:03:16	21	of the pre, the total suspended solids and
21:00:54 22	just say I have an acre of land that's	21:03:20	22	total pollutants, to ultimately obtain our
21:00:58 23	residential, and it will say, it will give you	21:03:24	23	NPDES permit for the site, so that is one
01 24	a weighted average using to be possibly 70,	21:03:27	24	aspect we would have to do, as well as there is
	1669			1671
21:01:06 1	which is a weighted average.	21:03:30	1	aspects of the water quality and the township
21:01:07 2	What we have done is we have	21:03:32	2	code which makes you treat a percentage of your
21:01:09 3	actually calculated the impervious, the lawn,	21:03:36	3	first flush, your one-year rainfall event and
21:01:12 4	the meadow, the woods to a specific drain area,	21:03:41	4	treat it in some way, shape or form.
21:01:17 5	and then summed those up, and then averaged the	21:03:42	5	But the basins could also be
21:01:21 6	actual cover types going towards the drainage	21:03:44	6	planted with wetland-tolerant plantings or some
21:01:24 7	areas. So it is not a weighted average as	21:03:52	7	other characteristics of landscape to
21:01:26	specified in the TR-55. It is the actual land	21:03:57	8	ultimately clean the water as well.
21:01:30	types on the site.	21:04:01	9	Q. And would those water quality features
21:01:30 10	Q. Did the township engineer review those	21:04:03	10	or details be typically something you would
21:01:33 11	assignments and calculations?	21:04:06	11	include in the full engineered stormwater plan?
21:01:34 12	A. Yes, he has.	21:04:09	12	A. Yes, they would.
21:01:35 13	Q. And did he have any issues with what	21:04:09	13	Q. As opposed to your conceptual plan?
21:01:36 14	you did?	21:04:12	14	A. Correct.
21:01:37 15	A. No, he has not.	21:04:13	15	Q. Please continue in her letter, NC-4.
21:01:39 16	Q. Please continue going through her	21:04:16	16	A. Alterations, this is again on page 4,
21:01:40 17	letter.	21:04:24	17	alterations in stream temperature that will
21:01:41 18	A. Sure. Disconnection I'm sorry, page	21:04:26	18	adversely impact instream water quality and
21:01:46 19	4, the disconnection of the stream channel from	21:04:29	19	aquatic health.
<sub>9</sub> 20	associated floodplains and wetlands. And,	21:04:31	20	The site, again, as rudimentary
21:01:52 21	again, this is also in relationship to basins	21:04:34	21	design, shows rooftop, can show rooftop
21:01:59 22	not discharging throughout the site and	21:04:40	22	disconnection, which will help the water to
21:02:02 23	channelizing more towards the streams. We have	21:04:42	23	flow over the lawn in the lots and not be
		l .		

		1	
	1672		1674
21:04:51 1	underneath the roads. So that will help to,	21:07:23	proposed development for compliance with
21:04:54 2	one, keep the water at a relatively moderate	21:07:25 2	Westtown Township and PA DEP requirements.
21:04:58 3	temperature; two, to help contact with the	21:07:30 3	As is previously stated, it is my
и 4	soils and the lawns, it will knock out any	21:07:34 4	stance that we meet the requirements, as well
21:05:04 5	pollutants ultimately going from the homes,	21:07:36 5	as in the township engineer's review letters
21:05:07 6	from the lawns to the basins themselves and	21:07:40 6	and correspondence with him and meetings with
21:05:11 7	ultimately to the streams.	21:07:42 7	him that the overall design is fine.
21:05:13	Other aspects there could be put	21:07:46	As I have stated previously, and
21:05:17 9	on here is landscaping around the basins to	21:07:50	the township engineer has stated in his letter,
21:05:21 10	help shade the basins, to help bring the	21:07:52 10	details will be done during the preliminary
21:05:25 11	ambient water temperature down, if it is to a	21:07:55 11	plan, and once additional design requirements
21:05:29 12	certain amount.	21:07:59 12	are met, and we can have ultimate layout, and
21:05:30 13	Also, the basins have been	21:08:05 13	GTA will go out and get additional testing to
21:05:33 14	undercut in some instances to help the first	21:08:08 14	help aid in the design if the basins are to be
21:05:36 15	flush to settle out and infiltrate into the	21:08:12 15	relocated in any way, shape or form.
21:05:39 16	groundwater. So that, again, the first flush	21:08:17 16	Comment No., on page 5, the
21:05:42 17	will be infiltrated into the site and not leave	21:08:20 17	bottom, No. 1, a synopsis, the proposed project
21:05:44 18	the site and go into the streams. And that	21:08:25 18	will not provide adequate stormwater management
21:05:48 19	will also help to ultimately bridge the gap, if	21:08:30 19	through infiltration as proposed, and the
21:05:54 20	there is an increase in the water temperature.	21:08:31 20	provided Report of Preliminary Geotechnical
21:05:58 21	But all these techniques have	21:08:34 21	Exploration is inadequate.
21:05:59 22	been used throughout several sites within	21:08:36 22	Q. Do you degree with her conclusion?
21:06:03 23	Pennsylvania and have been shown to not	21:08:37 23	A. Again, as I previously stated, we do
07 24	adversely impact the water quality of the	21:08:39 24	have testing in multiple locations throughout
1	1673		1675
21:06:09 1	streams.	21:08:42 1	the site which does show that we have
21:06:09 1 21:06:10 2	streams.  Q. Please continue.	21:08:42 <b>1</b> 21:08:45 <b>2</b>	the site which does show that we have infiltration, and we, if we need to get
21:06:10 2	Q. Please continue.	21:08:45 2	infiltration, and we, if we need to get
21:06:10 <b>2</b> 21:06:12 <b>3</b>	<ul><li>Q. Please continue.</li><li>A. The testing locations and test pits are not located on any plan that shows proposed basins. She is correct that there is no</li></ul>	21:08:45 <b>2</b> 21:08:51 <b>3</b>	infiltration, and we, if we need to get additional testing during preliminary stages,
21:06:10 <b>2</b> 21:06:12 <b>3</b> 21:06:27 <b>4</b>	<ul><li>Q. Please continue.</li><li>A. The testing locations and test pits are not located on any plan that shows proposed</li></ul>	21:08:45 <b>2</b> 21:08:51 <b>3</b> 21:08:53 <b>4</b>	infiltration, and we, if we need to get additional testing during preliminary stages, can do so. But
21:06:10 <b>2</b> 21:06:12 <b>3</b> 21:06:27 <b>4</b> 21:06:30 <b>5</b>	Q. Please continue.  A. The testing locations and test pits are not located on any plan that shows proposed basins. She is correct that there is no overlying plan that shows the basin locations and the test pit locations in this plan set.	21:08:45 <b>2</b> 21:08:51 <b>3</b> 21:08:53 <b>4</b> 21:08:55 <b>5</b>	infiltration, and we, if we need to get additional testing during preliminary stages, can do so. But Q. Let me ask you this. I'm sorry to interrupt. A. Sure.
21:06:10 <b>2</b> 21:06:12 <b>3</b> 21:06:27 <b>4</b> 21:06:30 <b>5</b> 21:06:33 <b>6</b>	Q. Please continue.  A. The testing locations and test pits are not located on any plan that shows proposed basins. She is correct that there is no overlying plan that shows the basin locations	21:08:45 <b>2</b> 21:08:51 <b>3</b> 21:08:53 <b>4</b> 21:08:55 <b>5</b> 21:08:57 <b>6</b>	infiltration, and we, if we need to get additional testing during preliminary stages, can do so. But Q. Let me ask you this. I'm sorry to interrupt.
21:06:10	Q. Please continue.  A. The testing locations and test pits are not located on any plan that shows proposed basins. She is correct that there is no overlying plan that shows the basin locations and the test pit locations in this plan set.  But the test pit locations are shown on existing features plans, and you can, using	21:08:45 <b>2</b> 21:08:51 <b>3</b> 21:08:53 <b>4</b> 21:08:55 <b>5</b> 21:08:57 <b>6</b> 21:08:58 <b>7</b> 21:08:58 <b>8</b> 21:08:59 <b>9</b>	infiltration, and we, if we need to get additional testing during preliminary stages, can do so. But Q. Let me ask you this. I'm sorry to interrupt. A. Sure. Q. Why do you believe the testing that was performed is reasonable to reach your
21:06:10	Q. Please continue.  A. The testing locations and test pits are not located on any plan that shows proposed basins. She is correct that there is no overlying plan that shows the basin locations and the test pit locations in this plan set.  But the test pit locations are shown on	21:08:45	infiltration, and we, if we need to get additional testing during preliminary stages, can do so. But Q. Let me ask you this. I'm sorry to interrupt. A. Sure. Q. Why do you believe the testing that was performed is reasonable to reach your conclusions that there is adequate
21:06:10	Q. Please continue.  A. The testing locations and test pits are not located on any plan that shows proposed basins. She is correct that there is no overlying plan that shows the basin locations and the test pit locations in this plan set.  But the test pit locations are shown on existing features plans, and you can, using places shown on the topo plan, reference where the test pits have been located.	21:08:45	infiltration, and we, if we need to get additional testing during preliminary stages, can do so. But Q. Let me ask you this. I'm sorry to interrupt. A. Sure. Q. Why do you believe the testing that was performed is reasonable to reach your conclusions that there is adequate infiltration?
21:06:10	Q. Please continue.  A. The testing locations and test pits are not located on any plan that shows proposed basins. She is correct that there is no overlying plan that shows the basin locations and the test pit locations in this plan set.  But the test pit locations are shown on existing features plans, and you can, using places shown on the topo plan, reference where the test pits have been located.  We have not shown them on the	21:08:45	infiltration, and we, if we need to get additional testing during preliminary stages, can do so. But Q. Let me ask you this. I'm sorry to interrupt. A. Sure. Q. Why do you believe the testing that was performed is reasonable to reach your conclusions that there is adequate infiltration? A. The conditional use plan shows, has to
21:06:10	Q. Please continue.  A. The testing locations and test pits are not located on any plan that shows proposed basins. She is correct that there is no overlying plan that shows the basin locations and the test pit locations in this plan set.  But the test pit locations are shown on existing features plans, and you can, using places shown on the topo plan, reference where the test pits have been located.  We have not shown them on the design plans, just to help clean up the plans.	21:08:45	infiltration, and we, if we need to get additional testing during preliminary stages, can do so. But Q. Let me ask you this. I'm sorry to interrupt. A. Sure. Q. Why do you believe the testing that was performed is reasonable to reach your conclusions that there is adequate infiltration? A. The conditional use plan shows, has to show that the overall concept can be adhered
21:06:10	Q. Please continue.  A. The testing locations and test pits are not located on any plan that shows proposed basins. She is correct that there is no overlying plan that shows the basin locations and the test pit locations in this plan set.  But the test pit locations are shown on existing features plans, and you can, using places shown on the topo plan, reference where the test pits have been located.  We have not shown them on the design plans, just to help clean up the plans.  It is less information that needs to be shown	21:08:45	infiltration, and we, if we need to get additional testing during preliminary stages, can do so. But Q. Let me ask you this. I'm sorry to interrupt. A. Sure. Q. Why do you believe the testing that was performed is reasonable to reach your conclusions that there is adequate infiltration? A. The conditional use plan shows, has to show that the overall concept can be adhered to, and I believe, and ultimately the township
21:06:10	Q. Please continue.  A. The testing locations and test pits are not located on any plan that shows proposed basins. She is correct that there is no overlying plan that shows the basin locations and the test pit locations in this plan set.  But the test pit locations are shown on existing features plans, and you can, using places shown on the topo plan, reference where the test pits have been located.  We have not shown them on the design plans, just to help clean up the plans.  It is less information that needs to be shown on there. But it is shown somewhere else	21:08:45	infiltration, and we, if we need to get additional testing during preliminary stages, can do so. But Q. Let me ask you this. I'm sorry to interrupt. A. Sure. Q. Why do you believe the testing that was performed is reasonable to reach your conclusions that there is adequate infiltration? A. The conditional use plan shows, has to show that the overall concept can be adhered to, and I believe, and ultimately the township engineer has also shown no kickback, that the
21:06:10	Q. Please continue.  A. The testing locations and test pits are not located on any plan that shows proposed basins. She is correct that there is no overlying plan that shows the basin locations and the test pit locations in this plan set.  But the test pit locations are shown on existing features plans, and you can, using places shown on the topo plan, reference where the test pits have been located.  We have not shown them on the design plans, just to help clean up the plans. It is less information that needs to be shown on there. But it is shown somewhere else within the site, within the site plan package.	21:08:45	infiltration, and we, if we need to get additional testing during preliminary stages, can do so. But Q. Let me ask you this. I'm sorry to interrupt. A. Sure. Q. Why do you believe the testing that was performed is reasonable to reach your conclusions that there is adequate infiltration? A. The conditional use plan shows, has to show that the overall concept can be adhered to, and I believe, and ultimately the township engineer has also shown no kickback, that the plan does not show that.
21:06:10	Q. Please continue.  A. The testing locations and test pits are not located on any plan that shows proposed basins. She is correct that there is no overlying plan that shows the basin locations and the test pit locations in this plan set.  But the test pit locations are shown on existing features plans, and you can, using places shown on the topo plan, reference where the test pits have been located.  We have not shown them on the design plans, just to help clean up the plans. It is less information that needs to be shown on there. But it is shown somewhere else within the site, within the site plan package.  Q. Did the township engineer have any	21:08:45	infiltration, and we, if we need to get additional testing during preliminary stages, can do so. But Q. Let me ask you this. I'm sorry to interrupt. A. Sure. Q. Why do you believe the testing that was performed is reasonable to reach your conclusions that there is adequate infiltration? A. The conditional use plan shows, has to show that the overall concept can be adhered to, and I believe, and ultimately the township engineer has also shown no kickback, that the plan does not show that. Q. By kickback you mean disagreement?
21:06:10	Q. Please continue.  A. The testing locations and test pits are not located on any plan that shows proposed basins. She is correct that there is no overlying plan that shows the basin locations and the test pit locations in this plan set.  But the test pit locations are shown on existing features plans, and you can, using places shown on the topo plan, reference where the test pits have been located.  We have not shown them on the design plans, just to help clean up the plans. It is less information that needs to be shown on there. But it is shown somewhere else within the site, within the site plan package.  Q. Did the township engineer have any issue with how the information was presented on	21:08:45	infiltration, and we, if we need to get additional testing during preliminary stages, can do so. But Q. Let me ask you this. I'm sorry to interrupt. A. Sure. Q. Why do you believe the testing that was performed is reasonable to reach your conclusions that there is adequate infiltration? A. The conditional use plan shows, has to show that the overall concept can be adhered to, and I believe, and ultimately the township engineer has also shown no kickback, that the plan does not show that. Q. By kickback you mean disagreement? A. Disagreement. Sorry.
21:06:10	Q. Please continue.  A. The testing locations and test pits are not located on any plan that shows proposed basins. She is correct that there is no overlying plan that shows the basin locations and the test pit locations in this plan set.  But the test pit locations are shown on existing features plans, and you can, using places shown on the topo plan, reference where the test pits have been located.  We have not shown them on the design plans, just to help clean up the plans.  It is less information that needs to be shown on there. But it is shown somewhere else within the site, within the site plan package.  Q. Did the township engineer have any issue with how the information was presented on the plans, to your knowledge?	21:08:45	infiltration, and we, if we need to get additional testing during preliminary stages, can do so. But Q. Let me ask you this. I'm sorry to interrupt. A. Sure. Q. Why do you believe the testing that was performed is reasonable to reach your conclusions that there is adequate infiltration? A. The conditional use plan shows, has to show that the overall concept can be adhered to, and I believe, and ultimately the township engineer has also shown no kickback, that the plan does not show that. Q. By kickback you mean disagreement? A. Disagreement. Sorry. The testing, again, throughout
21:06:10	Q. Please continue.  A. The testing locations and test pits are not located on any plan that shows proposed basins. She is correct that there is no overlying plan that shows the basin locations and the test pit locations in this plan set.  But the test pit locations are shown on existing features plans, and you can, using places shown on the topo plan, reference where the test pits have been located.  We have not shown them on the design plans, just to help clean up the plans. It is less information that needs to be shown on there. But it is shown somewhere else within the site, within the site plan package.  Q. Did the township engineer have any issue with how the information was presented on the plans, to your knowledge?  A. No, he did not.	21:08:45	infiltration, and we, if we need to get additional testing during preliminary stages, can do so. But Q. Let me ask you this. I'm sorry to interrupt. A. Sure. Q. Why do you believe the testing that was performed is reasonable to reach your conclusions that there is adequate infiltration? A. The conditional use plan shows, has to show that the overall concept can be adhered to, and I believe, and ultimately the township engineer has also shown no kickback, that the plan does not show that. Q. By kickback you mean disagreement? A. Disagreement. Sorry. The testing, again, throughout the site does show that we do have infiltration
21:06:10	Q. Please continue.  A. The testing locations and test pits are not located on any plan that shows proposed basins. She is correct that there is no overlying plan that shows the basin locations and the test pit locations in this plan set.  But the test pit locations are shown on existing features plans, and you can, using places shown on the topo plan, reference where the test pits have been located.  We have not shown them on the design plans, just to help clean up the plans. It is less information that needs to be shown on there. But it is shown somewhere else within the site, within the site plan package.  Q. Did the township engineer have any issue with how the information was presented on the plans, to your knowledge?  A. No, he did not.  Q. Please continue.	21:08:45	infiltration, and we, if we need to get additional testing during preliminary stages, can do so. But Q. Let me ask you this. I'm sorry to interrupt. A. Sure. Q. Why do you believe the testing that was performed is reasonable to reach your conclusions that there is adequate infiltration? A. The conditional use plan shows, has to show that the overall concept can be adhered to, and I believe, and ultimately the township engineer has also shown no kickback, that the plan does not show that. Q. By kickback you mean disagreement? A. Disagreement. Sorry. The testing, again, throughout the site does show that we do have infiltration in several instances on the site, and all the
21:06:10	Q. Please continue.  A. The testing locations and test pits are not located on any plan that shows proposed basins. She is correct that there is no overlying plan that shows the basin locations and the test pit locations in this plan set.  But the test pit locations are shown on existing features plans, and you can, using places shown on the topo plan, reference where the test pits have been located.  We have not shown them on the design plans, just to help clean up the plans. It is less information that needs to be shown on there. But it is shown somewhere else within the site, within the site plan package.  Q. Did the township engineer have any issue with how the information was presented on the plans, to your knowledge?  A. No, he did not.  Q. Please continue.  A. I think the middle of page 5, the last	21:08:45	infiltration, and we, if we need to get additional testing during preliminary stages, can do so. But Q. Let me ask you this. I'm sorry to interrupt. A. Sure. Q. Why do you believe the testing that was performed is reasonable to reach your conclusions that there is adequate infiltration? A. The conditional use plan shows, has to show that the overall concept can be adhered to, and I believe, and ultimately the township engineer has also shown no kickback, that the plan does not show that. Q. By kickback you mean disagreement? A. Disagreement. Sorry. The testing, again, throughout the site does show that we do have infiltration in several instances on the site, and all the areas are located above the seasonal high
21:06:10	Q. Please continue.  A. The testing locations and test pits are not located on any plan that shows proposed basins. She is correct that there is no overlying plan that shows the basin locations and the test pit locations in this plan set.  But the test pit locations are shown on existing features plans, and you can, using places shown on the topo plan, reference where the test pits have been located.  We have not shown them on the design plans, just to help clean up the plans.  It is less information that needs to be shown on there. But it is shown somewhere else within the site, within the site plan package.  Q. Did the township engineer have any issue with how the information was presented on the plans, to your knowledge?  A. No, he did not.  Q. Please continue.  A. I think the middle of page 5, the last bullet point, the plans are lacking basic	21:08:45	infiltration, and we, if we need to get additional testing during preliminary stages, can do so. But Q. Let me ask you this. I'm sorry to interrupt. A. Sure. Q. Why do you believe the testing that was performed is reasonable to reach your conclusions that there is adequate infiltration? A. The conditional use plan shows, has to show that the overall concept can be adhered to, and I believe, and ultimately the township engineer has also shown no kickback, that the plan does not show that. Q. By kickback you mean disagreement? A. Disagreement. Sorry. The testing, again, throughout the site does show that we do have infiltration in several instances on the site, and all the areas are located above the seasonal high groundwater upstream of the wetlands, and
21:06:10	Q. Please continue.  A. The testing locations and test pits are not located on any plan that shows proposed basins. She is correct that there is no overlying plan that shows the basin locations and the test pit locations in this plan set.  But the test pit locations in this plan set.  But the test pit locations are shown on existing features plans, and you can, using places shown on the topo plan, reference where the test pits have been located.  We have not shown them on the design plans, just to help clean up the plans.  It is less information that needs to be shown on there. But it is shown somewhere else within the site, within the site plan package.  Q. Did the township engineer have any issue with how the information was presented on the plans, to your knowledge?  A. No, he did not.  Q. Please continue.  A. I think the middle of page 5, the last bullet point, the plans are lacking basic information to comprehensively review the	21:08:45	infiltration, and we, if we need to get additional testing during preliminary stages, can do so. But Q. Let me ask you this. I'm sorry to interrupt. A. Sure. Q. Why do you believe the testing that was performed is reasonable to reach your conclusions that there is adequate infiltration? A. The conditional use plan shows, has to show that the overall concept can be adhered to, and I believe, and ultimately the township engineer has also shown no kickback, that the plan does not show that. Q. By kickback you mean disagreement? A. Disagreement. Sorry. The testing, again, throughout the site does show that we do have infiltration in several instances on the site, and all the areas are located above the seasonal high groundwater upstream of the wetlands, and downstream of the proposed homes. So it is the

	_			-	
		1676			1678
21:09:46	1	typical location for the basins themselves.	21:12:16	1	inspect that these slopes have been stable and
21:09:48	2	Q. What about rate control? Do you agree	21:12:19	2	are not erosive in any way, shape or form. And
21:09:50	3	with her conclusion with respect to the ability	21:12:22	3	if they become erosive for some reason, that
2	4	to adequately maintain the stormwater rate,	21:12:25	4	the applicant or the developer at that time
21:09:56	5	discharge rate?	21:12:27	5	would have to remediate them with slope
21:09:56	6	A. We believe that the site design shows	21:12:31	6	stabilization patterns or some other kind of
21:09:59	7	that we can meet the rate control for the	21:12:33	7	technique to stabilize those from eroding any
21:10:02	8	township and the DEP requirements for the	21:12:37	8	further.
21:10:05	9	reductions to both the east, which is the	21:12:38	9	Q. Okay. What else is in her letter?
21:10:08	10	Radley Run sorry the west, which is the	21:12:41	10	A. We have previously talked about the
21:10:11	11	Radley Run Watershed, to the east, which is the	21:12:46	11	weighted averaging, which is on the top of page
21:10:17	12	Chester Creek Watershed, and the associated	21:12:48	12	7.
21:10:20	13	reductions.	21:12:52	13	The middle of page 7, upper area
21:10:21	14	Q. And what is the basis for your	21:12:55	14	of page 7, which has Section 144-306.J, which
21:10:24	15	conclusion?	21:13:01	15	is about infiltration, BMPs shall be selected
21:10:25	16	A. The TR-55 CN numbers, as was previously	21:13:04	16	based on suitability of soils and site
21:10:29	17	spoken, they are run through a program to get	21:13:06	17	conditions and shall be constructed on soils
21:10:32	18	the rate and the volume that is generated on	21:13:09	18	that have the following characteristics, and it
21:10:36	19	the site. That information is then put into a	21:13:10	19	lists 1 and 2, 1 of them being minimum depth of
21:10:39	20	stormwater program, which helps to size the	21:13:14	20	24 inches between the bottom of the BMP and the
21:10:42	21	basins, and that, along with some Excel	21:13:17	21	limiting shown, and the number 2 is sufficient
21:10:48	22	spreadsheets to see the reductions needed, show	21:13:22	22	rate sufficient to accept additional stormwater
21:10:50	23	that we do, in fact, meet the reduction factors	21:13:24	23	volume and drain completely.
34	24	of the site.	21:13:25	24	The basins have been designed
ï		1677			1679
21:10:55	1	Q. Okay. Please continue on with her	21:13:27	1	with a minimum of a half of an inch of
21:10:57	2	letter, NC-4.	21:13:31	2	infiltration and I believe a maximum of two
21:10:58	3	A. Page 6, the middle of page 6, which is	21:13:34	3	inches of infiltration. One 1 of the test pits
21:11:07	4	No. 3, the proposed project has not	21:13:39	4	has shown a percolation rate of four inches an
21:11:11	5	demonstrated it will provide adequate volume	21:13:41	5	hour. We used a 50 percent reduction in that
21:11:13	6	control as proposed.	21:13:45	6	to two inches an hour, and that's what we
21:11:14	7	Again, as previously stated, we	21:13:47	7	designed the plan for.
21:11:17	8	believe that the calculations do require, do	21:13:50	8	Q. So is that a conservative estimate?
21:11:20	9	show that this requirement has been met, and	21:13:51	9	A. That's a conservative estimate. As
21:11:22	10	also the township and the DEP, when we have	21:13:55	10	well as if those BMPs we have selected at this
21:11:25	11	preliminary plans, again will need to review	21:13:57	11	time need to be altered, as Ms. Adams said,
21:11:29	12	these and issue their results, and ultimately	21:14:01	12	there is other alternatives in the PA BMP
21:11:32	13	obtain a permit or approval to proceed with	21:14:07	13	manual, such as soils methods, spray
21:11:36	14	this site.	21:14:12	14	irrigation, planting of landscaping and slopes,
21:11:42	15	No. 6 at the bottom of the page	21:14:17	15	and there is a litany of BMPs that could be
21:11:45	16	6, the creation of steep slopes, and the	21:14:20	16	used for the site. But when we did the
21:11:47	17	discharge of stormwater onto steep slopes will	21:14:22	17	original design, the nine basins, with the
21:11:50	18	cause erosion and sediment discharge.	21:14:25	18	infiltration rate required, met that
21 11:52	19	The site itself will need to get	21:14:27	19	requirement and that's where we stopped.
5	20	a NPDES and/or erosion permit from the	21:14:31	20	Q. Are you up to the part of the BMP
21:12:00	21	conservation district and the DEP. The slopes	21:14:33	21	ratios yet in her letter?
21:12:03	22	will have to be stable. There will be	21:14:35	22	A. Right here.
21:12:06	23	inspectors from the town and the conservation	21:14:35	23	Q. Good timing. Please highlight that and
21:12:08	24	district which will show, and they will need to	21:14:39	24	tell us
		07:37:52 AM Page 1676 to	1670 0	£ 175	54 42 of 61 sheets

	1680		1682
1:14:39 1	A. Yes.	21:17:00 1	with the TR-55 will be done, has assumed our
1:14:39 2	Q whether you agree or disagree with	21:17:06 <b>2</b>	house that is shown, the roads that are shown
:14:41 3	her characterizations with respect to the	21:17:08 3	and has anywhere from 500 to 700 additional
3 <b>4</b>	required load rate issues.	21:17:12 4	square foot impervious for future residents,
14:47 5	A. In the middle of page 8 it does talk	21:17:15 5	resident for future build out.
14:52 6	about the loading ratios. Loading ratios is a	21:17:16 6	So the basins have been designed
14:55 7	guidance letter from the DEP and the BMP	21:17:18 7	as a worst case scenario for the development
14:59	manual. There have been talks and there is a	21:17:21 8	having been thought on this project and been
15:02	letter that has been published from Dominick	21:17:25	adhered to and shown the numbers. So the
15:05 10	Rocco, from the southeast portion of DEP, that	21:17:27 10	numbers with the pre versus post are worst case
15:08 11	states that the loading ratio is a guidance and	21:17:32 11	scenario for this development.
15:11 12	higher loading ratios can be achieved on the	21:17:33 12	Q. By the additional 500 to 700 square
5:14 <b>13</b>	site. We do not have to adhere to those	21:17:37 13	feet are you referring to potential courtyards
15:16 14	specific loading ratios.	21:17:39 14	or patios?
5:18 15	Q. And in your prior projects have you	21:17:41 15	A. Correct.
5:20 16	been held to the BMP guidance loading ratios,	21:17:41 16	Q. Is there anything else that you are
5:25 17	or have you been able to use higher loading	21:17:42 17	referring to when you say you have basically,
5:27 18	ratios?	21:17:45 18	is it correct to say you have over-designed the
5:28 19	A. In our previous projects we have been	21:17:48 19	basins?
5:29 20	able to use higher loading ratios.	21:17:48 20	A. Courtyards, patios. And yes, we have
5:32 <b>21</b>	Q. Do you agree with Ms. Adams' assessment	21:17:50 21	over-designed the site so if and when the
5:34 <b>22</b>	as to what the proposed loading ratios are for	21:17:54 22	homeowner comes in with a 50 by 20, 50 by te
5:38 23	the basins?	21:17:58 23	patio, or if you can fit a pool on there, that
39 <b>24</b>	A. I haven't gone through her	21:17:58 23	the stormwater has been designed accordingly
19 Z-T	1681	21.16.01 2-4	1683
5:40 1	calculations, but on the first glance it does		for that. So that would not affect the
0.40		21:18:03	
5:44 2		21:18:03 1	
	appear that the numbers appear to be right,	21:18:06 2	downstream homeowners any and that will be
5:48 3	appear that the numbers appear to be right, most of the numbers are anywhere from 7 to 12,	21:18:06 <b>2</b> 21:18:10 <b>3</b>	downstream homeowners any and that will be enveloped in the basins themselves.
5:48 <b>3</b> 5:52 <b>4</b>	appear that the numbers appear to be right, most of the numbers are anywhere from 7 to 12, with a couple of ones higher than the 7 to 12.	21:18:06 <b>2</b> 21:18:10 <b>3</b> 21:18:12 <b>4</b>	downstream homeowners any and that will be enveloped in the basins themselves.  Q. Any other comments with respect to her
5:48 <b>3</b> 5:52 <b>4</b> 5:55 <b>5</b>	appear that the numbers appear to be right, most of the numbers are anywhere from 7 to 12, with a couple of ones higher than the 7 to 12.  But, again, the letter from	21:18:06 <b>2</b> 21:18:10 <b>3</b> 21:18:12 <b>4</b> 21:18:15 <b>5</b>	downstream homeowners any and that will be enveloped in the basins themselves.  Q. Any other comments with respect to her letter?
5:48 <b>3</b> 5:52 <b>4</b> 5:55 <b>5</b> 6:00 <b>6</b>	appear that the numbers appear to be right, most of the numbers are anywhere from 7 to 12, with a couple of ones higher than the 7 to 12.  But, again, the letter from Dominick Rocco, it in fact is allowed to go	21:18:06 <b>2</b> 21:18:10 <b>3</b> 21:18:12 <b>4</b> 21:18:15 <b>5</b> 21:18:16 <b>6</b>	downstream homeowners any and that will be enveloped in the basins themselves.  Q. Any other comments with respect to her letter?  A. No. That's the general.
5:48 <b>3</b> 5:52 <b>4</b> 5:55 <b>5</b> 6:00 <b>6</b> 7	appear that the numbers appear to be right, most of the numbers are anywhere from 7 to 12, with a couple of ones higher than the 7 to 12.  But, again, the letter from Dominick Rocco, it in fact is allowed to go higher than that, as well as this is a	21:18:06	downstream homeowners any and that will be enveloped in the basins themselves.  Q. Any other comments with respect to her letter?  A. No. That's the general.  Q. Let me ask you this question: Based
5:48	appear that the numbers appear to be right, most of the numbers are anywhere from 7 to 12, with a couple of ones higher than the 7 to 12.  But, again, the letter from Dominick Rocco, it in fact is allowed to go higher than that, as well as this is a preliminary design, and if plants, additional	21:18:06	downstream homeowners any and that will be enveloped in the basins themselves.  Q. Any other comments with respect to her letter?  A. No. That's the general.  Q. Let me ask you this question: Based upon what you heard from Ms. Adams' testimony
5:48 3 5:52 4 5:55 5 6:00 6 6:03 7 6:04 8 6:08 9	appear that the numbers appear to be right, most of the numbers are anywhere from 7 to 12, with a couple of ones higher than the 7 to 12.  But, again, the letter from Dominick Rocco, it in fact is allowed to go higher than that, as well as this is a preliminary design, and if plants, additional areas need to be done for BMPs, made with	21:18:06	downstream homeowners any and that will be enveloped in the basins themselves.  Q. Any other comments with respect to her letter?  A. No. That's the general.  Q. Let me ask you this question: Based upon what you heard from Ms. Adams' testimony and your review of her letter, does any of that
5:48 3 4 5:55 5 6:00 6 6:03 7 6:04 8 6:08 9 6:11 10	appear that the numbers appear to be right, most of the numbers are anywhere from 7 to 12, with a couple of ones higher than the 7 to 12.  But, again, the letter from Dominick Rocco, it in fact is allowed to go higher than that, as well as this is a preliminary design, and if plants, additional areas need to be done for BMPs, made with additional testing, that will all be flushed	21:18:06	downstream homeowners any and that will be enveloped in the basins themselves.  Q. Any other comments with respect to her letter?  A. No. That's the general.  Q. Let me ask you this question: Based upon what you heard from Ms. Adams' testimony and your review of her letter, does any of that information change your earlier opinion with
5:48 3 5:52 4 5:55 5 6:00 6 6:03 7 6:04 8 6:08 9 6:11 10	appear that the numbers appear to be right, most of the numbers are anywhere from 7 to 12, with a couple of ones higher than the 7 to 12.  But, again, the letter from  Dominick Rocco, it in fact is allowed to go higher than that, as well as this is a preliminary design, and if plants, additional areas need to be done for BMPs, made with additional testing, that will all be flushed out during the preliminary plans.	21:18:06	downstream homeowners any and that will be enveloped in the basins themselves.  Q. Any other comments with respect to her letter?  A. No. That's the general.  Q. Let me ask you this question: Based upon what you heard from Ms. Adams' testimony and your review of her letter, does any of that information change your earlier opinion with respect to the preliminary or conceptual
5:48 3 4 4 5:55 5 5:50 6 6:00 6 7 8:04 8 9:04 8 9:11 10 3:12 11	appear that the numbers appear to be right, most of the numbers are anywhere from 7 to 12, with a couple of ones higher than the 7 to 12.  But, again, the letter from Dominick Rocco, it in fact is allowed to go higher than that, as well as this is a preliminary design, and if plants, additional areas need to be done for BMPs, made with additional testing, that will all be flushed out during the preliminary plans.  Q. Please continue. Is there anything	21:18:06	downstream homeowners any and that will be enveloped in the basins themselves.  Q. Any other comments with respect to her letter?  A. No. That's the general.  Q. Let me ask you this question: Based upon what you heard from Ms. Adams' testimony and your review of her letter, does any of that information change your earlier opinion with respect to the preliminary or conceptual strike that preliminary just conceptual
5:48 3 5:52 4 5:55 5 6:00 6 7 6:04 8 9 5:11 10 5:11 11 11 11 11 12	appear that the numbers appear to be right, most of the numbers are anywhere from 7 to 12, with a couple of ones higher than the 7 to 12.  But, again, the letter from  Dominick Rocco, it in fact is allowed to go higher than that, as well as this is a preliminary design, and if plants, additional areas need to be done for BMPs, made with additional testing, that will all be flushed out during the preliminary plans.  Q. Please continue. Is there anything else in the letter?	21:18:06	downstream homeowners any and that will be enveloped in the basins themselves.  Q. Any other comments with respect to her letter?  A. No. That's the general.  Q. Let me ask you this question: Based upon what you heard from Ms. Adams' testimony and your review of her letter, does any of that information change your earlier opinion with respect to the preliminary or conceptual strike that preliminary just conceptual stormwater plan?
5:48 3 4 4 5:55 5 5:00 6 6:00 7 7 6:04 8 9 9:11 10 3:12 11 3:15 12 11 13 3:17 13	appear that the numbers appear to be right, most of the numbers are anywhere from 7 to 12, with a couple of ones higher than the 7 to 12.  But, again, the letter from Dominick Rocco, it in fact is allowed to go higher than that, as well as this is a preliminary design, and if plants, additional areas need to be done for BMPs, made with additional testing, that will all be flushed out during the preliminary plans.  Q. Please continue. Is there anything else in the letter?  A. I believe, as stated previously, but	21:18:06	downstream homeowners any and that will be enveloped in the basins themselves.  Q. Any other comments with respect to her letter?  A. No. That's the general.  Q. Let me ask you this question: Based upon what you heard from Ms. Adams' testimony and your review of her letter, does any of that information change your earlier opinion with respect to the preliminary or conceptualstrike that preliminary just conceptual stormwater plan?  A. No, it does not.
5:48 3 5:52 4 5:55 5 5:00 6 6:00 7 6:04 8 9 6:04 9 6:11 10 6:12 11 13 6:17 13 6:18 14 6:21 15	appear that the numbers appear to be right, most of the numbers are anywhere from 7 to 12, with a couple of ones higher than the 7 to 12.  But, again, the letter from Dominick Rocco, it in fact is allowed to go higher than that, as well as this is a preliminary design, and if plants, additional areas need to be done for BMPs, made with additional testing, that will all be flushed out during the preliminary plans.  Q. Please continue. Is there anything else in the letter?  A. I believe, as stated previously, but just to go again on the limit ratios	21:18:06	downstream homeowners any and that will be enveloped in the basins themselves.  Q. Any other comments with respect to her letter?  A. No. That's the general.  Q. Let me ask you this question: Based upon what you heard from Ms. Adams' testimony and your review of her letter, does any of that information change your earlier opinion with respect to the preliminary or conceptual strike that preliminary just conceptual stormwater plan?  A. No, it does not.  Q. What was your opinion with respect to
5:48	appear that the numbers appear to be right, most of the numbers are anywhere from 7 to 12, with a couple of ones higher than the 7 to 12.  But, again, the letter from  Dominick Rocco, it in fact is allowed to go higher than that, as well as this is a preliminary design, and if plants, additional areas need to be done for BMPs, made with additional testing, that will all be flushed out during the preliminary plans.  Q. Please continue. Is there anything else in the letter?  A. I believe, as stated previously, but just to go again on the limit ratios springboard, the basins themselves have been	21:18:06	downstream homeowners any and that will be enveloped in the basins themselves.  Q. Any other comments with respect to her letter?  A. No. That's the general.  Q. Let me ask you this question: Based upon what you heard from Ms. Adams' testimony and your review of her letter, does any of that information change your earlier opinion with respect to the preliminary or conceptualstrike that preliminary just conceptual stormwater plan?  A. No, it does not.  Q. What was your opinion with respect to the feasibility of the stormwater plan?
5:48 3 5:52 4 5:55 5 6:00 6 6:03 7 6:04 8 9 6:04 9 9:11 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	appear that the numbers appear to be right, most of the numbers are anywhere from 7 to 12, with a couple of ones higher than the 7 to 12.  But, again, the letter from Dominick Rocco, it in fact is allowed to go higher than that, as well as this is a preliminary design, and if plants, additional areas need to be done for BMPs, made with additional testing, that will all be flushed out during the preliminary plans.  Q. Please continue. Is there anything else in the letter?  A. I believe, as stated previously, but just to go again on the limit ratios springboard, the basins themselves have been designed to infiltrate in less than the printed	21:18:06	downstream homeowners any and that will be enveloped in the basins themselves.  Q. Any other comments with respect to her letter?  A. No. That's the general.  Q. Let me ask you this question: Based upon what you heard from Ms. Adams' testimony and your review of her letter, does any of that information change your earlier opinion with respect to the preliminary or conceptual strike that preliminary just conceptual stormwater plan?  A. No, it does not.  Q. What was your opinion with respect to the feasibility of the stormwater plan?  A. The design that has been presented
5:48 3 5:52 4 5:55 5 6:00 6 6:03 7 6:04 8 6:08 9 6:11 10 6:12 11 13 6:17 13 6:18 14 15 6:21 15 6:22 16 6:27 17 6:33 18	appear that the numbers appear to be right, most of the numbers are anywhere from 7 to 12, with a couple of ones higher than the 7 to 12.  But, again, the letter from  Dominick Rocco, it in fact is allowed to go higher than that, as well as this is a preliminary design, and if plants, additional areas need to be done for BMPs, made with additional testing, that will all be flushed out during the preliminary plans.  Q. Please continue. Is there anything else in the letter?  A. I believe, as stated previously, but just to go again on the limit ratios springboard, the basins themselves have been designed to infiltrate in less than the printed requirements for the DEP, and then also some of	21:18:06	downstream homeowners any and that will be enveloped in the basins themselves.  Q. Any other comments with respect to her letter?  A. No. That's the general.  Q. Let me ask you this question: Based upon what you heard from Ms. Adams' testimony and your review of her letter, does any of that information change your earlier opinion with respect to the preliminary or conceptualstrike that preliminary just conceptual stormwater plan?  A. No, it does not.  Q. What was your opinion with respect to the feasibility of the stormwater plan?  A. The design that has been presented shows the feasibility of the overall stormwater
5:48 3 4 4 5:55 5 5 6:00 6 6:03 7 6:04 8 6:08 9 6:11 10 6:12 11 8:17 13 6:18 14 8:21 15 6:27 17 6:31 18	appear that the numbers appear to be right, most of the numbers are anywhere from 7 to 12, with a couple of ones higher than the 7 to 12.  But, again, the letter from  Dominick Rocco, it in fact is allowed to go higher than that, as well as this is a preliminary design, and if plants, additional areas need to be done for BMPs, made with additional testing, that will all be flushed out during the preliminary plans.  Q. Please continue. Is there anything else in the letter?  A. I believe, as stated previously, but just to go again on the limit ratios springboard, the basins themselves have been designed to infiltrate in less than the printed requirements for the DEP, and then also some of the basins have been undercut to help store the	21:18:06	downstream homeowners any and that will be enveloped in the basins themselves.  Q. Any other comments with respect to her letter?  A. No. That's the general.  Q. Let me ask you this question: Based upon what you heard from Ms. Adams' testimony and your review of her letter, does any of that information change your earlier opinion with respect to the preliminary or conceptualstrike that preliminary just conceptual stormwater plan?  A. No, it does not.  Q. What was your opinion with respect to the feasibility of the stormwater plan?  A. The design that has been presented shows the feasibility of the overall stormwater management of the site, with the information
15:48 3 15:52 4 15:55 5 16:00 6 16:03 7 16:04 8 16:08 9 16:11 10 16:12 11 16:15 12 16:17 13 16:18 14 16:21 15 16:21 15 16:21 15 16:21 15 16:21 15 16:21 17 16:31 18 16:34 19 18 20	appear that the numbers appear to be right, most of the numbers are anywhere from 7 to 12, with a couple of ones higher than the 7 to 12.  But, again, the letter from  Dominick Rocco, it in fact is allowed to go higher than that, as well as this is a preliminary design, and if plants, additional areas need to be done for BMPs, made with additional testing, that will all be flushed out during the preliminary plans.  Q. Please continue. Is there anything else in the letter?  A. I believe, as stated previously, but just to go again on the limit ratios springboard, the basins themselves have been designed to infiltrate in less than the printed requirements for the DEP, and then also some of the basins have been undercut to help store the water and help infiltrate.	21:18:06	downstream homeowners any and that will be enveloped in the basins themselves.  Q. Any other comments with respect to her letter?  A. No. That's the general.  Q. Let me ask you this question: Based upon what you heard from Ms. Adams' testimony and your review of her letter, does any of that information change your earlier opinion with respect to the preliminary or conceptualstrike that preliminary just conceptual stormwater plan?  A. No, it does not.  Q. What was your opinion with respect to the feasibility of the stormwater plan?  A. The design that has been presented shows the feasibility of the overall stormwater management of the site, with the information that we have from GTA, as well as the review
15:48 3 4 4 15:52 4 15:55 5 16:00 6 16:03 7 16:04 8 16:08 9 16:11 10 16:12 11 16:15 12 16:17 13 16:18 14 16:21 15 16:24 16 16:21 15 16:24 16 16:21 17 16:31 18 16:34 19 16:34 19 16:44 21	appear that the numbers appear to be right, most of the numbers are anywhere from 7 to 12, with a couple of ones higher than the 7 to 12.  But, again, the letter from  Dominick Rocco, it in fact is allowed to go higher than that, as well as this is a preliminary design, and if plants, additional areas need to be done for BMPs, made with additional testing, that will all be flushed out during the preliminary plans.  Q. Please continue. Is there anything else in the letter?  A. I believe, as stated previously, but just to go again on the limit ratios springboard, the basins themselves have been designed to infiltrate in less than the printed requirements for the DEP, and then also some of the basins have been undercut to help store the water and help infiltrate.  We previously talked about the	21:18:06	downstream homeowners any and that will be enveloped in the basins themselves.  Q. Any other comments with respect to her letter?  A. No. That's the general.  Q. Let me ask you this question: Based upon what you heard from Ms. Adams' testimony and your review of her letter, does any of that information change your earlier opinion with respect to the preliminary or conceptualstrike that preliminary just conceptual stormwater plan?  A. No, it does not.  Q. What was your opinion with respect to the feasibility of the stormwater plan?  A. The design that has been presented shows the feasibility of the overall stormwater management of the site, with the information that we have from GTA, as well as the review from the township engineer.
15:46 3 4 4 15:52 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 16:08 9 16:11 10 16:12 11 12 11:15 12 15:15 15 16:21 15 1	appear that the numbers appear to be right, most of the numbers are anywhere from 7 to 12, with a couple of ones higher than the 7 to 12.  But, again, the letter from Dominick Rocco, it in fact is allowed to go higher than that, as well as this is a preliminary design, and if plants, additional areas need to be done for BMPs, made with additional testing, that will all be flushed out during the preliminary plans.  Q. Please continue. Is there anything else in the letter?  A. I believe, as stated previously, but just to go again on the limit ratios springboard, the basins themselves have been designed to infiltrate in less than the printed requirements for the DEP, and then also some of the basins have been undercut to help store the water and help infiltrate.  We previously talked about the cover assumptions, and just one last point to	21:18:06	downstream homeowners any and that will be enveloped in the basins themselves.  Q. Any other comments with respect to her letter?  A. No. That's the general.  Q. Let me ask you this question: Based upon what you heard from Ms. Adams' testimony and your review of her letter, does any of that information change your earlier opinion with respect to the preliminary or conceptual strike that preliminary just conceptual stormwater plan?  A. No, it does not.  Q. What was your opinion with respect to the feasibility of the stormwater plan?  A. The design that has been presented shows the feasibility of the overall stormwater management of the site, with the information that we have from GTA, as well as the review from the township engineer.  MR. ADELMAN: I have nothing
5:48 3 5:52 4 5:55 5 6:00 6 6:03 7 6:04 8 6:08 9 6:11 10 6:12 11 6:15 12 6:17 13 6:18 14 6:21 15 6:24 16 6:27 17 6:31 18 6:34 19 8 20 6:44 21	appear that the numbers appear to be right, most of the numbers are anywhere from 7 to 12, with a couple of ones higher than the 7 to 12.  But, again, the letter from  Dominick Rocco, it in fact is allowed to go higher than that, as well as this is a preliminary design, and if plants, additional areas need to be done for BMPs, made with additional testing, that will all be flushed out during the preliminary plans.  Q. Please continue. Is there anything else in the letter?  A. I believe, as stated previously, but just to go again on the limit ratios springboard, the basins themselves have been designed to infiltrate in less than the printed requirements for the DEP, and then also some of the basins have been undercut to help store the water and help infiltrate.  We previously talked about the	21:18:06	downstream homeowners any and that will be enveloped in the basins themselves.  Q. Any other comments with respect to her letter?  A. No. That's the general.  Q. Let me ask you this question: Based upon what you heard from Ms. Adams' testimony and your review of her letter, does any of that information change your earlier opinion with respect to the preliminary or conceptualstrike that preliminary just conceptual stormwater plan?  A. No, it does not.  Q. What was your opinion with respect to the feasibility of the stormwater plan?  A. The design that has been presented shows the feasibility of the overall stormwater management of the site, with the information that we have from GTA, as well as the review from the township engineer.

	1684	İ	1686
21:19:00 1	Adelman. Cross-examine, anything from Ms. Camp	21:21:06	Q. Okay. And you tonight testified that
21:19:03 2	for the Planning Commission?	21:21:08 2	your plan is rudimentary? You indicated a
21:19:03 3	MS. CAMP: I do.	21:21:11 3	rudimentary design in your testimony tonight?
4	RECROSS-EXAMINATION	21:21:13 4	A. Rudimentary, conceptual, yes.
21:19:18 5	BY MS. CAMP:	21:21:15 5	Q. Okay. If as you do further testing at
21:19:18 6	Q. The township engineer hasn't determined	21:21:20 6	the next stage, you get to the next stage of
21:19:20 7	that the stormwater design meets the township	21:21:23 7	land development, you do further testing and
21:19:24	stormwater ordinance, has he?	21:21:25	you determine that some of the basins you
21:19:26	A. The comment from the township engineer,	21:21:28 9	propose do not infiltrate to the extent that
21:19:30 10	I'm not specifically looking at it right now,	21:21:30 10	you need, that they need to, you would have to
21:19:32 11	but in my previous testimony, Exhibit A-10,	21:21:34 11	find additional locations for infiltration on
21:19:35 12	comment No. 10, shows that it demonstrates the	21:21:36 12	the site, correct?
21:19:40 13	ability to provide stormwater management.	21:21:38 13	A. Either additional areas or additional
21:19:42 14	Q. Right. So I'll read comment No. 10.	21:21:40 14	techniques to infiltrate that water, yes.
21:19:44 15	It says: The applicant has provided a	21:21:43 15	<b>Q.</b> And could that affect the layout of the
21:19:46 16	Stormwater Management Narrative for the	21:21:45 16	development plan that's been presented as
21:19:49 17	proposed project, and he has in parenthesis,	21:21:47 17	Exhibit A-6?
21:19:52 18	(Feasibility Report). Although the stormwater	21:21:49 18	A. No, I don't believe so. Again, we have
21:19:55 19	system proposed demonstrates the ability to	21:21:51 19	shown that the water is able to infiltrate into
21:19:57 20	provide stormwater management, we caution that	21:21:56 20	the basins and that's where we have stopped.
21:20:01 21	the system may warrant reconsideration during	21:21:57 21	We met the requirements for the conceptual
21:20:03 22	land development process to incorporate a more	21:22:01 22	plan. But there is other avenues, as Ms. Adams
21:20:07 23	multi-structured system of stormwater	21:22:04 23	stated, that we can do on-lot soil, on-lot soil
10 24	management by adopting more non-structural and	21:22:09 24	amendments which can be done on the actual lots
	1685		1687
21:20:12 1	structural design concepts as outlined in the	21:22:12 1	themselves, so that would not impact the site
21:20:16 2	PA Best Management Practices Manual.	21:22:15 2	layout in any way, shape or form.
21:20:17 3	So nowhere in that sentence does	21:22:17 3	Q. And you agree that Toll will have to
21:20:18 4	the engineer indicate that you have	21:22:21 4	perform a much more thorough analysis, with
21:20:20 <b>5</b>	demonstrated compliance with the township's	21:22:23 5	more detailed calculations, at the land
21:20:22 6	stormwater ordinance, correct?	21:22:25	development stage in order to demonstrate
21:20:23 7	A. Correct. It provides stormwater it	21:22:27 7	compliance with the stormwater ordinance; is
21:20:26	demonstrates the ability to provide stormwater	21:22:29	that correct?
21:20:29	management.	21:22:29 9	A. We will have to do additional
21:20:29 10	Q. Okay. And nowhere has the township	21:22:31 10	calculations to show that the design, when it
21:20:31 11	engineer advised you or anybody that the	21:22:35 11	proceeds to the preliminary plan stage, meets
21:20:35 12	proposed feasibility or conceptual plans that	21:22:38 12	the township and DEP requirements, correct.
21:20:39 13	you have provided to date for stormwater	21:22:40 13	MS. CAMP: Thank you. I have
21:20:41 14	management meet the DEP requirements in order	21:22:41 14	nothing further.
21:20:43 15	to obtain an NPDES permit; is that correct?	21:22:42 15	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Crawford, any
21:20:47 16	A. That's correct. We do have to, we know	21:22:45 16	questions?
21:20:50 17	and have stated that we do need to design the	21:22:47 17	MR. CRAWFORD: Yes, a couple
21:20:52 18	site to meet the requirements of the DEP when	21:22:51 18	questions if I might.
21:20:54 19	we get into the preliminary design.	21:22:48 19	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
₃ 20	Q. Right. And the township engineer	21:22:50 20	BY MR. CRAWFORD:
21:20:58 21	actually in paragraph 12 of the engineer review	21:22:50 21	Q. You indicated that the loading ratio
21:21:02 22	letter marked A-10 identifies your plan as	21:22:58 22	standard is not a regulation but, rather, a
21:21:04 23	conceptual, correct?	21:23:02 23	recommendation, that is from time to time not
21:21:04 <b>23</b> 21:21:05 <b>24</b>	conceptual, correct?  A. Correct.	21:23:02 23	enforced by DEP in their review of stormwater

was a conceptual design, and the basins, if   was a conceptual design all   was a conceptual design, and the basins, if   was a conceptual design, and the basins, if   was a conceptual design all   was a conceptual design, and   was a conceptual design,   was a conceptual design, and   was a conceptual design,   was a conceptual design		1688		1690
3 A. Correct. I believe I said it is, the 4 DEP is a guidance that is necessary for the 5 and a factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise, yes. 6 load a factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise, yes. 6 load a factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise, yes. 6 load a factorise factorise factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise factorise factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise, yes. 5 lo	21:23:11 1		21:25:44	was a conceptual design, and the basins, if
3 A. Correct. I believe I said it is, the 4 DEP is a guidance that is necessary for the 5 and a factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise, yes. 6 load a factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise, yes. 6 load a factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise, yes. 6 load a factorise factorise factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise factorise factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise factorise, yes. 5 load a factorise, yes. 5 lo	21:23:13 2		21:25:46 2	needed, could be resigned a little bit, if we
4 DEP is a guidance that is necessary for the load ratios, yes. 5 load ratios, yes. 6 Q. Are you aware of any DEP empirical and 6 of the loading ratios in the recommended standard or an an an an analysis of either the recommended standard or an an an analysis of either the recommended standard or an an an an analysis of excess beyond the recommended standard? 2 I may or may not answer it the best I can. 2 I may or may not answer it fully. Please stop are 12 I may or may not answer it fully. Please stop are 14 recommendation of the loading ratios, and 15 utilimately bominick Rocco, who works at the 30 to 16 Southeastern Regional DEP, has submitted a 2 man and a calculations and history that the loading are 30 ones specified in the DEP manual as guidance. 3 Page 14 To Quid be higher. 3 Page 24 A. I don't know off, what it is, but 5 DEP, I can only assume would have used both a historical data as well as some theory. But I don thave that paper in front of me. 5 MR. RCAMFORD: Thank you, Mr. 5 MR. MCKENNA: Thank you and? 5 MR. Thank you and? 5 MR. MCKENNA: Thank you and? 5 MR. MCKENNA: Thank you and? 5 MR. MCKENNA: Thank you and? 5 MR. A. Yes. There is a letter from Dominick. Rocco solved eiter indicating they would a consider higher ratios; is that what you said? 5 MR. A. Yes. There is a letter from Dominick. Rocco constructions; is the what you said? 5 MR. A. Yes. There is a letter from Dominick. Rocco consider higher ratios; is that what you said? 5 MR. A. Yes. There is a letter from Dominick. Rocco consider higher ratios; is that what you said? 5 MR. A. We have not had any projects that have a stay of the loading ratios that ree higher than that in any projects that have a stay of the loading ratios that ree higher than that in any projects that have a stay of the loading ratios that ree higher thank you and a stay of the loading ratios was ree higher thank you and a stay of the loading ratios was ree higher thank on the st	21:23:13 3	A. Correct. I believe I said it is, the	21:25:48 3	needed to get the loading ratios down to more
5   load ratios, yes.	6 4		21:25:51 4	
1292   6   Q. Are you aware of any DEP empirical   2002   5   5   5   5   5   5   5   5   5	1 21:23:20 5	-	21:25:53 5	But the areas do infiltrate the
### 1 Studies, or any study by anyone else for that ### matter, to demonstrate post-construction ### and the commendation of the commended standard? ### 10			21:25:57 6	required amounts in the less than three days,
### 1 ### 1 ### 1 ### 2	_		l -	
### 1  ### 1  ### 2  ##	21:23;31 8		21:26:02 8	-
2 224 10 excess beyond the recommended standard? 2 224 11 A. I'll try to answer it the best I can. 2 225 226 227 228 228 228 228 228 228 228 228 228	21:23:35	·	21:26:05 9	the storm.
2 2m 11   A. Fil try to answer it the best I can.   2 2m 12   I may or may not answer it fully. Please stop   2 2m 12   I may not answer it fully. Please stop   2 2m 12   I may not answer it fully. Please stop   2 2m 12   I may not answer it full	21:23:41 10	•	21:26:07 10	Q. You said DEP had not rejected any of
2000 12   I may or may not answer it fully. Please stop 2000   2000 13   I may or may not answer it fully. Please stop 2000   2000 15	21:23:44 11	A. I'll try to answer it the best I can.	21:26:10 11	your proposed loading ratios. What were those
recommendation of the loading ratios, recommendation of the loading ratios, recommendation of the loading ratios, recommendation of the loading ratios, recommendation of the loading ratios, recommendation of the loading ratios, recommendation of the loading ratios, recommendation of the loading ratios, recommendation of the loading ratios recommendation of the loading ratios recommendation of the loading ratios recommendation of the loading ratios recommendation of the loading ratios recommendation of the loading ratios recommendation of the loading ratios recommendation of the loading ratios recommendation of the loading ratios recommendation of the loading ratios recommendation of the loading ratios recommendation of the plans, and recommendation of the paper in front of the numbers in front of me, but it is possible.  A. It is possible. I don't have the numbers in front of me, but it is possible.  Q. What would be the highest number that recommendation front bethe highest number that recommendation front bethe highest number that recommendation front bethe highest number that recommendation front of me, but it is possible.  Q. What would be the highest number that recommendation front of me, but it is possible.  Q. What would be the highest number that recommendation front of me, but it is possible.  Q. What would be the highest number that recommendation front of me, but it is possible.  Q. What would be the highest number that recommendation front of me, but it is possible.  Q. What would be the highest number that recommendation front of me, but it is possible.  Q. What would be the highest number that recommendation front of me, but it is possible.  Q. What would be the highest number that recommendation front of me, but it is possible.  Q. Hothewould be the highest number that recommendation front of me, but it is possible.  Q. Hothewould be the highest number that recommendation front of me but is possible.  Q. Probably somewhere in the thirties or forties. Again, that one basin is a very  1690 1690 16	21:23:47 12	I may or may not answer it fully. Please stop	21:26:12 12	loading ratios in the magnitude of?
recommendation of the loading ratios, to ultimately Dominick Rocco, who works at the paper that shows with empirical data and design and calculations and history that the loading ratios do not need to be adhered to for the ones specified in the DEP manual as guidance. They could be higher.  They could be higher higher that based upon the plans, and it is possible.  They could be higher at high and the high and t	21:23:50 13	me if I don't. But the requirement or	21:26:15 13	A. Definitely higher than the order of
Southeastern Regional DEP, has submitted a paper that shows with empirical data and design articles do not need to be adhered to for the particles do not need to be adhered to for the particles do not need to be adhered to for the particles do not need to be adhered to for the particles do not need to be adhered to for the particles do not need to be adhered to for the particles do not need to be adhered to for the particles do not need to be adhered to for the particles do not need to be adhered to for the particles do not need to be adhered to for the particles do not need to be adhered to for the particles do not need to be adhered to for the particles do not need to be adhered to for the post-construction studies or literature? post-construction studies or lite	21:23:55 14	recommendation of the loading ratios,	21:26:18 14	magnitude of the five.
paper that shows with empirical data and design and calculations and history that the loading ratios do not need to be adhered to for the ones specified in the DEP manual as guidance.  They could be higher.  222 They could be higher.  223 Q. Do you know, is that based upon post-construction studies or itterature?  224 A. I don't know off, what it is, but pominick Rocco did the DEP, worked on it at the DEP, I can only assume would have used both historical data as well as some theory. But I do not have that paper in front of me.  224 Design 7 MR. CRAWFORD: Thank you, That's all I have.  225 They could be higher.  226 They could be higher.  227 They could be higher.  228 They could be higher.  238 They could be higher.  239 They could be higher.  239 They could be higher.  239 They could be higher.  239 They could be higher.  239 They could be higher.  239 They could be higher.  239 They could be higher.  240 They could be higher.  240 They could be higher.  240 They could be higher.  240 They could be higher.  240 They could be higher.  240 They could be higher.  240 They could be higher.  240 They could be higher.  240 They could be higher.  240 They could be higher.  240 They could be higher.  240 They could be higher.  240 They could be high	21:23:57 15	ultimately Dominick Rocco, who works at the	21:26:20 15	<b>Q.</b> Ten? 15?
and calculations and history that the loading ratios do not need to be adhered to for the ratios do not need to be adhered to for the ratios do not need to be adhered to for the ratios do not need to be adhered to for the ratios do not need to be adhered to for the ratios do not need to be adhered to for the ratios good not need to be adhered to for the ratios good not need to be adhered to for the ratios good not need to be adhered to for the ratios good not need to be adhered to for the ratios good not need to be adhered to for the ratios good not need to be adhered to for the ratios good not need to be adhered to for the ratios good not need to be adhered to for the ratios good not need to be adhered to for the ratios good not need to be adhered to for the ratios good not need to be adhered to for the ratios good not need to be adhered to for the ratios good not need to be adhered to for the ratios good not need to be adhered to for the ratios good not need to be adhered to for the ratios good not need to be adhered to for the ratios good not need to be adhered to for the ratios good not need to be adhered to for the numbers in front of me, but it is possible.  A. It is possible. I don't have the numbers in front of me, put it is possible.  A. Probably somewhere in the thirties or forties. Again, that one basin is a very process.  A. Probably somewhere in the thirties or forties. Again, that one basin is a very process.  A. Probably somewhere in the thirties or forties. Again, that one basin is a very process.  A. Probably somewhere in the thirties or forties. Again, that one basin is a very process.  A. Probably somewhere in the thirties or forties. Again, that one basin is a very process.  A. Probably somewhere in the thirties or forties. Again, that one basin is a very process.  A. Probably somewhere in the thirties or forties. Again, that one basin is a very process.  A. Probably somewhere in the thirties or forties. Again, that one basin is a very process.  A. Secure Rull and the process. Sure, it could	21:24:01 16	-	21:26:21 16	A. Easily. Easily.
ratios do not need to be adhered to for the ones specified in the DEP manual as guidance.  They could be higher.  Q. Do you know, is that based upon post-construction studies or literature?  A. I don't know off, what it is, but post-location of the DEP, worked on it at the DEP, I can only assume would have used both historical data as well as some theory. But I do not have that paper in front of me.  MR. CRAWFORD: Thank you. That's bill historical data as well as some theory. But I do not have that paper in front of me.  MR. CRAWFORD: Thank you, Mr.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.  MR. THOMPSON:  MR. THOMPSON:  MR. THOMPSON:  MR. Grawford's last question concerning the DEP, I guess approval of loading ratios that are higher than that in duesting 14 to the BMP manual, you indicated that Dominick Rocco issued a letter indicating they would consider higher ratios; is that what you said?  A. We have used an average of the CNs.  MR. Crawford be the highest number that you would average that's specified in the DEP and that in would be the highest number that you have a recollection of obtaining approval from DEP for?  A. Probably somewhere in the thirties or forties. Again, that one basin is a very  1689  A. Probably somewhere in the thirties or forties. Again, that one basin is a very  1691  Minute basin. It is, it is a small basin, and it could be enlarged, if needed, to help the loading ratio be more tolerable to the overall process. Sure, it could be done. But, again, it would not impact the overall site design of the project.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.  MR. Grawford. Mr. Thompson?  MR. Crawford Mr. Thompson?  MR. Crawford's last  Q. Talking about weighted average for runoff coefficients, that's correct?  A. We have used an average of the CNs.  MR. Mc avou aware of DEP considering ratios that you propose for basin 2 at 120 or basin 4  MIN avoud be final the thinties or fo	21:24:04 17	paper that shows with empirical data and design	21:26:23 17	Q. But nowhere near 55 or 120?
20 ones specified in the DEP manual as guidance. 213629 20 They could be higher. 213629 22 Q. Do you know, is that based upon 213629 22 A. I don't know off, what it is, but  1689 213629 1 Dominick Rocco did the DEP, worked on it at the place of the pla	21:24:10 18	and calculations and history that the loading	21:26:26 18	A. It is possible. I don't have the
They could be higher.  Q. Do you know, is that based upon  22 24 A. I don't know off, what it is, but  1689  21043 1 Dominick Rocco did the DEP, worked on it at the 21043 2 DEP, I can only assume would have used both 21044 3 historical data as well as some theory. But I 21044 4 do not have that paper in front of me. 21044 5 MR. CRAWFORD: Thank you. That's 21045 6 all I have. 21045 7 MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr. 21045 8 Crawford. Mr. Thompson? 21045 1 Q. Following up or Mr. Crawford's last 21045 1 Q. Following up or Mr. Crawford's last 21045 1 Q. Following up or Mr. Crawford's last 21045 1 C. Following up or Mr. Crawford's last 21045 1 A. Yes. There is a letter from Dominick 21045 1 A. Yes. There is a letter from Dominick 21045 1 A. Yes. There is a letter from Dominick 21045 2 Deen rejected for our loading ratios. I don't 21045 2 Deen rejected for our loading ratios. I don't 21045 2 Deen rejected for our loading ratios. I don't 21045 2 Deen rejected for our loading ratios. I don't 21045 2 Deen rejected for our loading ratios we previously had 21045 2 Deen rejected for our loading ratios we previously had 21045 2 Deen rejected for our loading ratios we previously had 21046 2 Deen rejected for our loading ratios we previously had 21046 2 Deen rejected for our loading ratios we previously had 21046 2 Deen rejected for our loading ratios we previously had 21046 2 Deen rejected for our loading ratios we previously had 21046 2 Deen rejected for our loading ratios we previously had 21046 2 Deen rejected for our loading ratios we previously had 21046 2 Deen rejected for our loading ratios we previously had 21046 2 Deen rejected for our loading ratios we previously had 21046 2 Deen rejected for our loading ratios we previously had 21046 2 Deen rejected for our loading ratios we previously had 21046 2 Deen rejected for our loading ratios that paper land representation on the plans, the woods shown 21046 2 Deen rejected for our loading ratios support land representation on the plans, and came up with those numbers,	21:24:14 19	ratios do not need to be adhered to for the	21:26:28 19	numbers in front of me, but it is possible.
22 Q. Do you know, is that based upon post-construction studies or literature? 1689 210403 1 Dominick Rocco did the DEP, worked on it at the DEP, I can only assume would have used both shistorical data as well as some theory. But I DEP, I can only assume would have used both shistorical data as well as some theory. But I DEP, I can only assume would have used both shistorical data as well as some theory. But I DEP, I can only assume would have used both shistorical data as well as some theory. But I DEP, I can only assume would have used both shistorical data as well as some theory. But I DEP, I can only assume would have used both shistorical data as well as some theory. But I DEP, I can only assume would have used both shistorical data as well as some theory. But I DEP, I can only assume would have used both shistorical data as well as some theory. But I DEP, I can only assume would have used both shistorical data as well as some theory. But I DEP, I can only assume would have used both shistorical data as well as some theory. But I DEP, I can only assume would have used both shistorical data as well as some theory. But I DEP, I can only assume would be one tolerable to the overall process. Sure, it could be enlarged, if needed, to help the loading ratio be more tolerable to the overall process. Sure, it could be one. But, again, it would not impact the overall site design of the project.  Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as you described, you haven't done that?  A. Sure. Rudimentary or conceptual, yes.  A. Sure. Rudimentary or conceptual, yes.  2022779 9 A. Sure. Rudimentary or conceptual, yes.  2022779 10 Q. Talking about weighted averages, you did use a weighted average for runoff coefficients, that's correct?  A. We have used an average of the CNs.  But in my qualified and any average of the CNs.  But in my qualified and it to out it to out it to out do that. We average of a 70.  A. We have and an average of the CNs.  But in minute basin. It is, it is a small basin, and it could be enlarged, if need	21:24:19 20	ones specified in the DEP manual as guidance.	21:26:29 20	Q. What would be the highest number that
23 post-construction studies or literature? 24 A. I don't know off, what it is, but  1689  25 Dominick Rocco did the DEP, worked on it at the 25 DEP, I can only assume would have used both 25 DEP, I can only assume would have used both 25 DEP, I can only assume would have used both 25 DEP, I can only assume would have used both 25 DEP, I can only assume would have used both 25 DEP, I can only assume would have used both 26 DEP, I can only assume would have used both 27 DEP, I can only assume would have used both 27 DEP, I can only assume would have used both 28 DEP, I can only assume would have used both 28 DEP, I can only assume would have used both 28 DEP, I can only assume would have used both 28 DEP, I can only assume would have used both 28 DEP, I can only assume would have used both 28 DEP, I can only assume would have used both 28 DEP, I can only assume would have used both 28 DEP, I can only assume would have used both 28 DEP, I can only assume would have used both 28 DEP, I can only assume would have used an average of the CNs. 28 DEP, I can only assume would have used an average of the CNs. 28 DEP, I can only assume would have used an average of a 70. 28 DEP, I can only assume would have used an average of a 70. 28 DEP, I can only assume would have used an average of a 70. 28 DEP, I can only assume would have a weighted average of a 70. 28 DEP, I can only assume would have a weighted average of a 70. 28 DEP, I can only assume would have a weighted average of a 70. 28 DEP, I can only assume would have a weighted average of a 70. 28 DEP, I can only assume would have a weighted average of a 70. 28 DEP, I can only assume would have a weighted average of a 70. 28 DEP, I can only assume would have a weighted average of a 70. 28 DEP, I can only assume would have a weighted average of a 70. 28 DEP, I can only assume would have a weighted average of a 70. 28 DEP, I can only assume would have a weighted average of a 70. 28 DEP, I can only assume would have a weighted average of a 70. 28 DEP, I can only assume w	21:24:22 21	They could be higher.	21:26:31 21	you have a recollection of obtaining approval
1689 1689 1689 1689 1689 1689 1689 1691 1689 1691 1689 1691 1689 1691 1691	21:24:23 22	Q. Do you know, is that based upon	21:26:34 22	from DEP for?
Dominick Rocco did the DEP, worked on it at the DEP, I can only assume would have used both historical data as well as some theory. But I do not have that paper in front of me.  MR. CRAWFORD: Thank you. That's all I have.  MR. CRAWFORD: Thank you. That's all I have.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.  Crawford. Mr. Thompson?  RECROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. THOMPSON:  DEP, I can only assume would have used both historical data as well as some theory. But I do not have that paper in front of me.  MR. CRAWFORD: Thank you. That's all I have.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.  Crawford. Mr. Thompson?  RECROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. THOMPSON:  DEP, I can only assume would have used both historical data as well as some theory. But I do not have that paper in front of me.  MR. CRAWFORD: Thank you. That's all I have.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.  Crawford. Mr. Thompson?  RECROSS-EXAMINATION  DEP, I can only assume would have used both historical data as well as some theory. But I would not impact the overall site design of the project.  Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as you described, you haven't done that?  A. Sure. Rudimentary or conceptual, yes.  Q. Talking about weighted averages, you dive as weighted average for runoff coefficients, that's correct?  A. We have used an average of the CNs.  But in my engineering history and working with plenty of townships, the weighted average that's specified in the township is the averages on a TR-55 sheet that is, as I previously stated, would be for a specific, for example, a one-acre lot would have a weighted average of a 70.  A. We have not had any projects that have that you propose for basin 2 at 120 or basin 4 at 55?  A. We have not had any projects that have that you propose for basin 2 at 120 or basin 4 at 55?  A. We have not had any projects that have that you propose for basin 2 at 120 or basin 4 at 55?  A. We have not had any projects that have the plans, the woods shown on the plans, the woods shown on the plans, and came up with those numbers,	21:24:26 23	post-construction studies or literature?	21:26:36 23	A. Probably somewhere in the thirties or
1 Dominick Rocco did the DEP, worked on it at the 212437 3 DEP, I can only assume would have used both 212447 4 DEP, I can only assume would have used both 212447 4 do not have that paper in front of me. 212458 5 MR. CRAWFORD: Thank you. That's 212459 6 all I have. 212459 7 MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr. 212459 8 Crawford. Mr. Thompson? 212459 9 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 212459 10 BY MR. THOMPSON: 212459 11 Q. Following up or Mr. Crawford's last 212459 11 Question concerning the DEP, I guess approval 212559 13 of loading ratios that are higher than that in 212559 16 Rocco issued a letter indicating they would 212559 16 Consider higher ratios; is that what you said? 212559 17 A. Yes. There is a letter from Dominick. 212559 17 A. We have not had any projects that have 212559 12 A. We have not had any projects that have 212559 12 Cook the impervious amounts shown on the plans, the woods shown 212559 12 Cook the impervious amounts shown on the plans, the woods shown 212550 24 Now if the loading ratios we previously had 212550 24 Now if the loading ratios we previously had 212550 24 Now if the loading ratios we previously had 212550 24 Now if the loading ratios we previously had 212550 24 Now if the loading ratios we previously had 212550 24 Now if the loading ratios we previously had 212550 24 Now if the loading ratios we previously had 212550 24 Now if the loading ratios we previously had 212550 25 Now if the loading ratios we previously had 212550 25 Now if the loading ratios we previously had 212550 25 Now if the loading ratios we previously had 212550 25 Now if the loading ratios we previously had 212550 25 Now if the loading ratios we previously had 212550 25 Now if the loading ratios we previously had 212550 25 Now if the loading ratios we previously had 212550 25 Now if the loading ratios we previously had 212550 25 Now if the loading ratios we previously had 212550 25 Now if the loading ratios we previously had 212550 25 Now if the loading ratios we previously had 212550 25 Now if the loading ratios we previously ha	29 24	A. I don't know off, what it is, but	21:26:38 24	forties. Again, that one basin is a very
2 DEP, I can only assume would have used both historical data as well as some theory. But I do not have that paper in front of me.  MR. CRAWFORD: Thank you. That's lill I have.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.  MR. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. THOMPSON:  MR. THOMPSON:  MR. THOMPSON:  MR. THOMPSON:  MR. THOMPSON:  MR. THOMPSON:  MR. THOMPSON:  MR. THOMPSON:  MR. Thompson?  MR. THOMPSON:  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.  MR. MR. MR. MR. MR.  MR. MR. MR. MR. MR.  MR. MR. MR. MR. MR.  MR. MR.		1689		1691
12/24/27 3 historical data as well as some theory. But I 2/24/27 4 do not have that paper in front of me. 2/24/24/9 5 MR. CRAWFORD: Thank you. That's 2/24/24/9 6 all I have. 2/24/24/9 7 MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr. 2/24/24/9 7 MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr. 2/24/24/9 8 Crawford. Mr. Thompson? 2/24/24/9 9 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 2/24/24/9 9 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 2/24/24/9 10 BY MR. THOMPSON: 2/24/24/9 10 Q. Following up or Mr. Crawford's last 2/24/24/9 11 Q. Following up or Mr. Crawford's last 2/24/24/9 11 question concerning the DEP, I guess approval 2/24/24/9 11 question concerning the DEP, I guess approval 2/24/24/9 11 A. Yes. There is a letter from Dominick 2/24/24/9 11 A. Yes. There is a letter from Dominick. 2/24/24/9 19 that you propose for basin 2 at 120 or basin 4 at 55? 2/24/24/9 19 that you propose for basin 2 at 120 or basin 4 at 55? 2/24/24/9 20 at 55? 2/24/24/9 20 at 55? 2/24/24/9 24 were on the magnitude of 120. But, again, this 2/24/24/9 24 been rejected for our loading ratios we previously had were on the magnitude of 120. But, again, this 2/24/24/9 12/24/9 24 been rejected for our loading ratios we previously had were on the magnitude of 120. But, again, this 2/24/24/9 12/24/9 24 been rejected for our loading ratios we previously had were on the magnitude of 120. But, again, tile 2/24/9 12/24/9 24 been rejected for our loading ratios we previously had were on the magnitude of 120. But, again, this 2/24/9 24 loading ratios that are higher than that in 2/24/9 24 loading ratios we previously had were on the magnitude of 120. But, again, this 2/24/9 24 loading ratios that are higher than that in 2/24/9 24 loading ratios we previously had were on the magnitude of 120. But, again, this 2/24/9 24 loading ratios that are higher than that in 2/24/9 24 loading ratios we previously had were on the magnitude of 120. But, again, this 2/24/9 24 loading ratios that are higher than that in 2/24/9 24 loading ratios we previously had were on the magnitude of 120. But, again, this 2/24/9 24 loading ratios that the overall	1			
4 do not have that paper in front of me.  212449 5 MR. CRAWFORD: Thank you. That's 212450 6 all I have. 212450 7 MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr. 212451 8 Crawford. Mr. Thompson? 212454 9 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 212454 10 BY MR. THOMPSON: 212455 11 Q. Following up or Mr. Crawford's last 212456 12 question concerning the DEP, I guess approval 212550 13 of loading ratios that are higher than that in 212550 14 the BMP manual, you indicated that Dominick 212550 15 Rocco issued a letter indicating they would 212550 16 consider higher ratios; is that what you said? 212551 17 A. Yes. There is a letter from Dominick. 212550 18 Q. Are you aware of DEP considering ratios 212550 19 that you propose for basin 2 at 120 or basin 4 212550 20 A. We have not had any projects that have 212550 21 A. We have not had any projects that have 212550 22 been rejected for our loading ratios we previously had 212550 24 were on the magnitude of 120. But, again, this 212550 24 process. Sure, it could be done. But, again, it would not impact the overall site design of the project. 212550 5 the project. 212550 5 the project. 212550 6 the project. 212550 6 the project. 212550 6 the project. 212550 7 Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as 212550 7 Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as 212550 7 Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as 212550 7 Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as 212550 7 Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as 212550 7 Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as 212550 7 Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as 212550 7 Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as 212550 7 Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as 212550 7 Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as 212550 7 Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as 212550 7 Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as 212550 7 Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as 212550 7 Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as 212550 7 Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as 212550 8 212550 7 Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as 212550 8 212550 7 Q. Because it is a rudimentary des	21:24:33 1	Dominick Rocco did the DEP, worked on it at the	21:26:42 1	1221
212449 5 MR. CRAWFORD: Thank you. That's 21249 6 all I have. 21249 7 MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr. 21249 8 Crawford. Mr. Thompson? 21249 9 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 21249 9 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 21249 10 BY MR. THOMPSON: 21249 11 Q. Following up or Mr. Crawford's last 21249 12 question concerning the DEP, I guess approval 21249 13 of loading ratios that are higher than that in 21259 14 the BMP manual, you indicated that Dominick 21259 15 Rocco issued a letter indicating they would 21259 16 consider higher ratios; is that what you said? 21259 18 Q. Are you aware of DEP considering ratios 21259 19 that you propose for basin 2 at 120 or basin 4 21259 20 A. We have not had any projects that have 21259 21 A. We have not had any projects that have 21259 22 A. We have not had any projects that have 21259 22 Ben rejected for our loading ratios we previously had 21259 24 Know if the loading ratios we previously had 21259 24 Were on the magnitude of 120. But, again, this 21259 25 It would not impact the overall site design of the project. 212709 5 It would not impact the overall site design of the project. 212709 7 Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as 212709 9 A. Sure. Rudimentary or conceptual, yes. 212709 9 A. Sure. Rudimentary or conceptual, yes. 212709 10 Q. Talking about weighted averages for runoff 212709 11 Coefficients, that's correct?  A. We have used an average of the CNs. 212709 11 A. We have used an average of the CNs. 212709 12 A. We have used an average of the CNs. 212709 12 A. We have used an average of the CNs. 212709 17 A. We have used an average of the CNs. 212709 18 But in my engineering history and working with plenty of townships, the weighted average that's specified in the township is the averages on a TR-55 sheet that is, as I 212709 17 previously stated, would be for a specific, for example, a one-acre lot would have a weighted average of a 70. 212709 12 previously stated, would be for a specific, for example, a one-acre lot would have a weighted average of a 70. 212709 17 previously stated, would be		·		minute basin. It is, it is a small basin, and
the project.  212450 7 MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.  212451 8 Crawford. Mr. Thompson?  212454 9 RECROSS-EXAMINATION  212455 11 Q. Following up or Mr. Crawford's last 21245 12 question concerning the DEP, I guess approval 21245 13 of loading ratios that are higher than that in 21250 14 the BMP manual, you indicated that Dominick 21250 15 Rocco issued a letter indicating they would 21250 16 consider higher ratios; is that what you said? 21250 18 Q. Are you aware of DEP considering ratios 21250 19 that you propose for basin 2 at 120 or basin 4 21250 20 A. We have not had any projects that have 21250 23 Vere Rudimentary design, as you described, you haven't done that?  Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as you described, you haven't done that?  A. Sure. Rudimentary or conceptual, yes.  Q. Talking about weighted averages for runoff coefficients, that's correct?  A. We have used an average of the CNs.  212510 12 that in my engineering history and working with plenty of townships, the weighted average 212510 16 that's specified in the township is the averages on a TR-55 sheet that is, as I 212510 17 previously stated, would be for a specific, for 212510 19 that you propose for basin 2 at 120 or basin 4 212510 20 A. We have not had any projects that have 212510 21 A. We have not had any projects that have 212510 22 been rejected for our loading ratios. I don't 212510 23 the lawns shown on the plans, the woods shown 212540 24 were on the magnitude of 120. But, again, this	21:24:39 2	DEP, I can only assume would have used both	21:26:48 2	minute basin. It is, it is a small basin, and it could be enlarged, if needed, to help the loading ratio be more tolerable to the overall
7 MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr. 212494 8 Crawford. Mr. Thompson? 212495 9 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 212496 10 BY MR. THOMPSON: 212496 11 Q. Following up or Mr. Crawford's last 212496 12 question concerning the DEP, I guess approval 212496 13 of loading ratios that are higher than that in 212496 14 the BMP manual, you indicated that Dominick 212496 15 Rocco issued a letter indicating they would 212496 16 consider higher ratios; is that what you said? 212496 17 A. Yes. There is a letter from Dominick. 212496 18 Q. Are you aware of DEP considering ratios 212496 20 at 55? 212496 21 A. We have not had any projects that have 212496 22 been rejected for our loading ratios. I don't 212496 23 know if the loading ratios we previously had 212496 24 were on the magnitude of 120. But, again, this 21249 20 at that you propose for basin 2 at 120. But, again, this 21249 20 at the considering ratios on the plans, and came up with those numbers,	21:24:39 <b>2</b> 21:24:42 <b>3</b>	DEP, I can only assume would have used both historical data as well as some theory. But I	21:26:48 2 21:26:52 3	minute basin. It is, it is a small basin, and it could be enlarged, if needed, to help the loading ratio be more tolerable to the overall process. Sure, it could be done. But, again,
212454 9 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 212454 10 BY MR. THOMPSON: 212454 10 BY MR. THOMPSON: 212455 11 Q. Following up or Mr. Crawford's last 212454 12 question concerning the DEP, I guess approval 21255 12 question concerning the DEP, I guess approval 21256 13 of loading ratios that are higher than that in 21256 14 the BMP manual, you indicated that Dominick 21256 15 Rocco issued a letter indicating they would 21256 16 consider higher ratios; is that what you said? 21256 17 A. Yes. There is a letter from Dominick. 21251 18 Q. Are you aware of DEP considering ratios 21251 19 that you propose for basin 2 at 120 or basin 4 21252 21 A. We have not had any projects that have 21253 22 been rejected for our loading ratios. I don't 21253 23 know if the loading ratios we previously had 21254 24 were on the magnitude of 120. But, again, this 21275 24 A. Sure. Rudimentary or conceptual, yes. 21276 9 A. Sure. Rudimentary or conceptual, yes. 21276 9 A. Sure. Rudimentary or conceptual, yes. 21276 9 A. Sure. Rudimentary or conceptual, yes. 21276 9 A. Sure. Rudimentary or conceptual, yes. 21276 9 A. Sure. Rudimentary or conceptual, yes. 21276 9 A. Sure. Rudimentary or conceptual, yes. 21276 9 A. Sure. Rudimentary or conceptual, yes. 21276 9 A. Sure. Rudimentary or conceptual, yes. 21276 10 Q. Talking about weighted averages or runoff 21276 12 Coefficients, that's correct? 21276 12 Coefficients, that's correct? 21276 12 Coefficients, that's correct? 21276 12 Coefficients, that's correct? 21276 12 Coefficients, that's correct? 21276 12 Coefficients, that's correct? 21276 12 Coefficients, that's correct? 21276 12 Coefficients, that's correct? 21276 12 Coefficients, that's correct? 21276 12 Coefficients, that's correct? 21276 12 Coefficients, that's correct? 21276 12 Coefficients, that's correct? 21276 12 Coefficients, that's correct? 21276 12 Coefficients, that's correct? 21276 12 Coefficients, that's correct? 21276 14 Coefficients, that's correct? 21277 18 Dut in the Ve have used an average of the CNs. 21277 18 Dut in the Ve have used an	21:24:39 <b>2</b> 21:24:42 <b>3</b> 21:24:47 <b>4</b>	DEP, I can only assume would have used both historical data as well as some theory. But I do not have that paper in front of me.	21:26:48 <b>2</b> 21:26:52 <b>3</b> 21:26:58 <b>4</b>	minute basin. It is, it is a small basin, and it could be enlarged, if needed, to help the loading ratio be more tolerable to the overall process. Sure, it could be done. But, again,
RECROSS-EXAMINATION  212454 9 RECROSS-EXAMINATION  212456 10 BY MR. THOMPSON:  212456 11 Q. Following up or Mr. Crawford's last 212458 12 question concerning the DEP, I guess approval 21255 13 of loading ratios that are higher than that in 21256 14 the BMP manual, you indicated that Dominick 21256 15 Rocco issued a letter indicating they would 21256 16 consider higher ratios; is that what you said? 21256 17 A. Yes. There is a letter from Dominick. 21251 18 Q. Are you aware of DEP considering ratios 21251 19 that you propose for basin 2 at 120 or basin 4 21252 21 A. We have not had any projects that have 21253 22 been rejected for our loading ratios. I don't 21253 23 know if the loading ratios we previously had 21254 24 were on the magnitude of 120. But, again, this 21275 24 A. Sure. Rudimentary or conceptual, yes. 21276 9 A. Sure. Rudimentary or conceptual, yes. 21276 10 Q. Talking about weighted averages, you did use a weighted average for runoff 21277 11 Coefficients, that's correct?  A. We have used an average of the CNs. 21278 13 But in my engineering history and working with 21278 15 plenty of townships, the weighted average 21279 16 that's specified in the township is the 21279 17 averages on a TR-55 sheet that is, as I 21279 19 example, a one-acre lot would have a weighted 21279 20 average of a 70. 21279 21 We did not do that. We actually 21279 22 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, the lawns shown on the plans, the woods shown 21279 24 were on the magnitude of 120. But, again, this	21:24:39 <b>2</b> 21:24:42 <b>3</b> 21:24:47 <b>4</b> 21:24:49 <b>5</b>	DEP, I can only assume would have used both historical data as well as some theory. But I do not have that paper in front of me.  MR. CRAWFORD: Thank you. That's	21:26:48 <b>2</b> 21:26:52 <b>3</b> 21:26:58 <b>4</b> 21:27:00 <b>5</b>	minute basin. It is, it is a small basin, and it could be enlarged, if needed, to help the loading ratio be more tolerable to the overall process. Sure, it could be done. But, again, it would not impact the overall site design of
2124:54 10 BY MR. THOMPSON:  2124:56 11 Q. Following up or Mr. Crawford's last 2124:56 12 question concerning the DEP, I guess approval 2125:01 13 of loading ratios that are higher than that in 2125:04 14 the BMP manual, you indicated that Dominick 2125:07 15 Rocco issued a letter indicating they would 2125:08 16 consider higher ratios; is that what you said? 2125:08 18 Q. Are you aware of DEP considering ratios 2125:09 19 that you propose for basin 2 at 120 or basin 4 20 at 55? 2125:02 21 A. We have not had any projects that have 2125:03 22 been rejected for our loading ratios. I don't 2125:04 24 were on the magnitude of 120. But, again, this 2127:05 21 Calking about weighted averages, you 2127:05 10 Q. Talking about weighted average for runoff 2127:05 11 did use a weighted average for runoff 2127:05 12 coefficients, that's correct? 2127:05 12 coefficients, that's correct? 2127:05 12 Coefficients, that's correct?  A. We have used an average of the CNs. 2127:05 14 But in my engineering history and working with 2127:05 15 plenty of townships, the weighted average 2127:05 16 that's specified in the township is the 2127:05 16 that's specified in the township is the 2127:07 18 previously stated, would be for a specific, for 2127:07 19 example, a one-acre lot would have a weighted 2127:07 19 werage of a 70. 2127:07 20 average of a 70. 2127:07 21 Coefficients, that's correct?  A. We have used an average of the CNs. 2127:08 15 plenty of townships, the weighted average 2127:08 15 plenty of townships, the weighted average 2127:08 16 that's specified in the township is the 2127:08 16 that's specified in the township is the 2127:08 17 averages on a TR-55 sheet that is, as I 2127:08 18 previously stated, would be for a specific, for 2127:08 19 that you propose for basin 2 at 120 or basin 4 2127:09 21 average of a 70. 2127:09 22 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, the lawns shown on the plans, the lawns shown on the plans, on the plans, and came up with those numbers,	21:24:39 <b>2</b> 21:24:42 <b>3</b> 21:24:47 <b>4</b> 21:24:49 <b>5</b> 21:24:50 <b>6</b>	DEP, I can only assume would have used both historical data as well as some theory. But I do not have that paper in front of me.  MR. CRAWFORD: Thank you. That's all I have.	21:26:48	minute basin. It is, it is a small basin, and it could be enlarged, if needed, to help the loading ratio be more tolerable to the overall process. Sure, it could be done. But, again, it would not impact the overall site design of the project.  Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as
21:24:56 11 Q. Following up or Mr. Crawford's last 21:24:56 12 question concerning the DEP, I guess approval 21:25:01 13 of loading ratios that are higher than that in 21:25:04 14 the BMP manual, you indicated that Dominick 21:25:07 15 Rocco issued a letter indicating they would 21:25:09 16 consider higher ratios; is that what you said? 21:25:01 17 A. Yes. There is a letter from Dominick. 21:25:01 18 Q. Are you aware of DEP considering ratios 21:25:01 19 that you propose for basin 2 at 120 or basin 4 20 at 55? 21:27:40 19 21:27:40 19 21:27:40 21 21:27:	21:24:39 <b>2</b> 21:24:42 <b>3</b> 21:24:47 <b>4</b> 21:24:49 <b>5</b> 21:24:50 <b>6</b> 21:24:50 <b>7</b>	DEP, I can only assume would have used both historical data as well as some theory. But I do not have that paper in front of me.  MR. CRAWFORD: Thank you. That's all I have.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.	21:26:48	minute basin. It is, it is a small basin, and it could be enlarged, if needed, to help the loading ratio be more tolerable to the overall process. Sure, it could be done. But, again, it would not impact the overall site design of the project.  Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as you described, you haven't done that?
212458 12 question concerning the DEP, I guess approval 212501 13 of loading ratios that are higher than that in 212501 14 the BMP manual, you indicated that Dominick 212501 15 Rocco issued a letter indicating they would 212501 16 consider higher ratios; is that what you said? 212511 17 A. Yes. There is a letter from Dominick. 212511 18 Q. Are you aware of DEP considering ratios 212511 19 that you propose for basin 2 at 120 or basin 4 212521 A. We have used an average of the CNs. 212720 14 But in my engineering history and working with 212720 15 plenty of townships, the weighted average 212730 16 that's specified in the township is the 212731 18 previously stated, would be for a specific, for 212731 18 previously stated, would be for a specific, for 212732 19 example, a one-acre lot would have a weighted 212733 22 been rejected for our loading ratios. I don't 212530 23 know if the loading ratios we previously had 212530 24 were on the magnitude of 120. But, again, this 212730 15 But in my engineering history and working with 212730 16 that's specified in the township is the 212730 17 averages on a TR-55 sheet that is, as I 212732 18 previously stated, would be for a specific, for 212730 19 example, a one-acre lot would have a weighted 212740 20 average of a 70. 212740 21 Everyon and working with 212730 16 that's specified in the township is the 212730 18 previously stated, would be for a specific, for 212730 18 previously stated, would be for a specific, for 212730 19 example, a one-acre lot would have a weighted 212740 20 average of a 70. 212742 21 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, 212740 22 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, 212740 23 the lawns shown on the plans, and came up with those numbers,	21:24:39 <b>2</b> 21:24:42 <b>3</b> 21:24:47 <b>4</b> 21:24:49 <b>5</b> 21:24:50 <b>6</b> 21:24:50 <b>7</b> 21:24:51 <b>8</b> 21:24:54 <b>9</b>	DEP, I can only assume would have used both historical data as well as some theory. But I do not have that paper in front of me.  MR. CRAWFORD: Thank you. That's all I have.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.  Crawford. Mr. Thompson?	21:26:48	minute basin. It is, it is a small basin, and it could be enlarged, if needed, to help the loading ratio be more tolerable to the overall process. Sure, it could be done. But, again, it would not impact the overall site design of the project.  Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as you described, you haven't done that?  A. Sure. Rudimentary or conceptual, yes.
2125.01 13 of loading ratios that are higher than that in 2125.02 14 the BMP manual, you indicated that Dominick 2125.03 15 Rocco issued a letter indicating they would 2125.03 16 consider higher ratios; is that what you said? 2125.14 17 A. Yes. There is a letter from Dominick. 2125.15 18 Q. Are you aware of DEP considering ratios 2125.16 19 that you propose for basin 2 at 120 or basin 4 2125.25 21 A. We have not had any projects that have 2125.33 22 been rejected for our loading ratios. I don't 2125.38 23 know if the loading ratios we previously had 2125.00 24 were on the magnitude of 120. But, again, this 2127.18 13 A. We have used an average of the CNs. 2127.25 14 But in my engineering history and working with 2127.25 15 plenty of townships, the weighted average 2127.26 15 plenty of townships, the weighted average 2127.26 17 averages on a TR-55 sheet that is, as I 2127.27 18 previously stated, would be for a specific, for 2127.27 18 example, a one-acre lot would have a weighted 2127.27 20 average of a 70. 2127.27 21 Ve did not do that. We actually 2127.27 22 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, 2127.27 23 18 that you propose for basin 2 at 120 or basin 4 2127.27 20 average of a 70. 2127.28 15 plenty of townships, the weighted average 2127.26 15 plenty of townships, the weighted average 2127.27 18 previously stated, would be for a specific, for 2127.27 20 average of a 70. 2127.28 19 previously stated, would be for a specific, for 2127.29 20 average of a 70. 2127.20 21 average of a 70. 2127.20 22 average of a 70. 2127.21 22 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, the woods shown 2127.25 24 on the plans, and came up with those numbers,	21:24:39	DEP, I can only assume would have used both historical data as well as some theory. But I do not have that paper in front of me.  MR. CRAWFORD: Thank you. That's all I have.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.  Crawford. Mr. Thompson?  RECROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. THOMPSON:	21:26:48	minute basin. It is, it is a small basin, and it could be enlarged, if needed, to help the loading ratio be more tolerable to the overall process. Sure, it could be done. But, again, it would not impact the overall site design of the project.  Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as you described, you haven't done that?  A. Sure. Rudimentary or conceptual, yes. Q. Talking about weighted averages, you
the BMP manual, you indicated that Dominick 21:25:04 14 the BMP manual, you indicated that Dominick 21:25:05 15 Rocco issued a letter indicating they would 21:25:08 16 consider higher ratios; is that what you said? 21:25:11 17 A. Yes. There is a letter from Dominick. 21:25:16 18 Q. Are you aware of DEP considering ratios 21:25:19 19 that you propose for basin 2 at 120 or basin 4 220 at 55? 21:25:26 21 A. We have not had any projects that have 21:25:33 22 been rejected for our loading ratios. I don't 21:25:38 23 know if the loading ratios we previously had 21:25:40 24 were on the magnitude of 120. But, again, this 21:27:28 14 But in my engineering history and working with 21:27:28 15 plenty of townships, the weighted average 21:27:28 17 averages on a TR-55 sheet that is, as I 21:27:37 18 previously stated, would be for a specific, for 21:27:40 19 example, a one-acre lot would have a weighted 21:27:40 21 We did not do that. We actually 21:27:40 22 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, 21:27:40 23 the lawns shown on the plans, the woods shown 21:25:40 24 were on the magnitude of 120. But, again, this	21:24:39	DEP, I can only assume would have used both historical data as well as some theory. But I do not have that paper in front of me.  MR. CRAWFORD: Thank you. That's all I have.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.  Crawford. Mr. Thompson?  RECROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. THOMPSON:  Q. Following up or Mr. Crawford's last	21:26:48	minute basin. It is, it is a small basin, and it could be enlarged, if needed, to help the loading ratio be more tolerable to the overall process. Sure, it could be done. But, again, it would not impact the overall site design of the project.  Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as you described, you haven't done that?  A. Sure. Rudimentary or conceptual, yes. Q. Talking about weighted averages, you did use a weighted average for runoff
21:25:07 15 Rocco issued a letter indicating they would 21:25:08 16 consider higher ratios; is that what you said? 21:25:11 17 A. Yes. There is a letter from Dominick. 21:25:16 18 Q. Are you aware of DEP considering ratios 21:25:19 19 that you propose for basin 2 at 120 or basin 4 20 at 55? 21:25:26 21 A. We have not had any projects that have 21:25:38 23 know if the loading ratios we previously had 21:25:38 24 were on the magnitude of 120. But, again, this 21:27:28 15 plenty of townships, the weighted average 21:27:28 15 plenty of townships, the weighted average 21:27:28 17 averages on a TR-55 sheet that is, as I 21:27:32 17 previously stated, would be for a specific, for 21:27:32 18 previously stated, would have a weighted 21:27:42 20 average of a 70. 21:27:42 21 We did not do that. We actually 21:27:42 22 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, the woods shown 21:27:43 24 on the plans, and came up with those numbers,	21:24:39	DEP, I can only assume would have used both historical data as well as some theory. But I do not have that paper in front of me.  MR. CRAWFORD: Thank you. That's all I have.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.  Crawford. Mr. Thompson?  RECROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. THOMPSON:  Q. Following up or Mr. Crawford's last question concerning the DEP, I guess approval	21:26:48	minute basin. It is, it is a small basin, and it could be enlarged, if needed, to help the loading ratio be more tolerable to the overall process. Sure, it could be done. But, again, it would not impact the overall site design of the project.  Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as you described, you haven't done that?  A. Sure. Rudimentary or conceptual, yes. Q. Talking about weighted averages, you did use a weighted average for runoff coefficients, that's correct?
consider higher ratios; is that what you said?  A. Yes. There is a letter from Dominick.  Q. Are you aware of DEP considering ratios  that you propose for basin 2 at 120 or basin 4  21:25:26 21  A. We have not had any projects that have  21:25:38 23 know if the loading ratios we previously had  21:25:39 24 that you said?  21:27:30 16 that's specified in the township is the  21:27:30 17 averages on a TR-55 sheet that is, as I  21:27:31 18 previously stated, would be for a specific, for  21:27:40 19 example, a one-acre lot would have a weighted  21:27:40 21 We did not do that. We actually  21:27:40 22 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans,  21:27:50 23 the lawns shown on the plans, the woods shown  21:27:50 24 on the plans, and came up with those numbers,	21:24:39	DEP, I can only assume would have used both historical data as well as some theory. But I do not have that paper in front of me.  MR. CRAWFORD: Thank you. That's all I have.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.  Crawford. Mr. Thompson?  RECROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. THOMPSON:  Q. Following up or Mr. Crawford's last question concerning the DEP, I guess approval of loading ratios that are higher than that in	21:26:48	minute basin. It is, it is a small basin, and it could be enlarged, if needed, to help the loading ratio be more tolerable to the overall process. Sure, it could be done. But, again, it would not impact the overall site design of the project.  Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as you described, you haven't done that?  A. Sure. Rudimentary or conceptual, yes. Q. Talking about weighted averages, you did use a weighted average for runoff coefficients, that's correct?  A. We have used an average of the CNs.
A. Yes. There is a letter from Dominick.  Q. Are you aware of DEP considering ratios that you propose for basin 2 at 120 or basin 4  21:25:18 19 that you propose for basin 2 at 120 or basin 4  21:25:26 21 A. We have not had any projects that have been rejected for our loading ratios. I don't  21:25:38 23 know if the loading ratios we previously had were on the magnitude of 120. But, again, this  21:27:32 17 averages on a TR-55 sheet that is, as I  21:27:32 17 averages on a TR-55 sheet that is, as I  21:27:32 18 previously stated, would be for a specific, for  21:27:40 19 example, a one-acre lot would have a weighted  21:27:42 20 average of a 70.  21:27:42 21 We did not do that. We actually  21:27:40 22 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans,  21:27:40 23 the lawns shown on the plans, the woods shown  21:27:40 24 on the plans, and came up with those numbers,	21:24:39	DEP, I can only assume would have used both historical data as well as some theory. But I do not have that paper in front of me.  MR. CRAWFORD: Thank you. That's all I have.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.  Crawford. Mr. Thompson?  RECROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. THOMPSON:  Q. Following up or Mr. Crawford's last question concerning the DEP, I guess approval of loading ratios that are higher than that in the BMP manual, you indicated that Dominick	21:26:48	minute basin. It is, it is a small basin, and it could be enlarged, if needed, to help the loading ratio be more tolerable to the overall process. Sure, it could be done. But, again, it would not impact the overall site design of the project.  Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as you described, you haven't done that?  A. Sure. Rudimentary or conceptual, yes. Q. Talking about weighted averages, you did use a weighted average for runoff coefficients, that's correct?  A. We have used an average of the CNs. But in my engineering history and working with
Q. Are you aware of DEP considering ratios that you propose for basin 2 at 120 or basin 4 that you propose for basin 2 at 120 or basin 4 at 55?  A. We have not had any projects that have been rejected for our loading ratios. I don't that you propose for basin 2 at 120 or basin 4 at 55?  A. We have not had any projects that have been rejected for our loading ratios. I don't that you propose for basin 2 at 120 or basin 4 at 55?  A. We have not had any projects that have that you propose for basin 2 at 120 or basin 4 at 55?  We did not do that. We actually took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, the woods shown at 12125:40 24 were on the magnitude of 120. But, again, this at 12127:37 18 previously stated, would be for a specific, for at 12127:40 19 example, a one-acre lot would have a weighted at 55?  21:27:42 20 average of a 70. 21:27:47 22 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, at 12127:47 22 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, at 12127:47 22 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, at 12127:47 22 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, at 12127:47 22 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, at 12127:47 22 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, at 12127:47 22 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, at 12127:47 22 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, at 12127:47 22 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, at 12127:47 22 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, at 12127:47 22 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, at 12127:47 22 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, at 12127:47 22 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, at 12127:47 24 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, at 12127:47 25 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, at 12127:47 25 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, at 12127:47 26 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, at 12127:47 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27	21:24:39	DEP, I can only assume would have used both historical data as well as some theory. But I do not have that paper in front of me.  MR. CRAWFORD: Thank you. That's all I have.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.  Crawford. Mr. Thompson?  RECROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. THOMPSON:  Q. Following up or Mr. Crawford's last question concerning the DEP, I guess approval of loading ratios that are higher than that in the BMP manual, you indicated that Dominick Rocco issued a letter indicating they would	21:26:48	minute basin. It is, it is a small basin, and it could be enlarged, if needed, to help the loading ratio be more tolerable to the overall process. Sure, it could be done. But, again, it would not impact the overall site design of the project.  Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as you described, you haven't done that?  A. Sure. Rudimentary or conceptual, yes. Q. Talking about weighted averages, you did use a weighted average for runoff coefficients, that's correct?  A. We have used an average of the CNs. But in my engineering history and working with plenty of townships, the weighted average
that you propose for basin 2 at 120 or basin 4  21:25:19 19 that you propose for basin 2 at 120 or basin 4  21:27:40 19 example, a one-acre lot would have a weighted 21:27:42 20 average of a 70.  21:25:26 21 A. We have not had any projects that have 21:27:33 22 been rejected for our loading ratios. I don't 21:25:38 23 know if the loading ratios we previously had 21:27:40 19 example, a one-acre lot would have a weighted 21:27:42 20 average of a 70.  21:27:42 21 We did not do that. We actually 21:27:47 22 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, 21:27:50 23 the lawns shown on the plans, the woods shown 21:27:50 24 on the plans, and came up with those numbers,	21:24:39	DEP, I can only assume would have used both historical data as well as some theory. But I do not have that paper in front of me.  MR. CRAWFORD: Thank you. That's all I have.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.  Crawford. Mr. Thompson?  RECROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. THOMPSON:  Q. Following up or Mr. Crawford's last question concerning the DEP, I guess approval of loading ratios that are higher than that in the BMP manual, you indicated that Dominick Rocco issued a letter indicating they would consider higher ratios; is that what you said?	21:26:48	minute basin. It is, it is a small basin, and it could be enlarged, if needed, to help the loading ratio be more tolerable to the overall process. Sure, it could be done. But, again, it would not impact the overall site design of the project.  Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as you described, you haven't done that?  A. Sure. Rudimentary or conceptual, yes. Q. Talking about weighted averages, you did use a weighted average for runoff coefficients, that's correct?  A. We have used an average of the CNs. But in my engineering history and working with plenty of townships, the weighted average that's specified in the township is the
20 at 55?  A. We have not had any projects that have 21:25:38 22 been rejected for our loading ratios. I don't 21:25:38 23 know if the loading ratios we previously had 21:25:40 24 were on the magnitude of 120. But, again, this 21:27:42 20 average of a 70.  21:27:42 21 We did not do that. We actually 21:27:47 22 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, 21:27:50 23 the lawns shown on the plans, the woods shown 21:27:50 24 on the plans, and came up with those numbers,	21:24:39	DEP, I can only assume would have used both historical data as well as some theory. But I do not have that paper in front of me.  MR. CRAWFORD: Thank you. That's all I have.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.  Crawford. Mr. Thompson?  RECROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. THOMPSON:  Q. Following up or Mr. Crawford's last question concerning the DEP, I guess approval of loading ratios that are higher than that in the BMP manual, you indicated that Dominick Rocco issued a letter indicating they would consider higher ratios; is that what you said?  A. Yes. There is a letter from Dominick.	21:26:48	minute basin. It is, it is a small basin, and it could be enlarged, if needed, to help the loading ratio be more tolerable to the overall process. Sure, it could be done. But, again, it would not impact the overall site design of the project.  Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as you described, you haven't done that?  A. Sure. Rudimentary or conceptual, yes. Q. Talking about weighted averages, you did use a weighted average for runoff coefficients, that's correct?  A. We have used an average of the CNs. But in my engineering history and working with plenty of townships, the weighted average that's specified in the township is the averages on a TR-55 sheet that is, as I
A. We have not had any projects that have been rejected for our loading ratios. I don't know if the loading ratios we previously had know if the loading ratios we previously had were on the magnitude of 120. But, again, this  21:27:44 21 We did not do that. We actually 21:27:47 22 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, 21:27:48 21  we did not do that. We actually 21:27:47 22 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, 21:27:48 21  on the plans, and came up with those numbers,	21:24:39	DEP, I can only assume would have used both historical data as well as some theory. But I do not have that paper in front of me.  MR. CRAWFORD: Thank you. That's all I have.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.  Crawford. Mr. Thompson?  RECROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. THOMPSON:  Q. Following up or Mr. Crawford's last question concerning the DEP, I guess approval of loading ratios that are higher than that in the BMP manual, you indicated that Dominick Rocco issued a letter indicating they would consider higher ratios; is that what you said?  A. Yes. There is a letter from Dominick.  Q. Are you aware of DEP considering ratios	21:26:48	minute basin. It is, it is a small basin, and it could be enlarged, if needed, to help the loading ratio be more tolerable to the overall process. Sure, it could be done. But, again, it would not impact the overall site design of the project.  Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as you described, you haven't done that?  A. Sure. Rudimentary or conceptual, yes. Q. Talking about weighted averages, you did use a weighted average for runoff coefficients, that's correct?  A. We have used an average of the CNs. But in my engineering history and working with plenty of townships, the weighted average that's specified in the township is the averages on a TR-55 sheet that is, as I previously stated, would be for a specific, for
21:25:33 22 been rejected for our loading ratios. I don't 21:25:38 23 know if the loading ratios we previously had 21:25:40 24 were on the magnitude of 120. But, again, this 21:27:47 22 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, 21:27:47 22 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, 21:27:47 22 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, 21:27:47 22 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, 21:27:47 22 took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, 21:27:48 24 were on the magnitude of 120. But, again, this	21:24:39	DEP, I can only assume would have used both historical data as well as some theory. But I do not have that paper in front of me.  MR. CRAWFORD: Thank you. That's all I have.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.  Crawford. Mr. Thompson?  RECROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. THOMPSON:  Q. Following up or Mr. Crawford's last question concerning the DEP, I guess approval of loading ratios that are higher than that in the BMP manual, you indicated that Dominick Rocco issued a letter indicating they would consider higher ratios; is that what you said?  A. Yes. There is a letter from Dominick.  Q. Are you aware of DEP considering ratios that you propose for basin 2 at 120 or basin 4	21:26:48	minute basin. It is, it is a small basin, and it could be enlarged, if needed, to help the loading ratio be more tolerable to the overall process. Sure, it could be done. But, again, it would not impact the overall site design of the project.  Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as you described, you haven't done that?  A. Sure. Rudimentary or conceptual, yes. Q. Talking about weighted averages, you did use a weighted average for runoff coefficients, that's correct?  A. We have used an average of the CNs. But in my engineering history and working with plenty of townships, the weighted average that's specified in the township is the averages on a TR-55 sheet that is, as I previously stated, would be for a specific, for example, a one-acre lot would have a weighted
21:25:38 23 know if the loading ratios we previously had 21:27:50 23 the lawns shown on the plans, the woods shown 21:27:50 24 were on the magnitude of 120. But, again, this 21:27:50 24 on the plans, and came up with those numbers,	21:24:39	DEP, I can only assume would have used both historical data as well as some theory. But I do not have that paper in front of me.  MR. CRAWFORD: Thank you. That's all I have.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.  Crawford. Mr. Thompson?  RECROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. THOMPSON:  Q. Following up or Mr. Crawford's last question concerning the DEP, I guess approval of loading ratios that are higher than that in the BMP manual, you indicated that Dominick Rocco issued a letter indicating they would consider higher ratios; is that what you said?  A. Yes. There is a letter from Dominick.  Q. Are you aware of DEP considering ratios that you propose for basin 2 at 120 or basin 4 at 55?	21:26:48	minute basin. It is, it is a small basin, and it could be enlarged, if needed, to help the loading ratio be more tolerable to the overall process. Sure, it could be done. But, again, it would not impact the overall site design of the project.  Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as you described, you haven't done that?  A. Sure. Rudimentary or conceptual, yes. Q. Talking about weighted averages, you did use a weighted average for runoff coefficients, that's correct?  A. We have used an average of the CNs. But in my engineering history and working with plenty of townships, the weighted average that's specified in the township is the averages on a TR-55 sheet that is, as I previously stated, would be for a specific, for example, a one-acre lot would have a weighted average of a 70.
21:25:40 24 were on the magnitude of 120. But, again, this 21:27:53 24 on the plans, and came up with those numbers,	21:24:39	DEP, I can only assume would have used both historical data as well as some theory. But I do not have that paper in front of me.  MR. CRAWFORD: Thank you. That's all I have.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.  Crawford. Mr. Thompson?  RECROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. THOMPSON:  Q. Following up or Mr. Crawford's last question concerning the DEP, I guess approval of loading ratios that are higher than that in the BMP manual, you indicated that Dominick Rocco issued a letter indicating they would consider higher ratios; is that what you said?  A. Yes. There is a letter from Dominick.  Q. Are you aware of DEP considering ratios that you propose for basin 2 at 120 or basin 4 at 55?  A. We have not had any projects that have	21:26:48	minute basin. It is, it is a small basin, and it could be enlarged, if needed, to help the loading ratio be more tolerable to the overall process. Sure, it could be done. But, again, it would not impact the overall site design of the project.  Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as you described, you haven't done that?  A. Sure. Rudimentary or conceptual, yes. Q. Talking about weighted averages, you did use a weighted average for runoff coefficients, that's correct?  A. We have used an average of the CNs. But in my engineering history and working with plenty of townships, the weighted average that's specified in the township is the averages on a TR-55 sheet that is, as I previously stated, would be for a specific, for example, a one-acre lot would have a weighted average of a 70.  We did not do that. We actually
	21:24:39	DEP, I can only assume would have used both historical data as well as some theory. But I do not have that paper in front of me.  MR. CRAWFORD: Thank you. That's all I have.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.  Crawford. Mr. Thompson?  RECROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. THOMPSON:  Q. Following up or Mr. Crawford's last question concerning the DEP, I guess approval of loading ratios that are higher than that in the BMP manual, you indicated that Dominick Rocco issued a letter indicating they would consider higher ratios; is that what you said?  A. Yes. There is a letter from Dominick.  Q. Are you aware of DEP considering ratios that you propose for basin 2 at 120 or basin 4 at 55?  A. We have not had any projects that have been rejected for our loading ratios. I don't	21:26:48	minute basin. It is, it is a small basin, and it could be enlarged, if needed, to help the loading ratio be more tolerable to the overall process. Sure, it could be done. But, again, it would not impact the overall site design of the project.  Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as you described, you haven't done that?  A. Sure. Rudimentary or conceptual, yes. Q. Talking about weighted averages, you did use a weighted average for runoff coefficients, that's correct?  A. We have used an average of the CNs. But in my engineering history and working with plenty of townships, the weighted average that's specified in the township is the averages on a TR-55 sheet that is, as I previously stated, would be for a specific, for example, a one-acre lot would have a weighted average of a 70.  We did not do that. We actually took the impervious amounts shown on the plans,
15 of 01 Should	21:24:39	DEP, I can only assume would have used both historical data as well as some theory. But I do not have that paper in front of me.  MR. CRAWFORD: Thank you. That's all I have.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.  Crawford. Mr. Thompson?  RECROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. THOMPSON:  Q. Following up or Mr. Crawford's last question concerning the DEP, I guess approval of loading ratios that are higher than that in the BMP manual, you indicated that Dominick Rocco issued a letter indicating they would consider higher ratios; is that what you said?  A. Yes. There is a letter from Dominick.  Q. Are you aware of DEP considering ratios that you propose for basin 2 at 120 or basin 4 at 55?  A. We have not had any projects that have been rejected for our loading ratios. I don't know if the loading ratios we previously had	21:26:48	minute basin. It is, it is a small basin, and it could be enlarged, if needed, to help the loading ratio be more tolerable to the overall process. Sure, it could be done. But, again, it would not impact the overall site design of the project.  Q. Because it is a rudimentary design, as you described, you haven't done that?  A. Sure. Rudimentary or conceptual, yes. Q. Talking about weighted averages, you did use a weighted average for runoff coefficients, that's correct?  A. We have used an average of the CNs. But in my engineering history and working with plenty of townships, the weighted average that's specified in the township is the averages on a TR-55 sheet that is, as I previously stated, would be for a specific, for example, a one-acre lot would have a weighted average of a 70.  We did not do that. We actually took the impervious amounts shown on the plans, the lawns shown on the plans, the woods shown

		T	
1	1692 and then calculated the CN for each individual	21:30:09	1694  Q. Did you test at the actual basin
21:27:55 1			locations for infiltration?
21:27:59 2	drainage area.		
21:28:01 3	Q. You didn't average?	21:30:18 3	A. We have done preliminary type of, GTA
3 <b>4</b>	A. We did not do the weighted average as	21:30:21 4	has done preliminary testing at a time where
21:28:05 5	specified in portions of the TR-55 manual.	21:30:24 5	the basins or in certain locations they have,
21:28:11 6	Q. But you did do a weighted average?	21:30:29 6	during the layout, may have moved to a slightly
21:28:13 7	A. We did an average of the CNs, but that	21:30:34 7	different location. But the testing is in the
21:28:16	has been the design, and shown in TR-55 is a	21:30:36	general, general vicinity of the basins, as
21:28:20	way to do it. The weighted average that is	21:30:40	well as the testing on the site itself shows
21:28:23 10	shown in TR-55 again is the, for an example, a	21:30:43 10	overall that there is ample infiltration for
21:28:27 11	one-acre lot, you could just go right to the	21:30:46 11	this site.
21:28:32 12	stance and say this is a one-acre lot, or we	21:30:46 12	Q. So it is in the general vicinity of the
21:28:35 13	are going to use a CN of 70, and that's what is	21:30:49 13	basin but not the actual basin location itself;
21:28:38 14	shown in the TR-55 manual.	21:30:51 14	is that fair?
21:28:39 15	That has no bearing on the	21:30:52 15	A. Yes. So when we do preliminary site
21:28:41 16	underlying amount of impervious woods, lawn	21:30:54 16	design we will need, if we need to supplement
21:28:44 17	that's on that lot. That is a weighted	21:30:58 17	that data, we will do so.
21:28:46 18	average. Because that states empirical data to	21:31:00 18	Q. Did you do any testing for basins 9 and
21:28:49 19	make that assumption. We would take actual	21:31:03 19	10? Do you know?
21:28:51 20	data shown on the study to make the CN numbers	21:31:07 20	A. We did basins 9 and 10. Again, we used
21:28:54 21	for the study.	21:31:12 21	the, as the site itself has shown, the general
21:28:54 <b>22</b>	Q. Are you aware of the provision in	21:31:17 22	compliance of infiltration. We have used the
21:28:58 23	Section 305.I and 309.G of the Stormwater	21:31:22 23	calculation of, that the site, could infiltrate
02 24	Management Ordinance stating weighted averaging	21:31:28 24	the site, could infiltrate the required
	1693		1695
21:29:04 1	of runoff coefficients shall not be used for	21:31:31 1	amounts.
21:29:07	manual computation for input data for water	21:31:31 2	Q. I'm sorry, was that a yes or a no?
21:29:10 3	quality and runoff calculations? Are you aware	21:31:34 3	A. Yes, we have taken the
21:29:12 4	of that have provision?	21:31:35 4	Q. Yes, you did?
21:29:13 5	Λ Voc		A. Yes, we did. We did, the data that GTA
21:29:13	A. Yes.	21:31:36 5	
21:29:13	<b>Q.</b> Is it your testimony that you comply	21:31:40 6	provided shows testing throughout the site, and
21:29:15 7	<b>Q.</b> Is it your testimony that you comply with that provision?	21:31:40 <b>6</b> 21:31:43 <b>7</b>	provided shows testing throughout the site, and we have used that data to determine that there
21:29:15 <b>7</b> 21:29:17 <b>8</b>	<ul><li>Q. Is it your testimony that you comply with that provision?</li><li>A. Correct. We have not done the weighted</li></ul>	21:31:40 <b>6</b> 21:31:43 <b>7</b> 21:31:47 <b>8</b>	provided shows testing throughout the site, and we have used that data to determine that there is infiltration in those locations. Is it at
21:29:15 <b>7</b> 21:29:17 <b>8</b> 21:29:18 <b>9</b>	<ul> <li>Q. Is it your testimony that you comply with that provision?</li> <li>A. Correct. We have not done the weighted averages as shown in the TR-55 manual for,</li> </ul>	21:31:40 <b>6</b> 21:31:43 <b>7</b> 21:31:47 <b>8</b> 21:31:50 <b>9</b>	provided shows testing throughout the site, and we have used that data to determine that there is infiltration in those locations. Is it at the basin itself? No.
21:29:15 <b>7</b> 21:29:17 <b>8</b> 21:29:18 <b>9</b> 21:29:21 <b>10</b>	Q. Is it your testimony that you comply with that provision?  A. Correct. We have not done the weighted averages as shown in the TR-55 manual for, again, for an example of one acre or half of an	21:31:40 6 21:31:43 7 21:31:47 8 21:31:50 9 21:31:51 10	provided shows testing throughout the site, and we have used that data to determine that there is infiltration in those locations. Is it at the basin itself? No.  Q. But did you do testing?
21:29:15 <b>7</b> 21:29:17 <b>8</b> 21:29:18 <b>9</b> 21:29:21 <b>10</b> 21:29:25 <b>11</b>	Q. Is it your testimony that you comply with that provision?  A. Correct. We have not done the weighted averages as shown in the TR-55 manual for, again, for an example of one acre or half of an acre could be as a 70, or weighted average of	21:31:40 6 21:31:43 7 21:31:47 8 21:31:50 9 21:31:51 10 21:31:53 11	provided shows testing throughout the site, and we have used that data to determine that there is infiltration in those locations. Is it at the basin itself? No.  Q. But did you do testing?  A. GTA did testing. I did not, no.
21:29:15	Q. Is it your testimony that you comply with that provision?  A. Correct. We have not done the weighted averages as shown in the TR-55 manual for, again, for an example of one acre or half of an acre could be as a 70, or weighted average of 70 or a 60, and, again, has no bearing on the	21:31:40 6 21:31:43 7 21:31:47 8 21:31:50 9 21:31:51 10 21:31:53 11 21:31:56 12	provided shows testing throughout the site, and we have used that data to determine that there is infiltration in those locations. Is it at the basin itself? No.  Q. But did you do testing?  A. GTA did testing. I did not, no. Q. You did not. Were you aware that
21:29:15	Q. Is it your testimony that you comply with that provision?  A. Correct. We have not done the weighted averages as shown in the TR-55 manual for, again, for an example of one acre or half of an acre could be as a 70, or weighted average of 70 or a 60, and, again, has no bearing on the underlying amount, just states it is a one-acre	21:31:40 6 21:31:43 7 21:31:47 8 21:31:50 9 21:31:51 10 21:31:53 11 21:31:56 12 21:31:57 13	provided shows testing throughout the site, and we have used that data to determine that there is infiltration in those locations. Is it at the basin itself? No.  Q. But did you do testing?  A. GTA did testing. I did not, no. Q. You did not. Were you aware that basins 9 and 10 are in areas of seasonal high
21:29:15	Q. Is it your testimony that you comply with that provision?  A. Correct. We have not done the weighted averages as shown in the TR-55 manual for, again, for an example of one acre or half of an acre could be as a 70, or weighted average of 70 or a 60, and, again, has no bearing on the underlying amount, just states it is a one-acre lot. We don't know what it is. We did not do	21:31:40 6 21:31:43 7 21:31:47 8 21:31:50 9 21:31:51 10 21:31:53 11 21:31:56 12 21:31:57 13 21:32:00 14	provided shows testing throughout the site, and we have used that data to determine that there is infiltration in those locations. Is it at the basin itself? No.  Q. But did you do testing?  A. GTA did testing. I did not, no. Q. You did not. Were you aware that basins 9 and 10 are in areas of seasonal high water table soils?
21:29:15	Q. Is it your testimony that you comply with that provision?  A. Correct. We have not done the weighted averages as shown in the TR-55 manual for, again, for an example of one acre or half of an acre could be as a 70, or weighted average of 70 or a 60, and, again, has no bearing on the underlying amount, just states it is a one-acre lot. We don't know what it is. We did not do that, correct.	21:31:40 6 21:31:43 7 21:31:47 8 21:31:50 9 21:31:51 10 21:31:53 11 21:31:56 12 21:31:57 13 21:32:00 14 21:32:01 15	provided shows testing throughout the site, and we have used that data to determine that there is infiltration in those locations. Is it at the basin itself? No.  Q. But did you do testing?  A. GTA did testing. I did not, no. Q. You did not. Were you aware that basins 9 and 10 are in areas of seasonal high water table soils?  A. They are in the vicinity, but they are
21:29:15	Q. Is it your testimony that you comply with that provision?  A. Correct. We have not done the weighted averages as shown in the TR-55 manual for, again, for an example of one acre or half of an acre could be as a 70, or weighted average of 70 or a 60, and, again, has no bearing on the underlying amount, just states it is a one-acre lot. We don't know what it is. We did not do that, correct.  Q. You are saying that because you can do	21:31:40 6 21:31:43 7 21:31:47 8 21:31:50 9 21:31:51 10 21:31:53 11 21:31:56 12 21:31:57 13 21:32:00 14 21:32:01 15 21:32:04 16	provided shows testing throughout the site, and we have used that data to determine that there is infiltration in those locations. Is it at the basin itself? No.  Q. But did you do testing?  A. GTA did testing. I did not, no. Q. You did not. Were you aware that basins 9 and 10 are in areas of seasonal high water table soils?  A. They are in the vicinity, but they are up slope of that, of that location on the
21:29:15	Q. Is it your testimony that you comply with that provision?  A. Correct. We have not done the weighted averages as shown in the TR-55 manual for, again, for an example of one acre or half of an acre could be as a 70, or weighted average of 70 or a 60, and, again, has no bearing on the underlying amount, just states it is a one-acre lot. We don't know what it is. We did not do that, correct.  Q. You are saying that because you can do a weighted average in TR-55? Is that correct?	21:31:40 6 21:31:43 7 21:31:47 8 21:31:50 9 21:31:51 10 21:31:56 12 21:31:57 13 21:32:00 14 21:32:01 15 21:32:04 16 21:32:06 17	provided shows testing throughout the site, and we have used that data to determine that there is infiltration in those locations. Is it at the basin itself? No.  Q. But did you do testing?  A. GTA did testing. I did not, no. Q. You did not. Were you aware that basins 9 and 10 are in areas of seasonal high water table soils?  A. They are in the vicinity, but they are up slope of that, of that location on the basins, and not in the seasonal high water
21:29:15	Q. Is it your testimony that you comply with that provision?  A. Correct. We have not done the weighted averages as shown in the TR-55 manual for, again, for an example of one acre or half of an acre could be as a 70, or weighted average of 70 or a 60, and, again, has no bearing on the underlying amount, just states it is a one-acre lot. We don't know what it is. We did not do that, correct.  Q. You are saying that because you can do a weighted average in TR-55? Is that correct? Is that what you are saying? I'm looking at	21:31:40 6 21:31:43 7 21:31:47 8 21:31:50 9 21:31:51 10 21:31:53 11 21:31:56 12 21:31:57 13 21:32:00 14 21:32:01 15 21:32:04 16 21:32:06 17 21:32:10 18	provided shows testing throughout the site, and we have used that data to determine that there is infiltration in those locations. Is it at the basin itself? No.  Q. But did you do testing?  A. GTA did testing. I did not, no. Q. You did not. Were you aware that basins 9 and 10 are in areas of seasonal high water table soils?  A. They are in the vicinity, but they are up slope of that, of that location on the basins, and not in the seasonal high water table themselves. There is they are up
21:29:15	Q. Is it your testimony that you comply with that provision?  A. Correct. We have not done the weighted averages as shown in the TR-55 manual for, again, for an example of one acre or half of an acre could be as a 70, or weighted average of 70 or a 60, and, again, has no bearing on the underlying amount, just states it is a one-acre lot. We don't know what it is. We did not do that, correct.  Q. You are saying that because you can do a weighted average in TR-55? Is that correct? Is that what you are saying? I'm looking at the report here. It says they are weighted	21:31:40 6 21:31:43 7 21:31:47 8 21:31:50 9 21:31:51 10 21:31:51 11 21:31:56 12 21:31:57 13 21:32:00 14 21:32:01 15 21:32:04 16 21:32:06 17 21:32:10 18 21:32:15 19	provided shows testing throughout the site, and we have used that data to determine that there is infiltration in those locations. Is it at the basin itself? No.  Q. But did you do testing?  A. GTA did testing. I did not, no. Q. You did not. Were you aware that basins 9 and 10 are in areas of seasonal high water table soils?  A. They are in the vicinity, but they are up slope of that, of that location on the basins, and not in the seasonal high water table themselves. There is they are up slope of those, several feet, and the testing
21:29:15	Q. Is it your testimony that you comply with that provision?  A. Correct. We have not done the weighted averages as shown in the TR-55 manual for, again, for an example of one acre or half of an acre could be as a 70, or weighted average of 70 or a 60, and, again, has no bearing on the underlying amount, just states it is a one-acre lot. We don't know what it is. We did not do that, correct.  Q. You are saying that because you can do a weighted average in TR-55? Is that correct? Is that what you are saying? I'm looking at the report here. It says they are weighted averages.	21:31:40 6 21:31:43 7 21:31:47 8 21:31:50 9 21:31:51 10 21:31:53 11 21:31:56 12 21:31:57 13 21:32:00 14 21:32:01 15 21:32:01 15 21:32:01 17 21:32:01 18 21:32:15 19 21:32:21 20	provided shows testing throughout the site, and we have used that data to determine that there is infiltration in those locations. Is it at the basin itself? No.  Q. But did you do testing?  A. GTA did testing. I did not, no. Q. You did not. Were you aware that basins 9 and 10 are in areas of seasonal high water table soils?  A. They are in the vicinity, but they are up slope of that, of that location on the basins, and not in the seasonal high water table themselves. There is they are up slope of those, several feet, and the testing would have to comply with the DEP requirements
21:29:15	Q. Is it your testimony that you comply with that provision?  A. Correct. We have not done the weighted averages as shown in the TR-55 manual for, again, for an example of one acre or half of an acre could be as a 70, or weighted average of 70 or a 60, and, again, has no bearing on the underlying amount, just states it is a one-acre lot. We don't know what it is. We did not do that, correct.  Q. You are saying that because you can do a weighted average in TR-55? Is that correct? Is that what you are saying? I'm looking at the report here. It says they are weighted averages.  A. But it is the, it is not using the	21:31:40 6 21:31:43 7 21:31:47 8 21:31:50 9 21:31:51 10 21:31:51 11 21:31:56 12 21:31:57 13 21:32:00 14 21:32:01 15 21:32:04 16 21:32:04 16 21:32:05 17 21:32:15 19 21:32:15 19 21:32:21 20 21:32:23 21	provided shows testing throughout the site, and we have used that data to determine that there is infiltration in those locations. Is it at the basin itself? No.  Q. But did you do testing?  A. GTA did testing. I did not, no. Q. You did not. Were you aware that basins 9 and 10 are in areas of seasonal high water table soils?  A. They are in the vicinity, but they are up slope of that, of that location on the basins, and not in the seasonal high water table themselves. There is they are up slope of those, several feet, and the testing would have to comply with the DEP requirements of two-foot of separation.
21:29:15	Q. Is it your testimony that you comply with that provision?  A. Correct. We have not done the weighted averages as shown in the TR-55 manual for, again, for an example of one acre or half of an acre could be as a 70, or weighted average of 70 or a 60, and, again, has no bearing on the underlying amount, just states it is a one-acre lot. We don't know what it is. We did not do that, correct.  Q. You are saying that because you can do a weighted average in TR-55? Is that correct? Is that what you are saying? I'm looking at the report here. It says they are weighted averages.  A. But it is the, it is not using the weighted averages shown the TR-55 manual. We	21:31:40 6 21:31:43 7 21:31:47 8 21:31:50 9 21:31:51 10 21:31:53 11 21:31:56 12 21:31:57 13 21:32:00 14 21:32:01 15 21:32:01 18 21:32:10 18 21:32:12 20 21:32:22 21 21:32:37 22	provided shows testing throughout the site, and we have used that data to determine that there is infiltration in those locations. Is it at the basin itself? No.  Q. But did you do testing?  A. GTA did testing. I did not, no. Q. You did not. Were you aware that basins 9 and 10 are in areas of seasonal high water table soils?  A. They are in the vicinity, but they are up slope of that, of that location on the basins, and not in the seasonal high water table themselves. There is they are up slope of those, several feet, and the testing would have to comply with the DEP requirements of two-foot of separation.  Q. I think you indicated that you protect
21:29:15	Q. Is it your testimony that you comply with that provision?  A. Correct. We have not done the weighted averages as shown in the TR-55 manual for, again, for an example of one acre or half of an acre could be as a 70, or weighted average of 70 or a 60, and, again, has no bearing on the underlying amount, just states it is a one-acre lot. We don't know what it is. We did not do that, correct.  Q. You are saying that because you can do a weighted average in TR-55? Is that correct? Is that what you are saying? I'm looking at the report here. It says they are weighted averages.  A. But it is the, it is not using the	21:31:40 6 21:31:43 7 21:31:47 8 21:31:50 9 21:31:51 10 21:31:51 11 21:31:56 12 21:31:57 13 21:32:00 14 21:32:01 15 21:32:04 16 21:32:04 16 21:32:05 17 21:32:15 19 21:32:15 19 21:32:21 20 21:32:23 21	provided shows testing throughout the site, and we have used that data to determine that there is infiltration in those locations. Is it at the basin itself? No.  Q. But did you do testing?  A. GTA did testing. I did not, no. Q. You did not. Were you aware that basins 9 and 10 are in areas of seasonal high water table soils?  A. They are in the vicinity, but they are up slope of that, of that location on the basins, and not in the seasonal high water table themselves. There is — they are up slope of those, several feet, and the testing would have to comply with the DEP requirements of two-foot of separation.

	1696		1698
21:32:45 1	A. We protected all the wetlands except	21:34:36 1	MS. KRAMER: No questions.
21:32:49 2	for the spring crossings for the roads, yes.	21:34:38 2	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Skros?
21:32:51 3	And our basins will infiltrate the amount, as	21:34:38 3	MR. SKROS: No questions.
6 4	well as the runoff from the perimeter of the	21:34:40 4	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. or Mrs.
21:33:00 5	streams, to help keep the perimeter of	21:34:42 5	McFadden?
21:33:03 6	areas, whether it is a stream or the wetlands,	21:34:43 6	MR. MCFADDEN: No questions.
21:33:05 7	to help keep the wetlands wet.	21:34:43 7	MR. MCKENNA: Ms. Weller?
21:33:07	Q. But if there is no recharge of these	21:34:45	MS. WELLER: No questions.
21:33:10	rudimentary basin designs, the base flow be	21:34:46 9	MR. MCKENNA: Board?
21:33:14 10	diminished and that would potentially harm the	21:34:50 10	MR. HAWS: I have a couple
21:33:16 11	wetland?	21:34:51 11	questions. I think you previously maybe
21:33:16 12	A. The rudimentary or conceptual design	21:34:56 12	answered one of the attorneys, but you said
21:33:19 13	shows that we will comply with the requirement,	21:35:00 13	that not all of the perc tests were done in the
21:33:22 14	as well as the plan, we would go through	21:35:03 14	basin vicinity; is that correct?
21:33:27 15	preliminary plans, that additional testing we	21:35:05 15	THE WITNESS: Not all the perc
21:33:29 16	will need to adhere to the DEP requirements of	21:35:09 16	tests were done at the basin location itself.
21:33:36 17	the difference between any limiting zones and	21:35:11 17	MR. HAWS: So is that standard
21:33:38 18	the infiltration properties.	21:35:14 18	engineering practices, to actually test for, if
21:33:42 19	MR. THOMPSON: That's all I have.	21:35:17 19	you are doing an infiltration basin, to
21:33:49 20	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. DuFault, any	21:35:20 20	actually have testing areas where the basins
21:33:50 21	questions?	21:35:24 21	aren't going to be?
21:33:51 22	MR. DUFAULT: No questions at	21:35:25 22	THE WITNESS: At conceptual
21:33:52 23	this time.	21:35:27 23	design, no, we do not need to have testing at
<sup>12</sup> 24	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Bevilacqua?	21:35:31 24	that basin location.
	1697	_	1699
21:33:53	MR. BEVILACQUA: No questions.	21:35:32 1	What we do have, and what the
21:33:54	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon?	21:35:33 2	testing shows, is the site in general is able
21:33:56	DR. SCANLON: No questions.	21:35:36 3	to have infiltration on the site.
21:33:57	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. McFalls?	21:35:38 4	MR. HAWS: That wasn't my
21:33:58 5	MR. MCFALLS: No.	21:35:39 5	question. My question was: Is that good
21:33:59 6	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Feryo?	21:35:41 6	engineering standard?
21:34:01 7	MR. FERYO: No, thank you.	21:35:43 7	THE WITNESS: For conceptual
21:34:02	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Spackman?	21:35:45 8	plan, sure.  MR. HAWS: Okay. Help me
21:34:03 9	MR. SPACKMAN: None, thank you.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Mammucari? Mr.	21:35:46 9	understand these loading ratios, because you
21:34:04 10	Mammucari is now gone.	21:35:49 10	seem to talk a little bit around that. You
21:34:08 11	Mr. Jones?	21:35:54 12	were saying that five, it is not a regulation,
21:34:10 12	MR. JONES: No questions.	21:35:57 13	it is a guidance, and that five is what is
21:34:14 14	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Rendemonti?	21:36:02 14	known, but it has been able to go higher.
21:34:17 15	MR. RENDEMONTI: No. Thank you.	21:36:05 15	On any specific project that you
21:34:18 16	MR. MCKENNA: Ms. Corcoran?	21:36:06 16	have worked on, what is the maximum number of a
21:34:19 17	MS. CORCORAN: No. Thank you.	21:36:09 17	ratio where you were able to get approved by
21:34:20 18	MR. MCKENNA: Ms. Murnane?	21:36:12 18	the DEP?
21:34:25 19	MS. MURNANE: No questions.	21:36:13 19	THE WITNESS: Again, as I
à 20	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Daull?	21:36:15 20	previously stated to one of the attorneys, that
21:34:29 21	MR. DAULL: No questions.	21:36:18 21	I believe it is in the realm of 30 to 40 to
21:34:31 22	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Pavelchek?	21:36:21 22	one.
	MR. PAVELCHEK: No questions.	21:36:23 23	MR. HAWS: And you are okay with
21:34:33 23			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1700 1702 1 THE WITNESS: At the conceptual to 120, as we have had when the design 1 21:36:29 2 stage I definitely see no problems with that. 2 ultimately is done. The basin has been sized 21:38:43 21:36:31 3 MR. HAWS: I'm sorry, I didn't 3 and correct size, sized correctly to handle the 21:38:46 21:36:34 understand that. Because if you are saying volume and the rate for the DEP and the 4 21:38:51 that having something approved by the DEP, the 5 township requirements. 5 21:36:37 21:38:53 6 highest you've had was 30 to 35, and even if it 6 MR. HAWS: Okay. 21:38:55 21:36:41 7 is conceptual or rudimentary, whatever word you 7 THE WITNESS: As are all these 21:38:55 8 want to use, something that is three to four 8 basins. 21:36:47 21:38:56 9 times greater than what you have ever been able 9 MR. HAWS: Moving on to talk 21:38:57 21:36:50 to have approved by a regulating body, how does 21:39:00 10 about the Chester Creek remediation and, what 10 that even fall in the realm of conceptual? 21:36:56 11 21:39:05 11 is it, 167, Act 167 that is needed. Are you 21:37:00 12 THE WITNESS: Conceptually that 21:39:11 12 saving that Basin A-4 would be the one that is, that is what the numbers show. 21:39:17 13 would be mitigating the waters that go to the 13 21:37:03 21:37:05 14 MR. HAWS: I understand that. 21:39:20 14 Chester Creek? 21:37:06 15 THE WITNESS: If and when, when 21:39:21 15 THE WITNESS: Chester Creek, I we go to the DEP, and they believe that the would say a small portion of the townhomes 16 21:39:22 16 21:37:07 21:37:10 17 loading ratio is in the higher amount than they 21:39:25 17 section located along Route 202, Basin A-4 I 21:37:14 18 are required, then they are comfortable with 21:39:30 18 believe was ultimately more to the west, which sizing, with sizing this, or we may have to is to the Radley Run. The lay of the land and 21:37:17 19 21:39:34 19 21:37:20 20 adjust the basin to comply with the DEP 21:39:40 20 the grading shows, and the calculations show, 21:37:23 21 requirements and get the DEP to seek to issue 21:39:43 21 that the water leaving the site and going to 21:37:29 22 the permit. 21:39:47 22 the culvert underneath Route 202 does have a 21:39:50 23 21:37:30 23 Again, the water that's getting reduction necessary of 50 percent for all those 21:39:55 24 12 24 there is able to infiltrate in the three-day clean water requirements. 1701 1703 Basin A-4, to answer your requirement per DEP. So there will be no water 1 21:39:56 21:37:35 there for an extended period of time. And 2 question, basin A-4 I believe flows towards the 2 21:37:40 21:39:58 that's ultimately what the loading ratio also west, towards the Radley Run. 21:40:01 21:37:42 helps to comply with, is that you are able to 4 MR. HAWS: Which basin addresses 21:40:06 21:37:45 infiltrate within those, that 72-hour 5 the Chester Creek? 21:40:07 21:37:51 timeframe, so that there is not water standing 6 THE WITNESS: There are no 6 21:37:54 21:40:08 there for an extended period of time. 7 basins, I believe there are -- there are no 7 21:40:09 21:37:56 And that's what the calculations R basins that flow that, that capture the water 8 21:40:10 21:37:59 show, that the water will be gone within that 9 going towards the Chester Creek. 9 21:40:13 21:38:02 21:40:16 10 But the design of the site is 10 three days. 21:38:05 MR. HAWS: If I understand 21:40:18 11 such that the 50 percent reduction of the 11 21:38:07 correctly, what you are saying is that if you 21:40:22 12 requirements to the Chester Creek are met. 21:38:08 12 submitted this plan tomorrow to DEP, with a MR. HAWS: By a culvert, is what 21:40:25 13 13 21:38:11 14 loading ratio of 120, that it should be 21:40:28 14 you are saying? 15 approved because within three days the water 21:40:28 15 THE WITNESS: The water flowing 21:38:17 wouldn't be standing there? towards the culvert has been reduced from the 16 21:40:29 16 21:38:20 21:38:21 17 THE WITNESS: Correct. 21:40:33 17 50 percent for each individual storm. So the 21:38:22 18 MR. HAWS: But your prior 21:40:36 18 two-year post-development flow off of the site 21:38:23 19 testimony says that you have never submitted 21:40:41 19 towards the culvert is reduced the 50 percent. anything to the DEP that was greater than 30 to 21:40:45 20 MR. HAWS: What BMPs did you put 20 21:38:29 21 35. So now you are telling me four fold 21:40:47 21 in place to get this 50 percent reduction? 21:38:32 22 greater and they will approve that? 21:40:49 22 THE WITNESS: The BMPs on the 21:40:52 23 21:38:34 23 THE WITNESS: That is, that is my site, there is a lawn on the site, there is roof-top disconnection, and there would be 21:38:37 24 stance on that, yes. We have not needed to go 21:40:55 24

	1704		1706
1	trees in the landscaping buffer or road which	4	
21:40:58 1	will help to uptake and to create the CN perc	21:43:57 1	basin is shown to where the perc test is done, so if I hear you correctly, that that perc test
21:41:04 2	numbers required to meet this reduction.	21:44:01 2	is not valid?
21:41:09 3	If and when additional BMPs are	21:44:05 4	THE WITNESS: The perc test is
21:41:15 5	required or needed, when the calculations are	21:44:09 5	valid because it would show that there is
21:41:19 6	fully designed in the preliminary plan, they	21:44:10 6	infiltration at that elevation.
21:41:22 7	will be implemented as such. But at this time	21:44:14 7	MR. HAWS: At that elevation?
21:41:25	we have met the requirements of the reduction	21:44:15	THE WITNESS: Correct.
21:41:29 9	towards the Chester Creek of 50 percent.	21:44:16	MR. HAWS: But based on your
21:41:38 10	MR. HAWS: From your general	21:44:17 10	conceptual or rudimentary stormwater management
21:41:43 11	engineering standpoint, by making basins	21:44:21 11	plan, the basin is 10 feet lower than where the
21:41:49 12	larger, steeper slopes, does disturbing the	21:44:23 12	perc test was done.
21:41:55 13	earth have an impact on potential infiltration	21:44:25 13	THE WITNESS: And if that basin,
21:41:59 14	rates into various basins? And would you	21:44:26 14	if that ten foot, for example, and you go down
21:42:03 15	recommend retesting those after the designs	21:44:29 15	to the basin, which is ten foot lower, that
21:42:07 16	have been made to make sure that they meet the	21:44:32 16	does not mean that the water is popping out of
21:42:09 17	standards?	21:44:35 17	the ground at that location. The water, the
21:42:10 18	THE WITNESS: We have done that	21:44:37 18	groundwater
21:42:14 19	specific stance in one or two instances, but	21:44:38 19	MR. HAWS: I'm sorry, not to
21:42:16 20	for a majority of the projects, the testing	21:44:40 20	interrupt. That's not the question.
21:42:19 21	that is done by the soils scientist at the	21:44:41 21	THE WITNESS: Sure.
21:42:22 22	elevation that's requested and shown on the	21:44:41 22	MR. HAWS: I asked if you do a
21:42:25 23	design, to show the two-foot separation, once	21:44:43 23	perc test at a certain elevation and if the
28 24	that is, that is met in the field, the basins,	21:44:46 24	basin is at a different elevation, would you be
,	1705		1707
21:42:36		21:44:49 1	1707 required to retest that to show the perc test,
21:42:36 <b>1</b> 21:42:38 <b>2</b>	1705	21:44:49 <b>1</b> 21:44:52 <b>2</b>	
	1705 they function correctly, and with operation and		required to retest that to show the perc test,
21:42:38 2	1705 they function correctly, and with operation and maintenance, as long as there is no additional,	21:44:52 2	required to retest that to show the perc test, and you had answered yes, and now it seems like
21:42:38 <b>2</b> 21:42:43 <b>3</b>	they function correctly, and with operation and maintenance, as long as there is no additional, additional remediation needed, if the water	21:44:52 2	required to retest that to show the perc test, and you had answered yes, and now it seems like that's changing. So it is a very simple
21:42:38 <b>2</b> 21:42:43 <b>3</b> 21:42:48 <b>4</b>	they function correctly, and with operation and maintenance, as long as there is no additional, additional remediation needed, if the water isn't infiltrating into the ground, then the	21:44:52 <b>2</b> 21:44:55 <b>3</b> 21:44:58 <b>4</b>	required to retest that to show the perc test, and you had answered yes, and now it seems like that's changing. So it is a very simple question. If you are doing a perc test at an
21:42:38 <b>2</b> 21:42:43 <b>3</b> 21:42:48 <b>4</b> 21:42:53 <b>5</b>	they function correctly, and with operation and maintenance, as long as there is no additional, additional remediation needed, if the water isn't infiltrating into the ground, then the testing that's done by the soil scientist is	21:44:52 <b>2</b> 21:44:55 <b>3</b> 21:44:56 <b>4</b> 21:45:01 <b>5</b>	required to retest that to show the perc test, and you had answered yes, and now it seems like that's changing. So it is a very simple question. If you are doing a perc test at an elevation X, and the basin is at an elevation
21:42:38	they function correctly, and with operation and maintenance, as long as there is no additional, additional remediation needed, if the water isn't infiltrating into the ground, then the testing that's done by the soil scientist is able to meet the rates.	21:44:52 <b>2</b> 21:44:55 <b>3</b> 21:44:58 <b>4</b> 21:45:01 <b>5</b> 21:45:05 <b>6</b>	required to retest that to show the perc test, and you had answered yes, and now it seems like that's changing. So it is a very simple question. If you are doing a perc test at an elevation X, and the basin is at an elevation Y, that is drastically different, but you are
21:42:38	they function correctly, and with operation and maintenance, as long as there is no additional, additional remediation needed, if the water isn't infiltrating into the ground, then the testing that's done by the soil scientist is able to meet the rates.  MR. HAWS: Talk to me about	21:44:52 <b>2</b> 21:44:55 <b>3</b> 21:44:56 <b>4</b> 21:45:01 <b>5</b> 21:45:05 <b>6</b> 21:45:08 <b>7</b>	required to retest that to show the perc test, and you had answered yes, and now it seems like that's changing. So it is a very simple question. If you are doing a perc test at an elevation X, and the basin is at an elevation Y, that is drastically different, but you are saying that it's, at this conceptual stage that
21:42:38	they function correctly, and with operation and maintenance, as long as there is no additional, additional remediation needed, if the water isn't infiltrating into the ground, then the testing that's done by the soil scientist is able to meet the rates.  MR. HAWS: Talk to me about elevation, back to perc testing. Does the	21:44:52 <b>2</b> 21:44:55 <b>3</b> 21:44:56 <b>4</b> 21:45:01 <b>5</b> 21:45:05 <b>6</b> 21:45:08 <b>7</b> 21:45:12 <b>8</b>	required to retest that to show the perc test, and you had answered yes, and now it seems like that's changing. So it is a very simple question. If you are doing a perc test at an elevation X, and the basin is at an elevation Y, that is drastically different, but you are saying that it's, at this conceptual stage that it is okay, but previously you just said it is
21:42:38	they function correctly, and with operation and maintenance, as long as there is no additional, additional remediation needed, if the water isn't infiltrating into the ground, then the testing that's done by the soil scientist is able to meet the rates.  MR. HAWS: Talk to me about elevation, back to perc testing. Does the elevation of the perc test have any impact on	21:44:52 <b>2</b> 21:44:55 <b>3</b> 21:44:56 <b>4</b> 21:45:01 <b>5</b> 21:45:05 <b>6</b> 21:45:08 <b>7</b> 21:45:16 <b>9</b>	required to retest that to show the perc test, and you had answered yes, and now it seems like that's changing. So it is a very simple question. If you are doing a perc test at an elevation X, and the basin is at an elevation Y, that is drastically different, but you are saying that it's, at this conceptual stage that it is okay, but previously you just said it is not. So I'm trying to understand. The two are
21:42:38	they function correctly, and with operation and maintenance, as long as there is no additional, additional remediation needed, if the water isn't infiltrating into the ground, then the testing that's done by the soil scientist is able to meet the rates.  MR. HAWS: Talk to me about elevation, back to perc testing. Does the elevation of the perc test have any impact on the outcome of the results?	21:44:52 <b>2</b> 21:44:55 <b>3</b> 21:44:58 <b>4</b> 21:45:01 <b>5</b> 21:45:05 <b>6</b> 21:45:08 <b>7</b> 21:45:12 <b>8</b> 21:45:16 <b>9</b> 21:45:21 <b>10</b>	required to retest that to show the perc test, and you had answered yes, and now it seems like that's changing. So it is a very simple question. If you are doing a perc test at an elevation X, and the basin is at an elevation Y, that is drastically different, but you are saying that it's, at this conceptual stage that it is okay, but previously you just said it is not. So I'm trying to understand. The two are not matching up.
21:42:38	they function correctly, and with operation and maintenance, as long as there is no additional, additional remediation needed, if the water isn't infiltrating into the ground, then the testing that's done by the soil scientist is able to meet the rates.  MR. HAWS: Talk to me about elevation, back to perc testing. Does the elevation of the perc test have any impact on the outcome of the results?  THE WITNESS: The elevation of	21:44:52	required to retest that to show the perc test, and you had answered yes, and now it seems like that's changing. So it is a very simple question. If you are doing a perc test at an elevation X, and the basin is at an elevation Y, that is drastically different, but you are saying that it's, at this conceptual stage that it is okay, but previously you just said it is not. So I'm trying to understand. The two are not matching up.  THE WITNESS: Conceptually,
21:42:38	they function correctly, and with operation and maintenance, as long as there is no additional, additional remediation needed, if the water isn't infiltrating into the ground, then the testing that's done by the soil scientist is able to meet the rates.  MR. HAWS: Talk to me about elevation, back to perc testing. Does the elevation of the perc test have any impact on the outcome of the results?  THE WITNESS: The elevation of the perc test, whatever that elevation is, is	21:44:52	required to retest that to show the perc test, and you had answered yes, and now it seems like that's changing. So it is a very simple question. If you are doing a perc test at an elevation X, and the basin is at an elevation Y, that is drastically different, but you are saying that it's, at this conceptual stage that it is okay, but previously you just said it is not. So I'm trying to understand. The two are not matching up.  THE WITNESS: Conceptually, during the conceptual plan it is fine. During
21:42:38	they function correctly, and with operation and maintenance, as long as there is no additional, additional remediation needed, if the water isn't infiltrating into the ground, then the testing that's done by the soil scientist is able to meet the rates.  MR. HAWS: Talk to me about elevation, back to perc testing. Does the elevation of the perc test have any impact on the outcome of the results?  THE WITNESS: The elevation of the perc test, whatever that elevation is, is the elevation that we will have to design our	21:44:52	required to retest that to show the perc test, and you had answered yes, and now it seems like that's changing. So it is a very simple question. If you are doing a perc test at an elevation X, and the basin is at an elevation Y, that is drastically different, but you are saying that it's, at this conceptual stage that it is okay, but previously you just said it is not. So I'm trying to understand. The two are not matching up.  THE WITNESS: Conceptually, during the conceptual plan it is fine. During the preliminary plan we would need to retest at
21:42:38	they function correctly, and with operation and maintenance, as long as there is no additional, additional remediation needed, if the water isn't infiltrating into the ground, then the testing that's done by the soil scientist is able to meet the rates.  MR. HAWS: Talk to me about elevation, back to perc testing. Does the elevation of the perc test have any impact on the outcome of the results?  THE WITNESS: The elevation of the perc test, whatever that elevation is, is the elevation that we will have to design our basins to, and would need to have the two-foot	21:44:52	required to retest that to show the perc test, and you had answered yes, and now it seems like that's changing. So it is a very simple question. If you are doing a perc test at an elevation X, and the basin is at an elevation Y, that is drastically different, but you are saying that it's, at this conceptual stage that it is okay, but previously you just said it is not. So I'm trying to understand. The two are not matching up.  THE WITNESS: Conceptually, during the conceptual plan it is fine. During the preliminary plan we would need to retest at the basin bottom elevation.
21:42:38	they function correctly, and with operation and maintenance, as long as there is no additional, additional remediation needed, if the water isn't infiltrating into the ground, then the testing that's done by the soil scientist is able to meet the rates.  MR. HAWS: Talk to me about elevation, back to perc testing. Does the elevation of the perc test have any impact on the outcome of the results?  THE WITNESS: The elevation of the perc test, whatever that elevation is, is the elevation that we will have to design our basins to, and would need to have the two-foot separation from the limiting zones. So we will	21:44:52	required to retest that to show the perc test, and you had answered yes, and now it seems like that's changing. So it is a very simple question. If you are doing a perc test at an elevation X, and the basin is at an elevation Y, that is drastically different, but you are saying that it's, at this conceptual stage that it is okay, but previously you just said it is not. So I'm trying to understand. The two are not matching up.  THE WITNESS: Conceptually, during the conceptual plan it is fine. During the preliminary plan we would need to retest at the basin bottom elevation.  MR. HAWS: So because we are using the word conceptually, you can throw out all good engineering practices, because it is
21:42:38	they function correctly, and with operation and maintenance, as long as there is no additional, additional remediation needed, if the water isn't infiltrating into the ground, then the testing that's done by the soil scientist is able to meet the rates.  MR. HAWS: Talk to me about elevation, back to perc testing. Does the elevation of the perc test have any impact on the outcome of the results?  THE WITNESS: The elevation of the perc test, whatever that elevation is, is the elevation that we will have to design our basins to, and would need to have the two-foot separation from the limiting zones. So we will in the preliminary design request that the elevation of the basin, number one, be at a certain elevation, and that's where we are	21:44:52	required to retest that to show the perc test, and you had answered yes, and now it seems like that's changing. So it is a very simple question. If you are doing a perc test at an elevation X, and the basin is at an elevation Y, that is drastically different, but you are saying that it's, at this conceptual stage that it is okay, but previously you just said it is not. So I'm trying to understand. The two are not matching up.  THE WITNESS: Conceptually, during the conceptual plan it is fine. During the preliminary plan we would need to retest at the basin bottom elevation.  MR. HAWS: So because we are using the word conceptually, you can throw out all good engineering practices, because it is at the conceptual stage?
21:42:38	they function correctly, and with operation and maintenance, as long as there is no additional, additional remediation needed, if the water isn't infiltrating into the ground, then the testing that's done by the soil scientist is able to meet the rates.  MR. HAWS: Talk to me about elevation, back to perc testing. Does the elevation of the perc test have any impact on the outcome of the results?  THE WITNESS: The elevation of the perc test, whatever that elevation is, is the elevation that we will have to design our basins to, and would need to have the two-foot separation from the limiting zones. So we will in the preliminary design request that the elevation of the basin, number one, be at a certain elevation, and that's where we are going to design the plan to be to.	21:44:52	required to retest that to show the perc test, and you had answered yes, and now it seems like that's changing. So it is a very simple question. If you are doing a perc test at an elevation X, and the basin is at an elevation Y, that is drastically different, but you are saying that it's, at this conceptual stage that it is okay, but previously you just said it is not. So I'm trying to understand. The two are not matching up.  THE WITNESS: Conceptually, during the conceptual plan it is fine. During the preliminary plan we would need to retest at the basin bottom elevation.  MR. HAWS: So because we are using the word conceptually, you can throw out all good engineering practices, because it is at the conceptual stage?  THE WITNESS: No, I don't believe
21:42:38	they function correctly, and with operation and maintenance, as long as there is no additional, additional remediation needed, if the water isn't infiltrating into the ground, then the testing that's done by the soil scientist is able to meet the rates.  MR. HAWS: Talk to me about elevation, back to perc testing. Does the elevation of the perc test have any impact on the outcome of the results?  THE WITNESS: The elevation of the perc test, whatever that elevation is, is the elevation that we will have to design our basins to, and would need to have the two-foot separation from the limiting zones. So we will in the preliminary design request that the elevation of the basin, number one, be at a certain elevation, and that's where we are going to design the plan to be to.  MR. HAWS: Is it your testimony	21:44:52	required to retest that to show the perc test, and you had answered yes, and now it seems like that's changing. So it is a very simple question. If you are doing a perc test at an elevation X, and the basin is at an elevation Y, that is drastically different, but you are saying that it's, at this conceptual stage that it is okay, but previously you just said it is not. So I'm trying to understand. The two are not matching up.  THE WITNESS: Conceptually, during the conceptual plan it is fine. During the preliminary plan we would need to retest at the basin bottom elevation.  MR. HAWS: So because we are using the word conceptually, you can throw out all good engineering practices, because it is at the conceptual stage?  THE WITNESS: No, I don't believe so. The site itself shows as a whole that
21:42:38	they function correctly, and with operation and maintenance, as long as there is no additional, additional remediation needed, if the water isn't infiltrating into the ground, then the testing that's done by the soil scientist is able to meet the rates.  MR. HAWS: Talk to me about elevation, back to perc testing. Does the elevation of the perc test have any impact on the outcome of the results?  THE WITNESS: The elevation of the perc test, whatever that elevation is, is the elevation that we will have to design our basins to, and would need to have the two-foot separation from the limiting zones. So we will in the preliminary design request that the elevation of the basin, number one, be at a certain elevation, and that's where we are going to design the plan to be to.  MR. HAWS: Is it your testimony that this conceptual or rudimentary design, as	21:44:52	required to retest that to show the perc test, and you had answered yes, and now it seems like that's changing. So it is a very simple question. If you are doing a perc test at an elevation X, and the basin is at an elevation Y, that is drastically different, but you are saying that it's, at this conceptual stage that it is okay, but previously you just said it is not. So I'm trying to understand. The two are not matching up.  THE WITNESS: Conceptually, during the conceptual plan it is fine. During the preliminary plan we would need to retest at the basin bottom elevation.  MR. HAWS: So because we are using the word conceptually, you can throw out all good engineering practices, because it is at the conceptual stage?  THE WITNESS: No, I don't believe so. The site itself shows as a whole that there is infiltration on the site.
21:42:38	they function correctly, and with operation and maintenance, as long as there is no additional, additional remediation needed, if the water isn't infiltrating into the ground, then the testing that's done by the soil scientist is able to meet the rates.  MR. HAWS: Talk to me about elevation, back to perc testing. Does the elevation of the perc test have any impact on the outcome of the results?  THE WITNESS: The elevation of the perc test, whatever that elevation is, is the elevation that we will have to design our basins to, and would need to have the two-foot separation from the limiting zones. So we will in the preliminary design request that the elevation of the basin, number one, be at a certain elevation, and that's where we are going to design the plan to be to.  MR. HAWS: Is it your testimony that this conceptual or rudimentary design, as it states right now, that there are certain	21:44:52	required to retest that to show the perc test, and you had answered yes, and now it seems like that's changing. So it is a very simple question. If you are doing a perc test at an elevation X, and the basin is at an elevation Y, that is drastically different, but you are saying that it's, at this conceptual stage that it is okay, but previously you just said it is not. So I'm trying to understand. The two are not matching up.  THE WITNESS: Conceptually, during the conceptual plan it is fine. During the preliminary plan we would need to retest at the basin bottom elevation.  MR. HAWS: So because we are using the word conceptually, you can throw out all good engineering practices, because it is at the conceptual stage?  THE WITNESS: No, I don't believe so. The site itself shows as a whole that there is infiltration on the site.  MR. HAWS: Correct. Okay. I
21:42:38	they function correctly, and with operation and maintenance, as long as there is no additional, additional remediation needed, if the water isn't infiltrating into the ground, then the testing that's done by the soil scientist is able to meet the rates.  MR. HAWS: Talk to me about elevation, back to perc testing. Does the elevation of the perc test have any impact on the outcome of the results?  THE WITNESS: The elevation of the perc test, whatever that elevation is, is the elevation that we will have to design our basins to, and would need to have the two-foot separation from the limiting zones. So we will in the preliminary design request that the elevation of the basin, number one, be at a certain elevation, and that's where we are going to design the plan to be to.  MR. HAWS: Is it your testimony that this conceptual or rudimentary design, as	21:44:52	required to retest that to show the perc test, and you had answered yes, and now it seems like that's changing. So it is a very simple question. If you are doing a perc test at an elevation X, and the basin is at an elevation Y, that is drastically different, but you are saying that it's, at this conceptual stage that it is okay, but previously you just said it is not. So I'm trying to understand. The two are not matching up.  THE WITNESS: Conceptually, during the conceptual plan it is fine. During the preliminary plan we would need to retest at the basin bottom elevation.  MR. HAWS: So because we are using the word conceptually, you can throw out all good engineering practices, because it is at the conceptual stage?  THE WITNESS: No, I don't believe so. The site itself shows as a whole that there is infiltration on the site.

	1708	ľ	1710
21:45:54	were saying that when you get to the final	21:48:03 1	number of 70, that does not take into account
21:45:54	planning design of that, some of the basins may	21:48:07 2	what is on that one acre.
21:46:01 3	move or that you could potentially do your	21:48:09 3	Again, that's just a weighted
-	on-site remediations with infiltration on	21:48:10 4	average. That's historical data that's used to
14 4	people's properties. Who would be responsible	21:48:13 5	generate that 70 requirement. Again, it is
21:46:12 6	for the operation and maintenance agreements on	21:48:15	just a number I picked.
21:46:15 7	those? Would that be the individual property	21:48:17	We have shown and we have
21:46:18	owners, to make sure that their stormwater	21:48:19	calculated the actual site characteristics, and
21:46:20 9	management systems are working in compliance?	21:48:23	impervious was one on the actual site in the
21:46:22 10	Or would that be part of the homeowners	21:48:27 10	site design, and then taken that as our basis
21:46:24 11	association?	21:48:31 11	for the stormwater design.
21:46:25 12	THE WITNESS: That is one	21:48:32 12	And, again, this is how we have
21:46:27 13	technique that, I believe you are referring to	21:48:34 13	done numerous jobs in Pennsylvania and other
21:46:28 14	soil amendments, that would most of the time be	21:48:38 14	states, with this technique. We do not believe
21:46:33 15	homeowners themselves, with the HOA being the	21:48:41 15	that this is a weighted average.
21:46:36 16	overseer of the overall operation and	21:48:45 16	MR. HAWS: Okay. Thank you.
21:46:40 17	maintenance.	21:48:58 17	MS. DEWOLF: So you stated that
21:46:42 18	There are also other BMPs that	21:49:00 18	the BMPs, I quote, could be done, you met the
21:46:46 19	could be designed and implemented on the site	21:49:04 19	infiltration rate, that is where we stopped.
21:46:48 20	with varying other operation and maintenances	21:49:11 20	So as I understand, you don't
21:46:51 21	for the infiltration or exfiltration of the	21:49:12 21	really have any best management practices that
21:46:55 22	volume of water required for the DEP. But that	21:49:16 22	are on your conceptual and rudimentary plan you
21:46:58 23	was one instance.	21:49:19 23	provided?
59 24	MR. HAWS: So again, just to	21:49:21 24	THE WITNESS: The best management
,	1709		1711
21:47:01 1	answer the question, because you said both, is	21:49:21 1	practice we have is infiltration, and that
21:47:03 2	it the homeowners' responsibility to make sure	21:49:23 2	meets the requirements of the volume for the
21:47:05 3	the O&M is followed, or would it be the	21:49:26 3	DEP.
21:47:07 4	homeowners association?	21:49:28 4	MS. DEWOLF: And you also, just
21:47:09 5	THE WITNESS: Most of the times	21:49:30 5	also stated that other BMPs could be
21:47:10 6	we have specified that it is the owner's	21:49:36 6	implemented on the site, and you also stated
21:47:13 7	responsibility, with the ultimate operation and	21:49:40 7	there were trees as part of the BMP. And the
21:47:17 8	maintenance of the HOA themselves.	21:49:44 8	trees on your plan, I don't remember seeing
21:47:19 9	MR. HAWS: So that it is the HOA	21:49:51 9	them, they were part of a landscaping effort,
21:47:21 10	who is the ultimate responsible party?	21:49:53 10	but I don't think they were a best management
21:47:23 11	THE WITNESS: Correct.	21:49:55 11	practice, per se.
21:47:24 12	MR. HAWS: Thank you. Final	21:49:56 12	THE WITNESS: In the total
21:47:25 13	Mix. MAVS. Mank you. Tillar	21.73.30	1112 1111112001 111 1110 10101
l	question. Back to these calculations that we	21:49:59 13	calculation of the best management practices
21:47:28 14			
21:47:28 14	question. Back to these calculations that we	21:49:59 13	calculation of the best management practices
1	question. Back to these calculations that we were stuck on, the report shows averages versus	21:49:59 <b>13</b> 21:50:01 <b>14</b>	calculation of the best management practices for the DEP and NPDES worksheet, there is
21:47:32 15	question. Back to these calculations that we were stuck on, the report shows averages versus weighted averages. Can you just walk me	21:49:59 <b>13</b> 21:50:01 <b>14</b> 21:50:06 <b>15</b>	calculation of the best management practices for the DEP and NPDES worksheet, there is several non-structural and structural BMPs that
21:47:32 <b>15</b> 21:47:35 <b>16</b>	question. Back to these calculations that we were stuck on, the report shows averages versus weighted averages. Can you just walk me through that one more final time.	21:49:59 <b>13</b> 21:50:01 <b>14</b> 21:50:06 <b>15</b> 21:50:11 <b>16</b>	calculation of the best management practices for the DEP and NPDES worksheet, there is several non-structural and structural BMPs that will be implemented. Structural would be a
21:47:32 <b>15</b> 21:47:35 <b>16</b> 21:47:36 <b>17</b>	question. Back to these calculations that we were stuck on, the report shows averages versus weighted averages. Can you just walk me through that one more final time.  THE WITNESS: Sure, I'll try. In	21:49:59 13 21:50:01 14 21:50:06 15 21:50:11 16 21:50:14 17	calculation of the best management practices for the DEP and NPDES worksheet, there is several non-structural and structural BMPs that will be implemented. Structural would be a device that helps clean the water. Not
21:47:32 <b>15</b> 21:47:35 <b>16</b> 21:47:36 <b>17</b> 21:47:39 <b>18</b>	question. Back to these calculations that we were stuck on, the report shows averages versus weighted averages. Can you just walk me through that one more final time.  THE WITNESS: Sure, I'll try. In the design of numerous sites in Pennsylvania	21:49:59 13 21:50:01 14 21:50:06 15 21:50:11 16 21:50:14 17 21:50:17 18	calculation of the best management practices for the DEP and NPDES worksheet, there is several non-structural and structural BMPs that will be implemented. Structural would be a device that helps clean the water. Not structural would be landscaping, roof-top
21:47:32	question. Back to these calculations that we were stuck on, the report shows averages versus weighted averages. Can you just walk me through that one more final time.  THE WITNESS: Sure, I'll try. In the design of numerous sites in Pennsylvania and other states, when the ordinance says	21:49:59 13 21:50:01 14 21:50:06 15 21:50:11 16 21:50:14 17 21:50:17 18 21:50:23 19	calculation of the best management practices for the DEP and NPDES worksheet, there is several non-structural and structural BMPs that will be implemented. Structural would be a device that helps clean the water. Not structural would be landscaping, roof-top disconnection.
21:47:32	question. Back to these calculations that we were stuck on, the report shows averages versus weighted averages. Can you just walk me through that one more final time.  THE WITNESS: Sure, I'll try. In the design of numerous sites in Pennsylvania and other states, when the ordinance says weighted averages, again, that's talking about	21:49:59 13 21:50:01 14 21:50:06 15 21:50:11 16 21:50:14 17 21:50:17 18 21:50:23 19 21:50:24 20	calculation of the best management practices for the DEP and NPDES worksheet, there is several non-structural and structural BMPs that will be implemented. Structural would be a device that helps clean the water. Not structural would be landscaping, roof-top disconnection.  MS. DEWOLF: So the trees are
21:47:32	question. Back to these calculations that we were stuck on, the report shows averages versus weighted averages. Can you just walk me through that one more final time.  THE WITNESS: Sure, I'll try. In the design of numerous sites in Pennsylvania and other states, when the ordinance says weighted averages, again, that's talking about the weighted averages in the TR-55. And I'll	21:49:59 13 21:50:01 14 21:50:06 15 21:50:11 16 21:50:14 17 21:50:17 18 21:50:23 19 21:50:24 20 21:50:25 21	calculation of the best management practices for the DEP and NPDES worksheet, there is several non-structural and structural BMPs that will be implemented. Structural would be a device that helps clean the water. Not structural would be landscaping, roof-top disconnection.  MS. DEWOLF: So the trees are your non-structural?

1 basins then? 1			1			
THE WITNESS: Correct.  MS. DEWOLF: Okay. Do you have a lost of stabilization of your slopes on your slopes on your slopes on your of the stabilization of your slopes on your of the stabilization of your slopes on your of the stabilization of your slopes on your of the stabilization of your slopes on your of the stabilization of your slopes on your of the stabilization of your slopes on your of the stabilization of your slopes on your of the stabilization of your slopes on your of the stabilization of your slopes on your of the stabilization of your slopes on your of the stabilization planned?  The WITNESS: The slopes that will need to be stabilized or the basins of the stabilization of your slopes on your really have a basin in A-9 or was that testing for drip irrigation which is slopes of the stabilization of your slopes hat will be reviewed and stabilized or the stabilization of your slopes have a lot of slopes stabilized or the basins of the slopes of		1712		1714		
3 MS. DEWOLF: Okay. Do you have a lot of stabilization of your slopes on your slopes on your slopes on your slopes on your slopes on your slopes on your slopes on your slopes on your slopes on this plan and where those streams are, as in the slope of the steep slopes that same 6 are on this plan and where those streams are, as in the slope of the steep slopes that same 8 have a lot of slope stabilization planned?  10	21:50:31 1	basins then?	21:53:24	On your basin A-9, maybe it is		
4 lot of stabilization of your slopes on your 5 current plan, because of the steep slopes that 5 correlation corrol, so that will be reviewed and 5 correlation corrol, so that will be reviewed and 5 correlation corrol, so that will be reviewed and 5 correlation corrol, so that will	21:50:32 2		21:53:26 2			
2 area of this plan and where those streams are, and you are reconfiguring of the site, do you are seconfiguring of the site, do you are seconfigured are the basins are set to see a second or	21:50:33	MS. DEWOLF: Okay. Do you have a	21:53:30	your defined drip irrigation area and, as I		
2 6 are on this plan and where those streams are, 2 min 7 and you are reconfiguring of the site, do you 2 min 8 have a lot of slope stabilization planned? 2 min 8 have a lot of slope stabilization planned? 3 min 8 or was that testing for drip irrigation which 2 min 9 mi	1 4	lot of stabilization of your slopes on your	21:53:33 4	remember, you had a four-foot or four-inch-high		
7 and you are reconfiguring of the site, do you 8 have a lot of slope stabilization planned? THE WITNESS: The banks of the 9 are you stabilized are the basins 10 will need to be stabilized are the basins 11 thereselves, which we have shown at a 12 three-to-one slope. They will need to be 12 disgined, implemented, constructed to have 13 designed, implemented, constructed to have 14 those stable. We will ultimately need to get a 15 conservation/DEP permit for erosion and 15 observation/DEP	21:50:45 5	current plan, because of the steep slopes that	21:53:38 5	water table there at that corner of West		
The WITNESS: The slopes that  The with the with the slopes that  The with the with the slopes that  The with the with the slopes that  The with the with the with the slopes that  The with the	21:50:50 6	are on this plan and where those streams are,	21:53:44 6	Pleasant Grove Road and South New Street.		
THE WITNESS: The slopes that will need to be stabilized are the basins themselves, which we have shown at a stable stable. We will ultimately need to get a stable stable. We will out will be reviewed and stable stable. We will out will be reviewed and stable stable. We will need to be stable will be reviewed and stable stable. We will out will be reviewed and stable stable. We will out will be reviewed and stable stable. We will out will be reviewed and stable stable. We will out will be reviewed and stable stable. We will out will be reviewed and stable stable. We will out will be reviewed and stable stable. We will out which we have stable. We will out whether in reference to the Neighbors of Crebilly plan.  MR. MCKENNA: I think that's in reference to the Neighbors of Crebilly plan.  MR. MCKENNA: Do you have a copy of NC-5?  MR. DEWOLF: IC: Is the one with that's new that's you bath that's stable to the reference to the Neighbors of Crebilly plan.  MR. MCKENNA: Do you and that entire corner, with high water stable stable. The that's need to that's in that's that.  The	21:50:54 7	and you are reconfiguring of the site, do you	21:53:48 7	Do you really have a basin in A-9		
the selves, which we have shown at a three-to-one slope. They will need to be stabilized are the basins are the selves, which we have shown at a designed, implemented, constructed to have the selves of the selves. The selves of the selves o	21:51:00	have a lot of slope stabilization planned?	21:53:51	or was that testing for drip irrigation which		
themselves, which we have shown at a 251 three-to-one slope. They will need to be 251 three-to-one slope. They will that.  The WITNESS: I show need the same of the 251 three-to-one slope. Three-to-one slope. They will that.  The WITNESS: I show n	21:51:04	THE WITNESS: The slopes that	21:53:57			
trying to find A-9 on the site. Could you give means 12 designed, implemented, constructed to have shown 12 designed, implemented, constructed to have shown 14 designed, implemented, constructed to have shown 15 designed, implemented, constructed to have shown 16 sediment control, so that will be reviewed and obtained from the agency. So there is multiple shown 17 designed in the site design for erosion and obtained from the agency. So there is multiple shown 18 designed, implemented, constructed to have shown 19 sedimentation control, shown 19 sedimentation control.  MS. DEWOLF: As I look at this plan, the steeper elevations are following the shown 21 plan, the steeper elevations are following the shown 22 alignment of all the streams on the site. So how are you stabilizing the banks without doing at 24 any best management practice outside the basin?  Your structural/non-structural? THE WITNESS: The banks of the streams themselves will remain untouched, which is the shown 16 the streams. Sooner or later you shown 17 streams will remain untouched, which is the shown 18 water, the wetlands, the slopes immediately shown 19 upstream of the streams. Sooner or later you shown 19 upstream of the streams. Sooner or later you shown 19 to the basins or the sites of the cases 11 cases 16 capture any sediment that's shown during the development process.  MS. DEWOLF: It is the one with shown 20 the red bubble, right here, boom. A-9. I shown 21 the with your shading the shops in mediately shown 19 upstream of the streams. Sooner or later you shown 19 upstream of the streams. Sooner or later you shown 19 upstream of the streams. Sooner or later you shown 19 upstream of the streams. Sooner or later you shown 19 upstream of the streams. Sooner or later you shown 19 upstream of the streams. Sooner or later you shown 19 upstream of the streams will remain untouched, which is the shown 19 upstream of the streams. Sooner or later you shown 19 upstream of the streams. Sooner or later you shown 19 upstream of the streams. Sooner or	21:51:05 10					
tests 13 designed, implemented, constructed to have stated to those stable. We will ultimately need to get a conservation/DEP permit for erosion and sediment control, so that will be reviewed and sediment control, so that will be reviewed and oversight on the site design for erosion and sedimentation control.  ### 170 Sedimentation control.  ### 170 White stables will be reviewed and sediment attino control.  ### 170 White stables will be reviewed and oversight on the site design for erosion and sedimentation control.  ### 170 White stables will be reviewed and oversight on the site design for erosion and sedimentation control.  ### 170 White stables will be reviewed and oversight on the site design for erosion and sedimentation control.  ### 170 White stables will be reviewed and oversight on the site design for erosion and sedimentation control.  ### 170 White stables will be reviewed and oversight on the site design for erosion and sedimentation and erosion will be reviewed by multiple agencies for sedimentation and erosion will get to the basins or the sites of the streams will remain untouched, which is the water, the wetlands, the slopes immediately are streams will remain untouched, which is the water, the wetlands, the slopes immediately will get to the basins or the sites of the coads and homes themselves, and, again, those for eads and homes themselves, and again, those for eads and free provided that exhibits and that this is a desting local think it is a basin Li don't t		themselves, which we have shown at a	21:54:08 11			
those stable. We will ultimately need to get a manual for conservation/DEP permit for erosion and sediment control, so that will be reviewed and obtained from the agency. So there is multiple sediment control, so that will be reviewed and obtained from the agency. So there is multiple sedimentation control sedimentation sedimentation control sedimentation sedimentation sedimentation control sedimentation sedime	21:51:14 12		21:54:16 12			
215025 15 conservation/DEP permit for erosion and 6 sediment control, so that will be reviewed and 215025 17 obtained from the agency. So there is multiple oversight on the site design for erosion and 215025 17 oversight on the site design for erosion and 215025 18 oversight on the site design for erosion and 215025 18 oversight on the site design for erosion and 215025 18 oversight on the site design for erosion and 215025 18 oversight on the site design for erosion and 215025 18 oversight on the site design for erosion and 215025 18 oversight on the site design for erosion and 215025 18 oversight on the site design for erosion and 215025 18 oversight on the site design for erosion and 215025 18 oversight on the site design for erosion and 215025 18 oversight on the site of the 215025 18 oversight on the site of the 215025 18 oversight on the site of the 215025 18 oversight on the site of the 215025 18 oversight on the site of the 215025 18 oversight on the site of the 215025 18 oversight on the site of the 215025 19 oversight of the site of the 215025 19 oversight of the site of the 215025 1	21:51:18 13			me a general vicinity of where you may find		
sediment control, so that will be reviewed and obtained from the agency. So there is multiple agencies for sedimentation and erosion and sedimentation control.  MS. DEWOLF: N.C.5, you testified marked to the site design for erosion and sedimentation control.  MS. DEWOLF: As I look at this sedimentation control.  MS. DEWOLF: As I look at this sedimentation control.  MS. DEWOLF: As I look at this plan, the steeper elevations are following the alignment of all the streams on the site. So how are you stabilizing the banks without doing any best management practice outside the basin?  Total and the streams of the streams of the streams themselves will remain untouched as reasons themselves will remain untouched as reasons.  MS. DEWOLF: It is the one with the red bubble, right here, boom. A-9. I assume that's your basin A-9 you are referring assume that's your basi	21:51:22 14	,				
believe whave. I am  believe whave. I am  believe whave. I am  believe whave. I am  believe whave. I am  believe whave. I am  believe whave. I am  believe whave. I am  believe whave. I am  believe whave. I am  believe whave. I am  believe whave. I am  believe whave. I am  THE WITNESS: I believe I — I took provided that exhibit.  223-242 19  MS. DEWOLF: NC-5, you testified  224-242 20  MS. DEWOLF: NC-5, you testified  224-252-252 20  MS. DEWOLF: It is the one with  MR. MCKENNA: Do you have a copy  324-252-252 20  MS. DEWOLF: It is the one with  MR. MCKENNA: Do you have a copy  324-252-252 20  MR. MCKENNA: Do you have a copy  324-252-252 20  MR. DEWOLF: It is the one with  MR. MCKENNA: Do you have a copy  324-252-252 20  MR. DEWOLF: It is the one with  MR. MCKENNA: Do you have a copy  324-252-252 20  MR. DEWOLF: It is the one with  MR. MCKENNA: Do you have a copy  324-252-252 20  MR. DEWOLF: It is the one with  MR. MCKENNA: Do you have a copy  324-252-252 20  MR. DEWOLF: It is the one with  MR. MCKENNA: Do you have a copy  324-252-252 20  MR. DEWOLF: It is the one with  MR. MCKENNA: Do you have a copy  324-252-252 20  MR. DEWOLF: It is the one with  MR. MCKENNA: Do you have a copy  324-252-252 20  MR. DEWOLF: It is the one with  MR. MCKENNA: Do you basie a copy  324-252-252 20  MR. DEWOLF: It is the one with  MR. MCKENNA: DevolF: I	21:51:25 15		21:54:23 15			
201507 18 oversight on the site design for erosion and sedimentation control. 201507 19 sedimentation control. 201507 20 MS. DEWOLF: As I look at this plan, the steeper elevations are following the alignment of all the streams on the site. So plan, the steeper elevations are following the alignment of all the streams on the site. So how are you stabilizing the banks without doing apply best management practice outside the basin? 21 Your structural/non-structural? 21 THE WITNESS: The banks of the streams untouched as 21 MS. DEWOLF: I'm sorry, I can't shear you. 21 MS. DEWOLF: I'm sorry, I can't shear you. 21 MS. DEWOLF: I'm sorry, I can't shear you. 21 MS. DEWOLF: I'm sorry, I can't shear you. 21 MS. DEWOLF: I'm sorry, I can't shear you. 21 MS. DEWOLF: All right. I don't have anymore questions. I'm struggling with this conceptual allowance that seems to be a gray area of what we really have to base our decisions on and what we don't have. So that's all. 21 MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, any redirect? 21 MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, any redirect? 22 MR. ADELMAN: No. 23 MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, any redirect? 24 MS. DEWOLF: Do you know if you have a dhered to the 75-foot buffer for all of these streams with that slope stabilization? 21 MS. DEWOLF: Do you know if you have a dhered to the 75-foot buffer for all of these streams with that slope stabilization? 21 MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look 21 MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look 21 MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look 21 MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look 21 MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look 22 MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look 23 MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look 24 MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look 25 MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look 26 MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look 27 MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look 28 MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look 28 MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look 29 MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look 20 MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look 20 MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look 20 MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look 21 MS. DEWOLF: Wind of doesn't look 22 MS. DEWOLF: Wind of does	21:51:29 16	•				
sedimentation control.  MS. DEWOLF: As I look at this plan, the steeper elevations are following the alignment of all the streams on the site. So how are you stabilizing the banks without doing any best management practice outside the basin?  1713  17036 1 Your structural/non-structural?  THE WITNESS: The banks of the streams themselves will remain untouched as MS. DEWOLF: I'm sorry, I can't hear you.  THE WITNESS: The banks of the streams will remain untouched, which is the sustent the streams will remain untouched, which is the sustent the streams. Sooner or later you will get to the basins or the sites of the capture any sediment that's shown on the site to capture any sediment that's shown on the site to capture any sediment that's shown on the site to capture any sediment that's shown on the site to capture any sediment that's shown on the site to capture any sediment that's shown on the site to capture any sediment that's shown on the site to capture any sediment that's shown on the site to capture any sediment that's shown on the site to capture any sediment that's shown on the site to capture any sediment that's shown on the site to capture any sediment that's shown during the development process.  MS. DEWOLF: Do you know if you have adhered to the 75-foot buffer for all of these streams with that slope stabilization?  THE WITNESS: I believe I I these streams with that slope stabilization?  THE WITNESS: I believe I I these streams with that slope stabilization?  MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look place and reviewed by much we can geing to take a quick, five minute down the list to conceptual allowance that seems to be a gray area of what we really have to base our decisions on and what we don't have. So that's allow the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of		- ,	21:54:27 17			
MS. DEWOLF: As I look at this 21 plan, the steeper elevations are following the 22 alignment of all the streams on the site. So 315452 22 how are you stabilizing the banks without doing 42 any best management practice outside the basin?  17013  17014  17015  17016  17017  17017  17018  17018  17018  17018  17018  17019  17	21:51:37 18	oversight on the site design for erosion and		•		
215-66 21 plan, the steeper elevations are following the 215-67 22 alignment of all the streams on the site. So 215-68 22 alignment of all the streams on the site. So 215-68 23 any best management practice outside the basin? 1713 1715 1715 1715 1715 1715 1715 1715	21:51:40 19		21:54:32 19	· ·		
215407 22 alignment of all the streams on the site. So 22 how are you stabilizing the banks without doing 32 any best management practice outside the basin? 31713 315208 1 Your structural/non-structural? 315209 2 THE WITNESS: The banks of the 315209 3 streams themselves will remain untouched as 315209 4 MS. DEWOLF: I'm sorry, I can't 315209 4 MS. DEWOLF: I'm sorry, I can't 315209 5 MS. DEWOLF: I'm sorry, I can't 315209 6 THE WITNESS: The banks of the 315209 7 Streams will remain untouched, which is the 325209 8 water, the wetlands, the slopes immediately 315209 1 roads and homes themselves, and, again, those 315209 1 roads and homes themselves, and, again, those 315209 1 roads and homes themselves, and, again, those 315209 1 roads and homes themselves will are are numerous 315209 1 roads and homes themselves, and, again, those 315209 1 roads and homes themselves will remain untouched as read will have to be designed and reviewed	21:51:41 20					
any best management practice outside the basin?  1713  1702						
1713 1715 1715 1716 1717 1718 1717 1718 1717 1719 1718 1719 1719		-	I	, -		
1713  1715200 1 Your structural/non-structural?  1716 171521 2 THE WITNESS: The banks of the streams themselves will remain untouched as 171521 4 MS. DEWOLF: I'm sorry, I can't 17152 6 THE WITNESS: The banks of the streams will remain untouched, which is the streams will remain untouched, which is the water, the wetlands, the slopes immediately upstream of the streams. Sooner or later you 171520						
1 Your structural/non-structural? 2 THE WITNESS: The banks of the streams themselves will remain untouched as 215210 4 MS. DEWOLF: I'm sorry, I can't hear you. 2 THE WITNESS: The banks of the MS. DEWOLF: I'm sorry, I can't hear you. 2 THE WITNESS: The banks of the THE WITNESS: The banks of the Streams will remain untouched, which is the water, the wetlands, the slopes immediately upstream of the streams. Sooner or later you will get to the basins or the sites of the 12522 10 will get to the basins or the sites of the 12522 11 roads and homes themselves, and, again, those are as will have to be designed and reviewed by 215224 13 multiple agencies for sedimentation and erosion control, to make sure that there are numerous erosion control techniques shown on the site to capture any sediment that's shown during the development process. 3 THE WITNESS: A-9, I do not believe that is a testing location. I believe that is a basin location shown on this plan.  125501 7	58 24		21:54:45 24			
THE WITNESS: The banks of the streams themselves will remain untouched as    15213   4	1 .					
streams themselves will remain untouched as  MS. DEWOLF: I'm sorry, I can't  hear you.  THE WITNESS: The banks of the streams will remain untouched, which is the water, the wetlands, the slopes immediately upstream of the streams. Sooner or later you will get to the basins or the sites of the roads and homes themselves, and, again, those areas will have to be designed and reviewed by multiple agencies for sedimentation and erosion control, to make sure that there are numerous erosion control techniques shown on the site to serosion sonatorion techniques shown on the site to serosion control techniques shown on the site to serosion control techniques shown on the site to serosion control techniques shown on the site to serosion sonatorion techniques shown on the site to serosion control techniques shown on the site to serosion control techniques shown on the site to serosion control techniques shown on the site to serosion control techniques shown on the site to serosion sonatorion that show a decisions on and what we don't have. So that's all.  streams will remain untouched as streams will remain untouched to be designed and reviewed by multiple agencies for sedimentation and erosion control, to make sure that there are numerous erosion control techniques shown on the site to serosion				-		
4 MS. DEWOLF: I'm sorry, I can't 5 hear you.  THE WITNESS: The banks of the 7 streams will remain untouched, which is the 8 water, the wetlands, the slopes immediately 125228 9 upstream of the streams. Sooner or later you 125229 10 will get to the basins or the sites of the 125229 11 roads and homes themselves, and, again, those 125230 12 areas will have to be designed and reviewed by 125230 12 multiple agencies for sedimentation and erosion 125230 14 control, to make sure that there are numerous 125230 15 capture any sediment that's shown during the 125230 17 development process. 17 (Witness excused.) 18 MS. DEWOLF: I'm sorry, I can't 125310 21 THE WITNESS: I believe I I 125310 22 MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look 125320 23 MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look 125321 24 like it. But it is hard to say.						
5 hear you.  THE WITNESS: The banks of the streams will remain untouched, which is the water, the wetlands, the slopes immediately upstream of the streams. Sooner or later you will get to the basins or the sites of the roads and homes themselves, and, again, those areas will have to be designed and reviewed by multiple agencies for sedimentation and erosion control, to make sure that there are numerous erosion control techniques shown on the site to capture any sediment that's shown during the development process.  MS. DEWOLF: All right. I don't that slopes immediately upstream of the streams. Sooner or later you will get to the basins or the sites of the roads and homes themselves, and, again, those areas will have to be designed and reviewed by multiple agencies for sedimentation and erosion control, to make sure that there are numerous erosion control techniques shown on the site to development process.  MS. DEWOLF: Do you know if you have adhered to the 75-foot buffer for all of these streams with that slope stabilization?  THE WITNESS: I believe I I believe We have. I am  MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look like it. But it is hard to say.				-		
THE WITNESS: The banks of the streams will remain untouched, which is the water, the wetlands, the slopes immediately upstream of the streams. Sooner or later you will get to the basins or the sites of the roads and homes themselves, and, again, those areas will have to be designed and reviewed by multiple agencies for sedimentation and erosion control, to make sure that there are numerous erosion control techniques shown on the site to capture any sediment that's shown during the development process.  THE WITNESS: I believe I I believe we have. I am  THE WITNESS: The banks of the streams will remain untouched, which is the streams will remain untouched, which is the streams will remain untouched, which is the streams will have to be designed and reviewed by areas will have to be designed and reviewed by all.  The will have any sediment that's shown during the development process.  The will have any sediment that's shown during the development process.  The will have any we deristing the wil	l _					
215216 7 streams will remain untouched, which is the 215216 8 water, the wetlands, the slopes immediately 215225 9 upstream of the streams. Sooner or later you 215226 10 will get to the basins or the sites of the 215231 11 roads and homes themselves, and, again, those 215232 12 areas will have to be designed and reviewed by 215234 13 multiple agencies for sedimentation and erosion 215246 14 control, to make sure that there are numerous 215246 15 erosion control techniques shown on the site to 215236 16 capture any sediment that's shown during the 215236 17 development process. 215236 18 MS. DEWOLF: Do you know if you 215236 19 have adhered to the 75-foot buffer for all of 215231 21 THE WITNESS: I believe I I 215231 22 WS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look 215232 23 MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look 215232 24 like it. But it is hard to say. 215233 24 like it. But it is hard to say. 215233 9 this conceptual allowance that seems to be a 215236 8 gray area of what we really have to base our 215236 8 gray area of what we really have to base our 215232 9 decisions on and what we don't have. So that's 215232 9 all. 215232 9 decisions on and what we don't have. So that's 215232 9 all. 215232 10 MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, any 215232 12 redirect? 215232 12 MR. ADELMAN: No. 215332 12 MR. ADELMAN: No. 215334 12 redirect? 215334 12 redirect? 215334 13 MR. ADELMAN: No. 215334 14 Control, to make sure that there are numerous 21534 15 cross? Okay, folks. 21534 15 Would like to do. We are going to run a little 21534 19 would like to do. We are going to trake a quick, 21534 19 would like to do. We are going to take a quick, 21534 22 predirect? 21534 15 cross? Okay, folks. 21534 18 Would like to do. We are going to trake a quick, 21534 19 would like to do. We are going to take a quick, 21535 22 predirect? 215354 13 MR. ADELMAN: No. 215354 14 WR. MCKENNA: Hr. Adelman, any 215355 10 all. 215355 12 mR. ADELMAN: No. 215354 15 WR. ADELMAN: No. 215355 12 mR. ADELMAN: No. 215355 12 mR. ADELMAN: No. 215356 13 WR. ADELMAN: No. 215357 13 WR. A				-		
water, the wetlands, the slopes immediately upstream of the streams. Sooner or later you 215225 9 upstream of the streams. Sooner or later you 215226 10 will get to the basins or the sites of the roads and homes themselves, and, again, those 215236 12 areas will have to be designed and reviewed by 215236 12 multiple agencies for sedimentation and erosion 215246 13 control, to make sure that there are numerous 215246 15 erosion control techniques shown on the site to 215246 16 capture any sediment that's shown during the 215246 18 MS. DEWOLF: Do you know if you 215346 19 have adhered to the 75-foot buffer for all of 215346 21 these streams with that slope stabilization? THE WITNESS: I believe I I believe we have. I am  215246 21 Water and the streams. Sooner or later you 215532 22 minutes. I'm going to continue down the list 215532 24 like it. But it is hard to say.	_		_			
upstream of the streams. Sooner or later you will get to the basins or the sites of the roads and homes themselves, and, again, those areas will have to be designed and reviewed by rocontrol, to make sure that there are numerous recontrol, to make sure that there are numerous redirect?  In MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, any redirect?  In MR. ADELMAN: No. Recontrol Recont		·				
215228 10 will get to the basins or the sites of the 215233 11 roads and homes themselves, and, again, those 215233 12 areas will have to be designed and reviewed by 215242 13 multiple agencies for sedimentation and erosion 215245 14 control, to make sure that there are numerous 215246 15 erosion control techniques shown on the site to 215246 16 capture any sediment that's shown during the 215253 16 development process. 215253 18 MS. DEWOLF: Do you know if you 215255 19 have adhered to the 75-foot buffer for all of 215311 21 THE WITNESS: I believe I I 215324 22 believe we have. I am 215324 24 like it. But it is hard to say. 215325 24 10 all. 215535 10 all. 215536 11 MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, any 215536 11 MR. ADELMAN: No. 215536 13 MR. ADELMAN: No. 215536 13 MR. ACKENNA: Any other further 215540 15 cross? Okay, folks. 215544 16 Thank you, Mr. Madden. 4215546 18 MR. MCKENNA: Here is what I 215546 19 would like to do. We are going to run a little 215546 20 late tonight we are going to try to get as much 400ne as we can. We are going to take a quick, 515547 21 five-minute bathroom break. I mean quick, five 715548 22 initiation? 715548 22 initiation? 715548 23 MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, any 215549 12 redirect? 215549 12 redirect? 215549 12 redirect? 215549 12 redirect? 215549 12 redirect? 215549 12 redirect? 215549 12 redirect? 215549 12 redirect? 215549 12 redirect? 215549 12 redirect? 215549 12 redirect? 215549 12 redirect? 215549 12 redirect? 215549 12 redirect? 215549 12 redirect? 215549 12 redirect? 215549 12 redirect? 215549 12 redirect? 215549 13 MR. ADELMAN: No. 215549 14 MR. MCKENNA: Hr. Adelman, any 215549 12 redirect? 215549 12 redirect? 215549 12 redirect? 215549 12 redirect? 215549 13 MR. ADELMAN: No. 215549 14 MR. MCKENNA: Hr. Adelman, any 215549 12 redirect? 215549 15 mall. 215549 15 mall. 215549 15 mall. 215549 15 mall. 215549 15 mall. 215549 15 mall. 215549 15 mall. 215549 15 mall. 215549 15 mall. 215549 15 mall. 215549 15 mall. 215549 15 mall. 215549 15 mall. 215549 15 mall. 215549 15 mall. 2				- '		
21:52:35 11 roads and homes themselves, and, again, those 21:52:35 12 areas will have to be designed and reviewed by 21:52:45 13 multiple agencies for sedimentation and erosion 21:52:45 14 control, to make sure that there are numerous 21:52:45 15 erosion control techniques shown on the site to 21:52:45 16 capture any sediment that's shown during the 21:52:55 18 MS. DEWOLF: Do you know if you 21:52:55 19 have adhered to the 75-foot buffer for all of 21:53:55 19 have adhered to the 75-foot buffer for all of 21:53:16 21 THE WITNESS: I believe I I 21:53:16 22 Believe we have. I am 21:53:26 23 MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look 21:53:27 24 like it. But it is hard to say.  21:53:28 24 Service And Again, those 21:55:36 12 Indicated by 21:55:36 12 Indicated by 21:55:36 12 Indicated by 21:55:36 12 Indicated by 21:55:36 12 Indicated by 21:55:36 13 Indicated by 21:55:36 14 Indicated by 21:55:36 14 Indicated by 21:55:36 14 Indicated by 21:55:36 14 Indicated by 21:55:36 15 Indicated by 21:5						
21:52:35 12 areas will have to be designed and reviewed by 21:52:45 13 multiple agencies for sedimentation and erosion 21:52:45 14 control, to make sure that there are numerous 21:52:45 15 erosion control techniques shown on the site to 21:52:55 16 capture any sediment that's shown during the 21:52:56 17 development process. 21:52:56 18 MS. DEWOLF: Do you know if you 21:52:56 19 have adhered to the 75-foot buffer for all of 21:53:16 21 THE WITNESS: I believe I I 21:53:16 22 MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look 21:53:26 23 MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look 21:53:27 24 like it. But it is hard to say.  21:53:40 13 MR. ADELMAN: No. 41:53:40 13 MR. MCKENNA: Any other further 21:53:40 15 Cross? Okay, folks. 21:55:42 14 MR. MCKENNA: Any other further 21:55:42 14 MR. MCKENNA: Any other further 21:55:42 14 MR. MCKENNA: Any other further 21:55:42 14 MR. MCKENNA: Any other further 21:55:42 15 MR. MCKENNA: Any other further 21:55:42 15 MR. MCKENNA: Any other further 21:55:42 14 MR. MCKENNA: Any other further 21:55:42 15 MR. MCKENNA: Any other further 21:55:42 16 MR. MCKENNA: Any other further 21:55:42 16 MR. MCKENNA: Any other further 21:55:42 16 MR. MCKENNA: Any other further 21:55:42 16 MR. MCKENNA: Any other further 21:55:42 16 MR. MCKENNA: Any other further 21:55:42 11 MR. MCKENNA: Any other further 21:55:42 16 MR. MCKENNA: Any other further 21:55:42 16 MR. MCKENNA: Any other further 21:55:42 15 MR. MCKENNA: Any other further 21:55:42 16 MR. MCKENNA: Any other further 21:55:42 16 MR. MCKENNA: Any other further 21:55:42 15 MR. MCKENNA: Any other further 21:55:42 15 MR. MCKENNA: Any other further 21:55:42 16 MR. MCKENNA: Any other further 21:55:42 15 MR. MCKENNA: Any other further 21:55:42 15 MR. MCKENNA: Any other further 21:55:42 16 MR. MCKENNA: Any other further 21:55:42 16 MR. MCKENNA: Any other further 21:55:42 16 MR. MCKENNA: Any other further 21:55:42 16 MR. MCKENNA: Any other further 21:55:42 16 MR. MCKENNA: Any other further 21:55:42 16 MR. MCKENNA: Any other further 21:55:42 16 MR. MCKENNA: Any other further 21:5		-				
multiple agencies for sedimentation and erosion control, to make sure that there are numerous erosion control techniques shown on the site to capture any sediment that's shown during the development process.  17						
21:52:45 14 control, to make sure that there are numerous erosion control techniques shown on the site to capture any sediment that's shown during the development process.  21:52:53 16 Capture any sediment that's shown during the development process.  21:52:54 17 Capture any sediment process.  21:52:55 18 MS. DEWOLF: Do you know if you have adhered to the 75-foot buffer for all of these streams with that slope stabilization?  20 THE WITNESS: I believe I I believe we have. I am  21:53:20 23 MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look 21:55:50 24 like it. But it is hard to say.  21:55:50 24 MR. MCKENNA: Any other further cross? Okay, folks.  21:55:42 14 MR. MCKENNA: Any other further cross? Okay, folks.  21:55:42 15 Cross? Okay, folks.  21:55:42 16 Thank you, Mr. Madden.  (Witness excused.)  MR. MCKENNA: Here is what I  21:55:46 19 would like to do. We are going to run a little late tonight we are going to try to get as much done as we can. We are going to take a quick, five minutes. I'm going to continue down the list to see how much we can get done from the						
21:52:48 15 erosion control techniques shown on the site to 21:52:49 16 capture any sediment that's shown during the 21:52:59 17 development process. 21:52:59 18 MS. DEWOLF: Do you know if you 21:53:05 19 have adhered to the 75-foot buffer for all of 20 these streams with that slope stabilization? 21:53:11 21 THE WITNESS: I believe I I 21:53:12 22 believe we have. I am 21:53:22 23 MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look 21:53:23 24 like it. But it is hard to say. 21:55:48 15 cross? Okay, folks. 21:55:44 16 Thank you, Mr. Madden. 41:55:44 16 Witness excused.) 42:55:44 18 MR. MCKENNA: Here is what I 42:55:46 19 would like to do. We are going to run a little 42:55:48 20 late tonight we are going to try to get as much 42:55:53 21 done as we can. We are going to take a quick, five minutes. I'm going to continue down the list 42:55:58 24 to see how much we can get done from the	1		1			
21:52:53 16 capture any sediment that's shown during the development process.  21:52:59 18 MS. DEWOLF: Do you know if you have adhered to the 75-foot buffer for all of these streams with that slope stabilization?  21:53:11 21 THE WITNESS: I believe I I believe we have. I am  21:53:22 23 MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look 21:55:53 24 like it. But it is hard to say.  21:52:53 16 Capture any sediment that's shown during the development process.  17 (Witness excused.)  18 MR. MCKENNA: Here is what I would like to do. We are going to run a little vould done as we can. We are going to take a quick, five minute bathroom break. I mean quick, five minutes. I'm going to continue down the list to see how much we can get done from the	1	•				
development process.  21:52:59 18  MS. DEWOLF: Do you know if you  21:53:05 19 have adhered to the 75-foot buffer for all of these streams with that slope stabilization?  21:53:11 21  THE WITNESS: I believe I I  21:53:12 23  MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look 21:53:22 24 like it. But it is hard to say.  17  (Witness excused.)  MR. MCKENNA: Here is what I  21:55:46 19 would like to do. We are going to run a little 21:55:48 20 late tonight we are going to try to get as much 21:55:50 21 done as we can. We are going to take a quick, 21:55:57 23 minutes. I'm going to continue down the list 21:55:58 24 to see how much we can get done from the		·				
MS. DEWOLF: Do you know if you have adhered to the 75-foot buffer for all of these streams with that slope stabilization?  THE WITNESS: I believe I I  believe we have. I am  MS. DEWOLF: Do you know if you have adhered to the 75-foot buffer for all of these streams with that slope stabilization?  THE WITNESS: I believe I I  believe we have. I am  MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look  MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look  like it. But it is hard to say.	1		1			
have adhered to the 75-foot buffer for all of these streams with that slope stabilization?  THE WITNESS: I believe I I  believe we have. I am  MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look  like it. But it is hard to say.  21:55:50  19 would like to do. We are going to run a little  late tonight we are going to try to get as much  done as we can. We are going to take a quick,  five-minute bathroom break. I mean quick, five  minutes. I'm going to continue down the list  to see how much we can get done from the			21:55:46 18			
these streams with that slope stabilization?  THE WITNESS: I believe I I  these streams with that slope stabilization?  THE WITNESS: I believe I I  these streams with that slope stabilization?  THE WITNESS: I believe I I  these streams with that slope stabilization?  THE WITNESS: I believe I I  these streams with that slope stabilization?  THE WITNESS: I believe I I  these streams with that slope stabilization?  I these streams with that slope stabilization?  I these streams with that slope stabilization?  I these streams with that slope stabilization?  I these streams with that slope stabilization?  I these streams with that slope stabilization?  I these streams with that slope stabilization?  I these streams with that slope stabilization?  I the with that slope stabilization?  I the with that slope stabilization?  I the with that slope stabilization?  I the with that slope stabilization?  I the with that slope stabilization?  I the with that slope stabilization?  I the with that slope stabilization?  I the with that slope stabilization?  I the with that slope stabilization?  I the with that slope stabilization?  I the with that slope stabilization?  I the with that slope stabilization?  I the with that slope stabilization?  I the with that slope stabilization?  I the with that slope stabilization?  I the with that slope stabilization?  I the with that slope stabilization?  I the with the with the ware going to try to get as much done as we can. We are going to take a quick, five with the wit						
THE WITNESS: I believe I I  21:53:11  21 believe we have. I am  21:53:21  22 believe we have. I am  MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look  21:55:57  23 minutes. I'm going to continue down the list  21:55:58  24 to see how much we can get done from the	1					
believe we have. I am  21:55:14 22 believe we have. I am  21:55:20 23 MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look  21:55:21 24 like it. But it is hard to say.  21:55:55 22 five-minute bathroom break. I mean quick, five  21:55:57 23 minutes. I'm going to continue down the list  21:55:58 24 to see how much we can get done from the		·				
21:53:20 23 MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look 21:55:57 23 minutes. I'm going to continue down the list 21:55:58 24 to see how much we can get done from the			21:55:53 22			
		MS. DEWOLF: Kind of doesn't look	21:55:57 23	minutes. I'm going to continue down the list		
51 of 61 sheets Page 1712 to 1715 of 1754 10/27/2017 07:37:52 AM	21:53:21 24					
	51 of 61 sh	eets Page 1712 to	1715 of 17	54 10/27/2017 07:37:52 AM		

	1716		1718
21:56:00 1	parties tonight. Let's go off the record.	22:04:19 1	that's being presented by Toll Brothers. I
21:56:06 2	(Recess taken.)	22:04:22 2	also would like to enter into the proceedings a
22:01:48 3	MR. MCKENNA: We are going to go	22:04:27 3	resolution that was passed by the West Chester
в 4	back on the record. The rebuttal witness was	22:04:30 4	Area School District Board of Directors on
22:02:03 5	presented out of order, so I'm going to go back		March 17th, 2017, requesting an annual impact
22:02:05 6	down my list. At this point I'm up to Mr.	22:04:36 6	fee of \$645,000 for five years.
22:02:08 7	DuFault from Brandywine at Thornbury. Do you	22:04:41 7	The Toll Brothers fiscal analysis
22:02:10 8	have any case to put on tonight?	22:04:43 8	that was done in October 13th, 2016, I'm just
22:02:11 9	MR. DUFAULT: I have no case to	22:04:48 9	looking at the one for the 317-home
22:02:13 10	put on tonight. Thank you.	22:04:51 10	development, projects an annual loss of
22:02:15 11	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Bevilacqua, for	22:04:56 11	\$128,949 for the school district. I believe
22:02:19 12	West Glen HOA, do you have any testimony or	22:04:58 12	that testimony was done several meetings ago.
22:02:21 13	evidence, do you have a case to present for the	22:05:00 13	It does project a surplus of
22:02:24 14	client? Mr. Bevilacqua is out in the hallway?	22:05:04 14	\$661,545 for Plan B, but Plan B would increase
22:02:29 15	A VOICE: He just left. He left.	22:05:09 15	the number of three-bedroom carriage homes, and
22:02:32 16	MR. MCKENNA: We will take that	22:05:14 16	that makes a difference I think in terms of
22:02:33 17	as a no then.	22:05:16 17	estimates.
22:02:37 18	Down to the School District, Mr.	22:05:16 18	I think there were some problems
22:02:39 19	Scanlon?	22:05:17 19	with the assumptions made. With the addition
22:02:40 20	DR. SCANLON: I do.	22:05:20 <b>20</b>	of full-day Kindergarten in our school district
22:02:41 21	MR. MCKENNA: Why don't we have	22:05:23 21	and the number of blended learning classes that
22:02:42 22	you sworn in and up to the podium, please.	22:05:25 22	we offer for our high school students now, we
23	JAMES R. SCANLON,	22:05:28 23	are seeing more families move into these
24	the witness herein, having first been	22:05:31 24	affordable homes in the West Chester Area
	1717		1719
1	1717 duly sworn on oath, was examined and	22:05:34	School District.
1 22:03:03 <b>2</b>	1717 duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:	22:05:34 2	1719 School District. Now, the carriage homes are a way
	duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon, thank	22:05:34 2	School District.  Now, the carriage homes are a way for families, while they are still expensive,
22:03:03 2	duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon, thank you for your patience this evening. I wanted	22:05:34 2	School District.  Now, the carriage homes are a way for families, while they are still expensive, they are much more affordable than the large,
22:03:03 <b>2</b> 22:03:03 <b>3</b>	duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon, thank you for your patience this evening. I wanted to try to make sure to get you done tonight.	22:05:34 2 22:05:38 3	School District.  Now, the carriage homes are a way for families, while they are still expensive, they are much more affordable than the large, four-bedroom, three-bedrooms homes, and we are
22:03:03 <b>2</b> 22:03:03 <b>3</b> 22:03:04 <b>4</b>	duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon, thank you for your patience this evening. I wanted to try to make sure to get you done tonight.  Do you have any documents you are going to be	22:05:34	School District.  Now, the carriage homes are a way for families, while they are still expensive, they are much more affordable than the large, four-bedroom, three-bedrooms homes, and we are seeing families move into that.
22:03:03 <b>2</b> 22:03:03 <b>3</b> 22:03:04 <b>4</b> 22:03:06 <b>5</b>	duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon, thank you for your patience this evening. I wanted to try to make sure to get you done tonight.  Do you have any documents you are going to be offering tonight?	22:05:34	School District.  Now, the carriage homes are a way for families, while they are still expensive, they are much more affordable than the large, four-bedroom, three-bedrooms homes, and we are seeing families move into that.  We had testimony from a young
22:03:03 <b>2</b> 22:03:03 <b>3</b> 22:03:04 <b>4</b> 22:03:06 <b>5</b> 22:03:08 <b>6</b>	duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon, thank you for your patience this evening. I wanted to try to make sure to get you done tonight.  Do you have any documents you are going to be offering tonight?  THE WITNESS: I do. I'll	22:05:34	School District.  Now, the carriage homes are a way for families, while they are still expensive, they are much more affordable than the large, four-bedroom, three-bedrooms homes, and we are seeing families move into that.  We had testimony from a young parent tonight who indicated she has young
22:03:03	duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon, thank you for your patience this evening. I wanted to try to make sure to get you done tonight.  Do you have any documents you are going to be offering tonight?  THE WITNESS: I do. I'll distribute those right now.	22:05:34	School District.  Now, the carriage homes are a way for families, while they are still expensive, they are much more affordable than the large, four-bedroom, three-bedrooms homes, and we are seeing families move into that.  We had testimony from a young parent tonight who indicated she has young children who will be attending our schools. We
22:03:03	duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon, thank you for your patience this evening. I wanted to try to make sure to get you done tonight.  Do you have any documents you are going to be offering tonight?  THE WITNESS: I do. I'll distribute those right now.  MR. MCKENNA: Okay. Thank you.	22:05:34	School District.  Now, the carriage homes are a way for families, while they are still expensive, they are much more affordable than the large, four-bedroom, three-bedrooms homes, and we are seeing families move into that.  We had testimony from a young parent tonight who indicated she has young children who will be attending our schools. We are seeing more and more of that. The impact
22:03:03	duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon, thank you for your patience this evening. I wanted to try to make sure to get you done tonight.  Do you have any documents you are going to be offering tonight?  THE WITNESS: I do. I'll distribute those right now.  MR. MCKENNA: Okay. Thank you.  I'm going to mark this exhibit as School	22:05:34	School District.  Now, the carriage homes are a way for families, while they are still expensive, they are much more affordable than the large, four-bedroom, three-bedrooms homes, and we are seeing families move into that.  We had testimony from a young parent tonight who indicated she has young children who will be attending our schools. We are seeing more and more of that. The impact study that the school district did for the
22:03:03	duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon, thank you for your patience this evening. I wanted to try to make sure to get you done tonight.  Do you have any documents you are going to be offering tonight?  THE WITNESS: I do. I'll distribute those right now.  MR. MCKENNA: Okay. Thank you. I'm going to mark this exhibit as School District 1.	22:05:34	School District.  Now, the carriage homes are a way for families, while they are still expensive, they are much more affordable than the large, four-bedroom, three-bedrooms homes, and we are seeing families move into that.  We had testimony from a young parent tonight who indicated she has young children who will be attending our schools. We are seeing more and more of that. The impact study that the school district did for the Rustin Walk development in 2013 yielded a net
22:03:03	duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon, thank you for your patience this evening. I wanted to try to make sure to get you done tonight.  Do you have any documents you are going to be offering tonight?  THE WITNESS: I do. I'll distribute those right now.  MR. MCKENNA: Okay. Thank you. I'm going to mark this exhibit as School District 1.  Mr. Scanlon, as you have heard,	22:05:34	School District.  Now, the carriage homes are a way for families, while they are still expensive, they are much more affordable than the large, four-bedroom, three-bedrooms homes, and we are seeing families move into that.  We had testimony from a young parent tonight who indicated she has young children who will be attending our schools. We are seeing more and more of that. The impact study that the school district did for the Rustin Walk development in 2013 yielded a net annual gain for the township of about \$18,927.
22:03:03	duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon, thank you for your patience this evening. I wanted to try to make sure to get you done tonight.  Do you have any documents you are going to be offering tonight?  THE WITNESS: I do. I'll distribute those right now.  MR. MCKENNA: Okay. Thank you.  I'm going to mark this exhibit as School District 1.  Mr. Scanlon, as you have heard, please try to keep your voice up and speak into	22:05:34	School District.  Now, the carriage homes are a way for families, while they are still expensive, they are much more affordable than the large, four-bedroom, three-bedrooms homes, and we are seeing families move into that.  We had testimony from a young parent tonight who indicated she has young children who will be attending our schools. We are seeing more and more of that. The impact study that the school district did for the Rustin Walk development in 2013 yielded a net annual gain for the township of about \$18,927. This was done by Ray Ott & Associates. And
22:03:03	duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon, thank you for your patience this evening. I wanted to try to make sure to get you done tonight.  Do you have any documents you are going to be offering tonight?  THE WITNESS: I do. I'll distribute those right now.  MR. MCKENNA: Okay. Thank you.  I'm going to mark this exhibit as School District 1.  Mr. Scanlon, as you have heard, please try to keep your voice up and speak into that mike so that everybody can hear you.	22:05:34	School District.  Now, the carriage homes are a way for families, while they are still expensive, they are much more affordable than the large, four-bedroom, three-bedrooms homes, and we are seeing families move into that.  We had testimony from a young parent tonight who indicated she has young children who will be attending our schools. We are seeing more and more of that. The impact study that the school district did for the Rustin Walk development in 2013 yielded a net annual gain for the township of about \$18,927. This was done by Ray Ott & Associates. And approximately \$14,699 for the school district.
22:03:03	duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon, thank you for your patience this evening. I wanted to try to make sure to get you done tonight.  Do you have any documents you are going to be offering tonight?  THE WITNESS: I do. I'll distribute those right now.  MR. MCKENNA: Okay. Thank you.  I'm going to mark this exhibit as School  District 1.  Mr. Scanlon, as you have heard, please try to keep your voice up and speak into that mike so that everybody can hear you.  THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm familiar	22:05:34	School District.  Now, the carriage homes are a way for families, while they are still expensive, they are much more affordable than the large, four-bedroom, three-bedrooms homes, and we are seeing families move into that.  We had testimony from a young parent tonight who indicated she has young children who will be attending our schools. We are seeing more and more of that. The impact study that the school district did for the Rustin Walk development in 2013 yielded a net annual gain for the township of about \$18,927. This was done by Ray Ott & Associates. And approximately \$14,699 for the school district.  I tell you that because we are
22:03:03	duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon, thank you for your patience this evening. I wanted to try to make sure to get you done tonight.  Do you have any documents you are going to be offering tonight?  THE WITNESS: I do. I'll distribute those right now.  MR. MCKENNA: Okay. Thank you.  I'm going to mark this exhibit as School District 1.  Mr. Scanlon, as you have heard, please try to keep your voice up and speak into that mike so that everybody can hear you.  THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm familiar with the mikes here at Stetson.	22:05:34	School District.  Now, the carriage homes are a way for families, while they are still expensive, they are much more affordable than the large, four-bedroom, three-bedrooms homes, and we are seeing families move into that.  We had testimony from a young parent tonight who indicated she has young children who will be attending our schools. We are seeing more and more of that. The impact study that the school district did for the Rustin Walk development in 2013 yielded a net annual gain for the township of about \$18,927. This was done by Ray Ott & Associates. And approximately \$14,699 for the school district.  I tell you that because we are not new to the concept of doing estimates on
22:03:03	duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon, thank you for your patience this evening. I wanted to try to make sure to get you done tonight.  Do you have any documents you are going to be offering tonight?  THE WITNESS: I do. I'll distribute those right now.  MR. MCKENNA: Okay. Thank you.  I'm going to mark this exhibit as School District 1.  Mr. Scanlon, as you have heard, please try to keep your voice up and speak into that mike so that everybody can hear you.  THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm familiar with the mikes here at Stetson.  Thank you everybody, and thanks	22:05:34	School District.  Now, the carriage homes are a way for families, while they are still expensive, they are much more affordable than the large, four-bedroom, three-bedrooms homes, and we are seeing families move into that.  We had testimony from a young parent tonight who indicated she has young children who will be attending our schools. We are seeing more and more of that. The impact study that the school district did for the Rustin Walk development in 2013 yielded a net annual gain for the township of about \$18,927. This was done by Ray Ott & Associates. And approximately \$14,699 for the school district.  I tell you that because we are not new to the concept of doing estimates on developments. In fact, our school board is
22:03:03	duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon, thank you for your patience this evening. I wanted to try to make sure to get you done tonight.  Do you have any documents you are going to be offering tonight?  THE WITNESS: I do. I'll distribute those right now.  MR. MCKENNA: Okay. Thank you.  I'm going to mark this exhibit as School District 1.  Mr. Scanlon, as you have heard, please try to keep your voice up and speak into that mike so that everybody can hear you.  THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm familiar with the mikes here at Stetson.  Thank you everybody, and thanks for your patience. Thanks to Westtown Township	22:05:34	School District.  Now, the carriage homes are a way for families, while they are still expensive, they are much more affordable than the large, four-bedroom, three-bedrooms homes, and we are seeing families move into that.  We had testimony from a young parent tonight who indicated she has young children who will be attending our schools. We are seeing more and more of that. The impact study that the school district did for the Rustin Walk development in 2013 yielded a net annual gain for the township of about \$18,927. This was done by Ray Ott & Associates. And approximately \$14,699 for the school district.  I tell you that because we are not new to the concept of doing estimates on developments. In fact, our school board is going through revising its capital plan now.
22:03:03	duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon, thank you for your patience this evening. I wanted to try to make sure to get you done tonight.  Do you have any documents you are going to be offering tonight?  THE WITNESS: I do. I'll distribute those right now.  MR. MCKENNA: Okay. Thank you.  I'm going to mark this exhibit as School District 1.  Mr. Scanlon, as you have heard, please try to keep your voice up and speak into that mike so that everybody can hear you.  THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm familiar with the mikes here at Stetson.  Thank you everybody, and thanks for your patience. Thanks to Westtown Township for holding these hearings, and thank you for	22:05:34	School District.  Now, the carriage homes are a way for families, while they are still expensive, they are much more affordable than the large, four-bedroom, three-bedrooms homes, and we are seeing families move into that.  We had testimony from a young parent tonight who indicated she has young children who will be attending our schools. We are seeing more and more of that. The impact study that the school district did for the Rustin Walk development in 2013 yielded a net annual gain for the township of about \$18,927. This was done by Ray Ott & Associates. And approximately \$14,699 for the school district.  I tell you that because we are not new to the concept of doing estimates on developments. In fact, our school board is going through revising its capital plan now. We want to make a presentation in January.
22:03:03	duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon, thank you for your patience this evening. I wanted to try to make sure to get you done tonight.  Do you have any documents you are going to be offering tonight?  THE WITNESS: I do. I'll distribute those right now.  MR. MCKENNA: Okay. Thank you.  I'm going to mark this exhibit as School District 1.  Mr. Scanlon, as you have heard, please try to keep your voice up and speak into that mike so that everybody can hear you.  THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm familiar with the mikes here at Stetson.  Thank you everybody, and thanks for your patience. Thanks to Westtown Township for holding these hearings, and thank you for listening. Thanks for allowing me to make some	22:05:34	School District.  Now, the carriage homes are a way for families, while they are still expensive, they are much more affordable than the large, four-bedroom, three-bedrooms homes, and we are seeing families move into that.  We had testimony from a young parent tonight who indicated she has young children who will be attending our schools. We are seeing more and more of that. The impact study that the school district did for the Rustin Walk development in 2013 yielded a net annual gain for the township of about \$18,927. This was done by Ray Ott & Associates. And approximately \$14,699 for the school district.  I tell you that because we are not new to the concept of doing estimates on developments. In fact, our school board is going through revising its capital plan now. We want to make a presentation in January. This development and the final product of this
22:03:03	duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon, thank you for your patience this evening. I wanted to try to make sure to get you done tonight.  Do you have any documents you are going to be offering tonight?  THE WITNESS: I do. I'll distribute those right now.  MR. MCKENNA: Okay. Thank you.  I'm going to mark this exhibit as School  District 1.  Mr. Scanlon, as you have heard, please try to keep your voice up and speak into that mike so that everybody can hear you.  THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm familiar with the mikes here at Stetson.  Thank you everybody, and thanks for your patience. Thanks to Westtown Township for holding these hearings, and thank you for listening. Thanks for allowing me to make some comments tonight.	22:05:34	School District.  Now, the carriage homes are a way for families, while they are still expensive, they are much more affordable than the large, four-bedroom, three-bedrooms homes, and we are seeing families move into that.  We had testimony from a young parent tonight who indicated she has young children who will be attending our schools. We are seeing more and more of that. The impact study that the school district did for the Rustin Walk development in 2013 yielded a net annual gain for the township of about \$18,927. This was done by Ray Ott & Associates. And approximately \$14,699 for the school district.  I tell you that because we are not new to the concept of doing estimates on developments. In fact, our school board is going through revising its capital plan now. We want to make a presentation in January. This development and the final product of this development has, certainly has an impact on
22:03:03	duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Scanlon, thank you for your patience this evening. I wanted to try to make sure to get you done tonight.  Do you have any documents you are going to be offering tonight?  THE WITNESS: I do. I'll distribute those right now.  MR. MCKENNA: Okay. Thank you.  I'm going to mark this exhibit as School District 1.  Mr. Scanlon, as you have heard, please try to keep your voice up and speak into that mike so that everybody can hear you.  THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm familiar with the mikes here at Stetson.  Thank you everybody, and thanks for your patience. Thanks to Westtown Township for holding these hearings, and thank you for listening. Thanks for allowing me to make some	22:05:34	School District.  Now, the carriage homes are a way for families, while they are still expensive, they are much more affordable than the large, four-bedroom, three-bedrooms homes, and we are seeing families move into that.  We had testimony from a young parent tonight who indicated she has young children who will be attending our schools. We are seeing more and more of that. The impact study that the school district did for the Rustin Walk development in 2013 yielded a net annual gain for the township of about \$18,927. This was done by Ray Ott & Associates. And approximately \$14,699 for the school district.  I tell you that because we are not new to the concept of doing estimates on developments. In fact, our school board is going through revising its capital plan now. We want to make a presentation in January. This development and the final product of this

1	4-00		4700
	1720	,	1722
22:06:40	was based on 25 four-bedroom and 25	22:08:39 1	taxes collected by school districts. House
22:06:43 2	three-bedroom homes. That yielded about 29	22:08:43 2	Bill 1213 was considered last spring, which
22:06:46	students. That development is 70 percent sold	22:08:45	would make it illegal for school districts to
1 4	now, and about, I would say about 16 homes	22:08:47	challenge assessments of those commercial
22:06:55 5	delivered. We have 14 students currently	22:08:49 <b>5</b>	properties when they sell for an extraordinary amount of money.
22:06:58 6	coming out of there, ten Elementary, three		The bill, if it had passed, would
	middle and one high school. Those projections will probably be a little bit above 29,		not allow school districts to appeal an
	probably closer to 40 kids.		assessed value of a commercial property when it
22:07:06 9	Again, we are starting to see	22:08:58 9	sold at a much higher value. To give you an
22:07:08 10	more students coming into our school district	22:09:01 10	example of how we use that to help generate
22:07:09	from these homes.	22:09:06 12	some of the income that's stated in that
22:07:11 12	And then I have in that sheet,	22:09:09 12	report. Again, if it is true, I'm concerned
22:07:12 13	what that was based on, some of the revenue out	22:09:09 13	that that may go away with future legislation.
22:07:15 14	of that Ray Ott study. I won't repeat that.	22:09:11 15	We challenged 17 different
22:07:17 13	You have that there to look through.	22:09:14 16	property assessments over the past two years,
22:07:19 17	When you look at the Toll	22:09:19 17	generating an additional \$1.4 million in
22:07:23 18	Brothers fiscal analysis, page three of that	22:09:21 18	revenue. That money was used to pay for
22:07:25 19	analysis states that the school district has	22:09:24 19	programs and services for our students. Those
22:07:29 20	considerable nonresidential development	22:09:28 20	17 properties went from a cumulative value of
22:07:30 21	throughout its eight municipalities, and this	22:09:31 21	\$93 million to \$363 million.
22:07:33 22	nonresidential development generates	22:09:35 22	Now, if the bill passes, the
22:07:35 23	substantial tax revenue. The fact that we are	22:09:37 23	school district will not be allowed to appeal
18 24	subsidizing the residential development in the	22:09:39 24	these new market values and our ability to
	1721		1723
000740 1			
22:07:40	district, that is true. We do. However, I	22:09:42	subsidize those tax rates for homeowners would
22:07:40	think there are two potential problems with	22:09:42 1	be eliminated or limited.
22:07:43 2	think there are two potential problems with	22:09:45 2	be eliminated or limited.
22:07:43 <b>2</b> 22:07:46 <b>3</b>	think there are two potential problems with that statement.	22:09:45 <b>2</b> 22:09:46 <b>3</b>	be eliminated or limited.  Senate Bill 76 was another bill
22:07:43 <b>2</b> 22:07:46 <b>3</b> 22:07:47 <b>4</b>	think there are two potential problems with that statement.  When you look at our district, in	22:09:45 <b>2</b> 22:09:46 <b>3</b> 22:09:50 <b>4</b>	be eliminated or limited.  Senate Bill 76 was another bill that was defeated by one tie-breaking vote last
22:07:43 <b>2</b> 22:07:46 <b>3</b> 22:07:47 <b>4</b> 22:07:50 <b>5</b>	think there are two potential problems with that statement.  When you look at our district, in fact, our district has the lowest tax rate in	22:09:45 <b>2</b> 22:09:46 <b>3</b> 22:09:50 <b>4</b> 22:09:54 <b>5</b>	be eliminated or limited.  Senate Bill 76 was another bill that was defeated by one tie-breaking vote last spring. This bill would have eliminated most
22:07:43	think there are two potential problems with that statement.  When you look at our district, in fact, our district has the lowest tax rate in Chester County, coupled with the excellent	22:09:45 <b>2</b> 22:09:46 <b>3</b> 22:09:50 <b>4</b> 22:09:54 <b>5</b> 22:09:56 <b>6</b>	be eliminated or limited.  Senate Bill 76 was another bill that was defeated by one tie-breaking vote last spring. This bill would have eliminated most property taxes collected by school districts
22:07:43	think there are two potential problems with that statement.  When you look at our district, in fact, our district has the lowest tax rate in Chester County, coupled with the excellent schools, makes it very attractive for young	22:09:45 <b>2</b> 22:09:46 <b>3</b> 22:09:50 <b>4</b> 22:09:54 <b>5</b> 22:09:56 <b>6</b> 22:09:59 <b>7</b>	be eliminated or limited.  Senate Bill 76 was another bill that was defeated by one tie-breaking vote last spring. This bill would have eliminated most property taxes collected by school districts and funding for public education would be in
22:07:43	think there are two potential problems with that statement.  When you look at our district, in fact, our district has the lowest tax rate in Chester County, coupled with the excellent schools, makes it very attractive for young families to move to the district.	22:09:45	be eliminated or limited.  Senate Bill 76 was another bill that was defeated by one tie-breaking vote last spring. This bill would have eliminated most property taxes collected by school districts and funding for public education would be in the hands of our legislators. That funding
22:07:43	think there are two potential problems with that statement.  When you look at our district, in fact, our district has the lowest tax rate in Chester County, coupled with the excellent schools, makes it very attractive for young families to move to the district.  We have reached out to our	22:09:45	be eliminated or limited.  Senate Bill 76 was another bill that was defeated by one tie-breaking vote last spring. This bill would have eliminated most property taxes collected by school districts and funding for public education would be in the hands of our legislators. That funding would come from an increase in state personal
22:07:43	think there are two potential problems with that statement.  When you look at our district, in fact, our district has the lowest tax rate in Chester County, coupled with the excellent schools, makes it very attractive for young families to move to the district.  We have reached out to our pre-school parents, we currently have 1,742	22:09:45	be eliminated or limited.  Senate Bill 76 was another bill that was defeated by one tie-breaking vote last spring. This bill would have eliminated most property taxes collected by school districts and funding for public education would be in the hands of our legislators. That funding would come from an increase in state personal income tax and sales tax. Senate Bill 76 is still being discussed in Harrisburg.  Westtown Township completed a
22:07:43	think there are two potential problems with that statement.  When you look at our district, in fact, our district has the lowest tax rate in Chester County, coupled with the excellent schools, makes it very attractive for young families to move to the district.  We have reached out to our pre-school parents, we currently have 1,742 exactly on a LISTSERV list of pre-school	22:09:45	be eliminated or limited.  Senate Bill 76 was another bill that was defeated by one tie-breaking vote last spring. This bill would have eliminated most property taxes collected by school districts and funding for public education would be in the hands of our legislators. That funding would come from an increase in state personal income tax and sales tax. Senate Bill 76 is still being discussed in Harrisburg.  Westtown Township completed a fiscal analysis in January 2017, and that
22:07:43	think there are two potential problems with that statement.  When you look at our district, in fact, our district has the lowest tax rate in Chester County, coupled with the excellent schools, makes it very attractive for young families to move to the district.  We have reached out to our pre-school parents, we currently have 1,742 exactly on a LISTSERV list of pre-school parents, most of those parents don't have kids in the system yet but they are on their way. They have been moving from smaller homes to	22:09:45	be eliminated or limited.  Senate Bill 76 was another bill that was defeated by one tie-breaking vote last spring. This bill would have eliminated most property taxes collected by school districts and funding for public education would be in the hands of our legislators. That funding would come from an increase in state personal income tax and sales tax. Senate Bill 76 is still being discussed in Harrisburg.  Westtown Township completed a fiscal analysis in January 2017, and that analysis yielded approximately 172 students
22:07:43	think there are two potential problems with that statement.  When you look at our district, in fact, our district has the lowest tax rate in Chester County, coupled with the excellent schools, makes it very attractive for young families to move to the district.  We have reached out to our pre-school parents, we currently have 1,742 exactly on a LISTSERV list of pre-school parents, most of those parents don't have kids in the system yet but they are on their way. They have been moving from smaller homes to larger homes within the district, once they	22:09:45	be eliminated or limited.  Senate Bill 76 was another bill that was defeated by one tie-breaking vote last spring. This bill would have eliminated most property taxes collected by school districts and funding for public education would be in the hands of our legislators. That funding would come from an increase in state personal income tax and sales tax. Senate Bill 76 is still being discussed in Harrisburg.  Westtown Township completed a fiscal analysis in January 2017, and that analysis yielded approximately 172 students from the Crebilly development. I provided an
22:07:43	think there are two potential problems with that statement.  When you look at our district, in fact, our district has the lowest tax rate in Chester County, coupled with the excellent schools, makes it very attractive for young families to move to the district.  We have reached out to our pre-school parents, we currently have 1,742 exactly on a LISTSERV list of pre-school parents, most of those parents don't have kids in the system yet but they are on their way. They have been moving from smaller homes to larger homes within the district, once they start having multiple children.	22:09:45	be eliminated or limited.  Senate Bill 76 was another bill that was defeated by one tie-breaking vote last spring. This bill would have eliminated most property taxes collected by school districts and funding for public education would be in the hands of our legislators. That funding would come from an increase in state personal income tax and sales tax. Senate Bill 76 is still being discussed in Harrisburg.  Westtown Township completed a fiscal analysis in January 2017, and that analysis yielded approximately 172 students from the Crebilly development. I provided an analysis of the impact on the school district
22:07:43	think there are two potential problems with that statement.  When you look at our district, in fact, our district has the lowest tax rate in Chester County, coupled with the excellent schools, makes it very attractive for young families to move to the district.  We have reached out to our pre-school parents, we currently have 1,742 exactly on a LISTSERV list of pre-school parents, most of those parents don't have kids in the system yet but they are on their way. They have been moving from smaller homes to larger homes within the district, once they start having multiple children.  These factors used in the past	22:09:45	Senate Bill 76 was another bill that was defeated by one tie-breaking vote last spring. This bill would have eliminated most property taxes collected by school districts and funding for public education would be in the hands of our legislators. That funding would come from an increase in state personal income tax and sales tax. Senate Bill 76 is still being discussed in Harrisburg.  Westtown Township completed a fiscal analysis in January 2017, and that analysis yielded approximately 172 students from the Crebilly development. I provided an analysis of the impact on the school district if we received 172 additional students, with
22:07:43	think there are two potential problems with that statement.  When you look at our district, in fact, our district has the lowest tax rate in Chester County, coupled with the excellent schools, makes it very attractive for young families to move to the district.  We have reached out to our pre-school parents, we currently have 1,742 exactly on a LISTSERV list of pre-school parents, most of those parents don't have kids in the system yet but they are on their way. They have been moving from smaller homes to larger homes within the district, once they start having multiple children.  These factors used in the past estimate the number of children coming out of	22:09:45	Senate Bill 76 was another bill that was defeated by one tie-breaking vote last spring. This bill would have eliminated most property taxes collected by school districts and funding for public education would be in the hands of our legislators. That funding would come from an increase in state personal income tax and sales tax. Senate Bill 76 is still being discussed in Harrisburg.  Westtown Township completed a fiscal analysis in January 2017, and that analysis yielded approximately 172 students from the Crebilly development. I provided an analysis of the impact on the school district if we received 172 additional students, with the assumption that Toll Brothers would be
22:07:43	think there are two potential problems with that statement.  When you look at our district, in fact, our district has the lowest tax rate in Chester County, coupled with the excellent schools, makes it very attractive for young families to move to the district.  We have reached out to our pre-school parents, we currently have 1,742 exactly on a LISTSERV list of pre-school parents, most of those parents don't have kids in the system yet but they are on their way. They have been moving from smaller homes to larger homes within the district, once they start having multiple children.  These factors used in the past estimate the number of children coming out of new developments may not be as accurate due to	22:09:45	Senate Bill 76 was another bill that was defeated by one tie-breaking vote last spring. This bill would have eliminated most property taxes collected by school districts and funding for public education would be in the hands of our legislators. That funding would come from an increase in state personal income tax and sales tax. Senate Bill 76 is still being discussed in Harrisburg.  Westtown Township completed a fiscal analysis in January 2017, and that analysis yielded approximately 172 students from the Crebilly development. I provided an analysis of the impact on the school district if we received 172 additional students, with the assumption that Toll Brothers would be building 317 housing units at the time. And a
22:07:43	think there are two potential problems with that statement.  When you look at our district, in fact, our district has the lowest tax rate in Chester County, coupled with the excellent schools, makes it very attractive for young families to move to the district.  We have reached out to our pre-school parents, we currently have 1,742 exactly on a LISTSERV list of pre-school parents, most of those parents don't have kids in the system yet but they are on their way. They have been moving from smaller homes to larger homes within the district, once they start having multiple children.  These factors used in the past estimate the number of children coming out of new developments may not be as accurate due to the marketing and the program changes that have	22:09:45	Senate Bill 76 was another bill that was defeated by one tie-breaking vote last spring. This bill would have eliminated most property taxes collected by school districts and funding for public education would be in the hands of our legislators. That funding would come from an increase in state personal income tax and sales tax. Senate Bill 76 is still being discussed in Harrisburg.  Westtown Township completed a fiscal analysis in January 2017, and that analysis yielded approximately 172 students from the Crebilly development. I provided an analysis of the impact on the school district if we received 172 additional students, with the assumption that Toll Brothers would be building 317 housing units at the time. And a copy of that memo is also attached. I believe
22:07:43	think there are two potential problems with that statement.  When you look at our district, in fact, our district has the lowest tax rate in Chester County, coupled with the excellent schools, makes it very attractive for young families to move to the district.  We have reached out to our pre-school parents, we currently have 1,742 exactly on a LISTSERV list of pre-school parents, most of those parents don't have kids in the system yet but they are on their way. They have been moving from smaller homes to larger homes within the district, once they start having multiple children.  These factors used in the past estimate the number of children coming out of new developments may not be as accurate due to the marketing and the program changes that have taken place in our district the past six years.	22:09:45	Senate Bill 76 was another bill that was defeated by one tie-breaking vote last spring. This bill would have eliminated most property taxes collected by school districts and funding for public education would be in the hands of our legislators. That funding would come from an increase in state personal income tax and sales tax. Senate Bill 76 is still being discussed in Harrisburg.  Westtown Township completed a fiscal analysis in January 2017, and that analysis yielded approximately 172 students from the Crebilly development. I provided an analysis of the impact on the school district if we received 172 additional students, with the assumption that Toll Brothers would be building 317 housing units at the time. And a copy of that memo is also attached. I believe that has been discussed and entered into
22:07:43	think there are two potential problems with that statement.  When you look at our district, in fact, our district has the lowest tax rate in Chester County, coupled with the excellent schools, makes it very attractive for young families to move to the district.  We have reached out to our pre-school parents, we currently have 1,742 exactly on a LISTSERV list of pre-school parents, most of those parents don't have kids in the system yet but they are on their way. They have been moving from smaller homes to larger homes within the district, once they start having multiple children.  These factors used in the past estimate the number of children coming out of new developments may not be as accurate due to the marketing and the program changes that have taken place in our district the past six years.  Second point I would like to make	22:09:45	Senate Bill 76 was another bill that was defeated by one tie-breaking vote last spring. This bill would have eliminated most property taxes collected by school districts and funding for public education would be in the hands of our legislators. That funding would come from an increase in state personal income tax and sales tax. Senate Bill 76 is still being discussed in Harrisburg.  Westtown Township completed a fiscal analysis in January 2017, and that analysis yielded approximately 172 students from the Crebilly development. I provided an analysis of the impact on the school district if we received 172 additional students, with the assumption that Toll Brothers would be building 317 housing units at the time. And a copy of that memo is also attached. I believe that has been discussed and entered into evidence in a previous meeting as well. I
22:07:43	think there are two potential problems with that statement.  When you look at our district, in fact, our district has the lowest tax rate in Chester County, coupled with the excellent schools, makes it very attractive for young families to move to the district.  We have reached out to our pre-school parents, we currently have 1,742 exactly on a LISTSERV list of pre-school parents, most of those parents don't have kids in the system yet but they are on their way. They have been moving from smaller homes to larger homes within the district, once they start having multiple children.  These factors used in the past estimate the number of children coming out of new developments may not be as accurate due to the marketing and the program changes that have taken place in our district the past six years.	22:09:45	Senate Bill 76 was another bill that was defeated by one tie-breaking vote last spring. This bill would have eliminated most property taxes collected by school districts and funding for public education would be in the hands of our legislators. That funding would come from an increase in state personal income tax and sales tax. Senate Bill 76 is still being discussed in Harrisburg.  Westtown Township completed a fiscal analysis in January 2017, and that analysis yielded approximately 172 students from the Crebilly development. I provided an analysis of the impact on the school district if we received 172 additional students, with the assumption that Toll Brothers would be building 317 housing units at the time. And a copy of that memo is also attached. I believe that has been discussed and entered into

		Ī _	4=00
	1724		1726
22:10:47 1	Many states already allow	22:12:26	Q. Okay. Isn't it true that the school
22:10:49 2	municipalities the ability to impose impact	22:12:30 2	district generates or funds its revenue
22:10:51 3	fees on developers. Texas adopted the first	22:12:32 3	primarily from tax-related revenue?
7 4	general impact fee enabling act in 1987. To	22;12:35 4	A. Yes, it does.
22:10:58 5	date, I believe 29 states have adopted impact	22:12:36 5	Q. Are there other sources of revenue for
22:11:02 6	fee enabling legislation. The State of	22:12:3B <b>6</b>	the school district?
22:11:05 7	Maryland grants authority to impose school	22:12:39 7	A. We do have other sources of revenue.
22:11:07	impact fees, as does California, Florida and	22:12:41 8	Q. What would they be, just briefly, if
22:11:09 9	Washington.	22:12:44 9	you could tell us?
22:11:10 10	Pennsylvania does not allow	22:12:44 10	A. We have activity fees. We receive
22:11:12 11	school districts to impose impact fees, but it	22:12:47 11	about 85 percent of our revenue locally,
22:11:15 12	does allow municipalities some ability to do so	22:12:50 12	primarily property taxes is the biggest revenue
22:11:18 13	through the approval process.	22:12:54 13	generator.
22:11:20 14	I would like to officially enter	22:12:54 14	We get about 14, 13 or 14 percent
22:11:22 15	this memo into the record, along with a	22:12:58 15	from the state, about 1 percent from the
22:11:24 16	resolution taken by the West Chester Area	22:13:02 16	federal government through some Title I and
22:11:26 17	School District Board of School Directors,	22:13:06 17	Title II grants. Those are grant entitlements.
22:11:28 18	dated March 27th, 2017, requesting an annual	22:13:09 18	We also go after approximately
22:11:32 19	payment of \$645,000 for the next five years to	22:13:13 19	\$200,000 of additional grant money every year
22:11:36 20	offset the costs incurred by the district for	22:13:16 20	for additional funding, and activity fees for
22:11:38 21	this large development. This is what we	22:13:19 21	participation in sports generates about
22:11:41 22	believe to be the fiscal impact to the school	22:13:21 22	\$350,000 in revenue.
22:11:43 23	district and its taxpayers.	22:13:23 23	Q. And I believe you just stated somewhere
45 24	And again, those calculations are	22:13:26 24	in your memorandum, in School District
_			
	1725		1727
22:11:47 <b>1</b>	part of the report that I submit tonight.	22:13:28 1	Exhibit 1, that the Public School Code does not
22:11:47 <b>1</b> 22:11:51 <b>2</b>	part of the report that I submit tonight.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Dr.	22:13:28 <b>1</b> 22:13:31 <b>2</b>	Exhibit 1, that the Public School Code does not enable a school district to impose an impact
	part of the report that I submit tonight.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Dr.  Scanlon. I will note that the School District		Exhibit 1, that the Public School Code does not enable a school district to impose an impact fee; is that correct?
22:11:51 2	part of the report that I submit tonight.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Dr.  Scanlon. I will note that the School District  1 includes a copy of your memo you just	22:13:31 2	Exhibit 1, that the Public School Code does not enable a school district to impose an impact fee; is that correct?  A. That's correct.
22:11:51 2 2 22:11:51 3	part of the report that I submit tonight.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Dr.  Scanlon. I will note that the School District  1 includes a copy of your memo you just referenced, March 29th, 2017 cover letter; the	22:13:31 2 2 22:13:34 3	Exhibit 1, that the Public School Code does not enable a school district to impose an impact fee; is that correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. Has the school board or the school
22:11:51 <b>2</b> 22:11:51 <b>3</b> 22:11:54 <b>4</b>	part of the report that I submit tonight.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Dr.  Scanlon. I will note that the School District  1 includes a copy of your memo you just referenced, March 29th, 2017 cover letter; the resolution from the school board that you	22:13:31 <b>2</b> 22:13:34 <b>3</b> 22:13:34 <b>4</b>	Exhibit 1, that the Public School Code does not enable a school district to impose an impact fee; is that correct?  A. That's correct. Q. Has the school board or the school district sought impact fees from other
22:11:51 <b>2</b> 22:11:51 <b>3</b> 22:11:54 <b>4</b> 22:11:56 <b>5</b>	part of the report that I submit tonight.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Dr.  Scanlon. I will note that the School District  1 includes a copy of your memo you just referenced, March 29th, 2017 cover letter; the resolution from the school board that you referenced; and the memo to Todd Poole from	22:13:31 <b>2</b> 22:13:34 <b>3</b> 22:13:34 <b>4</b> 22:13:35 <b>5</b>	Exhibit 1, that the Public School Code does not enable a school district to impose an impact fee; is that correct?  A. That's correct. Q. Has the school board or the school district sought impact fees from other developments in the school district?
22:11:51 <b>2</b> 22:11:51 <b>3</b> 22:11:54 <b>4</b> 22:11:56 <b>5</b> 22:12:01 <b>6</b>	part of the report that I submit tonight.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Dr.  Scanlon. I will note that the School District  1 includes a copy of your memo you just referenced, March 29th, 2017 cover letter; the resolution from the school board that you	22:13:31 <b>2</b> 22:13:34 <b>3</b> 22:13:34 <b>4</b> 22:13:35 <b>5</b> 22:13:37 <b>6</b>	Exhibit 1, that the Public School Code does not enable a school district to impose an impact fee; is that correct?  A. That's correct. Q. Has the school board or the school district sought impact fees from other developments in the school district? A. We have not, no. Not to my knowledge.
22:11:51	part of the report that I submit tonight.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Dr.  Scanlon. I will note that the School District  1 includes a copy of your memo you just referenced, March 29th, 2017 cover letter; the resolution from the school board that you referenced; and the memo to Todd Poole from January, with the calculations.  Do you have anything further? Or	22:13:31	Exhibit 1, that the Public School Code does not enable a school district to impose an impact fee; is that correct?  A. That's correct. Q. Has the school board or the school district sought impact fees from other developments in the school district? A. We have not, no. Not to my knowledge. Not since my tenure.
22:11:51	part of the report that I submit tonight.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Dr.  Scanlon. I will note that the School District  1 includes a copy of your memo you just referenced, March 29th, 2017 cover letter; the resolution from the school board that you referenced; and the memo to Todd Poole from January, with the calculations.	22:13:31	Exhibit 1, that the Public School Code does not enable a school district to impose an impact fee; is that correct?  A. That's correct. Q. Has the school board or the school district sought impact fees from other developments in the school district? A. We have not, no. Not to my knowledge. Not since my tenure. Q. And how long have you been
22:11:51	part of the report that I submit tonight.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Dr.  Scanlon. I will note that the School District  1 includes a copy of your memo you just referenced, March 29th, 2017 cover letter; the resolution from the school board that you referenced; and the memo to Todd Poole from January, with the calculations.  Do you have anything further? Or does that sum up your testimony?  DR. SCANLON: That's it.	22:13:31	Exhibit 1, that the Public School Code does not enable a school district to impose an impact fee; is that correct?  A. That's correct. Q. Has the school board or the school district sought impact fees from other developments in the school district? A. We have not, no. Not to my knowledge. Not since my tenure. Q. And how long have you been superintendant?
22:11:51	part of the report that I submit tonight.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Dr.  Scanlon. I will note that the School District  1 includes a copy of your memo you just referenced, March 29th, 2017 cover letter; the resolution from the school board that you referenced; and the memo to Todd Poole from January, with the calculations.  Do you have anything further? Or does that sum up your testimony?	22:13:31	Exhibit 1, that the Public School Code does not enable a school district to impose an impact fee; is that correct?  A. That's correct. Q. Has the school board or the school district sought impact fees from other developments in the school district? A. We have not, no. Not to my knowledge. Not since my tenure. Q. And how long have you been superintendant? A. Eight years.
22:11:51	part of the report that I submit tonight.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Dr.  Scanlon. I will note that the School District  1 includes a copy of your memo you just referenced, March 29th, 2017 cover letter; the resolution from the school board that you referenced; and the memo to Todd Poole from January, with the calculations.  Do you have anything further? Or does that sum up your testimony?  DR. SCANLON: That's it.	22:13:31	Exhibit 1, that the Public School Code does not enable a school district to impose an impact fee; is that correct?  A. That's correct. Q. Has the school board or the school district sought impact fees from other developments in the school district? A. We have not, no. Not to my knowledge. Not since my tenure. Q. And how long have you been superintendant? A. Eight years. Q. So this is your first time?
22:11:51	part of the report that I submit tonight.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Dr.  Scanlon. I will note that the School District  1 includes a copy of your memo you just referenced, March 29th, 2017 cover letter; the resolution from the school board that you referenced; and the memo to Todd Poole from January, with the calculations.  Do you have anything further? Or does that sum up your testimony?  DR. SCANLON: That's it.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, do you have any cross?  MR. ADELMAN: Yes. Thank you.	22:13:31	Exhibit 1, that the Public School Code does not enable a school district to impose an impact fee; is that correct?  A. That's correct. Q. Has the school board or the school district sought impact fees from other developments in the school district? A. We have not, no. Not to my knowledge.  Not since my tenure. Q. And how long have you been superintendant? A. Eight years. Q. So this is your first time? A. That's correct.
22:11:51	part of the report that I submit tonight.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Dr.  Scanlon. I will note that the School District  1 includes a copy of your memo you just referenced, March 29th, 2017 cover letter; the resolution from the school board that you referenced; and the memo to Todd Poole from January, with the calculations.  Do you have anything further? Or does that sum up your testimony?  DR. SCANLON: That's it.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, do you have any cross?	22:13:31	Exhibit 1, that the Public School Code does not enable a school district to impose an impact fee; is that correct?  A. That's correct. Q. Has the school board or the school district sought impact fees from other developments in the school district? A. We have not, no. Not to my knowledge. Not since my tenure. Q. And how long have you been superintendant? A. Eight years. Q. So this is your first time? A. That's correct. Q. How is it going so far?
22:11:51	part of the report that I submit tonight.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Dr.  Scanlon. I will note that the School District  1 includes a copy of your memo you just referenced, March 29th, 2017 cover letter; the resolution from the school board that you referenced; and the memo to Todd Poole from January, with the calculations.  Do you have anything further? Or does that sum up your testimony?  DR. SCANLON: That's it.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, do you have any cross?  MR. ADELMAN: Yes. Thank you.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. ADELMAN:	22:13:31	Exhibit 1, that the Public School Code does not enable a school district to impose an impact fee; is that correct?  A. That's correct. Q. Has the school board or the school district sought impact fees from other developments in the school district? A. We have not, no. Not to my knowledge.  Not since my tenure. Q. And how long have you been superintendant? A. Eight years. Q. So this is your first time? A. That's correct. Q. How is it going so far? A. I have been to a lot of late meetings.
22:11:51	part of the report that I submit tonight.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Dr.  Scanlon. I will note that the School District  1 includes a copy of your memo you just referenced, March 29th, 2017 cover letter; the resolution from the school board that you referenced; and the memo to Todd Poole from January, with the calculations.  Do you have anything further? Or does that sum up your testimony?  DR. SCANLON: That's it.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, do you have any cross?  MR. ADELMAN: Yes. Thank you.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. ADELMAN:  Q. Good evening, Dr. Scanlon. How are	22:13:31	Exhibit 1, that the Public School Code does not enable a school district to impose an impact fee; is that correct?  A. That's correct. Q. Has the school board or the school district sought impact fees from other developments in the school district? A. We have not, no. Not to my knowledge. Not since my tenure. Q. And how long have you been superintendant? A. Eight years. Q. So this is your first time? A. That's correct. Q. How is it going so far? A. I have been to a lot of late meetings. Q. Just checking.
22:11:51	part of the report that I submit tonight.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Dr.  Scanlon. I will note that the School District  1 includes a copy of your memo you just referenced, March 29th, 2017 cover letter; the resolution from the school board that you referenced; and the memo to Todd Poole from January, with the calculations.  Do you have anything further? Or does that sum up your testimony?  DR. SCANLON: That's it.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, do you have any cross?  MR. ADELMAN: Yes. Thank you.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. ADELMAN:  Q. Good evening, Dr. Scanlon. How are you?	22:13:31	Exhibit 1, that the Public School Code does not enable a school district to impose an impact fee; is that correct?  A. That's correct. Q. Has the school board or the school district sought impact fees from other developments in the school district? A. We have not, no. Not to my knowledge.  Not since my tenure. Q. And how long have you been superintendant? A. Eight years. Q. So this is your first time? A. That's correct. Q. How is it going so far? A. I have been to a lot of late meetings. Q. Just checking. A. Just like a day in the office.
22:11:51	part of the report that I submit tonight.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Dr.  Scanlon. I will note that the School District  1 includes a copy of your memo you just referenced, March 29th, 2017 cover letter; the resolution from the school board that you referenced; and the memo to Todd Poole from January, with the calculations.  Do you have anything further? Or does that sum up your testimony?  DR. SCANLON: That's it.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, do you have any cross?  MR. ADELMAN: Yes. Thank you.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. ADELMAN:  Q. Good evening, Dr. Scanlon. How are you?  A. I'm doing well.	22:13:31	Exhibit 1, that the Public School Code does not enable a school district to impose an impact fee; is that correct?  A. That's correct. Q. Has the school board or the school district sought impact fees from other developments in the school district? A. We have not, no. Not to my knowledge.  Not since my tenure. Q. And how long have you been superintendant? A. Eight years. Q. So this is your first time? A. That's correct. Q. How is it going so far? A. I have been to a lot of late meetings. Q. Just checking. A. Just like a day in the office. Q. You state in your Exhibit SD-1 on page
22:11:51	part of the report that I submit tonight.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Dr.  Scanlon. I will note that the School District  1 includes a copy of your memo you just referenced, March 29th, 2017 cover letter; the resolution from the school board that you referenced; and the memo to Todd Poole from January, with the calculations.  Do you have anything further? Or does that sum up your testimony?  DR. SCANLON: That's it.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, do you have any cross?  MR. ADELMAN: Yes. Thank you.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. ADELMAN:  Q. Good evening, Dr. Scanlon. How are you?  A. I'm doing well.  Q. Great. Just a few questions. First of	22:13:31	Exhibit 1, that the Public School Code does not enable a school district to impose an impact fee; is that correct?  A. That's correct. Q. Has the school board or the school district sought impact fees from other developments in the school district? A. We have not, no. Not to my knowledge.  Not since my tenure. Q. And how long have you been superintendant? A. Eight years. Q. So this is your first time? A. That's correct. Q. How is it going so far? A. I have been to a lot of late meetings. Q. Just checking. A. Just like a day in the office. Q. You state in your Exhibit SD-1 on page 2, Pennsylvania does not allow school districts
22:11:51	part of the report that I submit tonight.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Dr.  Scanlon. I will note that the School District  1 includes a copy of your memo you just referenced, March 29th, 2017 cover letter; the resolution from the school board that you referenced; and the memo to Todd Poole from January, with the calculations.  Do you have anything further? Or does that sum up your testimony?  DR. SCANLON: That's it.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, do you have any cross?  MR. ADELMAN: Yes. Thank you.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. ADELMAN:  Q. Good evening, Dr. Scanlon. How are you?  A. I'm doing well.  Q. Great. Just a few questions. First of all, do you act in the official capacity as	22:13:31	Exhibit 1, that the Public School Code does not enable a school district to impose an impact fee; is that correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. Has the school board or the school district sought impact fees from other developments in the school district?  A. We have not, no. Not to my knowledge.  Not since my tenure.  Q. And how long have you been superintendant?  A. Eight years.  Q. So this is your first time?  A. That's correct.  Q. How is it going so far?  A. I have been to a lot of late meetings.  Q. Just checking.  A. Just like a day in the office.  Q. You state in your Exhibit SD-1 on page 2, Pennsylvania does not allow school districts to impose impact fees, but it allows
22:11:51	part of the report that I submit tonight.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Dr.  Scanlon. I will note that the School District  1 includes a copy of your memo you just referenced, March 29th, 2017 cover letter; the resolution from the school board that you referenced; and the memo to Todd Poole from January, with the calculations.  Do you have anything further? Or does that sum up your testimony?  DR. SCANLON: That's it.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, do you have any cross?  MR. ADELMAN: Yes. Thank you.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. ADELMAN:  Q. Good evening, Dr. Scanlon. How are you?  A. I'm doing well.  Q. Great. Just a few questions. First of all, do you act in the official capacity as superintendent of the West Chester Area School	22:13:31	Exhibit 1, that the Public School Code does not enable a school district to impose an impact fee; is that correct?  A. That's correct. Q. Has the school board or the school district sought impact fees from other developments in the school district? A. We have not, no. Not to my knowledge.  Not since my tenure. Q. And how long have you been superintendant? A. Eight years. Q. So this is your first time? A. That's correct. Q. How is it going so far? A. I have been to a lot of late meetings. Q. Just checking. A. Just like a day in the office. Q. You state in your Exhibit SD-1 on page 2, Pennsylvania does not allow school districts to impose impact fees, but it allows municipalities some ability to do so.
22:11:51	part of the report that I submit tonight.  MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Dr.  Scanlon. I will note that the School District  1 includes a copy of your memo you just referenced, March 29th, 2017 cover letter; the resolution from the school board that you referenced; and the memo to Todd Poole from January, with the calculations.  Do you have anything further? Or does that sum up your testimony?  DR. SCANLON: That's it.  MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman, do you have any cross?  MR. ADELMAN: Yes. Thank you.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. ADELMAN:  Q. Good evening, Dr. Scanlon. How are you?  A. I'm doing well.  Q. Great. Just a few questions. First of all, do you act in the official capacity as	22:13:31	Exhibit 1, that the Public School Code does not enable a school district to impose an impact fee; is that correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. Has the school board or the school district sought impact fees from other developments in the school district?  A. We have not, no. Not to my knowledge.  Not since my tenure.  Q. And how long have you been superintendant?  A. Eight years.  Q. So this is your first time?  A. That's correct.  Q. How is it going so far?  A. I have been to a lot of late meetings.  Q. Just checking.  A. Just like a day in the office.  Q. You state in your Exhibit SD-1 on page 2, Pennsylvania does not allow school districts to impose impact fees, but it allows

	1728		1730		
22:14:16 1	A. Basis is we have been through an	22:16:08	received, are you, in terms of legal counsel?		
22:14:19 2	approval process similar to developers when we	22:16:09 2	MR. ADELMAN: Why can't I?		
22:14:21 3	do construction projects. Each municipality	22:16:10 3	MR. MCKENNA: Because that would		
;5 <b>4</b>	has a different set of regulations. We have	22:16:11 4	be attorney/client privilege.		
22:14:27 <b>5</b>	eight municipalities in our district. We have	22:16:13 5	MR. ADELMAN: You are not his		
22:14:30 6	built schools or have renovated schools in	22:16:14 6	attorney.		
22:14:34 7	several of those. Each municipality has a	22:16:14 7	MR. MCKENNA: I can still raise		
22:14:36	different set of regulations.	22:16:15	the issue, however.		
22:14:37	Sometimes there are permit fees	22:16:16 9	MR. ADELMAN: You are not his		
22:14:39 10	that are done. There are road improvement fees	22:16:18 10	attorney.		
22:14:42 11	that have to go along with some of that. So	22:16:18 11	MR. MCKENNA: I can still raise		
22:14:45 12	when I refer to impact fees, I'm referring with	22:16:19 12	the issue, however.		
22:14:48 13	regard to construction in this process.	22:16:20 13	MR. ADELMAN: Fine.		
22:14:52 14	Q. Do you have any knowledge as to whether	22:16:21 14	MR. MCKENNA: Counsel		
22:14:54 15	this Board, the Township Board of Supervisors,	22:16:22 15	MR. ADELMAN: I'll rephrase it.		
22:14:56 16	has any legal authority to impose an impact fee	22:16:23 16	MR. MCKENNA: we both have an		
22:14:58 17	on behalf of the school district?	22:16:24 17	obligation not to disclose attorney/client		
22:15:00 18	A. On behalf of the district. Not on	22:16:26 18	MR. ADELMAN: I will rephrase the		
22:15:05 19	behalf of the school district.	22:16:27 19	question.		
22:15:06 <b>20</b>	But I believe they can put some	22:16:27 20	MR. MCKENNA: Thank you.		
22:15:08 21	conditional uses, and I have seen that with	21	BY MR. ADELMAN:		
22:15:10 22	other municipalities, in terms of the final	22:16:32 22	Q. Based upon your advice that you		
22:15:12 23	approval of the project.	22:16:34 23	received from counsel, did you move forward		
14 24	Q. Well, what would this fee be for? The	22:16:37 24	with enacting the resolution?		
	1729		1731		
22:15:16 1	school district, isn't that correct?	22:16:39	A. We did, γes.		
22:15:17 2	A. This is for the school district. We	22:16:40 2	Q. Would you agree with me that if the		
22:15:20 3	have a shortage of the costs that are involved	22:16:43	imposition of an impact fee for the school		
22:15:23 4	in that in terms of additional classroom space,	22:16:46	district by this Board were deemed to be		
22:15:26 5	additional teachers that we are going to	22:16:48 5	illegal, then the resolution would be illegal?		
22:15:29 6	generate. And that, again, I listed all of	22:16:53 6	A. A resolution is not illegal. School		
22:15:31 7	that in the memo with Todd Poole as part of	22:16:57	boards can pass resolutions for just about		
22:15:35	that.	22:17:00	anything they deem appropriate.		
22:15:35	Q. But you don't have any knowledge as to	22:17:02	Whether or not it can happen is		
22:15:37 10	whether this Board even has the authority to	22:17:06 10	going to be up to other attorneys, I would		
22:15:38 11			7:09 11 imagine.		
4.0	impose a impact fee for school districts; is	22:17:09 11			
	that correct?	22:17:09 12	Q. But you are coming here to this Board		
22:15:41 13	that correct?  A. They do not per se for school	22:17:09 <b>12</b> 22:17:11 <b>13</b>	<b>Q.</b> But you are coming here to this Board in your official capacity as a representative		
22:15:41 <b>13</b> 22:15:44 <b>14</b>	that correct?  A. They do not per se for school districts. But they I believe have the	22:17:09 <b>12</b> 22:17:11 <b>13</b> 22:17:14 <b>14</b>	<b>Q.</b> But you are coming here to this Board in your official capacity as a representative of a governmental agency; isn't that correct?		
22:15:41 <b>13</b> 22:15:44 <b>14</b> 22:15:46 <b>15</b>	A. They do not per se for school districts. But they I believe have the authority to set some conditions for approval.	22:17:09 <b>12</b> 22:17:11 <b>13</b> 22:17:14 <b>14</b> 22:17:16 <b>15</b>	<ul><li>Q. But you are coming here to this Board in your official capacity as a representative of a governmental agency; isn't that correct?</li><li>A. That's correct.</li></ul>		
22:15:41 <b>13</b> 22:15:44 <b>14</b> 22:15:46 <b>15</b> 22:15:49 <b>16</b>	A. They do not per se for school districts. But they I believe have the authority to set some conditions for approval.  Q. Did you or the School District Board of	22:17:09 <b>12</b> 22:17:11 <b>13</b> 22:17:14 <b>14</b> 22:17:16 <b>15</b> 22:17:16 <b>16</b>	<ul> <li>Q. But you are coming here to this Board in your official capacity as a representative of a governmental agency; isn't that correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And you are seeking a request from this</li> </ul>		
22:15:41	A. They do not per se for school districts. But they I believe have the authority to set some conditions for approval. Q. Did you or the School District Board of Directors consult with your legal counsel as to	22:17:09 12 22:17:11 13 22:17:14 14 22:17:16 15 22:17:16 16 22:17:20 17	<ul> <li>Q. But you are coming here to this Board in your official capacity as a representative of a governmental agency; isn't that correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And you are seeking a request from this Board, another governmental agency, to impose a</li> </ul>		
22:15:41 13 22:15:44 14 22:15:46 15 22:15:49 16 22:15:52 17 22:15:54 18	A. They do not per se for school districts. But they I believe have the authority to set some conditions for approval. Q. Did you or the School District Board of Directors consult with your legal counsel as to the legality of your March 27, '17 resolution	22:17:09 12 22:17:11 13 22:17:14 14 22:17:16 15 22:17:16 16 22:17:20 17 22:17:23 18	<ul> <li>Q. But you are coming here to this Board in your official capacity as a representative of a governmental agency; isn't that correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And you are seeking a request from this Board, another governmental agency, to impose a fee on my client; isn't that correct?</li> </ul>		
22:15:41 13 22:15:44 14 22:15:46 15 22:15:49 16 22:15:52 17 22:15:54 18 22:15:59 19	A. They do not per se for school districts. But they I believe have the authority to set some conditions for approval. Q. Did you or the School District Board of Directors consult with your legal counsel as to the legality of your March 27, '17 resolution before voting on it?	22:17:09 12 22:17:11 13 22:17:14 14 22:17:16 15 22:17:16 16 22:17:20 17 22:17:23 18 22:17:25 19	<ul> <li>Q. But you are coming here to this Board in your official capacity as a representative of a governmental agency; isn't that correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And you are seeking a request from this Board, another governmental agency, to impose a fee on my client; isn't that correct?</li> <li>A. We are, we are requesting that as per</li> </ul>		
22:15:41 12 22:15:41 13 22:15:44 14 22:15:46 15 22:15:49 16 22:15:52 17 22:15:54 18 22:15:59 19 0 20	A. They do not per se for school districts. But they I believe have the authority to set some conditions for approval. Q. Did you or the School District Board of Directors consult with your legal counsel as to the legality of your March 27, '17 resolution before voting on it? A. We did.	22:17:09 12 22:17:11 13 22:17:14 14 22:17:16 15 22:17:16 16 22:17:20 17 22:17:23 18 22:17:25 19 22:17:27 20	<ul> <li>Q. But you are coming here to this Board in your official capacity as a representative of a governmental agency; isn't that correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And you are seeking a request from this Board, another governmental agency, to impose a fee on my client; isn't that correct?</li> <li>A. We are, we are requesting that as per that resolution, that is correct.</li> </ul>		
22:15:41 13 22:15:44 14 22:15:46 15 22:15:49 16 22:15:52 17 22:15:54 18 22:15:59 19	A. They do not per se for school districts. But they I believe have the authority to set some conditions for approval. Q. Did you or the School District Board of Directors consult with your legal counsel as to the legality of your March 27, '17 resolution before voting on it? A. We did. Q. And were you advised this was a legal	22:17:09 12 22:17:11 13 22:17:14 14 22:17:16 15 22:17:16 16 22:17:20 17 22:17:23 18 22:17:25 19 22:17:27 20 22:17:28 21	<ul> <li>Q. But you are coming here to this Board in your official capacity as a representative of a governmental agency; isn't that correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And you are seeking a request from this Board, another governmental agency, to impose a fee on my client; isn't that correct?</li> <li>A. We are, we are requesting that as per that resolution, that is correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And would you agree with me that</li> </ul>		
22:15:41 13 22:15:44 14 22:15:49 16 22:15:52 17 22:15:54 18 22:15:59 19 0 20 22:16:00 21 22:16:00 21	A. They do not per se for school districts. But they I believe have the authority to set some conditions for approval. Q. Did you or the School District Board of Directors consult with your legal counsel as to the legality of your March 27, '17 resolution before voting on it? A. We did. Q. And were you advised this was a legal resolution?	22:17:09 12 22:17:11 13 22:17:14 14 22:17:16 15 22:17:20 17 22:17:23 18 22:17:25 19 22:17:27 20 22:17:28 21 22:17:31 22	Q. But you are coming here to this Board in your official capacity as a representative of a governmental agency; isn't that correct?  A. That's correct. Q. And you are seeking a request from this Board, another governmental agency, to impose a fee on my client; isn't that correct?  A. We are, we are requesting that as per that resolution, that is correct. Q. Okay. And would you agree with me that imposing that fee on my client would have an		
22:15:41 13 22:15:44 14 22:15:46 15 22:15:49 16 22:15:52 17 22:15:54 18 22:15:59 19  0 20 22:16:00 21	A. They do not per se for school districts. But they I believe have the authority to set some conditions for approval. Q. Did you or the School District Board of Directors consult with your legal counsel as to the legality of your March 27, '17 resolution before voting on it? A. We did. Q. And were you advised this was a legal	22:17:09 12 22:17:11 13 22:17:14 14 22:17:16 15 22:17:16 16 22:17:20 17 22:17:23 18 22:17:25 19 22:17:27 20 22:17:28 21	<ul> <li>Q. But you are coming here to this Board in your official capacity as a representative of a governmental agency; isn't that correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And you are seeking a request from this Board, another governmental agency, to impose a fee on my client; isn't that correct?</li> <li>A. We are, we are requesting that as per that resolution, that is correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And would you agree with me that</li> </ul>		

	1732	Ī	1734
22:17:40 1	Q. My client would have to pay the dollar	22:19:30 1	school district do any type of PILOT
22:17:42 2	amount requested by the school district that	22:19:33 2	agreements, payment in lieu of taxes? Are
22:17:45 3	would be imposed by the Board; isn't that	22:19:35 3	those arrangements that have occurred during
7 4	correct?	22:19:38 4	your tenure?
22:17:47 5	A. That would be correct.	22:19:39 5	A. We have not. We do have a senior
22:17:48 6	Q. As a result of developing their	22:19:42 6	citizen work-off program where we actually
22:17:50 7	property, correct?	22:19:45 7	employ senior citizens to pay them, help pay
22:17:51 8	A. That would be correct.	22:19:49 8	their taxes. Not what you are talking about.
22:17:52 9	Q. Okay. And you don't know whether this	22:19:51 9	Q. What about LERTA or other tax incentive
22:17:54 10	Board really has the legal authority to do	22:19:55 10	programs?
22:17:56 11	that?	22:19:55 11	A. We did a LERTA program probably 15 or
22:17:56 12	A. I don't know if they have the ability	22:19:58 12	20 years ago, before my time. It was a small
22:17:58 13	to do that. I am delivering what our school	22:20:01 13	LERTA in the Borough of West Chester.
22:18:02 14	board resolution was and looking at the impact,	22:20:02 14	We were approached by another
22:18:05 15	the fiscal impact of this development.	22:20:05 15	municipality for a LERTA program just last
22:18:07 16	This development when we, we did	22:20:08 16	year, and the Board did not want to enter into
22:18:09 17	our last demographic study in 2013-14 was a	22:20:10 17	that.
22:18:14 18	different developer coming through. And at	22:20:10 18	Q. Okay. So to your knowledge, they don't
22:18:17 19	that time there was a mixed use that was in	22:20:12 19	currently do any tax incentive plans at this
22:18:20 20	there. There was an operating farm. There was	22:20:15 20	time?
22:18:21 21	some apartments that were in there. And that	22:20:15 21	A. No.
22:18:23 22	was generating approximately 48 students coming	22:20:15 22	MR. ADELMAN: Okay. I have
22:18:26 23	out of there, because it was some age	22:20:16 23	nothing further.
28 24	restricted and apartments. It was a whole	22:20:17 24	MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.
1	1733		1735
22:18:30 1	different property.	22:20:19 1	Adelman.
22:18:31 2	Putting houses in there makes it	22:20:19 2	Quickly, Ms. Camp for the
22:18:33 3	a different property, different set of numbers.	22:20:20 3	Planning Commission?
22:18:36 4	I think 172 is low. I think it is going to be,	22:20:20 4	MS. CAMP: No questions.
22:18:39 <b>5</b>	with our, the number of students we get now out	22:20:21 5	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Crawford has
22:18:42 6	of our housing developments and our housing	22:20:23 6	left. Mr. Thompson, any questions?
22:18:46 7	units, as well as the interest in the school	22:20:26 7	MR. THOMPSON: No questions.
22:18:50	district, I think it is going to be closer to	22:20:27	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. DuFault has
22:18:52	260 students for us.	22:20:28 9	left.
22:18:54 10	Q. Let me ask you I'm sorry, I thought	22:20:30 10	Mr. Bevilacqua, are you still
22:18:57 11	you were done.	22:20:32 11	here? Mr. Bevilacqua has left.
22:18:57 12	A. So it is a different fiscal impact for	22:20:36 12	Mr. McFalls, any questions?
22:19:01 13	us based on that proposed development.	22:20:38 13	MR. MCFALLS: No.
22:19:03 14	<b>Q.</b> Let me give you a hypothetical. If the	22:20:38 14	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Feryo, any
22:19:06 15	Board agrees with the school district's	22:20:39 15	questions?
22:19:09 16	requests and imposes a fee on my client, and	22:20:40 16	MR. FERYO: No, thank you.
22:19:12 17	that is ultimately determined to be an unlawful	22:20:41 17	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Spackman, any
22:19:16 18	fee, will the school district no longer seek	22:20:42 18	questions?
22:19:19 19	impact fees from developers as you are doing	22:20:42 19	MR. SPACKMAN: No questions.
20	right now?	22:20:43 20	Thank you.
22:19:22 21	A. If that was legally determined to be	22:20:44 21	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Mammucari has
22:19:24 22	illegal, I would not recommend something to the	22:20:46 22	left.
22:19:27 23	Board, correct.	22:20:46 23	Mr. Jones, any questions?
22:19:28 24	Q. The last question I have is: Does the	22:20:49 24	MR. JONES: One question. Would

	1736		1738
22:20:51	you not have to raise the school tax to cover	22:22:58	might have four or five more kids in a class.
22:20:53	that loss of revenue, property tax, if it was	22:23:00 2	And eventually, if revenue would
22:20:57	not recovered through resolution you put	22:23:02 3	come back, we could lower that class size. So
3	before?	22:23:05 4	there are many strategies that we have used in
22:21:03	THE WITNESS: We, we have to go	22:23:08 5	the past, and we would continue to use them in
22:21:06	through a process to generate funds for	22:23:10 6	the future.
22:21:08	reapital. So we do that primarily through	22:23:16 7	MR. MCKENNA: Dianne Leraris, she
22:21:10	property taxes.	22:23:17	has left. I'm sorry. Amy Murnane?
	Now, there is limits on that,	22:23:20	MS. MURNANE: No questions.
22:21:13 1		22:23:21 10	MR. MCKENNA: Robert Daull, any
22:21:18 1		22:23:24 11	questions?
22:21:20 1	·	22:23:24 12	MR. DAULL: No, no questions.
22:21:23 1		22:23:25 13	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Pavelchek?
22:21:25 1		22:23:26 14	MR. PAVELCHEK: No.
22:21:27 1		22:23:27 15	MR. MCKENNA: Ms. Kramer?
22:21:31 1	•	22:23:28 16	MS. KRAMER: No.
22:21:33 1		22:23:29 17	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Skros?
22:21:35 1		22:23:30 18	MR. SKROS: No questions.
22:21:35 1		22:23:31 19	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. McFadden?
22:21:36 2	· · ·	22:23:32 20	MR. MCFADDEN: No questions.
22:21:38 2	·	22:23:34 21	MR. MCKENNA: Ms. Weller?
22:21:47 2	-	22:23:35 22	MS. WELLER: No questions.
22:21:47 2	_	22:23:36 23	MR. MCKENNA: Do you have
18 2	MS. CORCORAN: These impact fees	22:23:36 24	anything further to add, Dr. Scanlon?
1	1737		1739
22:21:50	1737 I that the district is seeking to recover, if	22:23:38 1	1739 THE WITNESS: I do not.
22:21:52	1737  I that the district is seeking to recover, if  they are not, if not able to get them, and	22:23:38 <b>1</b> 22:23:39 <b>2</b>	1739 THE WITNESS: I do not. MR. MCKENNA: Anything further
22:21:52	that the district is seeking to recover, if they are not, if not able to get them, and property taxes aren't going to cover the	22:23:38 1	THE WITNESS: I do not.  MR. MCKENNA: Anything further from either party?
22:21:52 22:21:56 22:21:59	that the district is seeking to recover, if they are not, if not able to get them, and property taxes aren't going to cover the shortfall, what types of cuts does the school	22:23:38 <b>1</b> 22:23:39 <b>2</b> 22:23:40 <b>3</b> 22:23:41 <b>4</b>	THE WITNESS: I do not.  MR. MCKENNA: Anything further from either party?  Does the Board have any questions
22:21:52 22:21:56 22:21:59 22:22:02	that the district is seeking to recover, if they are not, if not able to get them, and property taxes aren't going to cover the shortfall, what types of cuts does the school district then turn to? And how does that	22:23:38	THE WITNESS: I do not.  MR. MCKENNA: Anything further from either party?  Does the Board have any questions of Dr. Scanlon?
22:21:52 22:21:56 22:21:59 22:22:02 22:22:05	that the district is seeking to recover, if they are not, if not able to get them, and property taxes aren't going to cover the shortfall, what types of cuts does the school district then turn to? And how does that affect the quality education provided?	22:23:38	THE WITNESS: I do not.  MR. MCKENNA: Anything further from either party?  Does the Board have any questions of Dr. Scanlon?  MR. HAWS: Just one question.
22:21:52 22:21:56 22:21:59 22:22:02 22:22:05 22:22:08	that the district is seeking to recover, if they are not, if not able to get them, and property taxes aren't going to cover the shortfall, what types of cuts does the school district then turn to? And how does that affect the quality education provided? THE WITNESS: Well, when we go	22:23:38	THE WITNESS: I do not.  MR. MCKENNA: Anything further from either party?  Does the Board have any questions of Dr. Scanlon?  MR. HAWS: Just one question. You had stated that you would not be able to
22:21:52 22:21:56 22:21:59 22:22:02 22:22:05 22:22:08 22:22:09	that the district is seeking to recover, if they are not, if not able to get them, and property taxes aren't going to cover the shortfall, what types of cuts does the school district then turn to? And how does that affect the quality education provided? THE WITNESS: Well, when we go through a budget process for making budget	22:23:38 1 22:23:39 2 22:23:40 3 22:23:41 4 22:23:42 5 22:23:44 6 22:23:45 7 22:23:47 8	THE WITNESS: I do not.  MR. MCKENNA: Anything further from either party?  Does the Board have any questions of Dr. Scanlon?  MR. HAWS: Just one question.  You had stated that you would not be able to recoup the costs solely based on the property
22:21:52 22:21:56 22:21:59 22:22:02 22:22:05 22:22:08 22:22:09 22:22:14	that the district is seeking to recover, if they are not, if not able to get them, and property taxes aren't going to cover the shortfall, what types of cuts does the school district then turn to? And how does that affect the quality education provided? THE WITNESS: Well, when we go through a budget process for making budget cuts, we actually spent two years in 2011-12	22:23:38 1 22:23:39 2 22:23:40 3 22:23:41 4 22:23:42 5 22:23:44 6 22:23:45 7 22:23:47 8 22:23:51 9	THE WITNESS: I do not.  MR. MCKENNA: Anything further from either party?  Does the Board have any questions of Dr. Scanlon?  MR. HAWS: Just one question. You had stated that you would not be able to recoup the costs solely based on the property taxes, if you were to raise property taxes. Or
22:21:52 22:21:56 22:21:59 22:22:02 22:22:05 22:22:08 22:22:09 22:22:14 22:22:17 <b>1</b>	that the district is seeking to recover, if they are not, if not able to get them, and property taxes aren't going to cover the shortfall, what types of cuts does the school district then turn to? And how does that affect the quality education provided? THE WITNESS: Well, when we go through a budget process for making budget cuts, we actually spent two years in 2011-12 reducing about \$12 million of expenses to try	22:23:38 1 22:23:39 2 22:23:40 3 22:23:41 4 22:23:42 5 22:23:44 6 22:23:45 7 22:23:47 8 22:23:51 9 22:23:55 10	THE WITNESS: I do not.  MR. MCKENNA: Anything further from either party?  Does the Board have any questions of Dr. Scanlon?  MR. HAWS: Just one question.  You had stated that you would not be able to recoup the costs solely based on the property taxes, if you were to raise property taxes. Or are you just saying at the current rate?
22:21:52 22:21:56 22:21:59 22:22:02 22:22:05 22:22:08 22:22:09 22:22:14 22:22:17 22:22:20 1	that the district is seeking to recover, if they are not, if not able to get them, and property taxes aren't going to cover the shortfall, what types of cuts does the school district then turn to? And how does that affect the quality education provided? THE WITNESS: Well, when we go through a budget process for making budget cuts, we actually spent two years in 2011-12 reducing about \$12 million of expenses to try to cover shortfalls because of lowering	22:23:38 1 22:23:39 2 22:23:40 3 22:23:41 4 22:23:42 5 22:23:44 6 22:23:45 7 22:23:47 8 22:23:51 9 22:23:55 10 22:23:57 11	THE WITNESS: I do not.  MR. MCKENNA: Anything further from either party?  Does the Board have any questions of Dr. Scanlon?  MR. HAWS: Just one question. You had stated that you would not be able to recoup the costs solely based on the property taxes, if you were to raise property taxes. Or are you just saying at the current rate?  So you are saying that this is a
22:21:52 22:21:56 22:21:59 22:22:02 22:22:05 22:22:08 22:22:09 22:22:14 22:22:17 22:22:20 1 22:22:23 1	that the district is seeking to recover, if they are not, if not able to get them, and property taxes aren't going to cover the shortfall, what types of cuts does the school district then turn to? And how does that affect the quality education provided? THE WITNESS: Well, when we go through a budget process for making budget cuts, we actually spent two years in 2011-12 reducing about \$12 million of expenses to try to cover shortfalls because of lowering property values at that time and many	22:23:38 1 22:23:39 2 22:23:40 3 22:23:41 4 22:23:42 5 22:23:44 6 22:23:45 7 22:23:47 8 22:23:51 9 22:23:51 10 22:23:59 12	THE WITNESS: I do not.  MR. MCKENNA: Anything further from either party?  Does the Board have any questions of Dr. Scanlon?  MR. HAWS: Just one question.  You had stated that you would not be able to recoup the costs solely based on the property taxes, if you were to raise property taxes. Or are you just saying at the current rate?  So you are saying that this is a shortfall of 600, about \$3 million of what you
22:21:52 22:21:56 22:21:59 22:22:02 22:22:05 22:22:08 22:22:09 22:22:14 22:22:17 1 22:22:23 1 22:22:23 1	that the district is seeking to recover, if they are not, if not able to get them, and property taxes aren't going to cover the shortfall, what types of cuts does the school district then turn to? And how does that affect the quality education provided? THE WITNESS: Well, when we go through a budget process for making budget cuts, we actually spent two years in 2011-12 reducing about \$12 million of expenses to try to cover shortfalls because of lowering property values at that time and many reassessments that were taking place that were	22:23:38 1 22:23:39 2 22:23:40 3 22:23:41 4 22:23:42 5 22:23:44 6 22:23:45 7 22:23:47 8 22:23:51 9 22:23:55 10 22:23:57 11 22:23:59 12 22:24:04 13	THE WITNESS: I do not.  MR. MCKENNA: Anything further from either party?  Does the Board have any questions of Dr. Scanlon?  MR. HAWS: Just one question. You had stated that you would not be able to recoup the costs solely based on the property taxes, if you were to raise property taxes. Or are you just saying at the current rate?  So you are saying that this is a shortfall of 600, about \$3 million of what you are looking to recoup over five years, correct?
22:21:52 22:21:56 22:21:59 22:22:02 22:22:05 22:22:08 22:22:09 22:22:14 22:22:01 22:22:23 1 22:22:23 1 22:22:28 1	that the district is seeking to recover, if they are not, if not able to get them, and property taxes aren't going to cover the shortfall, what types of cuts does the school district then turn to? And how does that affect the quality education provided?  THE WITNESS: Well, when we go through a budget process for making budget cuts, we actually spent two years in 2011-12 reducing about \$12 million of expenses to try to cover shortfalls because of lowering property values at that time and many reassessments that were taking place that were lowering revenue.	22:23:38	THE WITNESS: I do not.  MR. MCKENNA: Anything further from either party?  Does the Board have any questions of Dr. Scanlon?  MR. HAWS: Just one question.  You had stated that you would not be able to recoup the costs solely based on the property taxes, if you were to raise property taxes. Or are you just saying at the current rate?  So you are saying that this is a shortfall of 600, about \$3 million of what you are looking to recoup over five years, correct?  THE WITNESS: Right, that's
22:21:52 22:21:56 22:21:59 22:22:02 22:22:05 22:22:08 22:22:09 22:22:17 22:22:21 22:22:23 1 22:22:25 1 22:22:28 1	that the district is seeking to recover, if they are not, if not able to get them, and property taxes aren't going to cover the shortfall, what types of cuts does the school district then turn to? And how does that affect the quality education provided? THE WITNESS: Well, when we go through a budget process for making budget cuts, we actually spent two years in 2011-12 reducing about \$12 million of expenses to try to cover shortfalls because of lowering property values at that time and many reassessments that were taking place that were lowering revenue. We would look at programs. We	22:23:38 1 22:23:39 2 22:23:40 3 22:23:41 4 22:23:42 5 22:23:45 7 22:23:47 8 22:23:51 9 22:23:55 10 22:23:55 11 22:23:59 12 22:24:04 13 22:24:06 14 15	THE WITNESS: I do not.  MR. MCKENNA: Anything further from either party?  Does the Board have any questions of Dr. Scanlon?  MR. HAWS: Just one question. You had stated that you would not be able to recoup the costs solely based on the property taxes, if you were to raise property taxes. Or are you just saying at the current rate?  So you are saying that this is a shortfall of 600, about \$3 million of what you are looking to recoup over five years, correct?  THE WITNESS: Right, that's correct.
22:21:52 22:21:56 22:21:59 22:22:02 22:22:05 22:22:08 22:22:09 22:22:14 22:22:01 22:22:23 1 22:22:28 1 22:22:28 1 22:22:28 1 22:22:28 1	that the district is seeking to recover, if they are not, if not able to get them, and property taxes aren't going to cover the shortfall, what types of cuts does the school district then turn to? And how does that affect the quality education provided?  THE WITNESS: Well, when we go through a budget process for making budget cuts, we actually spent two years in 2011-12 reducing about \$12 million of expenses to try to cover shortfalls because of lowering property values at that time and many reassessments that were taking place that were lowering revenue.  We would look at programs. We have many, many programs that we offer. Some	22:23:38 1 22:23:39 2 22:23:40 3 22:23:41 4 22:23:42 5 22:23:45 7 22:23:45 7 22:23:51 9 22:23:55 10 22:23:57 11 22:23:59 12 22:24:04 13 22:24:06 14 15 22:24:08 16	THE WITNESS: I do not.  MR. MCKENNA: Anything further from either party?  Does the Board have any questions of Dr. Scanlon?  MR. HAWS: Just one question. You had stated that you would not be able to recoup the costs solely based on the property taxes, if you were to raise property taxes. Or are you just saying at the current rate?  So you are saying that this is a shortfall of 600, about \$3 million of what you are looking to recoup over five years, correct?  THE WITNESS: Right, that's correct.  MR. HAWS: So you could raise
22:21:52 22:21:56 22:21:59 22:22:02 22:22:05 22:22:08 22:22:09 22:22:17 22:22:23 1 22:22:25 1 22:22:28 1 22:22:28 1 22:22:33 1 22:22:33 1 22:22:33 1	that the district is seeking to recover, if they are not, if not able to get them, and property taxes aren't going to cover the shortfall, what types of cuts does the school district then turn to? And how does that affect the quality education provided? THE WITNESS: Well, when we go through a budget process for making budget cuts, we actually spent two years in 2011-12 reducing about \$12 million of expenses to try to cover shortfalls because of lowering property values at that time and many reassessments that were taking place that were lowering revenue. We would look at programs. We have many, many programs that we offer. Some of those would possibly be in jeopardy. We	22:23:38 1 22:23:39 2 22:23:40 3 22:23:41 4 22:23:42 5 22:23:44 6 22:23:45 7 22:23:45 9 22:23:55 10 22:23:59 12 22:24:04 13 22:24:06 14 15 22:24:08 16 22:24:09 17	THE WITNESS: I do not.  MR. MCKENNA: Anything further from either party?  Does the Board have any questions of Dr. Scanlon?  MR. HAWS: Just one question. You had stated that you would not be able to recoup the costs solely based on the property taxes, if you were to raise property taxes. Or are you just saying at the current rate?  So you are saying that this is a shortfall of 600, about \$3 million of what you are looking to recoup over five years, correct?  THE WITNESS: Right, that's correct.  MR. HAWS: So you could raise taxes to recoup that cost, correct?
22:21:52 22:21:56 22:21:59 22:22:05 22:22:08 22:22:09 22:22:14 22:22:17 22:22:20 1 22:22:25 1 22:22:25 1 22:22:25 1 22:22:25 1 22:22:25 1 22:22:25 1 22:22:31 1 22:22:31	that the district is seeking to recover, if they are not, if not able to get them, and property taxes aren't going to cover the shortfall, what types of cuts does the school district then turn to? And how does that affect the quality education provided? THE WITNESS: Well, when we go through a budget process for making budget cuts, we actually spent two years in 2011-12 reducing about \$12 million of expenses to try to cover shortfalls because of lowering property values at that time and many reassessments that were taking place that were lowering revenue. We would look at programs. We have many, many programs that we offer. Some of those would possibly be in jeopardy. We would do things like temporary housing, modular	22:23:38 1 22:23:39 2 22:23:40 3 22:23:41 4 22:23:42 5 22:23:45 7 22:23:47 8 22:23:51 9 22:23:55 10 22:23:55 11 22:23:59 12 22:24:04 13 22:24:06 14 15 22:24:08 16 22:24:09 17 22:24:11 18	THE WITNESS: I do not.  MR. MCKENNA: Anything further from either party?  Does the Board have any questions of Dr. Scanlon?  MR. HAWS: Just one question. You had stated that you would not be able to recoup the costs solely based on the property taxes, if you were to raise property taxes. Or are you just saying at the current rate?  So you are saying that this is a shortfall of 600, about \$3 million of what you are looking to recoup over five years, correct?  THE WITNESS: Right, that's correct.  MR. HAWS: So you could raise taxes to recoup that cost, correct?  THE WITNESS: We can, but only
22:21:52 22:21:56 22:21:59 22:22:02 22:22:05 22:22:08 22:22:09 22:22:17 22:22:23 1 22:22:23 1 22:22:28 1 22:22:28 1 22:22:33 1 22:22:35 1 22:22:35 1 22:22:31	that the district is seeking to recover, if they are not, if not able to get them, and property taxes aren't going to cover the shortfall, what types of cuts does the school district then turn to? And how does that affect the quality education provided?  THE WITNESS: Well, when we go through a budget process for making budget cuts, we actually spent two years in 2011-12 reducing about \$12 million of expenses to try to cover shortfalls because of lowering property values at that time and many reassessments that were taking place that were lowering revenue.  We would look at programs. We have many, many programs that we offer. Some of those would possibly be in jeopardy. We would do things like temporary housing, modular units, where we can rent modular classrooms	22:23:38 1 22:23:39 2 22:23:40 3 22:23:41 4 22:23:42 5 22:23:45 7 22:23:45 7 22:23:45 10 22:23:55 10 22:23:57 11 22:23:59 12 22:24:04 13 22:24:06 14 15 22:24:08 16 22:24:09 17 22:24:11 18 22:24:12 19	THE WITNESS: I do not.  MR. MCKENNA: Anything further from either party?  Does the Board have any questions of Dr. Scanlon?  MR. HAWS: Just one question. You had stated that you would not be able to recoup the costs solely based on the property taxes, if you were to raise property taxes. Or are you just saying at the current rate?  So you are saying that this is a shortfall of 600, about \$3 million of what you are looking to recoup over five years, correct?  THE WITNESS: Right, that's correct.  MR. HAWS: So you could raise taxes to recoup that cost, correct?  THE WITNESS: We can, but only through to a certain level. There is a cap on
22:21:52 22:21:56 22:21:59 22:22:05 22:22:05 22:22:08 22:22:09 22:22:14 22:22:17 1 22:22:20 1 22:22:25 1 22:22:25 1 22:22:25 1 22:22:25 1 22:22:35 1 22:22:35 1 22:22:31	that the district is seeking to recover, if they are not, if not able to get them, and property taxes aren't going to cover the shortfall, what types of cuts does the school district then turn to? And how does that affect the quality education provided? THE WITNESS: Well, when we go through a budget process for making budget cuts, we actually spent two years in 2011-12 reducing about \$12 million of expenses to try to cover shortfalls because of lowering property values at that time and many reassessments that were taking place that were lowering revenue. We would look at programs. We have many, many programs that we offer. Some of those would possibly be in jeopardy. We would do things like temporary housing, modular units, where we can rent modular classrooms that are much less expensive than building	22:23:38 1 22:23:39 2 22:23:40 3 22:23:41 4 22:23:42 5 22:23:45 7 22:23:45 7 22:23:51 9 22:23:55 10 22:23:57 11 22:23:59 12 22:24:04 13 22:24:06 14 15 22:24:08 16 22:24:09 17 22:24:11 18 22:24:12 19 22:24:15 20	THE WITNESS: I do not.  MR. MCKENNA: Anything further from either party?  Does the Board have any questions of Dr. Scanlon?  MR. HAWS: Just one question.  You had stated that you would not be able to recoup the costs solely based on the property taxes, if you were to raise property taxes. Or are you just saying at the current rate?  So you are saying that this is a shortfall of 600, about \$3 million of what you are looking to recoup over five years, correct?  THE WITNESS: Right, that's correct.  MR. HAWS: So you could raise taxes to recoup that cost, correct?  THE WITNESS: We can, but only through to a certain level. There is a cap on the percentage of taxes that you can raise,
22:21:52 22:21:56 22:21:59 22:22:02 22:22:05 22:22:08 22:22:09 22:22:17 22:22:23 1 22:22:23 1 22:22:28 1 22:22:28 1 22:22:28 1 22:22:33 1 22:22:35 1 22:22:41 1 22:22:41 1 22:22:44 22:22:48 22:22:48 22:22:48 22:22:48	that the district is seeking to recover, if they are not, if not able to get them, and property taxes aren't going to cover the shortfall, what types of cuts does the school district then turn to? And how does that affect the quality education provided? THE WITNESS: Well, when we go through a budget process for making budget cuts, we actually spent two years in 2011-12 reducing about \$12 million of expenses to try to cover shortfalls because of lowering property values at that time and many reassessments that were taking place that were lowering revenue. We would look at programs. We have many, many programs that we offer. Some of those would possibly be in jeopardy. We would do things like temporary housing, modular units, where we can rent modular classrooms that are much less expensive than building regular classrooms.	22:23:38 1 22:23:39 2 22:23:40 3 22:23:41 4 22:23:42 5 22:23:45 7 22:23:45 7 22:23:51 9 22:23:55 10 22:23:57 11 22:23:59 12 22:24:04 13 22:24:06 14 15 22:24:08 16 22:24:09 17 22:24:11 18 22:24:12 19 22:24:15 20 22:24:19 21	THE WITNESS: I do not.  MR. MCKENNA: Anything further from either party?  Does the Board have any questions of Dr. Scanlon?  MR. HAWS: Just one question. You had stated that you would not be able to recoup the costs solely based on the property taxes, if you were to raise property taxes. Or are you just saying at the current rate?  So you are saying that this is a shortfall of 600, about \$3 million of what you are looking to recoup over five years, correct?  THE WITNESS: Right, that's correct.  MR. HAWS: So you could raise taxes to recoup that cost, correct?  THE WITNESS: We can, but only through to a certain level. There is a cap on the percentage of taxes that you can raise, unless you go out to a voter referendum in the
22:21:52 22:21:56 22:21:59 22:22:05 22:22:08 22:22:09 22:22:14 22:22:17 22:22:21 22:22:25 1 22:22:25 1 22:22:25 1 22:22:35 1 22:22:35 1 22:22:35 1 22:22:35 1 22:22:35 1 22:22:41 22:22:41 22:22:41 22:22:41 22:22:41 22:22:41 22:22:45 22:22:49 22:22:45	that the district is seeking to recover, if they are not, if not able to get them, and property taxes aren't going to cover the shortfall, what types of cuts does the school district then turn to? And how does that affect the quality education provided? THE WITNESS: Well, when we go through a budget process for making budget cuts, we actually spent two years in 2011-12 reducing about \$12 million of expenses to try to cover shortfalls because of lowering property values at that time and many reassessments that were taking place that were lowering revenue. We would look at programs. We have many, many programs that we offer. Some of those would possibly be in jeopardy. We would do things like temporary housing, modular units, where we can rent modular classrooms that are much less expensive than building regular classrooms. Personally, I would probably	22:23:38 1 22:23:39 2 22:23:40 3 22:23:41 4 22:23:42 5 22:23:45 7 22:23:45 7 22:23:47 8 22:23:51 9 22:23:55 10 22:23:55 11 22:23:59 12 22:24:06 14 15 22:24:08 16 22:24:08 16 22:24:09 17 22:24:11 18 22:24:12 19 22:24:15 20 22:24:19 21 22:24:22 22	THE WITNESS: I do not.  MR. MCKENNA: Anything further from either party?  Does the Board have any questions of Dr. Scanlon?  MR. HAWS: Just one question.  You had stated that you would not be able to recoup the costs solely based on the property taxes, if you were to raise property taxes. Or are you just saying at the current rate?  So you are saying that this is a shortfall of 600, about \$3 million of what you are looking to recoup over five years, correct?  THE WITNESS: Right, that's correct.  MR. HAWS: So you could raise taxes to recoup that cost, correct?  THE WITNESS: We can, but only through to a certain level. There is a cap on the percentage of taxes that you can raise, unless you go out to a voter referendum in the community.
22:21:52 22:21:56 22:21:59 22:22:02 22:22:05 22:22:08 22:22:09 22:22:17 22:22:23 1 22:22:23 1 22:22:28 1 22:22:28 1 22:22:28 1 22:22:33 1 22:22:35 1 22:22:41 1 22:22:41 1 22:22:44 22:22:48 22:22:48 22:22:48 22:22:48	that the district is seeking to recover, if they are not, if not able to get them, and property taxes aren't going to cover the shortfall, what types of cuts does the school district then turn to? And how does that affect the quality education provided? THE WITNESS: Well, when we go through a budget process for making budget cuts, we actually spent two years in 2011-12 reducing about \$12 million of expenses to try to cover shortfalls because of lowering property values at that time and many reassessments that were taking place that were lowering revenue. We would look at programs. We have many, many programs that we offer. Some of those would possibly be in jeopardy. We would do things like temporary housing, modular units, where we can rent modular classrooms that are much less expensive than building regular classrooms. Personally, I would probably raise class size before I would cut programs,	22:23:38 1 22:23:39 2 22:23:40 3 22:23:41 4 22:23:42 5 22:23:45 7 22:23:45 7 22:23:51 9 22:23:55 10 22:23:57 11 22:23:59 12 22:24:04 13 22:24:06 14 15 22:24:08 16 22:24:09 17 22:24:11 18 22:24:12 19 22:24:15 20 22:24:19 21	THE WITNESS: I do not.  MR. MCKENNA: Anything further from either party?  Does the Board have any questions of Dr. Scanlon?  MR. HAWS: Just one question. You had stated that you would not be able to recoup the costs solely based on the property taxes, if you were to raise property taxes. Or are you just saying at the current rate?  So you are saying that this is a shortfall of 600, about \$3 million of what you are looking to recoup over five years, correct?  THE WITNESS: Right, that's correct.  MR. HAWS: So you could raise taxes to recoup that cost, correct?  THE WITNESS: We can, but only through to a certain level. There is a cap on the percentage of taxes that you can raise, unless you go out to a voter referendum in the

	1740	17		
22:24:26	two and a half,	22:26:10 1	land treatment of wastewater, all produced by	
22:24:26 2	MR. HAWS: I'm sorry?	22:26:14 2	Pennsylvania DEP, under guidelines here in our	
22:24:28 3	THE WITNESS: Two and a half	22:26:18 3	Commonwealth.	
9 4	percent was this year. There are also mandates	22:26:19 4	The document I have before me is	
22:24:32 5	we have for special education costs. There are	22:26:21 5	the Department of Environmental Protection	
22:24:34 6	mandates for pension costs. Those are all part	22:26:24 6	Bureau of Water Quality Protection Manual For	
22:24:36 7	of the total budget project.	22:26:26 7	Land Application of Treated Sewage and	
22:24:39	Capital is one aspect. We have a	22:26:28	Industrial Waste.	
22:24:41 9	\$243 million budget, and the capital project,	22:26:29 9	MR. MCKENNA: Let's back up. You	
22:24:44 10	to borrow the funds or the bonds to build the	22:26:31 10	want the Board to take judicial notice of	
22:24:47 11	permanent space is a piece of that.	22:26:33 11	certain documents.	
22:24:50 12	MR. HAWS: Got you. Thank you.	22:26:34 12	MR. RENDEMONTI: Three documents,	
22:24:53 13	MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Dr.	22:26:35 13	three manuals.	
22:24:54 14	Scanlon. Appreciate it very much.	22:26:36 14	MR. MCKENNA: That you don't have	
22:24:57 15	(Witness excused.)	22:26:36 15	with you?	
22:24:58 16	MR. MCKENNA: I want to do a	22:26:37 16	MR. RENDEMONTI: No.	
22:24:59 17	broad question. I know there is still one	22:26:38 17	MR. MCKENNA: But in addition to	
22:25:01 18	party that has some information to offer.	22:26:39 18	that you want to offer these manuals	
22:25:03 19	Outside of Mr. Rendemonti down front, do any of	22:26:41 19	MR. RENDEMONTI: Well, this is a	
22:25:07 20	the other unrepresented parties here this	22:26:42 20	copy of one of those manuals. The other ones I	
22:25:08 21	evening have any testimony, witnesses, do you	22:26:46 21	don't have copies presently with me, but they	
22:25:10 22	have a case that you want to put on?	22:26:48 22	are the Department of Environmental Protection	
22:25:12 23	Not public comment. Public	22:26:50 23	manuals.	
13 24	comment is going to come next month. Anyone	22:26:50 24	MR. MCKENNA: Okay. Do you have	
1	1741		1743	
22:25:16	can be heard.	22:26:51	any testimony, any witnesses to offer tonight?	
22:25:16 <b>1</b> 22:25:17 <b>2</b>	can be heard.  Does anyone have any testimony,	22:26:54 2	any testimony, any witnesses to offer tonight?  MR. RENDEMONTI: No.	
22:25:17 <b>2</b> 22:25:18 <b>3</b>	can be heard.  Does anyone have any testimony, witnesses, documents that they want to offer?	22:26:54 <b>2</b> 22:26:55 <b>3</b>	any testimony, any witnesses to offer tonight?  MR. RENDEMONTI: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Nothing in support	
22:25:17 <b>2</b> 22:25:18 <b>3</b> 22:25:22 <b>4</b>	can be heard.  Does anyone have any testimony, witnesses, documents that they want to offer?  All right. I'm not hearing any.	22:26:54 <b>2</b> 22:26:55 <b>3</b> 22:26:55 <b>4</b>	any testimony, any witnesses to offer tonight?  MR. RENDEMONTI: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Nothing in support of them? You just want to have the documents	
22:25:17 <b>2</b> 22:25:18 <b>3</b> 22:25:22 <b>4</b> 22:25:24 <b>5</b>	can be heard.  Does anyone have any testimony, witnesses, documents that they want to offer?  All right. I'm not hearing any. So that will take care of the bulk of the	22:26:54 <b>2</b> 22:26:55 <b>3</b> 22:26:55 <b>4</b> 22:26:57 <b>5</b>	any testimony, any witnesses to offer tonight?  MR. RENDEMONTI: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Nothing in support of them? You just want to have the documents marked and admitted?	
22:25:17 <b>2</b> 22:25:18 <b>3</b> 22:25:22 <b>4</b> 22:25:24 <b>5</b> 22:25:26 <b>6</b>	can be heard.  Does anyone have any testimony, witnesses, documents that they want to offer?  All right. I'm not hearing any. So that will take care of the bulk of the members that are here.	22:26:54	any testimony, any witnesses to offer tonight?  MR. RENDEMONTI: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Nothing in support of them? You just want to have the documents marked and admitted?  MR. RENDEMONTI: Yes.	
22:25:17 <b>2</b> 22:25:18 <b>3</b> 22:25:22 <b>4</b> 22:25:24 <b>5</b> 22:25:26 <b>6</b> 22:25:27 <b>7</b>	Does anyone have any testimony, witnesses, documents that they want to offer?  All right. I'm not hearing any.  So that will take care of the bulk of the members that are here.  So, Mr. Rendemonti, let's come	22:26:54	any testimony, any witnesses to offer tonight?  MR. RENDEMONTI: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Nothing in support of them? You just want to have the documents marked and admitted?  MR. RENDEMONTI: Yes.  MR. MCKENNA: So before we do	
22:25:17	Does anyone have any testimony, witnesses, documents that they want to offer? All right. I'm not hearing any. So that will take care of the bulk of the members that are here. So, Mr. Rendemonti, let's come back to you. What do you have that you want to	22:26:54	any testimony, any witnesses to offer tonight?  MR. RENDEMONTI: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Nothing in support of them? You just want to have the documents marked and admitted?  MR. RENDEMONTI: Yes.  MR. MCKENNA: So before we do that, Mr. Adelman, any objection?	
22:25:17	Does anyone have any testimony, witnesses, documents that they want to offer?  All right. I'm not hearing any.  So that will take care of the bulk of the members that are here.  So, Mr. Rendemonti, let's come back to you. What do you have that you want to offer here this evening? If you wouldn't mind	22:26:54	any testimony, any witnesses to offer tonight?  MR. RENDEMONTI: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Nothing in support of them? You just want to have the documents marked and admitted?  MR. RENDEMONTI: Yes.  MR. MCKENNA: So before we do that, Mr. Adelman, any objection?  MR. ADELMAN: I object on the	
22:25:17	Does anyone have any testimony, witnesses, documents that they want to offer?  All right. I'm not hearing any.  So that will take care of the bulk of the members that are here.  So, Mr. Rendemonti, let's come back to you. What do you have that you want to offer here this evening? If you wouldn't mind maybe stealing a microphone somewhere if you	22:26:54	any testimony, any witnesses to offer tonight?  MR. RENDEMONTI: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Nothing in support of them? You just want to have the documents marked and admitted?  MR. RENDEMONTI: Yes.  MR. MCKENNA: So before we do that, Mr. Adelman, any objection?  MR. ADELMAN: I object on the basis that there is nobody here testifying to	
22:25:17	Does anyone have any testimony, witnesses, documents that they want to offer?  All right. I'm not hearing any.  So that will take care of the bulk of the members that are here.  So, Mr. Rendemonti, let's come back to you. What do you have that you want to offer here this evening? If you wouldn't mind maybe stealing a microphone somewhere if you can.	22:26:54	any testimony, any witnesses to offer tonight?  MR. RENDEMONTI: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Nothing in support of them? You just want to have the documents marked and admitted?  MR. RENDEMONTI: Yes.  MR. MCKENNA: So before we do that, Mr. Adelman, any objection?  MR. ADELMAN: I object on the basis that there is nobody here testifying to them.	
22:25:17	Does anyone have any testimony, witnesses, documents that they want to offer?  All right. I'm not hearing any.  So that will take care of the bulk of the members that are here.  So, Mr. Rendemonti, let's come back to you. What do you have that you want to offer here this evening? If you wouldn't mind maybe stealing a microphone somewhere if you can.  MR. RENDEMONTI: Certainly. I	22:26:54	any testimony, any witnesses to offer tonight?  MR. RENDEMONTI: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Nothing in support of them? You just want to have the documents marked and admitted?  MR. RENDEMONTI: Yes.  MR. MCKENNA: So before we do that, Mr. Adelman, any objection?  MR. ADELMAN: I object on the basis that there is nobody here testifying to them.  MR. RENDEMONTI: Well, I'm asking	
22:25:17	Does anyone have any testimony, witnesses, documents that they want to offer?  All right. I'm not hearing any.  So that will take care of the bulk of the members that are here.  So, Mr. Rendemonti, let's come back to you. What do you have that you want to offer here this evening? If you wouldn't mind maybe stealing a microphone somewhere if you can.  MR. RENDEMONTI: Certainly. I have documents which is just, I wish the Board	22:26:54	any testimony, any witnesses to offer tonight?  MR. RENDEMONTI: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Nothing in support of them? You just want to have the documents marked and admitted?  MR. RENDEMONTI: Yes.  MR. MCKENNA: So before we do that, Mr. Adelman, any objection?  MR. ADELMAN: I object on the basis that there is nobody here testifying to them.  MR. RENDEMONTI: Well, I'm asking for judicial notice. You don't need a witness	
22:25:17	Does anyone have any testimony, witnesses, documents that they want to offer?  All right. I'm not hearing any.  So that will take care of the bulk of the members that are here.  So, Mr. Rendemonti, let's come back to you. What do you have that you want to offer here this evening? If you wouldn't mind maybe stealing a microphone somewhere if you can.  MR. RENDEMONTI: Certainly. I have documents which is just, I wish the Board to accept notice.	22:26:54	any testimony, any witnesses to offer tonight?  MR. RENDEMONTI: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Nothing in support of them? You just want to have the documents marked and admitted?  MR. RENDEMONTI: Yes.  MR. MCKENNA: So before we do that, Mr. Adelman, any objection?  MR. ADELMAN: I object on the basis that there is nobody here testifying to them.  MR. RENDEMONTI: Well, I'm asking for judicial notice. You don't need a witness for judicial notice, for township notice.	
22:25:17	Does anyone have any testimony, witnesses, documents that they want to offer?  All right. I'm not hearing any.  So that will take care of the bulk of the members that are here.  So, Mr. Rendemonti, let's come back to you. What do you have that you want to offer here this evening? If you wouldn't mind maybe stealing a microphone somewhere if you can.  MR. RENDEMONTI: Certainly. I have documents which is just, I wish the Board to accept notice.  MR. MCKENNA: Before you hand	22:26:54	any testimony, any witnesses to offer tonight?  MR. RENDEMONTI: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Nothing in support of them? You just want to have the documents marked and admitted?  MR. RENDEMONTI: Yes.  MR. MCKENNA: So before we do that, Mr. Adelman, any objection?  MR. ADELMAN: I object on the basis that there is nobody here testifying to them.  MR. RENDEMONTI: Well, I'm asking for judicial notice. You don't need a witness for judicial notice, for township notice.  MR. ADELMAN: I also object to	
22:25:17	Does anyone have any testimony, witnesses, documents that they want to offer?  All right. I'm not hearing any.  So that will take care of the bulk of the members that are here.  So, Mr. Rendemonti, let's come back to you. What do you have that you want to offer here this evening? If you wouldn't mind maybe stealing a microphone somewhere if you can.  MR. RENDEMONTI: Certainly. I have documents which is just, I wish the Board to accept notice.  MR. MCKENNA: Before you hand them up, what is it? And let's make sure Mr.	22:26:54	any testimony, any witnesses to offer tonight?  MR. RENDEMONTI: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Nothing in support of them? You just want to have the documents marked and admitted?  MR. RENDEMONTI: Yes.  MR. MCKENNA: So before we do that, Mr. Adelman, any objection?  MR. ADELMAN: I object on the basis that there is nobody here testifying to them.  MR. RENDEMONTI: Well, I'm asking for judicial notice. You don't need a witness for judicial notice, for township notice.  MR. ADELMAN: I also object to the fact that I only have one of them.	
22:25:17	Does anyone have any testimony, witnesses, documents that they want to offer?  All right. I'm not hearing any.  So that will take care of the bulk of the members that are here.  So, Mr. Rendemonti, let's come back to you. What do you have that you want to offer here this evening? If you wouldn't mind maybe stealing a microphone somewhere if you can.  MR. RENDEMONTI: Certainly. I have documents which is just, I wish the Board to accept notice.  MR. MCKENNA: Before you hand	22:26:54	any testimony, any witnesses to offer tonight?  MR. RENDEMONTI: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Nothing in support of them? You just want to have the documents marked and admitted?  MR. RENDEMONTI: Yes.  MR. MCKENNA: So before we do that, Mr. Adelman, any objection?  MR. ADELMAN: I object on the basis that there is nobody here testifying to them.  MR. RENDEMONTI: Well, I'm asking for judicial notice. You don't need a witness for judicial notice, for township notice.  MR. ADELMAN: I also object to the fact that I only have one of them.  MR. RENDEMONTI: They are not	
22:25:17	Does anyone have any testimony, witnesses, documents that they want to offer?  All right. I'm not hearing any.  So that will take care of the bulk of the members that are here.  So, Mr. Rendemonti, let's come back to you. What do you have that you want to offer here this evening? If you wouldn't mind maybe stealing a microphone somewhere if you can.  MR. RENDEMONTI: Certainly. I have documents which is just, I wish the Board to accept notice.  MR. MCKENNA: Before you hand them up, what is it? And let's make sure Mr. Adelman gets a copy.	22:26:54	any testimony, any witnesses to offer tonight?  MR. RENDEMONTI: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Nothing in support of them? You just want to have the documents marked and admitted?  MR. RENDEMONTI: Yes.  MR. MCKENNA: So before we do that, Mr. Adelman, any objection?  MR. ADELMAN: I object on the basis that there is nobody here testifying to them.  MR. RENDEMONTI: Well, I'm asking for judicial notice. You don't need a witness for judicial notice, for township notice.  MR. ADELMAN: I also object to the fact that I only have one of them.	
22:25:17	Does anyone have any testimony, witnesses, documents that they want to offer?  All right. I'm not hearing any.  So that will take care of the bulk of the members that are here.  So, Mr. Rendemonti, let's come back to you. What do you have that you want to offer here this evening? If you wouldn't mind maybe stealing a microphone somewhere if you can.  MR. RENDEMONTI: Certainly. I have documents which is just, I wish the Board to accept notice.  MR. MCKENNA: Before you hand them up, what is it? And let's make sure Mr. Adelman gets a copy.  MR. RENDEMONTI: That's fine.	22:26:54	any testimony, any witnesses to offer tonight?  MR. RENDEMONTI: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Nothing in support of them? You just want to have the documents marked and admitted?  MR. RENDEMONTI: Yes.  MR. MCKENNA: So before we do that, Mr. Adelman, any objection?  MR. ADELMAN: I object on the basis that there is nobody here testifying to them.  MR. RENDEMONTI: Well, I'm asking for judicial notice. You don't need a witness for judicial notice, for township notice.  MR. ADELMAN: I also object to the fact that I only have one of them.  MR. RENDEMONTI: They are not hearsay. They are pub	
22:25:17	Does anyone have any testimony, witnesses, documents that they want to offer?  All right. I'm not hearing any.  So that will take care of the bulk of the members that are here.  So, Mr. Rendemonti, let's come back to you. What do you have that you want to offer here this evening? If you wouldn't mind maybe stealing a microphone somewhere if you can.  MR. RENDEMONTI: Certainly. I have documents which is just, I wish the Board to accept notice.  MR. MCKENNA: Before you hand them up, what is it? And let's make sure Mr. Adelman gets a copy.  MR. RENDEMONTI: That's fine.  I'll give a copy.	22:26:54	any testimony, any witnesses to offer tonight?  MR. RENDEMONTI: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Nothing in support of them? You just want to have the documents marked and admitted?  MR. RENDEMONTI: Yes.  MR. MCKENNA: So before we do that, Mr. Adelman, any objection?  MR. ADELMAN: I object on the basis that there is nobody here testifying to them.  MR. RENDEMONTI: Well, I'm asking for judicial notice. You don't need a witness for judicial notice, for township notice.  MR. ADELMAN: I also object to the fact that I only have one of them.  MR. RENDEMONTI: They are not hearsay. They are pub  MR. ADELMAN: Well, they are	
22:25:17	Does anyone have any testimony, witnesses, documents that they want to offer?  All right. I'm not hearing any.  So that will take care of the bulk of the members that are here.  So, Mr. Rendemonti, let's come back to you. What do you have that you want to offer here this evening? If you wouldn't mind maybe stealing a microphone somewhere if you can.  MR. RENDEMONTI: Certainly. I have documents which is just, I wish the Board to accept notice.  MR. MCKENNA: Before you hand them up, what is it? And let's make sure Mr. Adelman gets a copy.  MR. RENDEMONTI: That's fine.  I'll give a copy.  I have two other matters. They	22:26:54	any testimony, any witnesses to offer tonight?  MR. RENDEMONTI: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Nothing in support of them? You just want to have the documents marked and admitted?  MR. RENDEMONTI: Yes.  MR. MCKENNA: So before we do that, Mr. Adelman, any objection?  MR. ADELMAN: I object on the basis that there is nobody here testifying to them.  MR. RENDEMONTI: Well, I'm asking for judicial notice. You don't need a witness for judicial notice, for township notice.  MR. ADELMAN: I also object to the fact that I only have one of them.  MR. RENDEMONTI: They are not hearsay. They are pub  MR. ADELMAN: Well, they are hearsay.	
22:25:17	Does anyone have any testimony, witnesses, documents that they want to offer?  All right. I'm not hearing any.  So that will take care of the bulk of the members that are here.  So, Mr. Rendemonti, let's come back to you. What do you have that you want to offer here this evening? If you wouldn't mind maybe stealing a microphone somewhere if you can.  MR. RENDEMONTI: Certainly. I have documents which is just, I wish the Board to accept notice.  MR. MCKENNA: Before you hand them up, what is it? And let's make sure Mr. Adelman gets a copy.  MR. RENDEMONTI: That's fine.  I'll give a copy.  I have two other matters. They are by the Pennsylvania Department of	22:26:54	any testimony, any witnesses to offer tonight?  MR. RENDEMONTI: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Nothing in support of them? You just want to have the documents marked and admitted?  MR. RENDEMONTI: Yes.  MR. MCKENNA: So before we do that, Mr. Adelman, any objection?  MR. ADELMAN: I object on the basis that there is nobody here testifying to them.  MR. RENDEMONTI: Well, I'm asking for judicial notice. You don't need a witness for judicial notice, for township notice.  MR. ADELMAN: I also object to the fact that I only have one of them.  MR. RENDEMONTI: They are not hearsay. They are pub  MR. ADELMAN: Well, they are hearsay.  MR. RENDEMONTI: They are not	
22:25:17	Does anyone have any testimony, witnesses, documents that they want to offer?  All right. I'm not hearing any.  So that will take care of the bulk of the members that are here.  So, Mr. Rendemonti, let's come back to you. What do you have that you want to offer here this evening? If you wouldn't mind maybe stealing a microphone somewhere if you can.  MR. RENDEMONTI: Certainly. I have documents which is just, I wish the Board to accept notice.  MR. MCKENNA: Before you hand them up, what is it? And let's make sure Mr. Adelman gets a copy.  MR. RENDEMONTI: That's fine.  I'll give a copy.  I have two other matters. They are by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, the reuse of treated	22:26:54	any testimony, any witnesses to offer tonight?  MR. RENDEMONTI: No.  MR. MCKENNA: Nothing in support of them? You just want to have the documents marked and admitted?  MR. RENDEMONTI: Yes.  MR. MCKENNA: So before we do that, Mr. Adelman, any objection?  MR. ADELMAN: I object on the basis that there is nobody here testifying to them.  MR. RENDEMONTI: Well, I'm asking for judicial notice. You don't need a witness for judicial notice, for township notice.  MR. ADELMAN: I also object to the fact that I only have one of them.  MR. RENDEMONTI: They are not hearsay. They are pub  MR. ADELMAN: Well, they are hearsay.  MR. RENDEMONTI: They are not hearsay. They are published and they are	

	1744	T	1746	
22:27:28 1	authenticate them.	22:29:45	the objection. So if you have any other	
22:27:28 2	MR. RENDEMONTI: They are	22:29:48 2	MR. RENDEMONTI: No, I do not.	
22:27:29 3	government publications.	22:29:49 3	MR. MCKENNA: Thank you very	
. 4			much. All right.	
22:27:31 5	enough. So you are offering them, one of them,	22:29:49 4	I think, ladies and gentlemen, we	
22:27:33 6	two of them you don't have, for judicial	22:29:54	have been able to accomplish one thing tonight	
22:27:35 7	notice.	22:29:56 7	and that is to finish the testimony and the	
22:27:37 8	MR. RENDEMONTI: That's correct.	22:29:58 8	evidentiary period of the hearing. We are	
22:27:37 9	MR. MCKENNA: Any other position	22:30:01 9	going to come back on November 27th, and we	
22:27:38 10	from any other counsel?	22:30:04 10	will be at Rustin. It will start at 6:00 p.m.	
22:27:39 11	MR. ADELMAN: I'm sorry, I need	22:30:07 11	And we will take public comment.	
22:27:40 12	clarification. What were the other two, that I	22:30:10 12	MR. ADELMAN: I'm sorry to	
22:27:42 13	don't have, that are being offered?	22:30:11 13	interrupt. I have more of an administrative	
22:27:44 14	MR. RENDEMONTI: The Reuse of	22:30:13 14	matter. Are we closing the record?	
22:27:46 15	Treated Wastewater Guidance Manual No.	22:30:15 15	MR. MCKENNA: I'm not sure yet.	
22:27:50 16	3852188002, and the Manual For Land Treatment	22:30:16 16	Let me get the announcement out first, Gregg.	
22:27:53 17	of Wastewater, No. 3622000-009, published by	22:30:19 17	Hang on.	
22:28:02 18	the Department of Environmental Protection,	22:30:20 18	At that time, unless anyone has	
22:28:04 19	Pennsylvania, PA DEP.	22:30:23 19	any rebuttal witnesses that I haven't heard,	
22:28:07 20	MR. MCKENNA: I presume, Mr.	22:30:26 20	because we did have Neighbors for Crebilly, I	
22:28:08 21	Adelman, your objection hasn't changed?	22:30:29 21	don't know if the Planning Commission has	
22:28:09 22	MR. ADELMAN: My objection hasn't	22:30:30 22	anything to offer I'm hearing no it	
22:28:10 23	changed. 908 prevents you from taking any	22:30:33 23	sounds to me that the evidentiary portion of	
14 24	notice of documents unless I have the ability	22:30:35 24	this hearing will close, for that portion.	
1	1745		1747	
22:28:15	to contest them. I don't even know what they	22:30:39	I'm not going to close the record	
22:28:18 2	are being used for since there is no testimony.	22:30:40 2	because I think public comment is still	
22:28:20 3	MR. MCKENNA: Counsel, anything	22:30:42	MR. ADELMAN: I'm sorry. I just	
22:28:21 4	else that needs to be heard, you want to be	22:30:44 4	wanted to move the admission of exhibits and	
22:28:22 5	heard on that issue? Give us a second.	22:30:45 5	lodge my objections to other parties' exhibits.	
22:28:25 <b>6</b>	(Board members conferring.)	22:30:48 6	MS. CAMP: While we are of sound	
	MR. MCKENNA: We are back on	22:30:51 7	mind and not exhausted.	
	record. Mr. Rendemonti, I have discussed with the Board, we considered the objection. We are		MR. MCKENNA: We can do it on the 27th or we can do it via correspondence to me.	
22:29:14 9	going to sustain the objection tonight.	22:30:54 9	MR. ADELMAN: That's fine.	
22:29:10 10	So do you have anything else to	22:30:57	Correspondence avenue probably makes the most	
22:29:20 12	offer?	22:31:00 12	sense.	
22:29:20 13	MR. RENDEMONTI: I would like to	22:31:01 13	MR. MCKENNA: Yes, Mr. Thompson.	
22:29:21 14	offer one further thing that Toll Brothers	22:31:02 14	MR. THOMPSON: I only wanted to	
22:29:24 15	admitted in Exhibit CU A-17.	22:31:03 15	follow up concerning the witness that I made an	
22:29:26 16	MR. MCKENNA: A-17.	22:31:07 16	attempt to have testify tonight. I think you	
22:29:27 17	MR. RENDEMONTI: In CU A-17 they	22:31:10 17	indicated if he were to be able to come down on	
22:29:29 18	made reference to the manual, referred to PA	22:31:12 18	the 27th then we would have the ability to	
22:29:32 19	DEP Manual For Land Application, October 15th,	22:31:14 19	allow him.	
<sub>4</sub> 20	1997. And that's the document that I have a	22:31:15 20	MR. MCKENNA: Is he able to come	
22:29:36 <b>21</b>	copy of here that I gave to counsel.	22:31:16 21	on the 27th?	
22:29:39 22	MR. MCKENNA: Understood. I	22:31:17 22	MR. THOMPSON: I don't know. I	
22:29:41 23	don't think the Board's position is going to	22:31:18 23	wasn't even able to communicate with him,	
22:29:43 24	change, however. They are inclined to grant	22:31:20 24	obviously, tonight.	

	1748		1750
22:31:21 1	MR. MCKENNA: Is he going to	22:32:57	1750 evidentiary portion is no longer open, the
22:31:22 2	offer testimony distinct from the witness that		record still remains open, is that the parties
22:31:26 3	you presented tonight?		prepare and submit their findings of fact and
17 4	MR. THOMPSON: Yes.	22:33:04	conclusions of law for the 27th, because all
22:31:28 5	MR. MCKENNA: In what respect?	22:33:09 5	you are going to take is public comment.
22:31:29 6	MR. THOMPSON: I don't know if he	22:33:11 6	MR. MCKENNA: I'm not prepared to
22:31:30 7	will be down on the 27th or not, so I can't	22:33:13 7	do that. I haven't even discussed it with the
22:31:33	tell you. But his testimony will not	22:33:14	Board yet, Gregg. I appreciate your
22:31:37	necessarily be the same as Mr. Miller's	22:33:16	suggestion. But I have no idea when they want
22:31:41 10	testimony.	22:33:20 10	to have that by. I'm not prepared to have
22:31:41 11	MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Adelman.	22:33:23 11	that, have the conversation with my client yet.
22:31:42 12	MR. ADELMAN: Obviously, I'm	22:33:25 12	MR. ADELMAN: I'm making the
22:31:43 13	going to object. We already allowed the	22:33:26 13	motion that we do it, so that we don't unduly
22:31:44 14	witness who took an hour and a half to two	22:33:29 14	delay the process.
22:31:48 15	hours today not to show up on the 19th, to show	22:33:30 15	MR. MCKENNA: Well, I don't know
22:31:49 16	up today, and now we are doing the same thing.	22:33:30 16	of any authority to make the motion. So the
22:31:51 17	This is a never-ending story	22:33:32 17	motion is denied at this point.
22:31:53 18	here. I really don't think that we should be	22:33:34 18	I want to close the hearing for
22:31:57 19	continuing to deviate from what we said at the	22:33:36 19	tonight. I want to have any objections and
22:32:00 20	last meeting, and in this meeting as well,	22:33:39 20	requests for admissions to exhibits between now
22:32:02 21	about the order of witnesses.	22:33:42 21	and the 27th. We can then decide any of the
22:32:03 22	Public comment is what we are	22:33:46 22	admissibility at that time.
22:32:05 23	looking at for the last meeting. That's it.	22:33:48 23	We will have a request from Mr.
08 24	At this point I request that the evidentiary	22:33:49 24	Thompson with an offer of proof as to the
1	1749		1751
22:32:11 1	record be closed, no more witnesses come on, no	22:33:51	additional witness. And then any objections
22:32:15 2	more rebuttal witnesses.	22:33:54 <b>2</b>	can be lodged. And then I want the public to
22:32:16 3	MR. MCKENNA: As I said, I'm not	22:33:56 3	be prepared for the fact that at 6:00 o'clock
22:32:17 4	closing the evidentiary portion because public	22:33:58 4	we will start, one way or the other, we are
22:32:20 <b>5</b>	comment is I think still evidence. But I	22:34:00 <b>5</b>	going to get public comment in that night at
22:32:22 6	understand what you are suggesting. I know	22:34:03 6	Rustin.
22:32:23 7	what you mean, Gregg.	22:34:04 7	MR. ADELMAN: Pat, may I make a
22:32:24 8	MR. THOMPSON: I think I would	22:34:05	suggestion about objections to the exhibits,
22:32:25	just cite, I think I have a hundred days to	22:34:07	that they not be submitted on the 27th, that
22:32:28 10	present as much testimony as I would want to.	22:34:09 10	they be submitted to the township a week
22:32:31 11	MR. MCKENNA: I don't disagree.	22:34:11 11	before?
22:32:33 12	MR. THOMPSON: I've only had one	22:34:11 12	MR. MCKENNA: That's fine.
22:32:36 13	hearing so far.	22:34:11 13	MR. ADELMAN: So we can consider
22:32:37 14	MR. MCKENNA: I would, however,	22:34:12 14	it and rule on it on the 27th.
22:32:37 15	like an offer of proof between now and the next	22:34:14 15	MR. MCKENNA: I meant prior to
22:32:40 16	meeting, because I don't want to hear	22:34:15 16	the 27th. If I didn't say that, that's what I
22:32:42 17	duplicative testimony from what we have already	22:34:17 17	meant.
22:32:44 18	heard, and then I think the Board can let you	22:34:17 18	MR. ADELMAN: Just clarifying.
22:32:47 19	know between now and then.  MR. THOMPSON: That's fine.	22:34:18 19	MR. MCKENNA: Yes. Anything
20	MR. ADELMAN: I would also make	22:34:21 20	further from anyone?
22:32:49 <b>21</b>	another motion depending on what the Board	22:34:22 21	Ladies and gentlemen, thank you for your patience. We stand in continuance
22:32:50 22	decides with respect to allowing additional	22:34:23 22	until November 27th.
22:32:52 23	testimony. I would suggest that if the	22:34:25 23	(Proceedings conclude at 10:34 p.m.)
22:32:55	testimony. I would suggest that it the	44	(Frocecumys continue at 10.34 p.m.)

		1752		
	1 INDEX			1754
	2	<u> </u>		
	_		1	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
		RECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROS	<b>S</b> 2	Chester County
-1	4		4	
	5 Albert Federico	1524	5	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
	6		6	
	7 J. Michael Miller 15	41	7	I, Eleanor J. Schwandt, Registered
	8 (Voir Dire by Mr. A	Adelman - 1545)	θ	Merit Reporter and Notary Public, do hereby
	9 By Mr. Adelman	1576	9	certify that the foregoing record, pages 1512
1	<b>0</b> By Mr. Crawford	1577	10	to 1753 inclusive, is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes taken on
_	1	1583	12	October 24, 2017, in the above-captioned
	2	1303	13	matter.
			14	
-	3 Michele C. Adams 1		15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
	<b>4</b> By Mr. Adelman	1636	16	set my hand and seal this 27th day of October,
1	5		17	2017,
1	6 Jeffrey Madden	1659	18	0111
1	<b>7</b> By Ms. Camp	1684	20	Mestochrist
1	8 By Mr. Crawford	1687	21	Eleant J. Schwandt
1	9 By Mr. Thompson	1689	22	
2	0		23	
2		1717	24	
_	2 By Mr. Adelman	1725		
		1/23		
2				
2	4			

1753

# 2 TOWNSHIP EXHIBITS 3 B-31 - E-mail chain, 10/17/17 and 10/19/17 5 NEIGHBORS FOR CREBILLY EXHIBITS 6 NC-1 - Miller CV 7 NC-2 - Map, Battle of Brandywine 8 NC-3 - 3 pages of photographs 9 NC-4 - Adams review letter, 10/24/17 10 NC-5 - Topographical map 11 NC-6 - Topographical map with text overlay **12** (reserved) 13 SCHOOL DISTRICT 22:11:56 **14** SD-1 - Memo, 3/29/2017 cover letter; School 22:12:03 15 Board resolution; Memo to Todd Poole, January 2017, calculations 16 17 18 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER **PAGE 1754** 19 20 21 22 23 24

EXHIBITS

1

\$	1726:14	<b>1987</b> [1] - 1724:4	1750:21, 1751:9,	1704:9
<b>\$12</b> [1] - 1737:10	<b>144-305-I</b> [1] - 1621:4	1997 [1] - 1745:20 19th [4] - 1518:12,	1751:14, 1751:16, 1751:23, 1754:16	<b>50.4</b> [2] - 1594:10, 1594:22
\$128,949 [1] -	144-306.J <sub>[1]</sub> -	1525:16, 1525:19,	<b>29</b> [3] - 1720:2,	<b>500</b> [2] - 1682:3,
1718:11	1678:14	1748:15	1720:8, 1724:5	1682:12
<b>\$14,699</b> [1] - 1719:15	149-300.E [1] -		29th [1] - 1725:5	<b>51</b> [1] - 1612:5
<b>\$18,927</b> [1] - 1719:13	1530:15	2		<b>52</b> [1] - 1612:5
\$200,000 [1] -	<b>15</b> [3] - 1565:6,	·	3	<b>55</b> [3] - 1689:20,
1726:19	1690:15, 1734:11	<b>2</b> [10] - 1601:22,		<b>–</b> 1690:17, 1699:24
<b>\$243</b> [1] - 1740:9	<b>150</b> [1] - 1555:5	1625:12, 1625:13,	<b>3</b> [7] - 1590:13,	
\$350,000 [1] -	<b>1512</b> [1] - 1754:9	1625:18, 1625:22,	1663:4, 1665:4,	6
1726:22	<b>1524</b> [1] - 1752:5	1631:20, 1678:19,	1666:22, 1677:4,	
<b>\$363</b> [1] - 1722:21	<b>1541</b> [1] - 1752:7	1678:21, 1689:19,	1739:12, 1753:8	<b>6</b> [7] - 1600:12,
\$645,000 [2] -	<b>1545</b> [1] - 1752:8	1727:20	3/29/2017 [1] -	1601:15, 1631:20,
1718:6, 1724:19	<b>1576</b> [1] - 1752:9	<b>20</b> [4] - <b>1</b> 561:7,	1753:14	1677:3, 1677:15,
<b>\$661,545</b> [1] -	<b>1577</b> [1] - 1752:10	1648:23, 1682:22,	<b>30</b> [3] - 1699:21,	1677:16
1718:14	<b>1583</b> [1] - 1752:11	1734:12	1700:6, 1701:20	<b>6(c</b> [1] - 1653:5
<b>\$93</b> [1] - 1722:21	<b>1587</b> [1] - 1752:13	<b>200</b> [1] - 1555:5	<b>305.I</b> [1] - 1692:23	<b>60</b> [1] - 1693:12
	15th [1] - 1745:19	<b>2006</b> [1] - 1736:10	<b>309.G</b> [1] - 1692:23	<b>600</b> [1] - 1739:12
•	16 [1] - 1720:4	<b>2011-12</b> [1] - 1737:9	<b>317</b> [1] - 1723:19	<b>601</b> [1] - 1631:7
	<b>1636</b> [1] - 1752:14	<b>2013</b> [1] - 1719:12	317-home [1] -	<b>6:00</b> [2] - 1746:10,
<b>'17</b> [1] - 1729:18	<b>1659</b> [1] - 1752:16	<b>2013-14</b> [1] - 1732:17	1718:9	1751:3
<b>'83</b> [1] - 1543:18	<b>167</b> [7] - 1606:6,	<b>2016</b> [1] - 1718:8	<b>32</b> [1] - 1625:3	<b>6:05</b> [1] - 1512:11
	1606:17, 1606:22,	<b>2017</b> [13] - 1512:11,	<b>33</b> [1] - 1587:14	6th [1] - 1530:5
1	1606:23, 1665:15,	1525:2, 1525:16,	<b>35</b> [2] - 1700:6,	
	1702:11	1525:19, 1526:22,	1701:21	7
<b>1</b> [11] - 1600:5,	<b>1684</b> [1] - 1752:17	1588:17, 1718:5,	<b>350</b> [2] - 1578:5,	
1631:20, 1674:17,	<b>1687</b> [1] - 1752:18	1723:13, 1724:18,	1630:8	<b>7</b> [11] - 1601:16,
1678:19, 1679:3,	<b>1689</b> [1] - 1752:19	1725:5, 1753:16,	3622000-009 [1] -	1601:17, 1621:2,
1717:12, 1725:4,	16th [1] - 1518:16	1754:12, 1754:17	1744:17	1623:14, 1631:20,
1726:15, 1727:1,	<b>17</b> [2] - 1722:15,	<b>202</b> [19] - <b>1526</b> : <b>4</b> ,	<b>375</b> [1] - 1593:20	1678:12, 1678:13,
1736:10	1722:20	1526:8, 1526:23,	3852188002 [1] -	1678:14, 1681:3,
<b>1,742</b> [1] - 1721:10	170-1512.B [1] -	1527:5, 1527:9,	1744:16	1681: <b>4</b>
<b>1.4</b> <sub>[1]</sub> - 1722:17	1527:6	1527:13, 1528:5,	<b>3A</b> [1] - 1665:1	<b>70</b> [8] - 1668:24,
<b>10</b> [14] - 1599:3,	170-2009 [3] -	1536:1, 1537:2,	<b>3G</b> [1] - 1665:1	1691:20, 1692:13,
1600:17, 1613:13,	1590:14, 1652:9,	1537:12, 1539:1,	3rd [1] - 1530:6	1693:11, 1693:12,
1615:3, 1631:20,	1652:16	1591:2, 1595:7,		1710:1, 1710:5,
1641:14, 1662:20,	170-904.A(3)(c)(2[1]	1619:17, 1666:9,	4	1720:3
1684:12, 1684:14,	- 1590:16	1666:18, 1702:17,		<b>700</b> [2] - 1682:3,
1694:19, 1694:20,	170-904.A(3)(c)[2 [1]	1702:22	<b>4</b> [6] - 1597:14,	1682:12
1695:13, 1705:23,	- 1663:8	20th [1] - 1567:14	1599:3, 1631:20,	<b>72</b> [1] - 1632:7
1706:11	170-905.A.(2 [2] -	<b>24</b> [4] - 1512:11,	1669:19, 1671:16,	<b>72-hour</b> [1] - 1701:5
<b>10/17/17</b> [1] - 1753:3	1663:20, 1664:4	1553:23, 1678:20,	1689:19	<b>75</b> [2] - 1664:17,
<b>10/19/17</b> [1] - 1753:3	<b>1717</b> [1] - 1752:21	1754:12	<b>40</b> [2] - 1699:21,	1665:9
<b>10/24/17</b> [1] - 1753:9	<b>172</b> [3] - 1723:14,	24th [1] - 1588:17	1720:9	<b>75-foot</b> [1] - 1713:19
<b>1060</b> [1] - 1512:10	1723:17, 1733:4	<b>25</b> [3] - 1664:17,	<b>48</b> [1] - 1732:22	<b>76</b> [2] - 1723:3,
<b>10:34</b> [1] - 1751:24	<b>172-2009</b> [1] - 1590:2	1720:1		<b>1723:10</b>
<b>12</b> [3] - 1681:3,	<b>1725</b> [1] - 1752:22	<b>255</b> [1] - 1593:20	5	
1681:4, 1685:21	175-503.C.2 [1] -	<b>25th</b> [5] - 1525:2,	- 1001.00	- 8
<b>120</b> [8] - 1623:13,	1530:18	1526:22, 1529:19,	<b>5</b> [3] - 1631:20,	0 4004:45
1689:19, 1689:24,	<b>1753</b> [1] - 1754:10	1529:24, 1723:24	1673:22, 1674:16	<b>8</b> [5] - 1601:15,
1690:4, 1690:17,	<b>1754</b> [1] - 1753:18	<b>260</b> [1] - 1733:9	<b>50</b> [19] - <b>1</b> 567:8,	1622:20, 1631:15,
1699:24, 1701:14,	<b>1776</b> [1] - 1566:17	<b>27</b> [1] - 1729:18	1595:1, 1595:5,	1631:20, 1680:5
1702:1	17th [3] - 1518:10,	<b>275</b> [1] - 1593:19	1606:9, 1610:20,	<b>80</b> [1] - 1583:14
120-to-one [1] -	1518:11, 1718:5	27th [17] - 1517:20,	1612:5, 1664:18,	<b>85</b> [1] - 1726:11
1625:13	<b>1812</b> [1] - 1542:17	1518:1, 1521:19,	1666:1, 1666:6,	
<b>1213</b> [1] - 1722:2	<b>1862</b> [1] - 1553:23	1627:2, 1724:18,	1670:20, 1679:5,	9
<b>13</b> [1] - 1726:14	1970s [1] - 1542:15	1746:9, 1747:9,	1682:22, 1702:23,	9 rot = 1512:5
13th [1] - 1718:8	1979 [1] - 1543:14	1747:18, 1747:21,	1703:11, 1703:17,	<b>9</b> [9] - 1512:5, 1599:3, 1613:13,
<b>14</b> [3] - 1720:5,		1748:7, 1750:4,	1703:19, 1703:21,	1000.0, 1010.10,

1631:20, 1634:19, 1667:17, 1694:18, 1694:20, 1695:13
908 [1] - 1744:23
926 [7] - 1528:15, 1529:16, 1529:23, 1532:13, 1537:2, 1537:12, 1628:16
926/202 [2] - 1538:11, 1539:16
9th [1] - 1513:14

#### Α

A-1 [2] - 1625:2, 1625:3 A-10 [5] - 1597:14, 1599:15, 1662:20, 1684:11, 1685:22 A-17 [3] - 1745:15, 1745:16, 1745:17 **A-2**[1] - 1624:1 A-4 [6] - 1597:14, 1599:15, 1702:12, 1702:17, 1703:1, 1703:2 A-6 [5] - 1529:20, 1600:12, 1601:8, 1601:11, 1686:17 A-7 [4] - 1601:8, 1601:11, 1623:14 A-8 [3] - 1600:13, 1601:9, 1601:11 A-9 [8] - 1597:14, 1599:15, 1714:1, 1714:7, 1714:12, 1714:22, 1714:23, 1715:2 ability [15] - 1609:11, 1620:23, 1636:8, 1662:21, 1676:3, 1684:13, 1684:19, 1685:8, 1722:24, 1724:2, 1724:12, 1727:22, 1732:12, 1744:24, 1747:18 able [27] - 1517:23, 1571:3, 1576:19, 1577:21, 1589:21, 1601:5, 1604:17, 1613:15, 1628:11, 1628:24, 1642:6, 1680:17, 1680:20, 1686:19, 1699:2, 1699:14, 1699:17, 1700:9, 1700:24, 1701:4, 1705:6, 1737:2, 1739:7,

1746:6, 1747:17,

1747:20, 1747:23

above-captioned [1] - 1754:12 absolute [1] -1656:23 absolutely [8] -1533:22, 1573:21, 1575:10, 1582:12, 1583:11, 1605:9, 1611:3, 1627:16 Academy [1] -1519:9 accept [2] - 1678:22, 1741:14 acceptable [2] -1625:4, 1625:5 access [16] - 1526:4, 1526:8, 1526:13, 1526:15, 1526:16, 1526:23, 1526:24, 1527:6, 1527:9, 1529:15, 1529:20, 1536:4, 1536:16, 1537:5, 1539:1, 1539:14 accesses [2] -1526:15, 1536:18 accidents [1] -1526:17 accommodate [1] -1627:14 accommodation [1] - 1630:24 accomplish [1] -1746:6 according [3] -1600:18, 1634:23, 1646:10 accordingly [1] -1682:24 account [2] -1533:19, 1710:1 accounts [1] -1549:21 accumulation [1] -1633:17 accurate [4] -1555:16, 1617:20, 1721:19, 1754:10 achieve [1] - 1618:17 achieved [2] -1616:2, 1680:12 Acme [2] - 1654:9, 1654:11 acre [14] - 1595:2, 1623:8, 1623:10, 1668:5, 1668:22, 1691:19, 1692:11, 1692:12, 1693:10,

1693:11, 1693:13,

1709:22, 1709:23,

1710:2 acres [6] - 1594:10, 1594:23, 1595:1, 1595:5, 1610:20, 1623:9 Act [3] - 1665:15, 1702:11, 1736:10 act [2] - 1724:4, 1725:21 action [2] - 1639:16, 1639:22 active [1] - 1639:16 activities [2] -1559:20, 1560:3 activity [2] - 1726:10, 1726:20 actual [12] - 1577:23, 1600:15, 1617:21, 1664:11, 1669:6, 1669:8, 1686:24, 1692:19, 1694:1, 1694:13, 1710:8, 1710:9 Adams [25] - 1586:4, 1587:5, 1587:7, 1588:4, 1588:16, 1592:24, 1593:17, 1598:24, 1599:21, 1601:7, 1605:19, 1608:11, 1631:12, 1631:14, 1636:13, 1636:19, 1657:22, 1661:8, 1665:24, 1667:17, 1679:11, 1686:22, 1752:13, 1753:9 ADAMS [2] - 1586:9, 1586:15 Adams' [9] -1658:21, 1659:24, 1660:4, 1660:8, 1662:24, 1666:11, 1667:10, 1680:21, 1683:8 add [4] - 1594:22, 1595:3, 1595:12, 1738:24 adding [1] - 1610:20 addition [4] - 1630:3, 1648:22, 1718:19, 1742:17 additional [38] -1516:4, 1518:7, 1536:16, 1537:20, 1538:22, 1539:6, 1585:17, 1591:20, 1595:13, 1630:7, 1633:1, 1662:4, 1662:15, 1674:11, 1674:13, 1675:3,

1678:22, 1681:8, 1681:10, 1682:3, 1682:12, 1686:11, 1686:13, 1687:9, 1696:15, 1704:4, 1705:2, 1705:3, 1707:23, 1722:17, 1723:17, 1726:19, 1726:20, 1729:4, 1729:5, 1749:23, 1751:1 address [3] -1528:23, 1631:6, 1664:9 addresses [1] -1703:4 adds [1] - 1553:6 **ADELMAN** [73] -1513:3, 1521:12, 1521:18, 1522:8, 1522:11, 1523:9, 1525:7, 1531:1, 1540:1, 1544:21, 1545:2, 1550:7, 1551:2, 1551:10, 1551:15, 1552:6, 1560:6, 1560:16, 1563:14, 1576:11, 1576:13, 1577:12, 1585:18, 1588:2, 1588:7, 1591:17, 1597:15, 1598:2, 1598:11, 1598:18, 1636:16, 1636:18, 1638:12, 1638:16, 1638:23, 1639:8, 1639:12, 1642:21, 1643:2, 1643:14, 1646:16, 1657:19, 1658:19, 1659:4, 1659:9, 1659:23, 1683:22, 1715:13, 1725:14, 1725:16, 1730:2, 1730:5, 1730:9, 1730:13, 1730:15, 1730:18, 1730:21, 1734:22, 1743:9, 1743:15, 1743:19, 1743:24, 1744:11, 1744:22, 1746:12, 1747:3, 1747:10, 1748:12, 1749:21, 1750:12, 1751:7, 1751:13, 1751:18 Adelman [26] -1514:8, 1518:11, 1530:24, 1539:23, 1540:11, 1544:19, 1553:8, 1563:12,

1576:10, 1585:16, 1593:10, 1636:14, 1646:19, 1657:17, 1684:1, 1715:11, 1725:12, 1735:1, 1741:17, 1743:8, 1744:21, 1748:11, 1752:8, 1752:9, 1752:14, 1752:22 adequate [15] -1603:5, 1603:13, 1604:20, 1611:11, 1613:3, 1615:21, 1621:24, 1642:4, 1653:3, 1653:6, 1657:9, 1657:10, 1674:18, 1675:10, 1677:5 adequately [5] -1610:19, 1624:16, 1663:21, 1664:5, 1676:4 adhere [2] - 1680:13, 1696:16 adhered [4] -1675:13, 1682:9, 1688:19, 1713:19 adjacent [1] - 1614:8 adjunct [1] - 1543:20 adjust [1] - 1700:20 administrative [1] -1746:13 admissibility [1] -1750:22 admission [1] -1747:4 admissions [1] -1750:20 admitted [3] -1588:14, 1743:5, 1745:15 adopted [2] - 1724:3, 1724:5 adopting [1] -1684:24 advance [6] -1570:6, 1570:19, 1578:8, 1583:22, 1584:3, 1584:16 advancing [1] -1571:16 advantage [1] -1571:17 advantages [1] -1585:8 adverse [3] - 1610:1, 1611:2, 1611:15 adversely [2] -1671:18, 1672:24 advice [2] - 1729:24,

1730:22 advised [2] -1685:11, 1729:21 advocates [1] -1622:10 aerial [1] - 1592:6 affect [4] - 1612:8, 1683:1, 1686:15, 1737:6 affects [1] - 1605:17 affordable [2] -1718:24, 1719:4 Afghanistan [1] -1554:12 Africa [1] - 1554:12 age [1] - 1732:23 agencies [1] -1713:13 agency [3] - 1712:17, 1731:14, 1731:17 ago [4] - 1561:7, 1593:2, 1718:12, 1734:12 agree [13] - 1529:24, 1641:23, 1660:8, 1660:11, 1663:1, 1668:6, 1668:14, 1676:2, 1680:2, 1680:21, 1687:3, 1731:2, 1731:21 agreements [2] -1708:6, 1734:2 agrees [2] - 1667:7, 1733:15 ahead [8] - 1523:1, 1547:15, 1598:24, 1608:14, 1627:19, 1627:20, 1635:8, 1659:7 aid [1] - 1674:14 Air [3] - 1575:22, 1640:5, 1640:7 Albert [1] - 1752:5 ALBERT [1] -1524:16 alga [1] - 1633:24 algae [1] - 1634:2 algal [3] - 1633:22, 1634:5, 1634:8 align [1] - 1529:17 aligned [2] -1529:21, 1530:3 alignment [2] -1530:14, 1712:22 alleged [2] -1667:11, 1668:10 Allegiance [1] -1513:18

alleviate [2] -

1536:21, 1537:22

Allison [2] - 1534:12, 1650:13 allow [15] - 1532:14, 1538:24, 1564:1, 1620:14, 1625:6, 1630:7, 1630:24, 1631:3, 1656:17, 1722:8, 1724:1, 1724:10, 1724:12, 1727:20, 1747:19 allowance [1] -1715:7 allowed [7] -1602:24, 1629:3, 1635:10, 1638:12, 1681:6, 1722:23, 1748:13 allowing [3] -1630:17, 1717:21, 1749:23 allows [2] - 1602:18, 1727:21 almost [3] - 1556:2, 1573:7, 1583:11 Alpine [1] - 1637:16 ALSO [1] - 1512:17 alter[1] - 1618:10 alterations [3] -1611:20, 1671:16, 1671:17 altered [1] - 1679:11 alternative [1] -1614:14 alternatives [2] -1661:3, 1679:12 am.. [1] - 1713:22 ambient [1] -1672:11 amendment[1] -1723:23 amendments [2] -1686:24, 1708:14 amenities [2] -1663:24, 1664:8 American [11] -1542:12, 1546:5, 1556:11, 1558:11, 1561:11, 1566:14, 1567:1, 1570:16, 1570:24, 1574:4, 1585:5 Americans [7] -1559:11, 1559:14, 1568:21, 1569:5, 1571:7, 1572:3, 1584:19 amount [17] -1517:12, 1594:7,

1607:24, 1608:3,

1618:15, 1621:24,

1632:20, 1657:5, 1657:6, 1660:21, 1672:12, 1692:16, 1693:13, 1696:3, 1700:17, 1722:6, 1732:2 amounts [4] -1690:4, 1690:6. 1691:22, 1695:1 ample [1] - 1694:10 Amy [6] - 1534:3, 1534:18, 1640:9, 1640:17, 1650:20, 1738:8 analyses [1] -1603:10 analysis [16] -1539:3, 1540:19, 1589:18, 1616:6, 1652:11, 1652:18, 1652:21, 1652:24, 1653:8, 1687:4, 1718:7, 1720:18, 1720:19, 1723:13, 1723:14, 1723:16 analyze [2] - 1562:6, 1660:4 analyzed [3] -1527:21, 1560:12, 1620:11 ancestors [1] -1547:3 animal [1] - 1533:9 announcement [1] -1746:16 annual [4] - 1718:5, 1718:10, 1719:13, 1724:18 answer [9] -1519:21, 1553:10, 1579:16, 1608:14, 1649:6, 1688:11, 1688:12, 1703:1, 1709:1 answered [6] -1536:11, 1579:15, 1638:9, 1640:16, 1698:12, 1707:2 answering [1] -1629:12 answers [2] -1630:15, 1644:14 anthologies [1] -1545:17 anticipate [2] -1648:3, 1649:16 anticipated [3] -1533:24, 1603:6, 1653:8

Antietam [1] - 1554:8

apartments [2] -1732:21, 1732:24 apologies [1] -1536:16 apologize [3] -1517:22, 1536:3, 1714:11 appeal [2] - 1722:8, 1722:23 appear [2] - 1681:2 APPEARANCES [1] -1513:1 appellate [1] -1520:18 Applicant [1] -1513:4 applicant [27] -1514:19, 1516:24, 1527:12, 1529:6, 1589:16, 1590:11, 1596:7, 1596:11, 1596:13, 1596:24, 1599:11, 1602:4, 1602:16, 1603:10, 1604:10, 1604:17, 1606:4, 1613:8, 1614:21, 1616:1, 1626:4, 1641:20, 1652:19, 1657:2, 1663:8, 1678:4, 1684:15 applicant's [2] -1592:9, 1600:19 Application [2] -1742:7, 1745:19 APPLICATION [1] -1512:7 application [8] -1514:18, 1526:6, 1528:1, 1539:12, 1550:14, 1551:3, 1625:11, 1652:9 applications [2] -1594:9, 1637:9 applied [1] - 1634:22 applies [2] -1633:13, 1644:23 apply [6] - 1552:1, 1562:2, 1577:21, 1634:13, 1635:4, 1681:24 applying [2] -1546:16, 1548:17 appreciate [4] -1519:12, 1630:17, 1740:14, 1750:8 approach [3] -1568:24, 1622:11, 1656:5 approached [1] -

1734:14 appropriate [3] -1528:17, 1563:22, 1731:8 approval [8] -1644:8. 1677:13. 1689:12, 1690:21. 1724:13, 1728:2, 1728:23, 1729:15 approve [1] -1701:22 approved [6] -1642:15, 1645:20, 1699:17, 1700:5, 1700:10, 1701:15 approves [2] -1642:19, 1646:2 aquatic [7] -1609:12, 1609:18, 1610:2, 1610:4, 1610:11, 1612:8, 1671:19 Arborview [1] -1531:18 archivist [1] - 1543:1 area [26] - 1538:19, 1572:19, 1578:6, 1599:10, 1606:2, 1606:5, 1610:21, 1613:12, 1616:9, 1623:5, 1623:10, 1623:18, 1628:11, 1665:16, 1666:12, 1666:17, 1667:23, 1668:20, 1669:4, 1670:7, 1678:13, 1692:2, 1714:3, 1715:8 Area [4] - 1718:4, 1718:24, 1724:16, 1725:22 areas [27] - 1596:11, 1596:20, 1603:23, 1607:3, 1613:14, 1614:9, 1614:16, 1614:18, 1614:20, 1621:16, 1624:6, 1629:17, 1641:20, 1646:14, 1661:18, 1661:19, 1664:13, 1669:7, 1670:1, 1675:22, 1681:9, 1686:13, 1690:5, 1695:13, 1696:6, 1698:20, 1713:12 argue [1] - 1522:14 arm [1] - 1664:21 armed [2] - 1543:4,

1552:21

Army [11] - 1546:3,

1546:6, 1546:9, 1553:12, 1554:19, 1554:23, 1564:14, 1566:13, 1566:18, 1567:4, 1575:13 arrangements [1] -1734:3 articles [2] - 1544:1, 1545:16 artifacts [1] - 1582:7 artillery [1] - 1565:14 aspect [3] - 1556:23, 1670:24, 1740:8 aspects [3] -1660:15, 1671:1, 1672:8 Assembly [1] -1721:24 assessed [1] -1722:9 assessment[1] -1680:21 assessments [2] -1722:4, 1722:16 assignments [1] -1669:11 associated [12] -1528:5, 1537:1, 1537:20, 1589:10, 1597:3, 1606:16, 1611:1, 1611:6, 1631:17, 1631:24, 1669:20, 1676:12 Associates [2] -1662:6, 1719:14 Association [4] -1516:7, 1532:5, 1637:16, 1647:18 association [4] -1580:9, 1637:24, 1708:11, 1709:4 assume [2] - 1689:2, 1714:23 assumed [1] -1682:1 assuming [2] -1516:17, 1517:2 assumption [2] -1692:19, 1723:18 assumptions [2] -1681:22, 1718:19 assurances [1] -1665:5 atmosphere [1] -1607:17 attached [4] -1587:20, 1598:8, 1599:23, 1723:20 attack [2] - 1565:3, 1584:3

attempt [1] - 1747:16 attempts [1] -1721:23 attend [1] - 1525:15 attending [1] -1719:9 attention [1] -1618:19 attorney [4] -1516:14, 1525:8, 1730:6, 1730:10 attorney/client [2] -1730:4, 1730:17 attorneys [3] -1698:12, 1699:20, 1731:10 attractive [1] -1721:7 Auditorium [1] -1512:10 authenticate [1] -1744:1 authority [6] -1724:7, 1728:16, 1729:10, 1729:15, 1732:10, 1750:16 authorization [1] -1646:4 available [8] -1517:24, 1521:14, 1589:5, 1613:19, 1617:18, 1617:23, 1625:15, 1630:11 avenue [1] - 1747:11 avenues [2] -1661:21, 1686:22 average [22] -1603:1, 1620:15, 1620:17, 1635:10, 1668:24, 1669:1, 1669:7, 1691:11, 1691:13, 1691:15, 1691:20, 1692:3, 1692:4, 1692:6, 1692:7, 1692:9, 1692:18, 1693:11, 1693:17, 1709:24, 1710:4, 1710:15 averaged [1] -1669:5 averages [11] -1668:10, 1668:21, 1691:10, 1691:17, 1693:9, 1693:20, 1693:22, 1709:14, 1709:15, 1709:20, 1709:21 averaging [4] -

1620:10, 1621:4,

1678:11, 1692:24

avoid [1] - 1610:21 aware [15] - 1559:18, 1560:10, 1642:8, 1642:12, 1642:14, 1642:23, 1643:15, 1643:17, 1643:18, 1644:7, 1688:6, 1689:18, 1692:22, 1693:3, 1695:12

В B-23 [1] - 1530:12 B-31 [4] - 1518:8, 1518:22, 1522:19, B.(6)(e[1] - 1590:3 background [4] -1546:16, 1551:17, 1560:24, 1588:1 backwards [1] -1601:14 bad [1] - 1609:20 bag [1] - 1553:6 balance [1] -1544:15 bank [2] - 1603:21, 1632:24 banks [5] - 1608:6, 1608:19, 1712:23, 1713:2, 1713:6 bar [1] - 1565:18 Bartholdi [1] -1654:1 base [11] - 1526:11, 1554:23, 1554:24, 1611:14, 1611:18, 1618:7, 1645:15, 1695.23, 1696:9, 1715:8 based [28] - 1521:19, 1545:3, 1550:11, 1551:16, 1553:4, 1554:13, 1576:22, 1579:1, 1579:5, 1582:19, 1583:12, 1585:17, 1607:21, 1625:14, 1640:21, 1655:20, 1657:1, 1657:18, 1678:16, 1683:7, 1688:22, 1706:9, 1720:1, 1720:14, 1730:22, 1733:13, 1736:10, 1739:8 basic [2] - 1589:23, 1673:23 basin [67] - 1597:2, 1597:4, 1600:5,

1600:12, 1600:17,

1600:18, 1601:15, 1601:16, 1601:17, 1601:21, 1601:24, 1615:4, 1616:21, 1618:18, 1618:24, 1623:14, 1624:1, 1625:1, 1625:3, 1625:13, 1625:16, 1625:18, 1625:19, 1625:24, 1626:1, 1633:11, 1633:16, 1641:10, 1661:18, 1673:6, 1689:19, 1690:24, 1691:1, 1694:1, 1694:13, 1695:9, 1696:9, 1698:14, 1698:16, 1698:19, 1698:24, 1700:20, 1702:2, 1703:1, 1703:2, 1703:4, 1705:17, 1706:1, 1706:11, 1706:13, 1706:15, 1706:24, 1707:5, 1707:14, 1712:24, 1714:1, 1714:2, 1714:7, 1714:23, 1714:24, 1715:4 Basin [6] - 1601:22, 1625:12, 1625:22, 1631:20, 1702:12, 1702:17 basing [1] - 1577:1 Basins [1] - 1613:13 basins [110] -1596:8, 1596:11, 1596:14, 1596:16, 1596:19, 1597:12, 1597:14, 1599:3, 1599:9, 1600:10, 1600:13, 1601:7, 1601:9, 1602:6, 1603:12, 1604:11, 1613:6, 1613:8, 1613:9, 1613:11, 1613:17, 1613:18, 1613:20, 1614:7, 1614:17, 1614:24, 1615:1, 1616:3, 1616:4, 1616:5, 1616:7, 1616:18, 1616:19, 1617:5, 1618:12, 1618:20, 1619:2, 1619:4, 1619:8, 1619:10, 1619:18, 1620:7, 1621:18, 1622:2, 1622:4, 1624:24, 1626:6, 1631:17, 1631:19, 1631:20,

1640:24, 1641:12, 1641:19, 1645:9, 1648:1, 1655:13, 1657:8, 1657:10, 1660:23, 1662:3, 1663:18, 1665:21, 1669:21, 1669:24, 1670:5, 1670:9, 1670:15, 1671:5, 1672:6, 1672:9, 1672:10, 1672:13, 1673:5, 1674:14, 1676:1, 1676:21, 1678:24, 1679:17, 1680:23, 1681:16, 1681:19, 1682:6, 1682:19, 1683:3, 1686:8, 1686:20, 1690:1, 1694:5, 1694:8, 1694:18, 1694:20, 1695:13, 1695:17, 1696:3, 1698:20, 1702:8, 1703:7, 1703:8, 1704:11, 1704:14, 1704:24, 1705:14, 1708:2, 1712:1, 1712:10, 1713:10 basins' [1] - 1621:14 basis [9] - 1522:1, 1551:23, 1610:10, 1643:20, 1676:14, 1710:10, 1727:23, 1728:1, 1743:10 bathroom [1] -1715:22 Batman [1] - 1630:23 battalion [1] - 1570:7 battle [25] - 1552:2, 1554:9, 1556:13, 1557:10, 1557:11, 1559:21, 1560:9, 1560:22, 1560:24, 1561:3, 1562:3, 1562:6, 1562:14, 1562:21, 1563:11, 1563:16, 1566:7, 1566:22, 1567:10, 1568:3, 1570:20, 1574:1, 1585:4 Battle [18] - 1521:6, 1544:6, 1549:12, 1549:17, 1550:2,

1550:5, 1550:11,

1550:16, 1550:24,

1551:9, 1551:18,

1557:12, 1560:5,

1560:8, 1560:15,

1753:7

1632:18, 1633:15,

1560:18, 1576:15,

battlefield [39] -
1521:10, 1522:23,
1546:2, 1546:15,
1546:16, 1547:13,
1547:17, 1548:21,
1549:1, 1549:21,
1549:23, 1551:9,
1553:1, 1553:7,
1554:5, 1555:15,
1555:22, 1556:6,
1559:10, 1561:9,
1561:13, 1563:4,
1564:8, 1565:10,
1565:21, 1566:2,
1569:9, 1572:6,
1572:10, 1572:23,
1575:4, 1575:9,
1577:23, 1578:19,
1582:10, 1582:14,
1582:20, 1582:21,
1584:10
battlefields [36] -
1521:6, 1542:17,
1542:18, 1542:21,
1542.10, 1542.21,
1544:12, 1544:17,
1545:19, 1546:4,
1546:6, 1546:11,
1546:22, 1547:1,
1548:6, 1548:18,
1551:8, 1551:14,
1552:18, 1552:20,
1553:14, 1554:7,
1555:2, 1556:8,
1556:9, 1556:14,
1556:24, 1557:5,
1557:19, 1558:5,
1558:9, 1561:13,
1561:14, 1561:21,
1568:19, 1583:12
battles [5] - 1552:23,
1555:12, 1564:18,
1567:7
Bay [2] - 1609:15,
1633:24
beach [1] - 1600:24
bearing [2] -
1692:15, 1693:12
beautiful [2] -
1576:1, 1576:2
beauty [3] - 1628:12,
1629:1, 1629:15
became [1] -
1561:10
become [3] - 1561:4,
1608:20, 1678:3
becomes [1] -
1556:3
bedroom [4] -
1718:15, 1719:5,
1710.10, 1718.0,

1720:1, 1720:2

bedrooms [1] -	big [3] - 1542:21,
1719:5	1554:18, 1608:16
BEFORE [2] -	bigger [1] - 1608:10
1512:1, 1512:14	biggest [2] -
begin [1] - 1625:20	1603:22, 1726:12
beginning [2] -	Bill [3] - 1722:2,
1512:11, 1665:1	1723:3, 1723:10
begun [1] - 1564:10	bill [4] - 1722:7,
behalf [19] - 1513:2,	1722:22, 1723:3,
1513:4, 1513:5,	1723:5
1513:7, 1513:9,	bind [1] - 1633:10
1513:10, 1518:6,	Birmingham [4] -
1518:14, 1525:23,	1513:9, 1515:19,
1637:10, 1637:20,	1628:17, 1646:24
1637:22, 1638:4,	bit [5] - 1543:7,
1638:6, 1638:18,	1608:12, 1690:2,
1639:16, 1728:17,	1699:11, 1720:8
1728:18, 1728:19 <b>behind</b> [3] - 1566:12,	blended [1] -
1569:18, 1592:13	1718:21
below [2] - 1648:4,	block [1] - 1573:15 blocked [1] -
1661:18	1536:18
bend [1] - 1584:2	bloom [1] - 1633:23
benefit [3] - 1536:5,	blooms [1] - 1633:24
1536:17, 1556:10	blue [11] - 1565:13,
benefits [2] - 1557:5,	1565:17, 1565:18,
1558:1	1565:24, 1566:6,
benthic [2] - 1610:2,	1566:11, 1573:14,
1610:4	1573:15, 1579:10,
Bertinetti [1] -	1582:1
Bertinetti [1] - 1531:15	1582:1 <b>Blue</b> [2] - 1637:15,
1531:15 Best [1] - 1685:2	<b>Blue</b> [2] - 1637:15, 1637:20
1531:15 Best [1] - 1685:2 best [12] - 1520:1,	<b>Blue</b> [2] - 1637:15, 1637:20 <b>BMP</b> [9] - 1624:2,
1531:15  Best [1] - 1685:2 best [12] - 1520:1, 1582:17, 1620:3,	Blue [2] - 1637:15, 1637:20 BMP [9] - 1624:2, 1644:15, 1678:20,
1531:15  Best [1] - 1685:2 best [12] - 1520:1, 1582:17, 1620:3, 1622:5, 1622:6,	Blue [2] - 1637:15, 1637:20 BMP [9] - 1624:2, 1644:15, 1678:20, 1679:12, 1679:20,
1531:15  Best [1] - 1685:2 best [12] - 1520:1, 1582:17, 1620:3, 1622:5, 1622:6, 1627:7, 1688:11,	Blue [2] - 1637:15, 1637:20 BMP [9] - 1624:2, 1644:15, 1678:20, 1679:12, 1679:20, 1680:7, 1680:16,
1531:15  Best [1] - 1685:2 best [12] - 1520:1, 1582:17, 1620:3, 1622:5, 1622:6, 1627:7, 1688:11, 1710:21, 1710:24,	Blue [2] - 1637:15, 1637:20 BMP [9] - 1624:2, 1644:15, 1678:20, 1679:12, 1679:20, 1680:7, 1680:16, 1689:14, 1711:7
1531:15  Best [1] - 1685:2 best [12] - 1520:1, 1582:17, 1620:3, 1622:5, 1622:6, 1627:7, 1688:11, 1710:21, 1710:24, 1711:10, 1711:13,	Blue [2] - 1637:15, 1637:20 BMP [9] - 1624:2, 1644:15, 1678:20, 1679:12, 1679:20, 1680:7, 1680:16, 1689:14, 1711:7 BMPs [13] - 1678:15,
1531:15  Best [1] - 1685:2 best [12] - 1520:1, 1582:17, 1620:3, 1622:5, 1622:6, 1627:7, 1688:11, 1710:21, 1710:24, 1711:10, 1711:13, 1712:24	Blue [2] - 1637:15, 1637:20 BMP [9] - 1624:2, 1644:15, 1678:20, 1679:12, 1679:20, 1680:7, 1680:16, 1689:14, 1711:7 BMPs [13] - 1678:15, 1679:10, 1679:15,
1531:15  Best [1] - 1685:2 best [12] - 1520:1, 1582:17, 1620:3, 1622:5, 1622:6, 1627:7, 1688:11, 1710:21, 1710:24, 1711:10, 1711:13, 1712:24 better [8] - 1520:6,	Blue [2] - 1637:15, 1637:20 BMP [9] - 1624:2, 1644:15, 1678:20, 1679:12, 1679:20, 1680:7, 1680:16, 1689:14, 1711:7 BMPs [13] - 1678:15, 1679:10, 1679:15, 1681:9, 1703:20,
1531:15  Best [1] - 1685:2 best [12] - 1520:1, 1582:17, 1620:3, 1622:5, 1622:6, 1627:7, 1688:11, 1710:21, 1710:24, 1711:10, 1711:13, 1712:24 better [6] - 1520:6, 1574:6, 1614:10,	Blue [2] - 1637:15, 1637:20 BMP [9] - 1624:2, 1644:15, 1678:20, 1679:12, 1679:20, 1680:7, 1680:16, 1689:14, 1711:7 BMPs [13] - 1678:15, 1679:10, 1679:15, 1681:9, 1703:20, 1703:22, 1704:4,
1531:15  Best [1] - 1685:2 best [12] - 1520:1, 1582:17, 1620:3, 1622:5, 1622:6, 1627:7, 1688:11, 1710:21, 1710:24, 1711:10, 1711:13, 1712:24 better [6] - 1520:6, 1574:6, 1614:10, 1614:16, 1615:8,	Blue [2] - 1637:15, 1637:20 BMP [9] - 1624:2, 1644:15, 1678:20, 1679:12, 1679:20, 1680:7, 1680:16, 1689:14, 1711:7 BMPs [13] - 1678:15, 1679:10, 1679:15, 1681:9, 1703:20, 1703:22, 1704:4, 1708:18, 1710:18,
1531:15  Best [1] - 1685:2 best [12] - 1520:1, 1582:17, 1620:3, 1622:5, 1622:6, 1627:7, 1688:11, 1710:21, 1710:24, 1711:10, 1711:13, 1712:24 better [6] - 1520:6, 1574:6, 1614:10,	Blue [2] - 1637:15, 1637:20 BMP [9] - 1624:2, 1644:15, 1678:20, 1679:12, 1679:20, 1680:7, 1680:16, 1689:14, 1711:7 BMPs [13] - 1678:15, 1679:10, 1679:15, 1681:9, 1703:20, 1703:22, 1704:4, 1708:18, 1710:18, 1711:5, 1711:15,
1531:15  Best [1] - 1685:2 best [12] - 1520:1, 1582:17, 1620:3, 1622:5, 1622:6, 1627:7, 1688:11, 1710:21, 1710:24, 1711:10, 1711:13, 1712:24 better [8] - 1520:6, 1574:6, 1614:10, 1614:16, 1615:8, 1628:14, 1649:21,	Blue [2] - 1637:15, 1637:20 BMP [9] - 1624:2, 1644:15, 1678:20, 1679:12, 1679:20, 1680:7, 1680:16, 1689:14, 1711:7 BMPs [13] - 1678:15, 1679:10, 1679:15, 1681:9, 1703:20, 1703:22, 1704:4, 1708:18, 1710:18, 1711:5, 1711:15, 1711:23, 1711:24
1531:15  Best [1] - 1685:2 best [12] - 1520:1, 1582:17, 1620:3, 1622:5, 1622:6, 1627:7, 1688:11, 1710:21, 1710:24, 1711:10, 1711:13, 1712:24 better [8] - 1520:6, 1574:6, 1614:10, 1614:16, 1615:8, 1628:14, 1649:21, 1656:3	Blue [2] - 1637:15, 1637:20 BMP [9] - 1624:2, 1644:15, 1678:20, 1679:12, 1679:20, 1680:7, 1680:16, 1689:14, 1711:7 BMPs [13] - 1678:15, 1679:10, 1679:15, 1681:9, 1703:20, 1703:22, 1704:4, 1708:18, 1710:18, 1711:5, 1711:15,
1531:15  Best [1] - 1685:2 best [12] - 1520:1, 1582:17, 1620:3, 1622:5, 1622:6, 1627:7, 1688:11, 1710:21, 1710:24, 1711:10, 1711:13, 1712:24 better [8] - 1520:6, 1574:6, 1614:10, 1614:16, 1615:8, 1628:14, 1649:21, 1656:3 between [9] -	Blue [2] - 1637:15, 1637:20 BMP [9] - 1624:2, 1644:15, 1678:20, 1679:12, 1679:20, 1680:7, 1680:16, 1689:14, 1711:7 BMPs [13] - 1678:15, 1679:10, 1679:15, 1681:9, 1703:20, 1703:22, 1704:4, 1708:18, 1710:18, 1711:5, 1711:15, 1711:23, 1711:24 Board [57] - 1513:2,
1531:15  Best [1] - 1685:2 best [12] - 1520:1, 1582:17, 1620:3, 1622:5, 1622:6, 1627:7, 1688:11, 1710:21, 1710:24, 1711:10, 1711:13, 1712:24 better [8] - 1520:6, 1574:6, 1614:10, 1614:16, 1615:8, 1628:14, 1649:21, 1656:3 between [9] - 1518:3, 1594:5,	Blue [2] - 1637:15, 1637:20 BMP [9] - 1624:2, 1644:15, 1678:20, 1679:12, 1679:20, 1680:7, 1680:16, 1689:14, 1711:7 BMPs [13] - 1678:15, 1679:10, 1679:15, 1681:9, 1703:20, 1703:22, 1704:4, 1708:18, 1710:18, 1711:5, 1711:15, 1711:23, 1711:24 Board [57] - 1513:2, 1517:11, 1517:24,
1531:15  Best [1] - 1685:2 best [12] - 1520:1, 1582:17, 1620:3, 1622:5, 1622:6, 1627:7, 1688:11, 1710:21, 1710:24, 1711:10, 1711:13, 1712:24 better [8] - 1520:6, 1574:6, 1614:10, 1614:16, 1615:8, 1628:14, 1649:21, 1656:3 between [9] - 1518:3, 1594:5, 1602:9, 1620:7,	Blue [2] - 1637:15, 1637:20 BMP [9] - 1624:2, 1644:15, 1678:20, 1679:12, 1679:20, 1680:7, 1680:16, 1689:14, 1711:7 BMPs [13] - 1678:15, 1679:10, 1679:15, 1681:9, 1703:20, 1703:22, 1704:4, 1708:18, 1710:18, 1711:5, 1711:15, 1711:23, 1711:24 Board [57] - 1513:2, 1517:11, 1517:24, 1518:8, 1518:15, 1519:12, 1520:2, 1524:4, 1526:2,
1531:15  Best [1] - 1685:2 best [12] - 1520:1, 1582:17, 1620:3, 1622:5, 1622:6, 1627:7, 1688:11, 1710:21, 1710:24, 1711:10, 1711:13, 1712:24 better [6] - 1520:6, 1574:6, 1614:10, 1614:16, 1615:8, 1628:14, 1649:21, 1656:3 between [9] - 1518:3, 1594:5, 1602:9, 1620:7, 1678:20, 1696:17,	Blue [2] - 1637:15, 1637:20 BMP [9] - 1624:2, 1644:15, 1678:20, 1679:12, 1679:20, 1680:7, 1680:16, 1689:14, 1711:7 BMPs [13] - 1678:15, 1679:10, 1679:15, 1681:9, 1703:20, 1703:22, 1704:4, 1708:18, 1710:18, 1711:5, 1711:15, 1711:23, 1711:24 Board [57] - 1513:2, 1517:11, 1517:24, 1518:8, 1518:15, 1519:12, 1520:2, 1524:4, 1526:2, 1526:3, 1535:18,
1531:15  Best [1] - 1685:2 best [12] - 1520:1, 1582:17, 1620:3, 1622:5, 1622:6, 1627:7, 1688:11, 1710:21, 1710:24, 1711:10, 1711:13, 1712:24 better [6] - 1520:6, 1574:6, 1614:10, 1614:16, 1615:8, 1628:14, 1649:21, 1656:3 between [9] - 1518:3, 1594:5, 1602:9, 1620:7, 1678:20, 1696:17, 1749:15, 1749:19, 1750:20 Bevilacqua [6] -	Blue [2] - 1637:15, 1637:20  BMP [9] - 1624:2, 1644:15, 1678:20, 1679:12, 1679:20, 1680:7, 1680:16, 1689:14, 1711:7  BMPs [13] - 1678:15, 1679:10, 1679:15, 1681:9, 1703:20, 1703:22, 1704:4, 1708:18, 1710:18, 1711:5, 1711:24, 171
1531:15  Best [1] - 1685:2 best [12] - 1520:1, 1582:17, 1620:3, 1622:5, 1622:6, 1627:7, 1688:11, 1710:21, 1710:24, 1711:10, 1711:13, 1712:24 better [6] - 1520:6, 1574:6, 1614:10, 1614:16, 1615:8, 1628:14, 1649:21, 1656:3 between [9] - 1518:3, 1594:5, 1602:9, 1620:7, 1678:20, 1696:17, 1749:15, 1749:19, 1750:20 Bevilacqua [6] - 1531:20, 1579:23,	Blue [2] - 1637:15, 1637:20  BMP [9] - 1624:2, 1644:15, 1678:20, 1679:12, 1679:20, 1680:7, 1680:16, 1689:14, 1711:7  BMPs [13] - 1678:15, 1679:10, 1679:15, 1681:9, 1703:20, 1703:22, 1704:4, 1708:18, 1710:18, 1711:5, 1711:23, 1711:24  Board [57] - 1513:2, 1517:11, 1517:24, 1518:8, 1518:15, 1519:12, 1520:2, 1524:4, 1526:2, 1526:3, 1535:18, 1539:12, 1539:20, 1542:9, 1551:19,
1531:15  Best [1] - 1685:2 best [12] - 1520:1, 1582:17, 1620:3, 1622:5, 1622:6, 1627:7, 1688:11, 1710:21, 1710:24, 1711:10, 1711:13, 1712:24 better [8] - 1520:6, 1574:6, 1614:10, 1614:16, 1615:8, 1628:14, 1649:21, 1656:3 between [9] - 1518:3, 1594:5, 1602:9, 1620:7, 1678:20, 1696:17, 1749:15, 1749:19, 1750:20 Bevilacqua [8] - 1531:20, 1579:23, 1647:6, 1696:24,	Blue [2] - 1637:15, 1637:20  BMP [9] - 1624:2, 1644:15, 1678:20, 1679:12, 1679:20, 1680:7, 1680:16, 1689:14, 1711:7  BMPs [13] - 1678:15, 1679:10, 1679:15, 1681:9, 1703:20, 1703:22, 1704:4, 1708:18, 1710:18, 1711:5, 1711:24, 1518:8, 1519:12, 1517:11, 1517:24, 1518:8, 1518:15, 1519:12, 1520:2, 1524:4, 1526:2, 1526:3, 1539:12, 1539:20, 1542:9, 1551:19, 1552:8, 1552:9,
1531:15  Best [1] - 1685:2 best [12] - 1520:1, 1582:17, 1620:3, 1622:5, 1622:6, 1627:7, 1688:11, 1710:21, 1710:24, 1711:10, 1711:13, 1712:24 better [8] - 1520:6, 1574:6, 1614:10, 1614:16, 1615:8, 1628:14, 1649:21, 1656:3 between [9] - 1518:3, 1594:5, 1602:9, 1620:7, 1678:20, 1696:17, 1749:15, 1749:19, 1750:20 Bevilacqua [8] - 1531:20, 1579:23, 1647:6, 1696:24, 1716:11, 1716:14,	Blue [2] - 1637:15, 1637:20  BMP [9] - 1624:2, 1644:15, 1678:20, 1679:12, 1679:20, 1680:7, 1680:16, 1689:14, 1711:7  BMPs [13] - 1678:15, 1679:10, 1679:15, 1681:9, 1703:20, 1703:22, 1704:4, 1708:18, 1710:18, 1711:5, 1711:23, 1711:24  Board [57] - 1513:2, 1517:11, 1517:24, 1518:8, 1518:15, 1519:12, 1520:2, 1524:4, 1526:2, 1526:3, 1535:18, 1539:12, 1539:20, 1542:9, 1551:19, 1552:8, 1552:9, 1559:16, 1560:1,
1531:15  Best [1] - 1685:2 best [12] - 1520:1, 1582:17, 1620:3, 1622:5, 1622:6, 1627:7, 1688:11, 1710:21, 1710:24, 1711:10, 1711:13, 1712:24 better [8] - 1520:6, 1574:6, 1614:10, 1614:16, 1615:8, 1628:14, 1649:21, 1656:3 between [9] - 1518:3, 1594:5, 1602:9, 1620:7, 1678:20, 1696:17, 1749:15, 1749:19, 1750:20 Bevilacqua [8] - 1531:20, 1579:23, 1647:6, 1696:24, 1716:11, 1716:14, 1735:10, 1735:11	Blue [2] - 1637:15, 1637:20  BMP [9] - 1624:2, 1644:15, 1678:20, 1679:12, 1679:20, 1680:7, 1680:16, 1689:14, 1711:7  BMPs [13] - 1678:15, 1679:10, 1679:15, 1681:9, 1703:20, 1703:22, 1704:4, 1708:18, 1710:18, 1711:5, 1711:24, 1518:8, 1519:12, 1517:11, 1517:24, 1518:8, 1518:15, 1519:12, 1520:2, 1524:4, 1526:2, 1526:3, 1535:18, 1539:12, 1539:20, 1542:9, 1551:19, 1552:8, 1552:9, 1559:16, 1560:1, 1563:21, 1563:24,
1531:15  Best [1] - 1685:2 best [12] - 1520:1, 1582:17, 1620:3, 1622:5, 1622:6, 1627:7, 1688:11, 1710:21, 1710:24, 1711:10, 1711:13, 1712:24 better [8] - 1520:6, 1574:6, 1614:10, 1614:16, 1615:8, 1628:14, 1649:21, 1656:3 between [9] - 1518:3, 1594:5, 1602:9, 1620:7, 1678:20, 1696:17, 1749:15, 1749:19, 1750:20 Bevilacqua [8] - 1531:20, 1579:23, 1647:6, 1696:24, 1716:11, 1716:14, 1735:10, 1735:11 BEVILACQUA [4] -	Blue [2] - 1637:15, 1637:20  BMP [9] - 1624:2, 1644:15, 1678:20, 1679:12, 1679:20, 1680:7, 1680:16, 1689:14, 1711:7  BMPs [13] - 1678:15, 1679:10, 1679:15, 1681:9, 1703:20, 1703:22, 1704:4, 1708:18, 1710:18, 1711:5, 1711:24, 1518:8, 1518:15, 1519:12, 1520:2, 1524:4, 1526:2, 1526:3, 1535:18, 1539:12, 1539:20, 1542:9, 1551:19, 1552:8, 1552:9, 1559:16, 1560:1, 1563:21, 1563:24, 1581:20, 1585:21,
1531:15  Best [1] - 1685:2 best [12] - 1520:1, 1582:17, 1620:3, 1622:5, 1622:6, 1627:7, 1688:11, 1710:21, 1710:24, 1711:10, 1711:13, 1712:24 better [8] - 1520:6, 1574:6, 1614:10, 1614:16, 1615:8, 1628:14, 1649:21, 1656:3 between [9] - 1518:3, 1594:5, 1602:9, 1620:7, 1678:20, 1696:17, 1749:15, 1749:19, 1750:20 Bevilacqua [8] - 1531:20, 1579:23, 1647:6, 1696:24, 1716:11, 1716:14, 1735:10, 1735:11 BEVILACQUA [4] - 1531:21, 1580:1,	Blue [2] - 1637:15, 1637:20  BMP [9] - 1624:2, 1644:15, 1678:20, 1679:12, 1679:20, 1680:7, 1680:16, 1689:14, 1711:7  BMPs [13] - 1678:15, 1679:10, 1679:15, 1681:9, 1703:20, 1703:22, 1704:4, 1708:18, 1710:18, 1711:5, 1711:23, 1711:24  Board [57] - 1513:2, 1517:11, 1517:24, 1518:8, 1518:15, 1519:12, 1520:2, 1524:4, 1526:2, 1526:3, 1535:18, 1539:12, 1539:20, 1542:9, 1551:19, 1552:8, 1552:9, 1559:16, 1560:1, 1563:21, 1563:24, 1588:1, 1588:22,
1531:15  Best [1] - 1685:2 best [12] - 1520:1, 1582:17, 1620:3, 1622:5, 1622:6, 1627:7, 1688:11, 1710:21, 1710:24, 1711:10, 1711:13, 1712:24 better [8] - 1520:6, 1574:6, 1614:10, 1614:16, 1615:8, 1628:14, 1649:21, 1656:3 between [9] - 1518:3, 1594:5, 1602:9, 1620:7, 1678:20, 1696:17, 1749:15, 1749:19, 1750:20 Bevilacqua [8] - 1531:20, 1579:23, 1647:6, 1696:24, 1716:11, 1716:14, 1735:10, 1735:11 BEVILACQUA [4] -	Blue [2] - 1637:15, 1637:20  BMP [9] - 1624:2, 1644:15, 1678:20, 1679:12, 1679:20, 1680:7, 1680:16, 1689:14, 1711:7  BMPs [13] - 1678:15, 1679:10, 1679:15, 1681:9, 1703:20, 1703:22, 1704:4, 1708:18, 1710:18, 1711:5, 1711:24, 1518:8, 1518:15, 1519:12, 1520:2, 1524:4, 1526:2, 1526:3, 1535:18, 1539:12, 1539:20, 1542:9, 1551:19, 1552:8, 1552:9, 1559:16, 1560:1, 1563:21, 1563:24, 1581:20, 1585:21,

1652:6, 1659:2,

bias [1] - 1638:13

```
1667:8, 1698:9,
1718:4, 1724:17,
1728:15, 1729:10,
1729:16, 1731:4,
1731:12, 1731:17,
1732:3, 1732:10.
1733:15, 1733:23,
1734:16, 1739:4,
1741:13, 1741:24,
1742:10, 1745:6,
1745:9, 1749:18,
1749:22, 1750:8,
1753:15
 BOARD [1] - 1512:1
 board [5] - 1591:6,
1719:18, 1725:6,
1727:5, 1732:14
 Board's [5] -
1517:15, 1519:15,
1520:15, 1658:24,
1745:23
 boards [1] - 1731:7
 bodies [1] - 1633:23
 body [2] - 1529:2,
1700:10
 bold [1] - 1666:24
 bonds [1] - 1740:10
 books [1] - 1545:16
 boom [1] - 1714:22
 bordered [1] -
1591:1
 borings [2] -
1604:12, 1604:13
 Borough [1] -
1734:13
 borrow [1] - 1740:10
 Botanic [1] - 1653:22
 bottom [15] -
1600:18, 1601:2,
1601:17, 1609:17,
1610:8, 1610:13,
1615:4, 1620:7,
1641:12, 1663:3,
1666:22, 1674:17,
1677:15, 1678:20,
1707:14
 bottoms [2] -
1614:24, 1615:1
 Boulevard [1] -
1529:18
 Boyer [3] - 1534:16,
1581:1, 1650:19
 BOYER [2] -
1534:17, 1581:3
 Bradley [3] - 1534:3,
1640:9, 1640:17
 branch [1] - 1554:15
 Brandywine [36] -
1516:2, 1521:7,
1544:7, 1547:6,
```

```
1549:13, 1549:14,
1549:17, 1550:2,
1550:5, 1550:11,
1550:17, 1550:24,
1551:9, 1551:18,
1557:6, 1557:8,
1558:13, 1560:5,
1560:8, 1560:15,
1560:18, 1561:7,
1561:17, 1567:5,
1574:8, 1576:16,
1579:21, 1594:20,
1594:21, 1605:21,
1605:22, 1634:4,
1634:7, 1716:7,
1753:7
 BRAXTON [2] -
1514:23, 1515:4
 Braxton [1] - 1515:4
 break [3] - 1626:9,
1626:15, 1715:22
 breaking [1] - 1723:4
 breathing [1] -
1609:18
 breeding [1] - 1610:8
 bridge [1] - 1672:19
 Bridlewood [3] -
1529:18, 1529:21,
1530:14
 briefly [3] - 1542:8,
1660:6, 1726:8
 brigade [1] - 1562:4
 bring [2] - 1619:15,
1672:10
 bringing [1] -
1617:14
 British [14] -
1555:10, 1557:17,
1559:13, 1561:23,
1565:15, 1566:5,
1566:10, 1567:17,
1568:14, 1573:4,
1574:3, 1584:17,
1584:20
 brittle [1] - 1649:16
 broad [1] - 1740:17
 broken [1] - 1564:14
 Brothers [11] -
1513:15, 1514:8,
1514:19, 1559:16,
1630:8, 1637:5,
1718:1, 1718:7,
1720:18, 1723:18,
1745:14
 brought [1] -
1619:15
 Bruns [1] - 1535:7
 bubble [1] - 1714:22
 budget [4] - 1737:8,
1740:7, 1740:9
```

buffer [2] - 1704:1, 1713:19 buffers [2] - 1644:5, 1644:6 build [4] - 1557:6, 1594:5, 1682:5, 1740:10 Building [2] -1590:7, 1622:9 building [5] -1653:17, 1654:2, 1654:8, 1723:19, 1737:20 built [1] - 1728:6 bulk [1] - 1741:5 bullet [4] - 1663:5, 1663:7, 1666:23, 1673:23 bunch [2] - 1554:24, 1638:2 Bureau [1] - 1742:6 buses [1] - 1554:3 busy [1] - 1630:18 BY [22] - 1525:14, 1541:20, 1545:2, 1552:13, 1564:2, 1576:13, 1577:20, 1583:6, 1587:4, 1588:15, 1593:16, 1598:23, 1631:13, 1636:18, 1639:12, 1643:14, 1659:23, 1684:5, 1687:20, 1689:10, 1725:16, 1730:21

#### C

cadets [1] - 1554:2 Cahill [1] - 1535:4 calculate [6] -1603:1, 1620:17, 1620:20, 1635:11, 1635:12 calculated [3] -1669:3, 1692:1, 1710:8 calculation [5] -1602:20, 1602:23, 1620:6, 1694:23, 1711:13 calculations [24] -1602:14, 1602:16, 1603:4, 1603:5, 1621:7, 1632:13, 1634:20, 1635:17, 1667:12, 1669:11, 1677:8, 1681:1, 1687:5, 1687:10, 1688:18, 1693:3,

1693:23, 1701:8, 1702:20, 1704:5, 1709:13, 1724:24, 1725:8, 1753:16 California [1] -1724:8 CAMP [18] - 1513:5, 1523:5, 1524:14, 1524:20, 1525:10, 1525:14, 1530:21, 1536:9, 1536:14, 1539:22, 1577:16, 1588:12, 1646:22, 1684:3, 1684:5, 1687:13, 1735:4, 1747:6 camp [7] - 1523:3, 1530:24, 1539:21, 1577:15, 1646:20, 1684:1, 1735:2 Camp [2] - 1524:20, 1752:17 Campaign [1] -1553:23 cannot [2] - 1585:3, 1617:20 cap [2] - 1739:19, 1739:23 capable [3] -1613:10, 1615:8, 1642:5 capacity [5] -1621:24, 1624:20, 1636:2, 1725:21, 1731:13 capital [5] - 1719:19, 1719:23, 1736:7, 1740:8, 1740:9 capitol [1] - 1654:2 captioned [1] -1754:12 capture [2] - 1703:8, 1713:16 care [1] - 1741:5 career [1] - 1558:21 Carey [2] - 1516:11, 1535:10 CAROL [1] - 1512:15 Carol [3] - 1516:11, 1535:14, 1651:8 Carol's [1] - 1538:7 carriage [2] -1718:15, 1719:2 carries [1] - 1632:11 carry [2] - 1608:8, 1608:17

cascade [1] -

case [20] - 1515:19,

1515:20, 1515:22,

1609:20

1516:1, 1516:8, 1516:9, 1516:12, 1517:19, 1519:23, 1521:21, 1577:2, 1582:18, 1627:3, 1682:7, 1682:10, 1716:8, 1716:9, 1716:13, 1740:22 cases [1] - 1639:24 casualties [1] -1567:8 causing [2] -1649:17 caution [1] - 1684:20 Cedarville [1] -1644:4 Center [2] - 1605:12, 1653:15 Centers [1] -1554:21 central [2] - 1545:7, 1621:17 Cerro [1] - 1542:19 certain [12] - 1605:6, 1661:8, 1661:10, 1661:24, 1664:22, 1672:12, 1694:5, 1705:18, 1705:22, 1706:23, 1739:19, 1742:11 certainly [8] -1523:19, 1556:10, 1560:11, 1574:15, 1593:5, 1604:19, 1719:22, 1741:12 certainty [1] - 1636:5 CERTIFICATE [2] -1753:18, 1754:5 certify [1] - 1754:9 Chadds [1] - 1564:20 chain [4] - 1609:19, 1610:10, 1612:13, 1753:3 CHAIRMAN [7] -1513:13, 1513:20, 1581:22, 1582:4, 1583:2, 1657:1, 1657:13 Chairman [2] -1512:14, 1548:13 challenge [1] -1722:4 challenged [1] -1722:15 challenging [1] -1602:7 chance [4] -1558:12, 1611:23, 1615:15, 1630:19 Chancellorsville [1]

- 1561:19 change [10] -1554:10, 1610:12, 1610:13, 1612:8, 1612:12, 1618:16, 1632:8, 1683:10, 1745:24 changed [6] -1519:16, 1549:8, 1549:10, 1553:19, 1744:21, 1744:23 changes [1] -1721:20 changing [3] -1608:7, 1609:10, 1707:3 channel [8] -1608:10, 1608:16, 1608:18, 1610:13, 1611:1, 1611:6, 1669:19 channelizing [1] -1669:23 channels [8] -1608:5, 1608:7, 1608:19, 1608:21, 1608:24, 1609:17, 1610:8, 1619:9 characteristics [3] -1671:7, 1678:18, 1710:8 characterization [3] - 1643:10, 1668:7, 1668:15 characterizations [1] - 1680:3 chart [4] - 1622:20, 1622:21, 1624:24, 1631:15 check [2] - 1594:10, 1624:7 checking [1] -1727:17 chemicals [2] -1633:4, 1633:6 CHESTER [1] -1512:3 Chester [34] -1512:11, 1533:21, 1595:9, 1605:12, 1606:7, 1606:12, 1606:13, 1606:16, 1612:11, 1617:1, 1617:11, 1628:19, 1629:1, 1630:5, 1646:3, 1665:23, 1666:8, 1667:20, 1667:24, 1676:12, 1702:10, 1702:14,

1702:15, 1703:5,

1703:9, 1703:12, 1704:9, 1718:3, 1718:24, 1721:6, 1724:16, 1725:22, 1734:13, 1754:2 Chiefs [1] - 1548:13 children [7] -1627:13, 1629:1, 1629:15, 1630:4, 1719:9, 1721:16, 1721:18 choice [1] - 1583:20 choices [1] - 1565:2 choose [1] - 1562:8 chosen [2] -1517:18, 1636:11 Chris [1] - 1532:4 church [2] - 1580:6, 1666:20 Church [1] - 1532:2 cite [1] - 1749:9 cited [1] - 1618:2 citizen [2] - 1563:3, 1734:6 citizenry [1] -1513:23 citizens [3] -1556:11, 1575:14, 1734:7 Citizens [1] -1639:14 City [2] - 1605:23, 1639:15 civil [5] - 1542:21, 1587:9, 1587:12, 1587:14, 1640:2 Civil [7] - 1545:11, 1546:4, 1546:6, 1546:10, 1546:12, 1572:9, 1585:5 civilian [1] - 1556:21 civilians [1] - 1557:3 clarification [1] -1744:12 clarifying [1] -1751:18 **class** [3] - 1737:23, 1738:1, 1738:3 classes [1] - 1718:21 classified [1] -1667:19 classroom [1] -1729:4 classrooms [2] -1737:19, 1737:21 Clean [2] - 1640:5, 1640:7 clean [4] - 1671:8, 1673:13, 1702:24, 1711:17

1700:18 1747:15 [1] - 1526:17 clear [10] - 1530:4, completely [3] -1570:2, 1571:20, coming [16] -1565:4, 1643:7, concerns [2] congratulate [1] -1678:23 1529:10, 1597:8 1575:17, 1606:4, 1521:19, 1559:13, 1513:22 1616:11, 1616:22, 1564:14, 1565:15, conclude [2] connect [4] - 1529:8. compliance [7] -1623:15, 1631:23, 1572:12, 1591:18, 1592:2, 1652:22, 1517:17, 1751:24 1561:15, 1561:21, 1666:16 1603:15, 1625:22, 1674:1, 1685:5, conclusion [6] -1609:3 1687:7, 1694:22, clearance [1] -1651:21, 1720:6, 1560:17, 1641:5, consent [2] -1720:11, 1721:18, 1641:8, 1674:22, 1663:23 1708:9 1627:10, 1627:11 1731:12, 1732:18, clearing [1] - 1664:7 compliant [1] -1676:3, 1676:15 Conservation [2] -1732:22, 1736:13 clearly [1] - 1552:15 1631:21 conclusions [5] -1646:4, 1670:17 command [1] client [9] - 1518:14, complicated [1] -1526:20, 1653:8, conservation [3] -1519:18, 1520:12, 1554:13 1649:12 1660:9, 1675:10, 1652:24, 1677:21, commander [1] -1750:4 1716:14, 1731:18, comply [9] -1677:23 1731:22, 1732:1, 1542:23 1601:19, 1620:2, condition [1] conservation/DEP 1733:16, 1750:11 comment [19] -1621:9, 1621:11, 1595:5 111 - 1712:15 clients [2] - 1638:22, 1517:5, 1517:7, 1693:6, 1695:20, conditional [24] conservative [2] -1517:8, 1626:23, 1696:13, 1700:20, 1513:14, 1526:6, 1639:7 1679:8, 1679:9 1627:20, 1662:20, 1701:4 1526:9, 1589:12, climbing [1] consider [6] -1674:16, 1684:9, 1589:16, 1590:1, 1542:19 component [2] -1527:12, 1529:6, 1684:12, 1684:14, 1607:22, 1607:23 1590:3, 1590:9, clog [1] - 1619:1 1533:24, 1629:24, 1740:23, 1740:24, 1590:15, 1590:18, close [16] - 1541:6, components [3] -1689:16, 1751:13 1746:11, 1747:2, 1558:8, 1558:9, 1529:6, 1602:21, 1592:9, 1595:20, considerable [1] -1748:22, 1749:5, 1569:1, 1595:23, 1653:10 1602:17, 1642:3, 1720:20 1750:5, 1751:5 comprehensively [1] 1642:11, 1642:16, 1596:20, 1597:3, consideration [4] commentary [1] -- 1673:24 1652:8, 1652:10, 1601:23, 1613:14, 1527:6, 1590:2, 1516:4 1652:13, 1652:16, 1615:10, 1656:11, computation [1] -1590:4, 1595:21 comments [6] -1653:6, 1653:11, 1659:20, 1663:6, 1693:2 considered [6] -1746:24, 1747:1, 1517:10, 1517:14, 1675:12, 1728:21 computations [1] -1528:2, 1622:7, 1750:18 1631:4, 1662:15, 1621:6 CONDITIONAL [1] -1667:21, 1668:20, 1683:4, 1717:22 closed [1] - 1749:1 conceivable [1] -1512:7 1722:2, 1745:9 commercial [2] conditions [13] closer [7] - 1520:23, 1528:22 considering [2] -1722:4, 1722:9 1532:22, 1539:13, 1521:2, 1571:14, concept [2] -1528:20, 1689:18 1590:20, 1610:13. Commission [8] -1656:9, 1666:20, 1675:13, 1719:17 consistency [1] -1618:11, 1618:16, 1513:6, 1515:18, 1598:12 1720:9, 1733:8 concepts [1] -1621:22, 1624:10, 1516:22, 1524:21, closest [1] - 1535:24 1685:1 consists [2] -1646:21, 1684:2, 1625:8, 1632:8, closing [2] conceptual [24] -1622:4, 1647:24 1641:13, 1678:17, 1735:3, 1746:21 1746:14, 1749:4 1661:5, 1662:11, constructed [2] -1729:15 cluster [1] - 1616:10 common [1] -1671:13, 1683:11, 1678:17, 1712:13 1634:16 conducted [1] -CN [6] - 1668:2, 1683:12, 1685:12, construction [8] -Commonwealth [3] -1514:2 1685:23, 1686:4, 1676:16, 1692:1, 1604:14, 1619:11, 1640:5, 1742:3, conducting [1] -1686:21, 1690:1, 1645:23, 1688:1, 1692:13, 1692:20, 1547:14 1754:1 1691:9, 1696:12, 1688:8, 1688:23, 1704:2 communicate [1] conferring [3] -1698:22, 1699:7, CNs [2] - 1691:13, 1728:3, 1728:13 1747:23 1700:1, 1700:7, 1552:8, 1659:2, 1692:7 consult [1] - 1729:17 1745:6 communicated [2] -1700:11, 1705:21, code [4] - 1603:2, Consultants [1] -1518:19, 1523:18 configuration [1] -1706:10, 1707:7, 1664:16, 1665:8, 1589:8 1529:19 communications [1] 1671:2 1707:12, 1707:18, consultants [1] -- 1518:5 conflict [1] - 1530:14 1710:22, 1715:7 Code [1] - 1727:1 1581:23 conflicts [1] communities [1] conceptually [3] coefficients [5] contact [2] ~ 1611:9 1700:12, 1707:11, 1530:17 1661:19, 1672:3 1603:9, 1621:5, community [6] -1707:16 confused [1] -1634:14, 1691:12, contained [1] -1610:2, 1610:4, concern [1] - 1633:8 1653:13 1693:1 1648:1 1610:14, 1628:9, concerned [3] confusing [1] colder[1] - 1612:3 contains [1] - 1622:8 1628:12, 1739:22 1573:19, 1651:16, 1616:14 collapse [2] contest [1] - 1745:1 compared [1] -1722:13 congestion [7] -1570:23 contested [1] -1606:10 concerning [9] -1526:17, 1536:20, collected [2] -1582:1 complete [1] -1536:22, 1536:24, 1559:19, 1560:2, 1722:1, 1723:6 continuance [1] -1538:1, 1538:14, 1597:21 1588:20, 1589:12, College [1] - 1546:10 1751:22 1538:19 1590:12, 1631:16, completed [2] comfortable [3] continue [19] congestion-related 1539:3, 1723:12 1636:7, 1689:12, 1538:18, 1557:23, 1560:20, 1586:21,

1598:19, 1600:12, 1569:11 correspondingly [1] Crawford's [1] crops [1] - 1668:1 1608:14, 1615:19, corps [1] - 1547:24 - 1632:21 1689:11 cross [8] - 1576:10, 1635:8, 1662:23, Corps [11] - 1540:17, cost [2] - 1528:5, create [7] - 1605:7, 1578:12, 1636:15, 1664:11, 1665:2, 1542:1, 1542:13, 1739:17 1611:2, 1611:15, 1643:13, 1656:17, 1666:21, 1669:16, 1543:1, 1543:3, costs [7] - 1724:20, 1621:16, 1632:15, 1684:1, 1715:15, 1671:15, 1673:2, 1543:16, 1543:18, 1729:3, 1736:11, 1663:15, 1704:2 1725:13 1673:21, 1677:1, 1543:22, 1543:24, 1736:14, 1739:8, creation [1] -**CROSS** [5] -1681:12, 1715:23, 1545:6, 1554:24 1740:5, 1740:6 1677:16 1576:12, 1577:19, 1738:5 Council [3] - 1590:7, CREBILLY [1] -1636:17, 1725:15, correct [78] continued [1] -1530:10, 1532:15, 1622:9, 1640:5 1753:5 1752:3 1514:18 1533:21, 1537:14, Crebilly [36] counsel [14] cross-examination continues [1] -1538:14, 1545:6, 1514:9, 1515:24, 1513:7, 1513:15, [1] - 1643:13 1554:16 1552:3, 1552:6, **CROSS-**1518:5, 1627:10, 1514:18, 1515:8, continuing [1] -1570:5, 1574:1, **EXAMINATION** [4] -1627:18, 1630:24, 1515:23, 1518:7, 1748:19 1576:16, 1576:21, 1521:10, 1527:22, 1729:17, 1730:1, 1576:12, 1577:19, contribute [2] -1577:3, 1577:6, 1730:14, 1730:23, 1529:9, 1541:2, 1636:17, 1725:15 1526:16, 1603:20 1577:10, 1582:9, 1744:4, 1744:10, 1559:4, 1559:20, cross-examine [2] -1583:24, 1584:12, 1568:5, 1570:5, contributes [1] -1745:3, 1745:21 1636:15, 1684:1 1588:22, 1588:23, 1570:12, 1572:15, 1619:12 County [8] crossed [1] -1599:16, 1599:21, control [14] -1533:21, 1605:12, 1573:22, 1575:2, 1665:12 1634:22, 1639:20, 1606:22, 1615:22, 1612:11, 1628:19, 1576:6, 1577:24, crossing [4] -1640:23, 1643:11, 1629:1, 1646:3, 1583:9, 1583:24, 1616:2, 1621:21, 1533:8, 1585:9, 1626:4, 1645:24, 1644:20, 1644:22, 1721:6, 1754:2 1584:24, 1589:9, 1585:11, 1617:7 1645:12, 1646:8, 1591:15, 1628:22, 1655:14, 1676:2, **COUNTY** [1] - 1512:3 crossing.. [1] -1652:14, 1653:15, 1647:19, 1648:7, 1676:7, 1677:6, couple [7] - 1515:11, 1578:13 1653:19, 1654:20, 1648:8, 1649:23, 1712:16, 1712:19, 1607:12, 1608:22, crossings [2] -1655:10, 1658:13, 1658:10, 1666:19, 1713:14, 1713:15 1641:19, 1681:4, 1665:13, 1696:2 controlled [1] -1659:16, 1659:17, 1687:17, 1698:10 1714:16, 1717:24, Crum [6] - 1606:3, 1660:1, 1666:14, 1723:15, 1746:20 1656:9 coupled [1] - 1721:6 1606:6, 1606:12, 1668:11, 1673:5, credentials [1] convenient [1] course [1] - 1516:24 1606:14, 1616:24, 1682:18, 1685:6, 1536:1 court [4] - 1515:3, 1522:1 1637:21 1685:15, 1685:16, credibility [1] conversation [1] -1541:12, 1608:12, crux [1] - 1564:6 1685:23, 1686:12, 1750:11 1520:7 1626:7 Cruz [1] - 1542:20 1687:8, 1687:12, conveyed [1] -**COURT** [2] credible [2] - 1579:4, CU [2] - 1745:15, 1691:12, 1693:15, 1670:9 1512:23, 1627:24 1663:9 1745:17 1693:17, 1698:14, credits [1] - 1596:4 conveys [1] courteousness [1] culvert [6] - 1666:9, 1701:17, 1702:3, creek [1] - 1609:22 1632-22 1514:1 1666:19, 1702:22, 1706:8, 1707:22, Creek [21] - 1606:3, cool [1] - 1611:23 courtyards [2] -1703:13, 1703:16, 1709:11, 1712:2, 1606:6, 1606:12, copies [4] - 1592:14, 1682:13, 1682:20 1703:19 1727:3, 1727:4, 1606:13, 1606:16, 1592:17, 1592:19, cover [13] - 1569:17, cumulative [2] -1727:14, 1729:1, 1616:24, 1617:1, 1742:21 1571:12, 1583:21, 1635:22, 1722:20 1729:12, 1731:14, 1617:11, 1637:21, copy [10] - 1586:13, 1669:6, 1681:22, current [7] -1731:15, 1731:18, 1665:24, 1666:8, 1591:21, 1597:21, 1693:24, 1725:5, 1541:24, 1596:3, 1731:20, 1732:4, 1667:20, 1667:24, 1714:19, 1723:20, 1736:1, 1736:11, 1596:4, 1598:14, 1732:5, 1732:7, 1676:12, 1702:10, 1725:4, 1741:17, 1736:14, 1737:3, 1606:22, 1712:5, 1732:8, 1733:23, 1737:11, 1753:14 1702:14, 1702:15, 1741:19, 1742:20, 1739:10 1739:13, 1739:15, 1703:5, 1703:9, 1745:21 covering [1] curriculum [4] -1739:17, 1744:8 1703:12, 1704:9 CORCORAN [4] -1542:11 1540:15, 1542:6, Correct [8] criteria [4] - 1596:5, CRAWFORD [8] -1650:15, 1697:17, 1587:21, 1636:21 1624:18, 1668:8, 1642:11, 1642:16, 1736:22, 1736:24 1513:8, 1531:5, curve [12] - 1557:19, 1671:14, 1682:15, 1664:22 Corcoran [4] -1577:20, 1579:13, 1602:22, 1620:10, 1685:7, 1685:24, critical [12] -1534:12, 1650:13, 1647:1, 1687:17, 1634:10, 1634:13, 1688:3, 1693:8 1553:15, 1565:20, 1697:16, 1736:20 1687:20, 1689:5 1634:16, 1634:22, correctly [5] -1566:3, 1568:4, corner [4] - 1564:22, Crawford [9] -1635:4, 1635:10, 1519:1, 1701:12, 1568:20, 1573:21, 1593:23, 1714:5, 1531:3, 1577:14, 1635:17, 1667:15, 1702:3, 1705:1, 1574:1, 1574:17. 1714:9 1577:17, 1579:19, 1667:22 1706:2 1575:20, 1584:12, 1646:23, 1687:15, corners [1] - 1593:21 cut [5] - 1518:23, correspondence [3] 1595:15, 1610:21 1735:5, 1752:10, corporal [1] - 1573:3 1566:12, 1618:9, - 1674:6, 1747:9, Crognale [1] -1752:18 1619:9, 1737:23 corporals [3] -1747:11 1534:20 crawford [1] - 1689:8 1546:24, 1547:22, cuts [3] - 1619:2,

1737:4, 1737:9 **CV** [2] - 1542:10, 1753:6

### D

D+EP[1] - 1656:17 D.C [2] - 1653:23, 1654:1 damage [3] -1575:23, 1603:19, 1610:14 damaged [1] -1606:18 data [25] - 1597:12, 1597:13, 1598:7, 1599:2, 1599:3, 1599:8, 1599:23, 1600:3, 1602:3, 1613:18, 1621:6, 1625:10, 1641:2, 1641:9, 1641:10, 1688:17, 1689:3, 1692:18, 1692:20, 1693:2, 1694:17, 1695:5, 1695:7, 1710:4 database [1] -1592:7 date [4] - 1593:1, 1593:5, 1685:13, 1724:5 dated [3] - 1588:17, 1723:23, 1724:18 Daull [6] - 1516:9, 1534:21, 1581:4, 1650:22, 1697:20, 1738:10 DAULL [5] - 1534:22, 1581:6, 1650:23, 1697:21, 1738:12 David [1] - 1535:4 days [6] - 1607:12, 1690:6, 1690:8, 1701:10, 1701:15, 1749:9 dead [1] - 1634:1 deal [1] - 1622:9 dealing [1] - 1533:9 death [3] - 1569:3, 1569:8, 1569:13 decaying [1] -1634:2 December [1] -1530:5 decide [4] - 1557:16, 1565:7, 1628:13, 1750:21

decided [2] -

1518:17, 1522:15

decides [2] -1565:11, 1749:23 deciding [1] - 1529:1 decision [5] -1524:4, 1565:6, 1572:14, 1574:22, 1630:16 decisions [5] -1548:9, 1554:13, 1557:16, 1585:12, 1715:9 deem [1] - 1731:8 deemed [2] - 1644:8, 1731:4 deep[3] - 1618:14, 1618:17, 1619:1 defeated [1] - 1723:4 Defense [1] -1548:12 defer [3] - 1522:16, 1537:9, 1579:13 deficiencies [1] -1537:22 defilade [12] -1569:2, 1569:16, 1569:17, 1569:18, 1569:24, 1570:14, 1571:13, 1578:7, 1578:11, 1583:17, 1583:19 defined [1] - 1714:3 definitely [4] -1641:21, 1648:15, 1690:13, 1700:2 definition [1] -1656:11 definitively [1] -1576:19 degrading [1] -1670:2 degree [2] - 1636:4, 1674:22 degrees [2] - 1612:5, 1612:12 Delaware [4] -1609:15, 1633:24, 1637:11, 1654:11 delay [1] - 1750:14 delays [2] - 1528:4, 1537:1 delivered [1] -1720:5 delivering [1] -1732:13 demands [1] -1537:13

demarcation [1] -

demographic [1] -

1577:23

1732:17

demonstrate [8] -1589:20, 1604:16, 1619:22, 1635:14, 1657:11, 1663:10, 1687:6, 1688:8 demonstrated [8] -1602:4, 1603:11, 1613:19, 1615:21, 1641:3, 1655:12, 1677:5, 1685:5 demonstrates [5] -1622:22, 1662:21, 1684:12, 1684:19, 1685:8 demonstrating [1] -1641:17 demonstration [1] -1654:3 denied [1] - 1750:17 Dennis [1] - 1535:12 dense [1] - 1610:19 density [2] - 1653:1, 1656:2 deny [2] - 1518:17, 1519:17 DEP [57] - 1603:3, 1615:12, 1620:14, 1622:24, 1625:6, 1637:21, 1640:5, 1640:18, 1644:17, 1646:3, 1646:5, 1662:1, 1663:14, 1665:13, 1667:4, 1670:4, 1670:11, 1670:17, 1674:2, 1676:8, 1677:10, 1677:21, 1680:7, 1680:10, 1681:18, 1685:14, 1685:18, 1687:12, 1687:24, 1688:4, 1688:6, 1688:16, 1688:20, 1689:1, 1689:2, 1689:12, 1689:18, 1690:7, 1690:10, 1690:22, 1695:20, 1696:16, 1699:18, 1700:5, 1700:16, 1700:20, 1700:21, 1701:1, 1701:13, 1701:20, 1702:4, 1708:22, 1711:3, 1711:14, 1742:2, 1744:19, 1745:19 DEP's [1] - 1656:22 department [4] -1623:3, 1624:9, 1625:6, 1644:23 Department [4] -1741:21, 1742:5,

1742:22, 1744:18 depicted [1] -1658:11 depth [1] - 1678:19 describe [5] -1542:8, 1568:9, 1590:20, 1592:2, 1622:21 described [1] -1691:8 describing [1] -1570:3 Desert [1] - 1548:14 design [54] -1533:23, 1540:23, 1602:6, 1613:23, 1614:15, 1619:21, 1635:22, 1636:7, 1641:24, 1643:16, 1652:24, 1660:21, 1661:24, 1662:3, 1662:11, 1664:10, 1665:6, 1667:7, 1668:19, 1671:21, 1673:13, 1674:7, 1674:11, 1674:14, 1676:6, 1679:17, 1681:8, 1683:17, 1684:7, 1685:1, 1685:17, 1685:19, 1686:3, 1687:10, 1688:17, 1690:1, 1691:5, 1691:7, 1692:8, 1694:16, 1696:12, 1698:23, 1702:1, 1703:10, 1704:23, 1705:13, 1705:16, 1705:19, 1705:21, 1708:2, 1709:18, 1710:10, 1710:11, 1712:18 Design [2] - 1587:12, 1590:6 designated [1] -1594:15 designed [21] -1528:23, 1533:14, 1621:23, 1622:1, 1624:16, 1632:18, 1645:9, 1660:22, 1660:24, 1665:22, 1678:24, 1679:7, 1681:17, 1682:6, 1682:18, 1682:21, 1682:24, 1704:6, 1708:19, 1712:13, 1713:12 designing [1] -1654:7 designs [2] - 1696:9,

1704:15 desire [1] - 1517:3 destruction [2] -1663:24, 1664:8 detail [8] - 1562:5, 1592:1, 1597:7, 1604:23, 1612:17, 1614:23, 1617:15, 1661:6 detailed [1] - 1687:5 details [5] - 1576:21, 1651:19, 1652:2, 1671:10, 1674:10 detention [3] -1616:17, 1616:18, 1626:3 determination [2] -1528:20, 1604:24 determine [7] -1520:7, 1579:4, 1624:15, 1652:22, 1666:11, 1686:8, 1695:7 determined [6] -1642:9, 1642:15, 1643:19, 1684:6, 1733:17, 1733:21 developable [1] -1578:2 developed [2] -1532:14, 1636:11 developer [8] -1538:9, 1638:5, 1639:20, 1639:21, 1641:1, 1645:18, 1678:4, 1732:18 developers [6] -1513:16, 1636:24, 1638:18, 1724:3, 1728:2, 1733:19 developing [1] -1732:6 development [89] -1527:22, 1528:2, 1529:16, 1530:19, 1536:7, 1537:21, 1538:13, 1538:16, 1566:22, 1572:23, 1575:1, 1575:8, 1588:21, 1589:21, 1592:13, 1594:4, 1594:6, 1594:8, 1602:9, 1603:7, 1603:16, 1606:10, 1606:11, 1606:21, 1607:4, 1608:20, 1610:16, 1610:20, 1612:17, 1614:6, 1614:14, 1616:13,

1616:23, 1619:20,

1619:22, 1620:12,	1705:24, 1718:16	disagreement [2] -	1718:20, 1719:11,	1561:19, 1561:24,
1622:11, 1622:12,	different [32] -	1675:17, 1675:18	1719:15, 1720:11,	1600:16, 1602:20,
1628:22, 1629:10,	1527:22, 1549:8,	disappointment [1] -	1720:19, 1721:1,	1603:4, 1615:2,
1635:2, 1637:3,	1549:9, 1550:19,	1628:22	1721:4, 1721:5,	1616:16, 1637:6,
1637:14, 1638:6,	1551:11, 1553:13,	discharge [8] -	1721:8, 1721:15,	1652:11, 1656:7,
1638:10, 1638:21,	1562:9, 1565:8,	1619:5, 1624:22,	1721:21, 1722:23,	1660:16, 1661:6,
1640:3, 1649:9,	1597:16, 1597:22,	1667:1, 1669:24,	1723:16, 1724:20,	1669:2, 1674:10,
1651:15, 1654:23,	1598:13, 1598:16,	1670:15, 1676:5,	1724:23, 1726:2,	1681:9, 1682:1,
1656:2, 1656:5,	1600:23, 1606:8,	1677:17, 1677:18	1726:6, 1727:2,	1686:24, 1691:4,
1656:7, 1656:10,	1612:7, 1619:17,	discharged [2] -	1727:6, 1727:7,	1691:8, 1693:8,
1656:15, 1663:22,	1620:18, 1634:13,	1670:10, 1671:24	1728:5, 1728:17,	1693:23, 1694:3,
1664:6, 1665:18,	1634:14, 1641:16,	discharges [1] -	1728:18, 1728:19,	1694:4, 1698:13,
1666:2, 1666:5,	1656:14, 1694:7,	1611:22	1729:1, 1729:2,	1698:16, 1702:2,
1666:7, 1670:12,	1706:24, 1707:6,	discharging [1] -	1731:4, 1732:2,	1704:18, 1704:21,
1674:1, 1682:7,	1722:15, 1728:4,	1669:22	1733:8, 1733:18,	1705:5, 1705:23,
1682:11, 1684:22,	1728:8, 1732:18,	disclose [2] -	1734:1, 1737:1,	1706:1, 1706:12,
1686:7, 1686:16,	1733:1, 1733:3,	1519:21, 1730:17	1737:5	1710:13, 1710:18,
1687:6, 1703:18,	1733:12	disconnection [7] -	district's [1] -	1715:21, 1715:24,
1713:17, 1717:24,	differently [2] -	1610:24, 1611:5,	1733:15	1717:5, 1718:8,
1718:10, 1719:12,	1549:2, 1636:11	1669:18, 1669:19,	districts [8] - 1722:1,	1718:12, 1719:14,
1719:21, 1719:22,	difficult [7] - 1543:7,	1671:22, 1703:24,	1722:3, 1722:8,	1728:10, 1733:11
1719:24, 1720:3,	1575:3, 1599:24,	1711:19	1723:6, 1724:11,	dots [5] - 1558:12,
1720:20, 1720:22,	1602:10, 1602:11,	discouraging [1] -	1727:20, 1729:11,	1561:21, 1593:11,
1720:24, 1723:15,	1617:19, 1648:19	1527:9	1729:14	1596:10, 1599:15
1724:21, 1732:15,	difficulties [1] -	discretion [1] -	disturbing [1] -	doubt [2] - 1573:11,
1732:16, 1733:13,	1585:8	1520:1	1704:12	1582:10
1736:13	difficulty (1) -	discuss [2] -	disturbs [1] -	down [41] - 1540:11
Development [2] -	1520:22		1618:23	1553:24, 1554:1,
1530:16, 1637:16	dig [3] - 1600:22,	1519:13, 1519:15	divert [1] - 1618:1	1564:20, 1565:23,
developments [6] -	1600:24, 1601:3	discussed [8] - 1518:16, 1520:9,	diverting [1] - 1618:7	1566:6, 1566:12,
1619:18, 1637:6,	digging [1] - 1600:23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	divide [1] - 1617:7	1570:21, 1571:9,
1719:18, 1721:19,	Dilworthtown [1] -	1552:10, 1563:23,	Division [6] - 1521:8,	1571:10, 1571:14,
1727:7, 1733:6	1595:8	1723:11, 1723:21,	1542:2, 1543:17,	1572:7, 1574:4,
deviate [1] - 1748:19		1745:8, 1750:7	1560:2, 1560:4,	1574:20, 1593:20,
device [1] - 1711:17	<b>diminish</b> [1] - 1611:13	discusses [1] -	1563:10	1601:24, 1605:12,
<b>DEWOLF</b> [34] -		1530:18	division [2] -	1608:11, 1612:10,
1512:15, 1535:20,	diminished [2] -	Discussion [4] -	1554:19, 1565:19	1614:8, 1615:9,
1536:6, 1536:11,	1614:18, 1696:10	1524:8, 1540:12,	document [6] -	1615:18, 1616:9,
1536:19, 1537:7,	DIRE [1] - 1545:1	1586:14, 1592:20	1587:22, 1588:18,	1619:11, 1623:13,
1537:11, 1537:18,	Dire [1] - 1752:8	discussions [2] -	· · · · · ·	1625:19, 1633:12,
1537:24, 1538:3,	DIRECT [3] -	1520:4, 1662:10	1588:19, 1652:12,	1654:1, 1654:17,
1652:7, 1653:12,	1541:19, 1587:3,	distance [1] -	1742:4, 1745:20	1658:18, 1663:19,
1653:18, 1653:22,	1752:3	1572:17	documents [10] -	1664:1, 1672:11,
1654:4, 1654:9,	direct [4] - 1569:21,	distances [1] -	1530:8, 1589:10,	1690:3, 1706:14,
1654:13, 1654:18,	1569:23, 1631:12,	1620:6	1625:14, 1717:6,	1715:23, 1716:6,
1655:1, 1655:23,	1648:13	distinct [1] - 1748:2	1741:3, 1741:13,	1716:18, 1740:19,
	direction [2] -	distribute [1] -	1742:11, 1742:12,	1747:17, 1748:7
1656:16, 1656:24,	1584:17, 1585:1	1717:9	1743:4, 1744:24	down-slope [1] -
1710:17, 1711:4,	directly [11] - 1571:6,	District [12] - 1630:5,	Dodgers [1] - 1627:4	1616:9
1711:20, 1711:24,	1621:15, 1647:18,	1646:4, 1670:18,	doilar[1] - 1732:1	downhill [1] -
1712:3, 1712:20,	1648:21, 1648:24,	1716:18, 1717:12,	Dominick [6] -	1605:17
1713:4, 1713:18,	1649:1, 1649:19,	1718:4, 1719:1,	1680:9, 1681:6,	
1713:23, 1714:17,	1651:19, 1660:12,	1724:17, 1725:3,	1688:15, 1689:1,	downloaded [1] -
1714:21, 1715:5	1661:18, 1671:24	1725:23, 1726:24,	1689:14, 1689:17	1554:23
Diana [1] - 1534:14	Directors [3] -	1729:16	dominos [1] - 1566:7	downsize [1] -
Dianne [1] - 1738:7	1718:4, 1724:17,	DISTRICT [1] -	done [53] - 1515:1,	1668:2
dianne [1] - 1650:16	1729:17	1753:13	1515:22, 1517:4,	downstream [23] -
DIDOMENICO [1] -	disagree [6] -	district [39] -	1523:14, 1549:12,	1603:18, 1607:3,
1512:14	1660:13, 1663:1,	1516:20, 1531:23,	1553:22, 1555:9,	1608:1, 1608:4,
difference [4] -	1668:14, 1668:16,	1580:3, 1677:21,	1555:11, 1556:1,	1608:5, 1608:9,
1548:23, 1696:17,	1680:2, 1749:11	1677:24, 1718:11,	1561:13, 1561:15,	1608:24, 1609:4,

1609:6, 1609:14,
1611:4, 1611:9,
1632:20, 1632:22,
1633:2, 1633:17,
1633:21, 1635:19,
1645:14, 1649:2,
1651:13, 1675:24,
1683:2
DR [6] - 1531:24,
1580:4, 1647:10,
1697:3, 1716:20,
1725:11
<b>Dr</b> [9] - 1519:8,
1521:3, 1523:11,
1523:24, 1725:2,
1705:47 1720:24
1725:17, 1738:24,
1739:5, 1740:13
dragon [1] - 1654:16
drain [7] - 1615:11,
1648:7, 1648:12,
1648:15, 1669:4,
1670:8, 1678:23
drainage [3] -
1648:6, 1669:6,
1692:2
drained [2] - 1646:8,
1646:10
draining [8] - 1614:4
1614:12, 1616:23,
1616:24, 1617:1,
1617:4, 1617:11,
1636:10
drains [2] - 1606:3,
1666:18
drastically [1] -
1707:6
draw [3] - 1578:14,
1579:8, 1579:9
drawing [2] -
1600:19, 1616:15
drawings [2] -
1614:24, 1616:13
Drexel [2] - 1654:13,
1654:17
drinking [1] -
1605:22
drip [2] - 1714:3,
1714:8
drive [3] - 1566:15,
1571:10, 1628:18
driven [2] - 1567:5,
1647:21
driveway [2] -
1529:16, 1529:20
1529:16, 1529:20 driveways [1] -
1529:16, 1529:20 <b>driveways</b> [1] - 1622:13
1529:16, 1529:20 <b>driveways</b> [1] - 1622:13 <b>drones</b> [1] - 1549:2
1529:16, 1529:20 driveways [1] - 1622:13 drones [1] - 1549:2 due [2] - 1592:10,
1529:16, 1529:20 <b>driveways</b> [1] - 1622:13 <b>drones</b> [1] - 1549:2
1529:16, 1529:20 driveways [1] - 1622:13 drones [1] - 1549:2 due [2] - 1592:10, 1721:19
1529:16, 1529:20 driveways [1] - 1622:13 drones [1] - 1549:2 due [2] - 1592:10,

1531:12, 1579:20, 1647:3, 1696:20, 1716:7, 1735:8 **DUFAULT** [5] -1531:14, 1579:22, 1647:5, 1696:22, 1716.9 duly [5] - 1524:18, 1541:17, 1586:17, 1659:13, 1717:1 Dunford [1] -1548:12 duplicative [2] -1638:15, 1749:17 during [13] -1526:24, 1536:2, 1536:5, 1661:6, 1674:10, 1675:3, 1681:11, 1684:21, 1694:6, 1707:12, 1713:16, 1734:3 duties [1] - 1543:1 duty [2] - 1554:13, 1640:13

## Ε

1737:6, 1740:5

1720:6 E-mail [1] - 1753:3 e-mails [2] - 1518:9, 1630:6 1519:14 early [1] - 1610:9 earth [3] - 1618:15, 1618:22, 1704:13 earthmoving [2] -1663:23, 1664:7 easily [3] - 1609:2, 1690:16 east [8] - 1570:4, 1591:1, 1606:3, 1617:1, 1665:23, 1666:17, 1676:9, 1712:21 1676:11 East [3] - 1631:7, 1613:24 1640:6, 1640:18 eastern [2] -1616:10, 1616:23 easy [2] - 1565:20, 1707:24 1536:21 economic [2] -1557:4, 1558:1 Ed [6] - 1516:10, 1532:1, 1534:16, 1535:8, 1650:19, 1651:3 1627:23 educating [1] -1548:8 1628:7 education [6] -1556:23, 1557:1, 1653:17, 1723:7, 1692:18

efficacy [1] - 1688:9 efficient [1] -1526:24 effort [1] - 1711:9 eight [3] - 1720:21, 1727:12, 1728:5 eighth [1] - 1651:13 Eileen [2] - 1516:10, 1535:10 either [8] - 1531:16, 1568:23, 1621:15, 1636:24, 1649:4, 1686:13, 1688:9, 1739:3 elaborate [2] -1553:9, 1634:11 ELEANOR [1] -1512:23 Eleanor [3] -1626:17, 1754:7, 1754:21 elections [1] -1630:12 Elementary [1] elementary [1] elevation [17] -1600:21, 1704:22, 1705:8, 1705:9, 1705:11, 1705:12, 1705:13, 1705:17, 1705:18, 1705:24, 1706:6, 1706:7, 1706:23, 1706:24, 1707:5, 1707:14 elevations [3] -1600:15, 1662:1, eliminate [1] eliminated [4] -1526:5, 1526:9, 1723:2, 1723:5 elimination [1] emergency [2] -1536:5, 1536:12 emeritus [1] - 1542:1 emotion [1] - 1628:5 emotional [1] emotions [1] empirical [3] -1688:6, 1688:17, employ [1] - 1734:7

effect [2] - 1611:21,

1612:14

employed [1] -1587:10 enable [1] - 1727:2 enabling [2] -1724:4, 1724:6 enacting [1] -1730:24 encountered [1] -1661:24 encountering[1] -1661:22 encouraging [1] -1644:6 end [9] - 1557:23, 1567:12, 1567:18, 1573:14, 1602:1, 1609:4, 1646:6, 1658:5, 1658:7 ending [1] - 1748:17 enemy [2] - 1572:12, 1575:22 Energy [1] - 1590:6 enforced [1] -1687:24 enforces [1] -1567:19 engage [1] - 1565:17 engaged [1] -1637:19 engagement [6] -1521:8, 1547:13, 1550:13, 1560:4, 1560:17, 1563:10 engagements [1] -1563:16 engineer [27] -1587:9, 1587:14, 1636:2, 1640:13, 1642:8, 1642:12, 1642:19, 1643:16, 1644:12, 1653:10, 1659:5, 1661:3, 1662:10, 1662:11, 1662:18, 1667:7, 1669:10, 1673:17, 1674:9, 1675:15, 1683:21, 1684:6, 1684:9, 1685:4, 1685:11, 1685:20, 1685:21 Engineer[1] -1587:17 engineer's [5] -1602:23, 1620:15, 1644:3, 1662:15, 1674:5 engineered [1] -1671:11

Engineering [1] -

1644:5

engineering [18] -1525:3, 1525:6, 1587:12, 1588:6, 1600:1, 1614:23, 1616:6, 1617:22, 1640:2, 1653:17, 1653:21, 1654:7, 1654:15, 1691:14, 1698:18, 1699:6, 1704:11, 1707:17 engineers [1] -1634:13 Engineers [1] -1640:12 enlarged [1] - 1691:2 enter[3] - 1718:2, 1724:14, 1734:16 entered [1] - 1723:21 enters [1] - 1619:13 entire [5] - 1513:24, 1570:24, 1605:16, 1612:12, 1714:9 entirely [2] -1520:12, 1606:4 entitled [1] - 1579:3 entitlements [1] -1726:17 entity [1] - 1646:1 enveloped [1] -1683:3 Environmental [5] -1590:6, 1741:22, 1742:5, 1742:22, 1744:18 environmental [1] -1637:1 environs [1] -1633:21 EPA's [1] - 1656:10 equestrian [1] -1533:20 equivalent [1] -1595:5 erode [1] - 1608:18 eroded [4] - 1608:6. 1608:19, 1619:19, 1633:2 erodes [1] - 1609:8 eroding [1] - 1678:7 erosion [10] -1603:18, 1603:22, 1619:5, 1633:1, 1677:18, 1677:20, 1712:15, 1712:18, 1713:13, 1713:15 erosive [2] - 1678:2, 1678:3 err [1] - 1582:22 error[1] - 1634:24

errors [2] - 1602:13,

1667:11 1746:8, 1746:23, 1518:16, 1519:13 1542:9, 1542:14, 1594:3 1748:24, 1749:4, 1545:5, 1545:9, **ESE** [1] - 1589:8 exercise [2] fair [6] - 1629:22, 1750:1 1547:12, 1548:3, 1635:20, 1635:21, especially [8] -1556:3, 1575:6 1548:17, 1549:20, 1589:15, 1609:23, exact [2] - 1553:18, exfiltration [1] -1636:4, 1688:1, 1560:11 1550:12, 1551:17, 1610:7, 1611:3, 1708:21 1694:14 1552:2, 1552:18, 1611:8, 1612:21, exactly [5] - 1548:7, exhausted [1] fall [2] - 1566:4, 1619:19, 1648:4 1566:16, 1574:10, 1747:7 1553:4, 1557:20, 1700:11 Esquire [6] - 1513:2, 1584:1, 1721:11 exhibit [23] - 1518:8, 1560:8, 1583:12, falls [1] - 1567:15 1588:4 1513:3, 1513:5, **EXAMINATION** [13] -1540:10, 1540:14, familiar [16] - 1542:7, 1513:7, 1513:8, 1525:13, 1541:19, 1540:18, 1540:21, experts [1] - 1579:4 1544:6, 1559:1, 1513:10 explain [5] -1545:1, 1576:12, 1542:4, 1564:12, 1559:4, 1559:7, essential [1] -1577:19, 1583:5, 1568:10, 1579:15, 1545:23, 1588:1, 1559:17, 1561:4, 1590:21, 1598:7, 1555:17 1587:3, 1636:17, 1586:8, 1587:20, 1561:5, 1561:10, 1599:22 essentially [4] -1659:22, 1684:4, 1591:6, 1591:9, 1588:4, 1588:17, 1687:19, 1689:9, Exploration [1] -1594:1, 1594:4, 1593:8, 1593:12, 1591:1, 1596:2, 1725:15 1597:16, 1597:21, 1674:21 1647:17, 1647:21, 1635:13, 1695:24 estimate [4] examination [1] -1598:9, 1599:14, exploration [1] -1717:16 1643:13 1664:12, 1714:18, 1635:13, 1679:8, 1589:9 families [4] -1679:9, 1721:18 examine [3] -1717:11 exposed [1] -1718:23, 1719:3, 1553:14, 1636:15, Exhibit [16] - 1518:8, 1608:20 1719:6, 1721:8 estimated [1] -1684:1 1518:22, 1522:19, family [1] - 1628:14 1623:11 express [1] -1529:20, 1542:4, examined [5] -1630:19 far [3] - 1584:15, estimates (3) -1625:14, 1718:17, 1524:18, 1541:17, 1564:12, 1568:7, extended [2] -1727:15, 1749:13 1587:20, 1588:16, 1719:17 1586:17, 1659:13, 1701:2, 1701:7 farm [9] - 1533:19, 1717:1 1591:10, 1662:20, etcetera [3] - 1620:8, extensive [3] -1572:1, 1572:4, example [17] -1684:11, 1686:17, 1575:15, 1576:5, 1618:9, 1618:22, 1633:15, 1652:22 1727:1, 1727:19, 1546:2, 1557:5, 1619:2 1576:6, 1578:6, evacuation [1] -1745:15 1558:8, 1561:16, 1585:12, 1732:20 1548:1 extensively [1] -1561:21, 1575:12, exhibits [8] - 1530:9, evaluate [1] - 1563:2 1618:13 Farm [19] - 1516:8, 1576:2, 1652:20, 1540:14, 1559:19, extent [1] - 1686:9 1521:10, 1532:8, evaluated [2] -1666:5, 1668:21, 1664:24, 1747:4, 1559:4, 1570:5, 1528:19, 1533:16 extraordinary [1] -1691:19, 1692:10, 1747:5, 1750:20, evaporate [1] -1572:15, 1573:23, 1693:10, 1706:14, 1751:8 1575:2, 1576:6, 1656:13 extreme [1] - 1566:3 1709:22, 1722:11 EXHIBITS [2] -1577:24, 1583:9, evening [24] extremely [1] examples [4] -1753:2, 1753:5 1583:24, 1584:24, 1575:3 1513:13, 1514:6, 1619:8, 1619:14, existing [5] -1589:9, 1591:15, 1514:14, 1514:17, 1619:16, 1656:6 1530:19, 1537:22, 1628:23, 1649:23, 1514:21, 1515:15, F excavated [2] -1641:12, 1665:6, 1650:3, 1717:24 1515:17, 1515:24, 1618:12, 1618:13 1673:9 fabric [1] - 1619:11 farmland (1) -1516:16, 1525:9, exceedingly [1] expand [1] - 1562:15 face [1] - 1568:14 1557:14 1545:3, 1587:5, 1625:18 expansion [1] fast [1] - 1653:4 1630:23, 1631:4, faced [1] - 1554:11 Excel [1] - 1676:21 1653:21 fate [1] - 1565:5 1636:19, 1636:20, facilitate [2] expect [1] - 1539:4 Feasibility [1] -1650:7, 1658:12, excellent [1] -1663:22, 1664:6 1717:4, 1725:17, 1721:6 expenses [1] facilities [1] -1684:18 except [2] - 1665:11, 1737:10 1645:19 feasibility [4] -1736:22, 1736:23, 1696:1 expensive [2] -1663:11, 1683:16, 1740:21, 1741:9 fact [12] - 1551:16, event [4] - 1547:19, exception[1] -1719:3, 1737:20 1557:21, 1596:9, 1683:18, 1685:12 1637:2, 1676:23, 1584:13, 1666:3, 1743:23 experience [2] feasible [6] excess [3] - 1634:8, 1545:15, 1582:19 1601:20, 1642:10, 1671:3 1681:6, 1719:18, expert [18] - 1522:24, 1688:10, 1736:14 1642:15, 1643:3, 1720:23, 1721:5, eventually [4] -1524:24, 1525:3, 1743:16, 1750:3, 1643:19, 1662:8 1567:20, 1606:13, excessive [2] -1525:6, 1544:10, 1751:3 1609:14, 1738:2 1663:23, 1664:7 features [6] - 1596:1, 1550:8, 1550:16, 1652:23, 1664:19, everywhere [1] excuse [5] - 1522:24, factor [1] - 1631:22 1550:23, 1550:24, 1608:6 1577:15, 1588:2, factors [3] - 1620:5, 1664:20, 1671:9, evidence [7] -1627:24, 1643:2 1560:10, 1560:17, 1676:23, 1721:17 1673:9 1563:15, 1563:17, 1522:6, 1526:20, excused [5] faculty [2] - 1543:20, fed [1] - 1651:14 1540:6, 1585:24, 1576:15, 1576:17, federal [1] - 1726:16 1582:23, 1663:9, 1663:17 1588:5, 1639:9, 1716:13, 1723:22, 1657:24, 1715:17, fail [1] - 1623:2 Federico [10] -1749:5 1740:15 1648:10 failing [1] - 1575:6 1523:6, 1524:10, expertise [17] evidentiary [5] executive [2] faint [2] - 1592:10, 1524:12, 1524:22,

1665:19, 1665:20, 1558:19, 1562:5 1525:1, 1525:15, filtrate [1] - 1615:16 focusing [1] -1530:22, 1535:20, 1690:14, 1699:12, final [7] - 1529:14. 1542:12 foundation [1] -1699:13, 1715:22, 1540:4, 1752:5 1663:14, 1708:1, fold [3] - 1574:3, 1561:2 FEDERICO [1] -1709:12, 1709:16, 1718:6, 1724:19, 1584:2, 1701:21 founder [1] -1524:16 1719:21, 1728:22 1738:1, 1739:13 folks [3] - 1618:19, 1587:11 fee [12] - 1718:6, findings [3] five-minute [1] -1626:19, 1715:15 four [12] - 1517:14, 1715:22 1724:4, 1724:6, 1652:12, 1660:9, follow [3] - 1605:11, 1540:13, 1544:3, 1727:3, 1728:16, 1750:3 five-to-one [2] -1655:17, 1747:15 1660:20, 1679:4, 1624:12, 1624:13 1728:24, 1729:11, fine [18] - 1523:10, follow-up[1] -1700:8, 1701:21, 1731:3, 1731:18, 1525:11, 1568:11, five-year [2] -1655:17 1714:4, 1719:5, 1731:22, 1733:16, 1586:12, 1597:24, 1665:19, 1665:20 1720:1, 1738:1 followed [1] - 1709:3 1733:18 1598:22, 1626:14, fix [1] - 1572:5 four-bedroom [2] following [3] fees [12] - 1724:3, 1719:5, 1720:1 1639:4, 1639:10, flag [1] - 1513:17 1678:18, 1689:11, 1724:8, 1724:11, 1644:14, 1651:11, flank [15] - 1561:8, four-foot [1] - 1714:4 1712:21 1726:10, 1726:20, 1674:7, 1707:12, 1561:18, 1561:22, **foilows** [5] four-inch-high [1] -1727:6, 1727:21, 1730:13, 1741:18, 1561:24, 1564:10, 1714:4 1524:19, 1541:18, 1728:9, 1728:10, 1747:10, 1749:20, 1564:13, 1565:2, 1586:18, 1659:14, four-volume [1] -1728:12, 1733:19, 1751:12 1565:12, 1566:2, 1544:3 1717:2 1736:24 fines [1] - 1618:23 1566:5, 1566:8, food [3] - 1609:19, fourth [4] - 1529:5, feet [11] - 1595:3, fingers [3] - 1593:24 1566:21, 1566:24, 1610:10, 1612:12 1536:3, 1540:21 1595:8, 1595:9, 1594:5, 1602:9 1570:23, 1582:1 foot [8] - 1662:2, Frank [2] - 1524:24, 1600:17, 1615:3, finish [7] - 1514:6, flanked [1] - 1565:1 1525:22 1682:4, 1695:21, 1618:14, 1641:15, 1517:20, 1517:24, flanking [2] - 1521:7, 1704:23, 1705:14, frankly [3] - 1519:20, 1682:13, 1695:19, 1520:10, 1626:24, 1573:19 1706:14, 1706:15, 1619:1, 1649:11 1705:24, 1706:11 1627:7, 1746:7 Fredericksburg [1] flee [1] - 1567:4 1714:4 fence [1] - 1578:10 flexibility [1] finished [3] footprint[1] -1558:7 fertilizer [1] -1515:18, 1663:20, 1623:23 1622:12 free [1] - 1627:5 1633:10 1664:4 flooding [3] footsteps [2] -French [1] - 1555:10 fertilizers [2] finishes [1] - 1517:3 1606:19, 1606:22, 1547:3, 1547:5 frequency [1] fire [1] - 1569:21 1633:6, 1633:13 1609:6 FOR [1] - 1753:5 1666:24 Feryo [5] - 1532:4, firm [1] - 1587:12 floodplain [1] force [2] - 1567:19, FRONEFIELD [1] -1580:8, 1647:13, first [27] - 1513:20, 1611:1 1568:14 1513:8 1697:6, 1735:14 1515:2, 1519:3, floodplains [2] -Force [1] - 1575:22 front [9] - 1571:6, FERYO [11] - 1532:6, 1523:4, 1526:2, 1609:3, 1669:20 forces [3] - 1543:4, 1592:3, 1597:17, 1580:10, 1647:14, 1540:14, 1540:15, floods [1] - 1609:1 1552:22, 1565:15 1597:23, 1667:2, 1647:23, 1648:8, 1541:1, 1541:16, floor[1] - 1517:5 1667:8, 1689:4, Ford [1] - 1564:21 1648:13, 1648:21, 1544:4, 1554:7, Florida [1] - 1724:8 foregoing [1] -1690:19, 1740:19 1649:13, 1649:24, 1561:6, 1561:11, flow [17] - 1532:15, frowned [1] - 1618:4 1754:9 1697:7, 1735:16 1573:8, 1586:16, 1537:3, 1603:21, forest [1] - 1667:22 full [4] - 1541:22, few [7] - 1556:1, 1619:19, 1626:20, 1604:8, 1606:10, forested [1] - 1668:4 1587:6, 1671:11, 1584:7, 1604:12, 1671:3, 1672:14, 1607:21, 1611:15, Forge [1] - 1558:10 1718:20 1612:12, 1626:20, 1672:16, 1681:1, 1611:18, 1618:7, forget [1] - 1591:3 full-day [1] - 1718:20 1661:15, 1725:20 1707:24, 1716:24, 1632:21, 1645:15, forgotten [1] fully [2] - 1688:12, field [5] - 1556:17, 1724:3, 1725:20, 1670:10, 1671:23, 1558:23 1704:6 1572:20, 1583:20, 1727:13, 1746:16 1695:23, 1696:9, function [5] form [11] - 1569:7, 1587:15, 1704:24 firsthand [1] -1703:8, 1703:18 1554:16, 1610:23, 1610:6, 1610:9, fields [1] - 1565:16 1549:20 flowing [3] - 1604:5, 1621:19, 1705:1 1634:5, 1653:3, fight [10] - 1553:7, fiscal [7] - 1717:24, 1649:8, 1703:15 1653:7, 1667:21, functionality [1] -1557:17, 1557:24, 1718:7, 1720:18, flows [8] - 1609:2, 1526:15 1671:4, 1674:15, 1565:2, 1565:12, 1723:13, 1724:22, 1632:24, 1634:6, 1678:2, 1687:2 functioning [1] -1567:11, 1567:24, 1732:15, 1733:12 1666:2, 1666:7, forth [2] - 1542:10, 1595:17 1574:22, 1584:21 fish [2] - 1609:12, 1670:11, 1670:12, funding [3] - 1723:7, 1589:2 fighting [4] -1610:11 1703:2 forties [1] - 1690:24 1723:8, 1726:20 1564:17, 1564:20, fit [1] - 1682:23 flush [3] - 1671:3, funds [3] - 1726:2, fortunate [2] -1564:21, 1583:8 fits [1] - 1558:13 1672:15, 1672:16 1736:6, 1740:10 1628:10, 1629:18 files [1] - 1617:23 five [21] - 1517:14, flushed [1] - 1681:10 future [8] - 1629:21, forward [6] fill [1] - 1618:10 1523:7, 1526:1, focus [2] - 1525:21, 1629:23, 1630:1, 1519:14, 1538:9, filled [1] - 1648:1 1623:9, 1624:5, 1547:19 1630:3, 1682:4, 1539:12, 1570:21, filling [1] - 1651:16 1624:8, 1624:12, focused [1] -1682:5, 1722:14, 1573:6, 1730:23 filter [1] - 1615:16 1624:13, 1638:24, 1612:16 1738:6

fought [3] - 1555:20,

Future [2] - 1639:15, 1639:17

#### G

Gadaleto [1] -1534:6 gain [1] - 1719:13 gap [1] - 1672:19 Garden [1] - 1653:22 Gardens [1] -1653:19 general [23] -1526:13, 1542:23, 1548:14, 1562:2, 1562:10, 1563:1, 1564:24, 1567:23, 1576:22, 1589:13, 1619:23, 1660:14, 1665:15, 1667:7, 1683:6, 1694:8, 1694:12, 1694:21, 1699:2, 1704:10, 1714:13, 1724:4 General [8] -1548:12, 1554:6, 1557:10, 1557:15, 1562:21, 1568:16, 1721:24 generally [6] -1537:21, 1618:4. 1624:11, 1636:23, 1638:3, 1646:13 generals [7] -1543:3, 1546:13, 1546:24, 1547:21, 1553:24, 1555:19 generate [5] -1589:2, 1710:5, 1722:11, 1729:6, 1736:6 generated [1] -1676:18 generates [3] -1720:22, 1726:2, 1726:21 generating [3] -1635:6, 1722:17, 1732:22 generations [2] -1629:21, 1630:3 generator [1] -1726:13 genesis [1] - 1546:5 gentlemen [4] -1514:14, 1522:13, 1746:5, 1751:21 geology [1] -1607:14

George [3] - 1547:5,

1557:9, 1574:12 Geotechnical [1] -1674:20 geotechnical [8] -1589:9, 1597:1, 1599:3, 1600:1, 1604:12, 1616:15, 1625:10 GIS [1] - 1592:7 given [3] - 1590:4, 1630:14, 1660:6 glance [1] - 1681:1 Glen [2] - 1531:20, 1716:12 Gordo [1] - 1542:19 governing [1] -1590:14 government [2] -1726:16, 1744:3 governmental [3] -1646:1, 1731:14, 1731:17 grade [1] - 1648:4 grading [6] -1589:17, 1616:20, 1616:21, 1652:20, 1702:20 gradually [1] -1611:12 grant [4] - 1520:2, 1726:17, 1726:19, 1745:24 grants [2] - 1724:7, 1726:17 gray [1] - 1715:8 Gray [2] - 1554:6, 1568:17 great [9] - 1541:11, 1556:10, 1592:15, 1622:9, 1639:8, 1640:21, 1655:16, 1659:9, 1725:20 greater [4] - 1664:17, 1700:9, 1701:20, 1701:22 Green [3] - 1590:7, 1622:9, 1654:5 green [1] - 1572:19 Green's [1] - 1567:19 Gregg [3] - 1746:16, 1749:7, 1750:8 GREGG [1] - 1513:3 grew [1] - 1628:16

ground [32] -

1558:23, 1558:24,

1561:11, 1565:14,

1559:9, 1559:12,

1568:4, 1568:21,

1569:12, 1570:17,

1571:6, 1572:18,

1573:22, 1574:3, 1574:9, 1574:15, 1574:18, 1575:13, 1576:24, 1578:12, 1582:22, 1584:2, 1585:9, 1585:10, 1585:11, 1585:14, 1607:11, 1609:23, 1611:17, 1705:4, 1706:17 grounds [1] -1542:20 groundwater [21] -1600:8, 1603:24, 1604:4, 1604:7, 1607:7, 1607:10, 1607:16, 1607:20, 1612:4, 1615:15, 1620:7, 1649:7, 1661:16, 1661:17, 1661:19, 1663:11, 1672:16, 1675:23, 1695:24, 1706:18 group [4] - 1552:24, 1554:5, 1575:12, 1575:13 Group [2] - 1639:19 groups [4] - 1545:18, 1556:21, 1557:7, 1637:1 Grove [3] - 1527:14, 1631:8, 1714:6 grown [1] - 1628:11 growth [1] - 1634:8 GTA [6] - 1662:6, 1674:13, 1683:20, 1694:3, 1695:5, 1695:11 guess [3] - 1582:17, 1665:4, 1689:12 Guidance [1] -1744:15 guidance [11] -1644:16, 1644:18, 1644:19, 1668:18, 1680:7, 1680:11, 1680:16, 1688:4, 1688:20, 1699:13, 1741:23 guidelines [1] -1742:2 guides [1] - 1554:22 guy [1] - 1639:23

# Н

habitat [1] - 1609:11 half [7] - 1584:21, 1660:19, 1679:1, 1693:10, 1740:1, 1740:3, 1748:14 hallway [1] - 1716:14 hand [5] - 1578:1, 1592:16, 1592:19, 1741:15, 1754:16 handle [6] - 1594:24, 1608:5, 1624:16, 1632:12, 1636:8, 1702:3 handling [2] -1653:3, 1653:6 handout [1] - 1596:9 hands [2] - 1552:22, 1723:8 hang [6] - 1523:12, 1544:13, 1550:20, 1552:7, 1586:5, 1746:17 hanging [1] -1523:20 Hankin [2] - 1639:19 happy [1] - 1514:10 hard [10] - 1541:7, 1556:2, 1567:11, 1592:10, 1594:23. 1594:24, 1618:17, 1649:5, 1652:1, 1713:24 Harkins [1] - 1534:3 harm [3] - 1575:8, 1578:3, 1696:10 Harrisburg [1] -1723:11 HAWS [42] -1512:15, 1538:5, 1538:16, 1538:21, 1539:9, 1539:18, 1626:7, 1655:4, 1655:16, 1655:22, 1698:10, 1698:17, 1699:4, 1699:9, 1699:23, 1700:3, 1700:14, 1701:11, 1701:18, 1702:6, 1702:9, 1703:4, 1703:13, 1703:20, 1704:10, 1705:7, 1705:20, 1706:7, 1706:9, 1706:19, 1706:22, 1707:15, 1707:22, 1708:24, 1709:9, 1709:12, 1710:16, 1739:6, 1739:16, 1739:23, 1740:2, 1740:12 hay [2] - 1533:3, 1533:6

head [1] - 1626:8

heading [1] -

headed [1] - 1515:13

1573:22 headwater [5] -1604:1, 1604:2, 1605:14, 1607:18, 1609:23 headwaters [3] -1596:22, 1605:10, 1614:9 health [6] - 1605:15, 1619:23, 1632:16, 1635:18, 1640:14, 1671:19 hear [19] - 1514:15, 1515:3, 1516:5, 1517:1, 1517:11, 1518:18, 1520:6, 1524:1, 1541:7, 1541:8, 1543:7, 1560:20, 1587:1, 1655:6, 1661:11, 1706:2, 1713:5, 1717:15, 1749:16 heard [12] - 1524:3, 1538:11, 1560:1, 1655:5, 1657:18, 1683:8, 1717:13, 1741:1, 1745:4, 1745:5, 1746:19, 1749:18 hearing [18] -1513:15, 1514:18, 1514:22, 1518:4, 1520:22, 1523:6, 1525:1, 1525:16, 1525:19, 1530:10, 1637:17, 1650:17, 1741:4, 1746:8, 1746:22, 1746:24, 1749:13, 1750:18 Hearing [1] -1512:10 hearings [4] -1637:8, 1638:19, 1639:3, 1717:20 hearsay [4] -1743:18, 1743:20, 1743:22, 1743:23 heightened [1] -1628:6 heights [1] - 1573:4 held [4] - 1512:10, 1605:15, 1670:9, 1680:16 helicopter [1] -1553:23 helicopters [1] -1553:21 hello [3] - 1532:9, 1627:21, 1652:7

help [21] - 1538:18,

1553:7, 1671:22,	highlighted [1] -	1633:13, 1682:22	1589:3, 1616:9	1623:18, 1624:6,
1672:1, 1672:3,	1667:11	homeowners [5] -	identifies [1] -	1624:17, 1669:3,
1672:10, 1672:14,	hillside [1] - 1604:3	1683:2, 1708:10,	1685:22	1682:4, 1691:22,
1672:19, 1673:13,	hillsides [1] -	1708:15, 1709:4,	identify [1] - 1591:11	1692:16, 1710:9
1674:14, 1681:19,	1646:13	1723:1	identifying [1] -	implemented [6] -
1681:20, 1681:23,	himself [1] - 1561:24	homeowners' [2] -	1515:2	1663:16, 1704:7,
1691:2, 1696:5,	historian [7] -	1633:10, 1709:2	II [1] - 1726:17	1708:19, 1711:6,
1696:7, 1699:9,	1540:16, 1542:11,	Homes [1] - 1528:1	III [1] - 1531:16	1711:16, 1712:13
1704:2, 1722:11,	1543:12, 1543:13,	homes [15] - 1630:8,	illegal [5] - 1722:3,	importance [4] -
1734:7	1552:19, 1560:13,	1657:3, 1657:5,	1731:5, 1731:6,	1521:6, 1560:14,
helped [1] - 1596:3	1563:20	1672:5, 1675:24,	1733:22	1577:22, 1605:14
helps [4] - 1556:16,	historic [4] - 1521:5,	1713:11, 1718:15,	imagery [1] - 1549:3	important [31] -
1676:20, 1701:4,	1548:5, 1581:23,	1718:24, 1719:2,	imagine [3] - 1595:2,	1548:22, 1552:20,
1711:17	1629:17	1719:5, 1720:2,	1595:7, 1731:11	1553:16, 1554:4,
HEMPHILL [6] -	historical [13] -	1720:4, 1720:12,	imagining [1] -	1554:8, 1555:15,
1523:11, 1523:15,	1544:12, 1544:17,	1721:14, 1721:15	1594:24	1556:5, 1556:7,
1523:24, 1524:3,	1546:21, 1549:19,	honest [1] - 1643:7	immediately [1] -	1556:19, 1556:23,
1599:6, 1601:13	1551:8, 1551:13,	honestly [1] -	1713:8	1557:2, 1558:20,
hereby [1] - 1754:8	1552:18, 1552:20,	1612:16	impact [46] -	1558:22, 1560:4,
herein [5] - 1524:17,	1555:21, 1556:8,	honor [1] - 1558:19	1526:14, 1530:6,	1562:7, 1563:10,
1541:16, 1586:16,	1562:10, 1689:3,	horizon [3] -	1537:20, 1610:2,	1563:16, 1566:21,
1659:12, 1716:24	1710:4	1568:22, 1569:4,	1610:15, 1611:2,	1566:24, 1567:6,
hereunto [1] -	histories [1] -	1584:15	1611:8, 1611:10,	1568:18, 1569:6,
1754:15	1547:16	horse [1] - 1533:7	1611:15, 1614:14,	1571:9, 1572:5,
Hessians [1] -	history [12] -	horse/equestrian [1]	1622:10, 1635:22,	1572:7, 1572:10,
1521:9	1542:12, 1542:13,	- 1533:12	1649:3, 1649:10,	1573:11, 1583:10,
hi [1] - 1651:9	1544:3, 1544:11,	horseback [3] -	1656:5, 1656:10,	1584:6, 1604:6,
high [32] - 1533:16,	1544:14, 1546:17,	1546:5, 1553:13,	1671:18, 1672:24,	1649:6
1561:11, 1565:14,	1550:9, 1554:19,	1553:21	1687:1, 1691:5,	impose [8] - 1724:2,
1567:10, 1568:21,	1556:12, 1688:18,	horses [2] - 1532:24,	1704:13, 1705:9,	1724:7, 1724:11,
1570:17, 1572:18,	1691:14	1533:5	1717:24, 1718:5,	1727:2, 1727:21,
1575:13, 1576:17,	History [4] - 1519:9,	hotter[1] - 1612:2	1719:10, 1719:22,	1728:16, 1729:11, 1731:17
1595:17, 1599:10,	1542:2, 1543:16,	hour [4] - 1660:20,	1723:16, 1724:2,	imposed [1] - 1732:3
1599:11, 1600:8,	1554:21	1679:5, 1679:6,	1724:4, 1724:5,	
1601:16, 1606:11,	hit [5] - 1569:22,	1748:14	1724:8, 1724:11,	<b>imposes</b> [1] - 1733:16
1613:12, 1623:13,	1599:4, 1600:6,	hours [3] - 1527:1,	1724:22, 1727:2,	imposing [1] -
1623:24, 1625:7, 1625:18, 1641:21,	1601:11, 1601:18	1632:7, 1748:15	1727:6, 1727:21,	1731:22
1661:16, 1661:17,	<b>HOA</b> [9] - 1516:2,	house [5] - 1574:5,	1728:12, 1728:16,	imposition [1] -
1661:19, 1675:22,	1531:16, 1531:19,	1574:20, 1575:15,	1729:11, 1731:3,	1731:3
	1531:20, 1663:18,	1682:2, 1722:1	1731:23, 1732:14,	
1695:13, 1695:17, 1714:4, 1714:9,	1708:15, 1709:8, 1709:9, 1716:12	houses [1] - 1733:2	1732:15, 1733:12, 1733:19, 1736:24	impossible [4] - 1556:2, 1573:1,
1718:22, 1720:7	Hoffman [1] -	housing [4] -	impacts [10] -	1575:3, 1575:5
higher [20] -	1531:18	1723:19, 1733:6,	1594:17, 1601:6,	impressed [1] -
1574:15, 1600:17,	hold [7] - 1566:19,	1737:18	1606:20, 1607:3,	1561:16
1600:21, 1614:11,	1567:2, 1567:3,	human [1] - 1584:4	1607:8, 1609:6,	improper [1] -
1615:4, 1615:7,	1567:16, 1574:9,	hundred [2] -	1610:18, 1610:22,	1668:10
1632:1, 1648:9,	1590:10, 1656:13	1595:7, 1749:9	1620:19, 1635:22	improve [1] -
1680:12, 1680:17,	holding [1] - 1717:20	hydrogeologists [1]	impaired [5] -	1654:11
1680:20, 1681:4,	holds [1] - 1633:11	- 1649:12	1594:14, 1595:16,	improvement [3] -
1681:7, 1688:21,	hole [2] - 1600:23,	hypothetical [1] -	1604:21, 1606:18,	1533:23, 1621:21,
1689:13, 1689:16,	1601:3	1733:14	1670:19	1728:10
1690:13, 1699:14,	hollow [1] - 1571:9		impairment [1] -	improvements [6] -
1700:17, 1722:10	Hollow [9] - 1559:2,	1,	1603:23	1529:7, 1537:2,
highest [5] -	1559:23, 1560:3,	idea [4] - 1521:23,	impervious [18] -	1537:12, 1537:16,
1559:12, 1571:6,	1560:23, 1571:4,	1623:19, 1643:4,	1594:8, 1594:11,	1537:19, 1539:4
1585:10, 1690:20,	1571:5, 1571:19,	1750:9	1594:23, 1595:1,	IN [2] - 1512:7,
1700:6	1583:10, 1584:11	ideal [1] - 1532:21	1595:2, 1595:13,	1754:15
highlight[1] -	home [1] - 1648:24	identified [4] -	1610:21, 1620:19,	in/right [3] - 1526:8,
1679:23	homeowner [2] -	1526:18, 1528:24,	1623:5, 1623:10,	1539:1, 1539:14
		.020.10, 1020.24,	•	,

inadequate [3] -
1605:4, 1641:8,
1674:21
incentive [2] -
1734:9, 1734:19
inch [3] - 1660:20,
1679:1, 1714:4
inches [5] - 1660:20,
1678:20, 1679:3,
1679:4, 1679:6
inclined [1] -
1745:24
include [5] -
1542:16, 1652:19,
1670:14, 1670:16,
1671:11
includes [1] - 1725:4
including [3] -
1528:3, 1620:6,
1652:17
inclusive [1] -
1754:10
income [2] -
1722:12, 1723:10
inconsistent [1] -
1639:5
incorporate [2] -
1656:14, 1684:22
incorporated [1] -
1664:16
incorporation [1] - 1590:5
incorporation [1] -
incorporation [1] - 1590:5 incorrect [2] -
incorporation [1] - 1590:5 incorrect [2] - 1634:10, 1635:17
incorporation [1] - 1590:5 incorrect [2] -
incorporation [1] - 1590:5 incorrect [2] - 1634:10, 1635:17 incorrectly [1] - 1602:20
incorporation [1] - 1590:5 incorrect [2] - 1634:10, 1635:17 incorrectly [1] - 1602:20 increase [5] -
incorporation [1] - 1590:5 incorrect [2] - 1634:10, 1635:17 incorrectly [1] - 1602:20 increase [5] - 1528:4, 1537:2,
incorporation [1] - 1590:5 incorrect [2] - 1634:10, 1635:17 incorrectly [1] - 1602:20 increase [5] - 1528:4, 1537:2, 1672:20, 1718:14,
incorporation [1] - 1590:5 incorrect [2] - 1634:10, 1635:17 incorrectly [1] - 1602:20 increase [5] - 1528:4, 1537:2, 1672:20, 1718:14, 1723:9
incorporation [1] - 1590:5 incorrect [2] - 1634:10, 1635:17 incorrectly [1] - 1602:20 increase [5] - 1528:4, 1537:2, 1672:20, 1718:14, 1723:9 increased [5] -
incorporation [1] - 1590:5 incorrect [2] - 1634:10, 1635:17 incorrectly [1] - 1602:20 increase [5] - 1528:4, 1537:2, 1672:20, 1718:14, 1723:9 increased [5] - 1603:18, 1603:19,
incorporation [1] - 1590:5 incorrect [2] - 1634:10, 1635:17 incorrectly [1] - 1602:20 increase [5] - 1528:4, 1537:2, 1672:20, 1718:14, 1723:9 increased [5] - 1603:18, 1603:19, 1607:24, 1608:3,
incorporation [1] - 1590:5 incorrect [2] - 1634:10, 1635:17 incorrectly [1] - 1602:20 increase [5] - 1528:4, 1537:2, 1672:20, 1718:14, 1723:9 increased [5] - 1603:18, 1603:19, 1607:24, 1608:3, 1632:24
incorporation [1] - 1590:5 incorrect [2] - 1634:10, 1635:17 incorrectly [1] - 1602:20 increase [5] - 1528:4, 1537:2, 1672:20, 1718:14, 1723:9 increased [5] - 1603:18, 1603:19, 1607:24, 1608:3, 1632:24 increases [4] -
incorporation [1] - 1590:5 incorrect [2] - 1634:10, 1635:17 incorrectly [1] - 1602:20 increase [5] - 1528:4, 1537:2, 1672:20, 1718:14, 1723:9 increased [5] - 1603:18, 1603:19, 1607:24, 1608:3, 1632:24 increases [4] - 1539:5, 1632:20,
incorporation [1] - 1590:5 incorrect [2] - 1634:10, 1635:17 incorrectly [1] - 1602:20 increase [5] - 1528:4, 1537:2, 1672:20, 1718:14, 1723:9 increased [5] - 1603:18, 1603:19, 1607:24, 1608:3, 1632:24 increases [4] - 1539:5, 1632:20, 1632:21, 1666:24
incorporation [1] - 1590:5 incorrect [2] - 1634:10, 1635:17 incorrectly [1] - 1602:20 increase [5] - 1528:4, 1537:2, 1672:20, 1718:14, 1723:9 increased [5] - 1603:18, 1603:19, 1607:24, 1608:3, 1632:24 increases [4] - 1539:5, 1632:20, 1632:21, 1666:24 increasing [1] -
incorporation [1] - 1590:5 incorrect [2] - 1634:10, 1635:17 incorrectly [1] - 1602:20 increase [5] - 1528:4, 1537:2, 1672:20, 1718:14, 1723:9 increased [5] - 1603:18, 1603:19, 1607:24, 1608:3, 1632:24 increases [4] - 1539:5, 1632:20, 1632:21, 1666:24 increasing [1] - 1670:3
incorporation [1] - 1590:5 incorrect [2] - 1634:10, 1635:17 incorrectly [1] - 1602:20 increase [5] - 1528:4, 1537:2, 1672:20, 1718:14, 1723:9 increased [5] - 1603:18, 1603:19, 1607:24, 1608:3, 1632:24 increases [4] - 1539:5, 1632:20, 1632:21, 1666:24 increasing [1] - 1670:3 incredibly [1] -
incorporation [1] - 1590:5 incorrect [2] - 1634:10, 1635:17 incorrectly [1] - 1602:20 increase [5] - 1528:4, 1537:2, 1672:20, 1718:14, 1723:9 increased [5] - 1603:18, 1603:19, 1607:24, 1608:3, 1632:24 increases [4] - 1539:5, 1632:20, 1632:21, 1666:24 increasing [1] - 1670:3 incredibly [1] - 1626:21
incorporation [1] - 1590:5 incorrect [2] - 1634:10, 1635:17 incorrectly [1] - 1602:20 increase [5] - 1528:4, 1537:2, 1672:20, 1718:14, 1723:9 increased [5] - 1603:18, 1603:19, 1607:24, 1608:3, 1632:24 increases [4] - 1539:5, 1632:20, 1632:21, 1666:24 increasing [1] - 1670:3 incredibly [1] - 1626:21 incur [1] - 1736:15
incorporation [1] - 1590:5 incorrect [2] - 1634:10, 1635:17 incorrectly [1] - 1602:20 increase [5] - 1528:4, 1537:2, 1672:20, 1718:14, 1723:9 increased [5] - 1603:18, 1603:19, 1607:24, 1608:3, 1632:24 increases [4] - 1539:5, 1632:20, 1632:21, 1666:24 increasing [1] - 1670:3 incredibly [1] - 1626:21 incur [1] - 1736:15 incurred [1] -
incorporation [1] - 1590:5 incorrect [2] - 1634:10, 1635:17 incorrectly [1] - 1602:20 increase [5] - 1528:4, 1537:2, 1672:20, 1718:14, 1723:9 increased [5] - 1603:18, 1603:19, 1607:24, 1608:3, 1632:24 increases [4] - 1539:5, 1632:20, 1632:21, 1666:24 increasing [1] - 1670:3 incredibly [1] - 1626:21 incur [1] - 1736:15 incurred [1] - 1724:20
incorporation [1] - 1590:5 incorrect [2] - 1634:10, 1635:17 incorrectly [1] - 1602:20 increase [5] - 1528:4, 1537:2, 1672:20, 1718:14, 1723:9 increased [5] - 1603:18, 1603:19, 1607:24, 1608:3, 1632:24 increases [4] - 1539:5, 1632:20, 1632:21, 1666:24 increasing [1] - 1670:3 incredibly [1] - 1626:21 incurred [1] - 1724:20 indicate [1] - 1685:4
incorporation [1] - 1590:5 incorrect [2] - 1634:10, 1635:17 incorrectly [1] - 1602:20 increase [5] - 1528:4, 1537:2, 1672:20, 1718:14, 1723:9 increased [5] - 1603:18, 1603:19, 1607:24, 1608:3, 1632:24 increases [4] - 1539:5, 1632:20, 1632:21, 1666:24 increasing [1] - 1670:3 incredibly [1] - 1626:21 incur [1] - 1736:15 incurred [1] - 1724:20 indicate [1] - 1685:4 indicated [8] -
incorporation [1] - 1590:5 incorrect [2] - 1634:10, 1635:17 incorrectly [1] - 1602:20 increase [5] - 1528:4, 1537:2, 1672:20, 1718:14, 1723:9 increased [5] - 1603:18, 1603:19, 1607:24, 1608:3, 1632:24 increases [4] - 1539:5, 1632:20, 1632:21, 1666:24 increasing [1] - 1670:3 incredibly [1] - 1626:21 incur [1] - 1736:15 incurred [1] - 1724:20 indicate [1] - 1685:4 indicated [8] - 1523:3, 1529:19,
incorporation [1] - 1590:5 incorrect [2] - 1634:10, 1635:17 incorrectly [1] - 1602:20 increase [5] - 1528:4, 1537:2, 1672:20, 1718:14, 1723:9 increased [5] - 1603:18, 1603:19, 1607:24, 1608:3, 1632:24 increases [4] - 1539:5, 1632:20, 1632:21, 1666:24 increasing [1] - 1670:3 incredibly [1] - 1626:21 incur [1] - 1736:15 incurred [1] - 1724:20 indicate [1] - 1685:4 indicated [8] - 1523:3, 1529:19, 1686:2, 1687:21,
incorporation [1] - 1590:5 incorrect [2] - 1634:10, 1635:17 incorrectly [1] - 1602:20 increase [5] - 1528:4, 1537:2, 1672:20, 1718:14, 1723:9 increased [5] - 1603:18, 1603:19, 1607:24, 1608:3, 1632:24 increases [4] - 1539:5, 1632:20, 1632:21, 1666:24 increasing [1] - 1670:3 incredibly [1] - 1626:21 incur [1] - 1736:15 incurred [1] - 1724:20 indicate [1] - 1685:4 indicated [8] - 1523:3, 1529:19,

indicates [2] -	1674:19, 1675:2,
1596:15, 1605:3	1675:11, 1675:20,
indicating [1] -	1678:15, 1679:2,
1689:15	1679:3, 1679:18,
individual [5] -	1686:11, 1694:2,
1665:20, 1666:2,	1694:10, 1694:22,
1692:1, 1703:17,	1695:8, 1696:18,
1708:7	1698:19, 1699:3,
individually [1] -	1704:13, 1706:6,
1635:13	1707:21, 1708:4,
Industrial [1] -	1708:21, 1710:19,
1742:8	1711:1
infiltrate [32] -	influence [1] - 1537:4
1600:11, 1601:5,	information [26] -
1613:9, 1613:15, 1615:6, 1615:13,	1547:7, 1547:10,
1616:7, 1621:23,	1575:21, 1579:6,
1623:21, 1624:14,	1591:20, 1592:8,
1626:3, 1632:5	1593:1, 1593:4,
1632:9, 1632:19,	1598:10, 1616:5,
1641:1, 1641:4	1616:21, 1616:22,
1641:7, 1645:10,	1617:8, 1649:11,
1656:13, 1672:15,	1652:19, 1657:9,
1681:17, 1681:20,	1661:6, 1662:16,
1686:9, 1686:14,	1662:18, 1673:14,
1686:19, 1690:5,	1673:18, 1673:24,
1694:23, 1694:24,	1676:19, 1683:10,
1696:3, 1700:24,	1683:19, 1740:18
1701:5	Inn [1] - 1595:8
infiltrated [4] -	input [2] - 1621:6,
1624:21, 1632:14,	1693:2
1624:21, 1632:14, 1672:17, 1690:8	1693:2 insects [2] - 1610:5,
1624:21, 1632:14, 1672:17, 1690:8 infiltrating [4] -	1693:2 insects [2] - 1610:5, 1610:7
1624:21, 1632:14, 1672:17, 1690:8 infiltrating [4] - 1608:2, 1613:10,	1693:2 insects [2] - 1610:5, 1610:7 inspect [1] - 1678:1
1624:21, 1632:14, 1672:17, 1690:8 infiltrating [4] - 1608:2, 1613:10, 1641:16, 1705:4	1693:2 insects [2] - 1610:5, 1610:7
1624:21, 1632:14, 1672:17, 1690:8 infiltrating [4] - 1608:2, 1613:10,	1693:2 insects [2] - 1610:5, 1610:7 inspect [1] - 1678:1 inspectors [1] -
1624:21, 1632:14, 1672:17, 1690:8 infiltrating [4] - 1608:2, 1613:10, 1641:16, 1705:4 infiltration [72] - 1596:16, 1596:23, 1597:3, 1597:4,	1693:2 insects [2] - 1610:5, 1610:7 inspect [1] - 1678:1 inspectors [1] - 1677:23
1624:21, 1632:14, 1672:17, 1690:8 infiltrating [4] - 1608:2, 1613:10, 1641:16, 1705:4 infiltration [72] - 1596:16, 1596:23,	1693:2 insects [2] - 1610:5, 1610:7 inspect [1] - 1678:1 inspectors [1] - 1677:23 installation [1] - 1528:13 installed [3] -
1624:21, 1632:14, 1672:17, 1690:8 infiltrating [4] - 1608:2, 1613:10, 1641:16, 1705:4 infiltration [72] - 1596:16, 1596:23, 1597:3, 1597:4,	1693:2 insects [2] - 1610:5, 1610:7 inspect [1] - 1678:1 inspectors [1] - 1677:23 installation [1] - 1528:13
1624:21, 1632:14, 1672:17, 1690:8 infiltrating [4] - 1608:2, 1613:10, 1641:16, 1705:4 infiltration [72] - 1596:16, 1596:23, 1597:3, 1597:4, 1597:7, 1597:13, 1600:9, 1601:20, 1602:5, 1607:7,	1693:2 insects [2] - 1610:5, 1610:7 inspect [1] - 1678:1 inspectors [1] - 1677:23 installation [1] - 1528:13 installed [3] -
1624:21, 1632:14, 1672:17, 1690:8 infiltrating [4] - 1608:2, 1613:10, 1641:16, 1705:4 infiltration [72] - 1596:16, 1596:23, 1597:3, 1597:4, 1597:7, 1597:13, 1600:9, 1601:20, 1602:5, 1607:7, 1607:17, 1613:4,	1693:2 insects [2] - 1610:5, 1610:7 inspect [1] - 1678:1 inspectors [1] - 1677:23 installation [1] - 1528:13 installed [3] - 1527:17, 1528:8, 1529:3 installing [2] -
1624:21, 1632:14, 1672:17, 1690:8 infiltrating [4] - 1608:2, 1613:10, 1641:16, 1705:4 infiltration [72] - 1596:16, 1596:23, 1597:3, 1597:4, 1597:7, 1597:13, 1600:9, 1601:20, 1602:5, 1607:7, 1607:17, 1613:4, 1613:7, 1614:1,	1693:2 insects [2] - 1610:5, 1610:7 inspect [1] - 1678:1 inspectors [1] - 1677:23 installation [1] - 1528:13 installed [3] - 1527:17, 1528:8, 1529:3 installing [2] - 1527:12, 1527:19
1624:21, 1632:14, 1672:17, 1690:8 infiltrating [4] - 1608:2, 1613:10, 1641:16, 1705:4 infiltration [72] - 1596:16, 1596:23, 1597:3, 1597:4, 1597:7, 1597:13, 1600:9, 1601:20, 1602:5, 1607:7, 1607:17, 1613:4, 1613:7, 1614:1, 1614:13, 1614:19,	1693:2 insects [2] - 1610:5, 1610:7 inspect [1] - 1678:1 inspectors [1] - 1677:23 installation [1] - 1528:13 installed [3] - 1527:17, 1528:8, 1529:3 installing [2] - 1527:12, 1527:19 instance [4] -
1624:21, 1632:14, 1672:17, 1690:8 infiltrating [4] - 1608:2, 1613:10, 1641:16, 1705:4 infiltration [72] - 1596:16, 1596:23, 1597:3, 1597:4, 1597:7, 1597:13, 1600:9, 1601:20, 1602:5, 1607:7, 1607:17, 1613:4, 1613:7, 1614:1, 1614:13, 1614:19, 1614:21, 1614:22,	1693:2 insects [2] - 1610:5, 1610:7 inspect [1] - 1678:1 inspectors [1] - 1677:23 installation [1] - 1528:13 installed [3] - 1527:17, 1528:8, 1529:3 installing [2] - 1527:12, 1527:19 instance [4] - 1616:14, 1623:7,
1624:21, 1632:14, 1672:17, 1690:8 infiltrating [4] - 1608:2, 1613:10, 1641:16, 1705:4 infiltration [72] - 1596:16, 1596:23, 1597:3, 1597:4, 1597:7, 1597:13, 1600:9, 1601:20, 1602:5, 1607:7, 1607:17, 1613:4, 1613:7, 1614:1, 1614:13, 1614:19, 1614:21, 1614:22, 1615:8, 1616:3,	1693:2 insects [2] - 1610:5, 1610:7 inspect [1] - 1678:1 inspectors [1] - 1677:23 installation [1] - 1528:13 installed [3] - 1527:17, 1528:8, 1529:3 installing [2] - 1527:12, 1527:19 instance [4] - 1616:14, 1623:7, 1641:11, 1708:23
1624:21, 1632:14, 1672:17, 1690:8     infiltrating [4] - 1608:2, 1613:10, 1641:16, 1705:4     infiltration [72] - 1596:16, 1596:23, 1597:3, 1597:4, 1597:7, 1597:13, 1600:9, 1601:20, 1602:5, 1607:7, 1607:17, 1613:4, 1613:7, 1614:1, 1614:13, 1614:19, 1614:21, 1614:22, 1615:8, 1616:3, 1616:4, 1618:17,	1693:2 insects [2] - 1610:5, 1610:7 inspect [1] - 1678:1 inspectors [1] - 1677:23 installation [1] - 1528:13 installed [3] - 1527:17, 1528:8, 1529:3 installing [2] - 1527:12, 1527:19 instance [4] - 1616:14, 1623:7, 1641:11, 1708:23 instances [3] -
1624:21, 1632:14, 1672:17, 1690:8 infiltrating [4] - 1608:2, 1613:10, 1641:16, 1705:4 infiltration [72] - 1596:16, 1596:23, 1597:3, 1597:4, 1597:7, 1597:13, 1600:9, 1601:20, 1602:5, 1607:7, 1607:17, 1613:4, 1613:7, 1614:1, 1614:13, 1614:19, 1614:21, 1614:22, 1615:8, 1616:3, 1616:4, 1618:17, 1619:2, 1621:14,	1693:2 insects [2] - 1610:5, 1610:7 inspect [1] - 1678:1 inspectors [1] - 1677:23 installation [1] - 1528:13 installed [3] - 1527:17, 1528:8, 1529:3 installing [2] - 1527:12, 1527:19 instance [4] - 1616:14, 1623:7, 1641:11, 1708:23 instances [3] - 1672:14, 1675:21,
1624:21, 1632:14, 1672:17, 1690:8 infiltrating [4] - 1608:2, 1613:10, 1641:16, 1705:4 infiltration [72] - 1596:16, 1596:23, 1597:3, 1597:4, 1597:7, 1597:13, 1600:9, 1601:20, 1602:5, 1607:7, 1607:17, 1613:4, 1613:7, 1614:1, 1614:13, 1614:19, 1614:21, 1614:22, 1615:8, 1616:3, 1616:4, 1618:17, 1619:2, 1621:14, 1622:4, 1623:1,	1693:2 insects [2] - 1610:5, 1610:7 inspect [1] - 1678:1 inspectors [1] - 1677:23 installation [1] - 1528:13 installed [3] - 1527:17, 1528:8, 1529:3 installing [2] - 1527:12, 1527:19 instance [4] - 1616:14, 1623:7, 1641:11, 1708:23 instances [3] - 1672:14, 1675:21, 1704:19
1624:21, 1632:14, 1672:17, 1690:8 infiltrating [4] - 1608:2, 1613:10, 1641:16, 1705:4 infiltration [72] - 1596:16, 1596:23, 1597:3, 1597:4, 1597:7, 1597:13, 1600:9, 1601:20, 1602:5, 1607:7, 1607:17, 1613:4, 1613:7, 1614:1, 1614:13, 1614:19, 1614:21, 1614:22, 1615:8, 1616:3, 1616:4, 1618:17, 1619:2, 1621:14,	1693:2 insects [2] - 1610:5, 1610:7 inspect [1] - 1678:1 inspectors [1] - 1677:23 installation [1] - 1528:13 installed [3] - 1527:17, 1528:8, 1529:3 installing [2] - 1527:12, 1527:19 instance [4] - 1616:14, 1623:7, 1641:11, 1708:23 instances [3] - 1672:14, 1675:21, 1704:19 Institute [1] -
1624:21, 1632:14, 1672:17, 1690:8     infiltrating [4] - 1608:2, 1613:10, 1641:16, 1705:4     infiltration [72] - 1596:16, 1596:23, 1597:3, 1597:4, 1597:7, 1597:13, 1600:9, 1601:20, 1602:5, 1607:7, 1607:17, 1613:4, 1613:7, 1614:1, 1614:13, 1614:19, 1614:21, 1614:22, 1615:8, 1616:3, 1616:4, 1618:17, 1619:2, 1621:14, 1622:4, 1623:1, 1623:5, 1623:8,	1693:2 insects [2] - 1610:5, 1610:7 inspect [1] - 1678:1 inspectors [1] - 1677:23 installation [1] - 1528:13 installed [3] - 1527:17, 1528:8, 1529:3 installing [2] - 1527:12, 1527:19 instance [4] - 1616:14, 1623:7, 1641:11, 1708:23 instances [3] - 1672:14, 1675:21, 1704:19 Institute [1] - 1637:19
1624:21, 1632:14, 1672:17, 1690:8     infiltrating [4] - 1608:2, 1613:10, 1641:16, 1705:4     infiltration [72] - 1596:16, 1596:23, 1597:3, 1597:4, 1597:7, 1597:13, 1600:9, 1601:20, 1602:5, 1607:7, 1607:17, 1613:4, 1613:7, 1614:1, 1614:13, 1614:19, 1614:21, 1614:22, 1615:8, 1616:3, 1616:4, 1618:17, 1619:2, 1621:14, 1622:4, 1623:1, 1623:5, 1623:8, 1623:10, 1623:19,	1693:2 insects [2] - 1610:5, 1610:7 inspect [1] - 1678:1 inspectors [1] - 1677:23 installation [1] - 1528:13 installed [3] - 1527:17, 1528:8, 1529:3 installing [2] - 1527:12, 1527:19 instance [4] - 1616:14, 1623:7, 1641:11, 1708:23 instances [3] - 1672:14, 1675:21, 1704:19 Institute [1] -
1624:21, 1632:14, 1672:17, 1690:8     infiltrating [4] - 1608:2, 1613:10, 1641:16, 1705:4     infiltration [72] - 1596:16, 1596:23, 1597:3, 1597:4, 1597:7, 1597:13, 1600:9, 1601:20, 1602:5, 1607:7, 1607:17, 1613:4, 1613:7, 1614:1, 1614:13, 1614:19, 1614:21, 1614:22, 1615:8, 1616:3, 1616:4, 1618:17, 1619:2, 1621:14, 1622:4, 1623:1, 1623:5, 1623:8, 1623:10, 1623:19, 1624:6, 1626:6, 1632:4, 1632:10, 1641:14, 1641:22,	1693:2 insects [2] - 1610:5, 1610:7 inspect [1] - 1678:1 inspectors [1] - 1677:23 installation [1] - 1528:13 installed [3] - 1527:17, 1528:8, 1529:3 installing [2] - 1527:12, 1527:19 instance [4] - 1616:14, 1623:7, 1641:11, 1708:23 instances [3] - 1672:14, 1675:21, 1704:19 Institute [1] - 1637:19 institutional [4] -
1624:21, 1632:14, 1672:17, 1690:8     infiltrating [4] - 1608:2, 1613:10, 1641:16, 1705:4     infiltration [72] - 1596:16, 1596:23, 1597:3, 1597:4, 1597:7, 1597:13, 1600:9, 1601:20, 1602:5, 1607:7, 1607:17, 1613:4, 1613:7, 1614:1, 1614:13, 1614:19, 1614:21, 1614:22, 1615:8, 1616:3, 1616:4, 1618:17, 1619:2, 1621:14, 1622:4, 1623:1, 1623:5, 1623:8, 1623:10, 1623:19, 1624:6, 1626:6, 1632:4, 1632:10, 1641:14, 1641:22, 1645:7, 1645:15,	1693:2 insects [2] - 1610:5, 1610:7 inspect [1] - 1678:1 inspectors [1] - 1677:23 installation [1] - 1528:13 installed [3] - 1527:17, 1528:8, 1529:3 installing [2] - 1527:12, 1527:19 instance [4] - 1616:14, 1623:7, 1641:11, 1708:23 instances [3] - 1672:14, 1675:21, 1704:19 Institute [1] - 1637:19 institutional [4] - 1637:4, 1638:5,
1624:21, 1632:14, 1672:17, 1690:8     infiltrating [4] - 1608:2, 1613:10, 1641:16, 1705:4     infiltration [72] - 1596:16, 1596:23, 1597:3, 1597:4, 1597:7, 1597:13, 1600:9, 1601:20, 1602:5, 1607:7, 1607:17, 1613:4, 1613:7, 1614:1, 1614:13, 1614:19, 1614:21, 1614:22, 1615:8, 1616:3, 1616:4, 1618:17, 1619:2, 1621:14, 1622:4, 1623:1, 1623:5, 1623:8, 1623:10, 1623:19, 1624:6, 1626:6, 1632:4, 1632:10, 1641:14, 1641:22, 1645:7, 1645:15, 1655:13, 1660:17,	1693:2 insects [2] - 1610:5, 1610:7 inspect [1] - 1678:1 inspectors [1] - 1677:23 installation [1] - 1528:13 installed [3] - 1527:17, 1528:8, 1529:3 installing [2] - 1527:12, 1527:19 instance [4] - 1616:14, 1623:7, 1641:11, 1708:23 instances [3] - 1672:14, 1675:21, 1704:19 Institute [1] - 1637:19 institutional [4] - 1637:4, 1638:5, 1638:22, 1639:6
1624:21, 1632:14, 1672:17, 1690:8     infiltrating [4] - 1608:2, 1613:10, 1641:16, 1705:4     infiltration [72] - 1596:16, 1596:23, 1597:3, 1597:4, 1597:7, 1597:13, 1600:9, 1601:20, 1602:5, 1607:7, 1607:17, 1613:4, 1613:7, 1614:1, 1614:13, 1614:19, 1614:21, 1614:22, 1615:8, 1616:3, 1616:4, 1618:17, 1619:2, 1621:14, 1622:4, 1623:1, 1623:5, 1623:8, 1623:10, 1623:19, 1624:6, 1626:6, 1632:4, 1632:10, 1641:14, 1641:22, 1645:7, 1645:15, 1655:13, 1660:17, 1660:19, 1660:21,	1693:2 insects [2] - 1610:5, 1610:7 inspect [1] - 1678:1 inspectors [1] - 1677:23 installation [1] - 1528:13 installed [3] - 1527:17, 1528:8, 1529:3 installing [2] - 1527:12, 1527:19 instance [4] - 1616:14, 1623:7, 1641:11, 1708:23 instances [3] - 1672:14, 1675:21, 1704:19 Institute [1] - 1637:19 institutional [4] - 1637:4, 1638:5, 1638:22, 1639:6 instream [1] - 1671:18 insufficient [1] -
1624:21, 1632:14, 1672:17, 1690:8     infiltrating [4] - 1608:2, 1613:10, 1641:16, 1705:4     infiltration [72] - 1596:16, 1596:23, 1597:3, 1597:4, 1597:7, 1597:13, 1600:9, 1601:20, 1602:5, 1607:7, 1607:17, 1613:4, 1613:7, 1614:1, 1614:13, 1614:19, 1614:21, 1614:22, 1615:8, 1616:3, 1616:4, 1618:17, 1619:2, 1621:14, 1622:4, 1623:1, 1623:5, 1623:8, 1623:10, 1623:19, 1624:6, 1626:6, 1632:4, 1632:10, 1641:14, 1641:22, 1645:7, 1645:15, 1655:13, 1660:17, 1660:24, 1661:2,	1693:2 insects [2] - 1610:5, 1610:7 inspect [1] - 1678:1 inspectors [1] - 1677:23 installation [1] - 1528:13 installed [3] - 1527:17, 1528:8, 1529:3 installing [2] - 1527:12, 1527:19 instance [4] - 1616:14, 1623:7, 1641:11, 1708:23 instances [3] - 1672:14, 1675:21, 1704:19 Institute [1] - 1637:19 institutional [4] - 1637:4, 1638:5, 1638:22, 1639:6 instream [1] - 1671:18 insufficient [1] - 1604:23
1624:21, 1632:14, 1672:17, 1690:8     infiltrating [4] - 1608:2, 1613:10, 1641:16, 1705:4     infiltration [72] - 1596:16, 1596:23, 1597:3, 1597:4, 1597:7, 1597:13, 1600:9, 1601:20, 1602:5, 1607:7, 1607:17, 1613:4, 1613:7, 1614:1, 1614:13, 1614:19, 1614:21, 1614:22, 1615:8, 1616:3, 1616:4, 1618:17, 1619:2, 1621:14, 1622:4, 1623:1, 1623:5, 1623:8, 1623:10, 1623:19, 1624:6, 1626:6, 1632:4, 1632:10, 1641:14, 1641:22, 1645:7, 1645:15, 1655:13, 1660:17, 1660:19, 1660:21,	1693:2 insects [2] - 1610:5, 1610:7 inspect [1] - 1678:1 inspectors [1] - 1677:23 installation [1] - 1528:13 installed [3] - 1527:17, 1528:8, 1529:3 installing [2] - 1527:12, 1527:19 instance [4] - 1616:14, 1623:7, 1641:11, 1708:23 instances [3] - 1672:14, 1675:21, 1704:19 Institute [1] - 1637:19 institutional [4] - 1637:4, 1638:5, 1638:22, 1639:6 instream [1] - 1671:18 insufficient [1] -

```
intense [1] - 1610:20
 intensity [1] -
1603:15
 interest [2] -
1547:20, 1733:7
 interesting [1] -
1546:18
 interim [1] - 1518:3
 Interior [1] - 1546:8
 interject [1] -
1658:20
 internally [1] -
1519:15
 interpret [5] -
1572:6, 1573:1,
1575:3, 1575:15,
1585:3
 interpretation [8] -
1544:12, 1544:17,
1548:5, 1550:19,
1551:13, 1565:23,
1566:1, 1578:4
 interpretations [1] -
1556:15
 interrupt [5] -
1591:5, 1599:13,
1675:6, 1706:20,
1746:13
 intersection [15] -
1527:13, 1527:16,
1528:8, 1528:14,
1528:17, 1529:3,
1529:15, 1529:17,
1530:14, 1533:23,
1537:1, 1537:3,
1538:11, 1538:23,
1539:16
 intersections [3] -
1528:22, 1530:3,
1530:20
 intimately [1] -
1647:20
 introduce [1] -
1529:22
 introduced [2] -
1636:22, 1660:5
 invited [1] - 1543:3
 involved [2] -
1532:21, 1729:3
 involvement [1] -
1549:16
 irrigation [3] -
1679:14, 1714:3,
1714:8
```

integrating [1] -

intelligence [1] -

intended [1] - 1626:5

intends [1] - 1606:4

1656:4

1549:9

Island [2] - 1566:16, 1566:17 issue [16] - 1519:1, 1519:21, 1550:13, 1584:12, 1605:7, 1616:8, 1620:9, 1635:1, 1665:3, 1668:12, 1673:18, 1677:12, 1700:21, 1730:8, 1730:12, 1745:5 issued [2] - 1640:22, 1689:15 issues [12] - 1597:8, 1621:16, 1631:23, 1632:2, 1632:16, 1633:20, 1635:16, 1635:19, 1648:3, 1669:13, 1680:4, 1714:10 item [1] - 1665:3 itself [12] - 1549:23, 1583:16, 1584:10, 1648:14, 1656:15, 1677:19, 1694:9, 1694:13, 1694:21, 1695:9, 1698:16, 1707:20 J

Jackson's [1] -1561:18 Jaeger [3] - 1535:3, 1568:12, 1570:7 Jaegers [5] -1559:13, 1572:3, 1574:2, 1576:24, 1578:5 JAMES [1] - 1716:23 James [1] - 1752:21 Jamie [1] - 1639:18 January [5] -1719:20, 1723:13, 1723:24, 1725:8, 1753:15 Jeff [4] - 1659:5, 1659:10, 1659:24, 1664:1 Jeffrey [3] - 1535:5, 1650:24, 1752:16 JEFFREY [1] -1659:11 Jennifer [2] - 1535:5, 1650:24 jeopardy [1] -1737:17 jewel [1] - 1582:20 jigsaw [1] - 1558:13 Jim [1] - 1535:11

jobs [1] - 1710:13 JOHN [1] - 1513:10 John [2] - 1515:4, 1516:14 Joint [1] - 1548:13 Jones [7] - 1534:7, 1580:17, 1580:18, 1650:8, 1697:12, 1735:23 **JONES** [5] - 1534:8, 1650:9, 1697:13, 1735:24, 1736:16 Joseph [1] - 1541:23 judge [2] - 1567:7 judging [2] - 1578:6, 1579:11 judicial [5] -1741:24, 1742:10, 1743:13, 1743:14, 1744:6 July [4] - 1525:2, 1526:22, 1529:19, 1529:24 jump[1] - 1667:9

# K

keep [10] - 1538:13, 1539:14, 1607:18, 1639:24, 1649:8, 1670:1, 1672:2, 1696:5, 1696:7, 1717:14 keeping [1] -1615:12 keeps [2] - 1604:5, 1607:12 key [1] - 1526:1 kickback [2] -1675:15, 1675:17 kids [3] - 1720:9, 1721:12, 1738:1 killed [1] - 1584:21 kind [9] - 1538:6, 1541:7, 1548:1, 1556:13, 1556:14, 1582:7, 1585:12, 1678:6, 1713:23 Kindergarten [1] -1718:20 kinds [1] - 1649:15 Kirk [1] - 1534:19 knock [1] - 1672:4 knowing [5] -1648:18, 1649:13, 1649:18, 1651:19, 1652:2 knowledge [5] -1673:19, 1727:8,

1728:14, 1729:9,

1734:18
known [2] - 1564:7,
1699:14
Korman [1] 1654:16
Kramer [5] - 1535:5,
1581:9, 1651:1,
1697:24, 1738:15
KRAMER [4] 1535:6, 1581:10,
1698:1, 1738:16
Kramers [1] - 1651:1
KRISTIN [1] - 1513:5
Kristin [1] - 1524:20

#### L

L.P [1] - 1512:8 label [1] - 1591:9 labrum [2] - 1531:7, 1531:8 lack [1] - 1606:21 lacking [1] - 1673:23 ladies [3] - 1514:14, 1746:5, 1751:21 laid [2] - 1655:7, 1664:23 Lake [2] - 1639:18, 1639:23 lakes [2] - 1664:12, 1664:14 lance [1] - 1573:3 Land [4] - 1530:16, 1742:7, 1744:16, 1745:19 land [25] - 1582:2, 1594:3, 1594:6, 1602:22, 1602:24, 1606:20, 1620:15, 1620:18, 1620:21, 1629:14, 1634:14, 1634:15, 1635:12, 1637:3, 1637:14, 1638:21, 1640:3, 1656:4, 1668:22, 1669:8, 1684:22, 1686:7, 1687:5, 1702:19, 1742:1 landed [1] - 1553:24 lands [1] - 1629:8 landscape [1] -1671:7 landscaping [5] -1672:9, 1679:14, 1704:1, 1711:9, 1711:18 lane [1] - 1539:6 language [2] -1589:24 large [6] - 1517:12,

1633:24, 1719:4, 1724:21 largely [1] - 1618:12 larger [3] - 1610:10, 1704:12, 1721:15 larvae [1] - 1610:5 last [15] - 1515:13, 1515:19, 1516:13, 1518:4, 1538:7, 1673:22, 1681:22, 1689:11, 1722:2, 1723:4, 1732:17, 1733:24, 1734:15, 1748:20, 1748:23 late [3] - 1514:5, 1715:20, 1727:16 Laura [2] - 1627:12, 1628:2 Law [1] - 1637:19 law [3] - 1519:23, 1736:10, 1750:4 lawn [6] - 1633:4, 1633:6, 1669:3, 1671:23, 1692:16, 1703:23 lawns [4] - 1622:13, 1672:4, 1672:6, 1691:23 lay [2] - 1561:1, 1702:19 layout [6] - 1592:10, 1595:24, 1674:12, 1686:15, 1687:2, 1694:6 layouts [1] - 1616:17 Leadership [1] learn [4] - 1548:24. 1549:4, 1556:12, 1563:5 learning [3] -1557:18, 1585:13, 1718:21 learns [1] - 1557:11 least [3] - 1516:13, 1584:16, 1638:3 leave [3] - 1523:20, 1650:6, 1672:17 leaving [1] - 1702:21 LEED [9] - 1590:5, 1595:21, 1595:24, 1596:2, 1596:3, 1596:4, 1604:20, 1622:10, 1652:10 leeway [1] - 1624:10 left [14] - 1529:23, 1568:13, 1578:10, 1583:22, 1583:23, 1651:2, 1665:16,

1593:18, 1594:12,

1716:15, 1735:6, 1735:9, 1735:11, 1735:22, 1738:8 legal [5] - 1728:16, 1729:17, 1729:21, 1730:1, 1732:10 legality [1] - 1729:18 legally [1] - 1733:21 legislation [2] -1722:14, 1724:6 legislators [1] -1723:8 length [1] - 1519:13 lengths [1] - 1619:3 Leraris [3] - 1534:14, 1650:16, 1738:7 leraris [2] - 1580:22, 1650:17 LERARIS [2] -1534:15, 1580:24 LERTA [4] - 1734:9, 1734:11, 1734:13, 1734:15 less [8] - 1607:7, 1615:8, 1621:19, 1666:6, 1673:14, 1681:17, 1690:6, 1737:20 lessen [1] - 1538:19 lesser [1] - 1660:21 letter [40] - 1540:22, 1587:21, 1588:16, 1588:20, 1589:2, 1589:4, 1598:8, 1599:23, 1610:1, 1621:1, 1622:21, 1631:16, 1640:23, 1643:24, 1644:4, 1644:7, 1660:4, 1660:13, 1662:24, 1665:3, 1667:18, 1668:13, 1669:17, 1671:15, 1674:9, 1677:2, 1678:9, 1679:21, 1680:7, 1680:9, 1681:5, 1681:13, 1683:5, 1683:9, 1685:22, 1689:15, 1689:17, 1725:5, 1753:9, 1753:14 letters [5] - 1643:19, 1643:22, 1644:3, 1644:10, 1674:5 level [8] - 1528:19, 1533:17, 1549:20, 1553:5, 1562:5, 1576:18, 1617:15, 1739:19 levels [2] - 1557:3,

1608:23 Library [1] - 1654:20 lieu [1] - 1734:2 lieutenants [1] -1569:11 life [4] - 1569:2, 1569:8, 1569:13, 1609:12 likely [4] - 1619:4, 1621:19, 1625:20, 1626:21 limit [2] - 1681:15, 1721:24 limitations [1] -1614:20 limited [2] - 1627:12, 1723:2 limiting [6] -1661:10, 1661:13, 1661:15, 1678:21, 1696:17, 1705:15 limits [3] - 1537:10, 1620:23, 1736:9 Linda [1] - 1535:16 line [13] - 1523:12, 1557:1, 1561:11, 1565:13, 1565:24, 1566:3, 1566:6, 1567:20, 1570:24, 1574:4, 1578:15, 1579:8, 1579:9 linear[1] - 1620:16 lines [4] - 1571:8, 1572:7, 1608:20, 1666:8 linking [1] - 1530:18 list [5] - 1658:18, 1663:19, 1715:23, 1716:6, 1721:11 listed [3] - 1637:9, 1640:8, 1729:6 listening [2] -1523:22, 1717:21 lists [1] - 1678:19 LISTSERV [1] -1721:11 litany [1] - 1679:15 literature [4] -1549:19, 1562:20, 1562:21, 1688:23 litigation [1] -1640:18 live [2] - 1520:6, 1628:17 lives [1] - 1610:9 living [1] - 1535:22 LLC [1] - 1513:7 load [2] - 1680:4, 1688:5 loading [37] -

1738:19

1623:4, 1623:8, 1597:6, 1597:11, 1715:16, 1752:16 1683:19, 1684:13, marine [6] - 1541:9, 1599:2, 1600:1, 1684:20, 1684:24, 1542:24, 1543:6, 1623:11, 1623:16, MADDEN [1] -1685:9, 1685:14, 1600:14, 1602:2, 1659:11 1543:18, 1544:2, 1623:17, 1623:24, 1614:23, 1620:24, 1706:10, 1708:9, 1575:21 1624:3, 1624:12, magnets [1] - 1558:6 1710:21, 1710:24, 1625:1, 1625:16, 1639:13, 1712:20, magnitude [3] -Mariner [2] - 1640:6, 1631:16, 1631:21, 1713:23, 1720:16, 1689:24, 1690:12, 1711:10, 1711:13, 1640:18 1631:24, 1644:16, 1720:17, 1721:4, 1690:14 1712:24 marines [2] - 1544:3, 1680:6, 1680:11, 1737:15 mail [1] - 1753:3 Management [4] -1549:5 1680:12, 1680:14, looked [2] - 1556:4, 1589:7, 1684:16, mails [2] - 1518:9, MARK [1] - 1513:7 1680:16, 1680:17, 1562:24 1685:2, 1692:24 mark [5] - 1518:7, 1519:14 1680:20, 1680:22, looking [17] -Manager [1] -1561:18, 1592:23, main [1] - 1566:13 1687:21, 1688:14, 1559:10, 1559:12, 1512:18 1598:16, 1717:11 Main [1] - 1567:14 1688:18, 1689:13, managing [2] -1564:19, 1571:24, maintain [8] -Mark [1] - 1541:1 1689:22, 1689:23, 1572:2, 1590:10, 1608:2, 1656:11 1528:9, 1569:7, marked [8] -1690:3, 1690:11, 1592:3, 1613:6, 1604:8, 1607:19, mandates [2] -1518:21, 1530:9, 1690:12, 1691:3, 1636:21, 1638:24, 1740:4, 1740:6 1649:7, 1663:17, 1568:6, 1599:11, 1699:10, 1700:17, 1660:12, 1684:10, maneuver [1] -1599:15, 1658:8, 1676:4 1701:3, 1701:14 1693:18, 1718:9, maintained [2] -1573:19 1685:22, 1743:5 locally [1] - 1726:11 1732:14, 1739:13, manners [1] - 1514:2 1619:7, 1630:2 markers [1] located [13] -1748:23 Manual [5] - 1685:2, 1565:22 maintenance [6] -1521:10, 1596:10, looks [4] - 1572:19, 1742:6, 1744:15, market [1] - 1722:24 1528:6, 1663:16, 1596:12, 1613:11, 1591:14, 1604:14, 1744:16, 1745:19 1705:2, 1708:6, Market [1] - 1654:9 1613:13, 1614:8, 1634:23 manual [20] - 1620:4, marketing [1] -1708:17, 1709:8 1614:17, 1646:11, lose [1] - 1567:8 1621:6, 1622:8, 1721:20 maintenances [1] -1670:5, 1673:4, loses [1] - 1557:10 1624:2, 1624:4, 1708:20 Martin [1] - 1531:16 1673:11, 1675:22, losing [1] - 1574:10 1644:18, 1644:24, Mary [1] - 1543:21 major [2] - 1612:18, 1702:17 loss [2] - 1718:10, 1668:18, 1679:13, 1617:6 Maryland [1] locating [1] - 1602:8 1736:2 1680:8, 1688:20, majority [5] - 1545:4, 1724:7 location [20] lost[1] - 1566:18 1689:14, 1692:5, 1605:5, 1654:22, mass [1] - 1634:2 1527:19, 1537:23, low [12] - 1593:20, 1692:14, 1693:2, 1654:23, 1704:20 matching [1] -1566:21, 1575:1, 1693:9, 1693:22, 1614:14, 1614:18, mall [1] - 1555:22 1707:10 1603:13, 1616:4, 1614:20, 1621:16, 1741:23, 1741:24, Mammucari [7] material [1] -1621:15, 1632:5, 1745:18 1622:10, 1634:6, 1534:4, 1580:14, 1604:15 1641:16, 1657:9, 1648:4, 1656:5, manuals [4] -1650:5, 1650:6, materials [3] -1661:16, 1676:1, 1656:10, 1667:22, 1742:13, 1742:18, 1697:10, 1697:11, 1562:16, 1589:3, 1694:7, 1694:13, 1733:4 1742:20, 1742:23 1735:21 1589:4 1695:16, 1698:16, map [10] - 1540:18, low-impact [4] -MAMMUCARI [2] mathematical [2] -1698:24, 1706:17, 1556:3, 1564:9, 1614:14, 1622:10, 1534:5, 1580:16 1620:16, 1635:7 1715:3, 1715:4 1656:5, 1656:10 1564:15, 1564:20, manage [5] - 1601:5, mats [1] - 1634:5 locations [14] -1577:2, 1577:5, Lower [2] - 1594:21, 1606:5, 1614:15, Matt [1] - 1535:16 1560:11, 1602:6, 1591:15, 1753:10, 1605:21 1626:6, 1635:15 matter [8] - 1552:23, 1636:9, 1641:10, 1753:11 lower [11] - 1528:21, managed [4] -1553:1, 1554:11, 1661:9, 1673:3, Map [1] - 1753:7 1593:22, 1596:20, 1596:17, 1610:18, 1564:6, 1584:13, 1673:6, 1673:7, 1601:17, 1602:1, maps [3] - 1560:12, 1613:21, 1622:11 1688:8, 1746:14, 1673:8, 1674:24, 1614:9, 1648:2, 1577:7, 1646:10 management [43] -1754:13 1686:11, 1694:2, 1670:7, 1706:11, March [5] - 1530:6, 1526:14, 1540:23, matters [2] -1694:5, 1695:8 1706:15, 1738:3 1718:5, 1724:18, 1588:6, 1588:24, 1600:21, 1741:20 lodge [1] - 1747:5 1725:5, 1729:18 lowering [2] -1589:7, 1589:22, Mattis [1] - 1548:12 lodged [1] - 1751:2 march [6] - 1561:8, 1737:11, 1737:14 1595:14, 1595:17, maximum [4] logically [1] - 1648:9 1561:22, 1561:24, lowest [1] - 1721:5 1595:22, 1596:1, 1631:21, 1660:22, long-term [1] -1564:13, 1565:2, lumped [1] - 1668:3 1600:11, 1610:22, 1679:2, 1699:16 1663:10 1565:12 lying [1] - 1621:16 1613:4, 1613:7, mayflies [1] - 1610:6 Longwood [1] marching [1] -1613:24, 1617:2, McCormick [1] -1653:18 1568:12 М 1620:4, 1622:3, 1644:11 look [27] - 1542:3, Marine [10] -1622:5, 1622:6, McDermott [1] -1546:2, 1564:9, ma'am [5] - 1586:19, 1645:23, 1652:18, 1540:17, 1542:1, 1535:11 1564:15, 1568:18, 1630:22, 1631:4, 1542:12, 1543:1, 1654:12, 1655:7, McFadden [5] -1573:12, 1578:9, 1647:15, 1652:5 1543:3, 1543:16, 1655:20, 1656:4, 1535:12, 1581:15, 1578:10, 1582:9, Madden [5] - 1659:6, 1543:22, 1543:24, 1660:15, 1662:22, 1651:6, 1698:5, 1585:7, 1596:18, 1659:15, 1683:23, 1545:6, 1554:24 1665:15, 1674:18,

MCFADDEN [5] -
1535:13, 1581:16,
1651:7, 1698:6,
1738:20
McFalls [5] - 1532:1,
1580:5, 1647:11,
1697:4, 1735:12
MCFALLS [5] -
1532:3, 1580:7,
1647:12, 1697:5,
1735:13
MCKENNA [233] -
1513:2, 1514:13,
1514:24, 1515:5,
1515:9, 1515:11,
1519:4, 1519:11,
1520:20, 1521:1,
1521:16, 1522:13,
1523:8, 1523:10,
1523:13, 1523:17,
1524:6, 1524:9,
1525:11, 1530:23,
1531:3, 1531:7,
1531:12, 1531:15,
1531:22, 1532:1,
1532:4, 1532:7,
1534:2, 1534:6,
1534:9, 1534:12,
1534:16, 1534:18, 1534:23, 1535:3,
1535:7, 1535:10,
1535:14, 1535:16,
1555.14, 1555.10,
1539:19, 1539:23,
1540:3, 1540:7,
1541:4, 1541:11,
1543:5, 1544:13,
1544:19, 1550:20,
1551:4, 1551:24,
1552:4, 1552:7, 1552:9, 1560:19,
1562:12, 1563:6,
1563:12, 1563:23,
1571:20, 1576:10, 1577:14, 1577:17,
1577:14, 1577:17,
1579:18, 1579:23,
1580:2, 1580:5,
1580:8, 1580:11,
1580:14, 1580:17,
1580:22, 1581:1,
1581:4, 1581:7,
1581:9, 1581:11,
1581:14, 1581:17, 1581:20, 1583:3,
1581:20, 1583:3,
1585:16, 1585:20,
1586:1, 1586:5,
1586:19, 1586:24,
1588:10, 1588:13,
1591:5, 1591:11,
1591:24, 1592:15,
1592:18, 1592:21,
1-00 0 1-00 0

1593:6, 1593:9,

1503:15 1507:19
1593:15, 1597:18, 1598:14,
1598:22, 1626:10,
1626:14, 1626:17,
1630:22, 1631:3, 1631:9, 1636:14,
1638:14, 1638:20,
1639:4, 1639:10,
1643:4, 1643:12, 1646:18, 1646:23,
1647:3, 1647:6,
1647:9, 1647:11,
1647:13, 1650:2, 1650:5, 1650:10,
1650:13, 1650:16,
1650:22, 1650:24,
1651:5, 1651:8, 1652:5, 1657:14,
1657:17, 1657:14,
1658:1, 1658:6,
1658:14, 1658:17,
1659:3, 1659:7, 1659:15, 1659:18,
1683:24, 1687:15,
1689:7, 1696:20,
1696:24, 1697:2, 1697:4, 1697:6,
1697:8, 1697:10,
1697:14, 1697:16,
1697:18, 1697:20,
1697:22, 1697:24, 1698:2, 1698:4,
1698:7, 1698:9,
1714:15, 1714:19, 1715:11, 1715:14,
1715:11, 1715:14, 1715:18, 1716:3,
1716:11, 1716:16,
1716:21, 1717:3,
1717:10, 1725:2,
1725:12, 1729:23, 1730:3, 1730:7,
1730:11, 1730:14,
1730:16, 1730:20,
1734:24, 1735:5, 1735:8, 1735:14,
1735:17, 1735:21,
1736:17, 1736:20,
1738:7, 1738:10,
1738:13, 1738:15, 1738:17, 1738:19,
1738:21, 1738:23,
1739:2, 1740:13,
1740:16, 1741:15, 1742:9, 1742:14,
1742:3, 1742:14,
1743:3, 1743:7,
1744:4, 1744:9, 1744:20, 1745:3,
1744.20, 1745.3, 1745:7, 1745:16,
1745:22, 1746:3,
1746-15 1747-0

1746:15, 1747:8,

1747,12 1747,20
1747:13, 1747:20,
1748:1, 1748:5,
1748:11, 1749:3,
1749:11, 1749:14,
1750:6, 1750:15,
1751:12, 1751:15,
1751:19
McKenna [4] -
1514:9, 1514:12,
1521:12, 1658:19
meadow [7] -
1595:4, 1653:21,
1667:19, 1667:24,
1668:2, 1668:4,
1669:4
Meadows [1] -
1628:17
mean [16] - 1546:20,
1548:23, 1549:1,
1549:22, 1556:11,
1549:22, 1556:11, 1562:15, 1564:19,
1569:12, 1578:20,
1583:19, 1606:12,
1626:2, 1675:17,
1706:16, 1715:22,
1749:7
meaningful [1] -
1614:1
means [5] - 1557:8,
1568:23, 1595:1,
1623:9, 1628:8
meant [3] - 1548:4,
1751:15, 1751:17
measure [2] -
1617:19, 1617:20
measurements [2] -
1617:21, 1617:23
measures [2] -
1670:13, 1670:16
medical [2] -
1547:24
meet [22] - 1589:21,
1595:19, 1604:17,
1604:19, 1613:21,
1642:6, 1655:9,
1655:13, 1655:18,
1657:11, 1662:9,
1665:22, 1667:3,
1667:4, 1674:4, 1676:7, 1676:23,
1685:14, 1685:18,
1704:3, 1704:16,
1705:6
meeting [15] -
1513:22, 1514:5,
1514:11, 1514:20,
1515:13, 1574:5,
1574:10, 1574.0,
1574:19, 1594:15,
1595:23, 1630:15,

1723:22, 1748:20,

```
1748:23, 1749:16
 meetings [5] -
1517:15, 1517:16,
1674:6, 1718:12,
1727:16
 meets [3] - 1684:7,
1687:11, 1711:2
 Megan [1] - 1535:7
 Meliora [1] - 1587:11
 members [5] -
1552:8, 1628:9,
1659:2, 1741:6,
1745:6
 Memo [2] - 1753:14,
1753:15
 memo [5] - 1723:20,
1724:15, 1725:4,
1725:7, 1729:7
 memorandum [1] -
1726:24
 men [3] - 1558:19,
1567:9, 1578:21
 mentioned [6] -
1569:15, 1601:7,
1610:1, 1634:9,
1634:12, 1668:9
 Merion [1] - 1654:19
 Merit [1] - 1754:8
 met [12] - 1518:15,
1590:16, 1590:19,
1664:22, 1674:12,
1677:9, 1679:18,
1686:21, 1703:12,
1704:8, 1704:24,
1710:18
 method [1] - 1549:9
 methodologies [2] -
1620:6, 1645:11
 methods [3] -
1645:6, 1653:9,
1679:13
 Mexican [1] -
1542:18
 Mexico [1] - 1542:19
 MICHAEL [2] -
1512:14, 1541:15
 Michael [3] - 1541:3,
1541:23, 1752:7
 Michele [3] - 1586:4,
1587:7, 1752:13
 MICHELE [1] -
1586:15
 microphone [7] -
1520:21, 1541:6,
1543:9, 1552:15,
1586:22, 1659:21,
1741:10
 middle [9] - 1556:17,
1572:17, 1665:4,
1667:18, 1673:22,
```

```
1677:3, 1678:13,
1680:5, 1720:7
 Middle [1] - 1512:10
 might[11] - 1517:1,
1517:17, 1519:4,
1547:23, 1548:9,
1577:10, 1605:11,
1625:6, 1687:18,
1707:23, 1738:1
 mike [2] - 1663:6,
1717:15
 mikes [1] - 1717:17
 mile [1] - 1651:13
 military [23] - 1521:5,
1542:11, 1542:12,
1543:11, 1543:13,
1544:10, 1544:14,
1544:18, 1546:1,
1546:2, 1547:1,
1548:6, 1548:8,
1550:9, 1554:15,
1556:20, 1557:3,
1557:7, 1560:13,
1562:3, 1563:20,
1583:13
 Military [3] - 1519:8,
1519:9, 1554:21
 military's [2] -
1551:7, 1551:12
 Miller [26] - 1541:3,
1541:4, 1541:21,
1541:23, 1543:5,
1544:10, 1545:3,
1550:16, 1552:14,
1552:17, 1554:16,
1559:1, 1564:4,
1566:20, 1568:5,
1569:15, 1572:22,
1573:18, 1576:14,
1581:22, 1583:7,
1584:23, 1585:23,
1586:20, 1752:7,
1753:6
 MILLER [3] - 1541:9,
1541:14, 1541:15
 Miller's [1] - 1748:9
 million [6] - 1722:17,
1722:21, 1737:10,
1739:12, 1740:9
 mimic [1] - 1670:10
 mind [7] - 1515:1,
1541:5, 1599:1,
1631:5, 1631:10,
1741:9, 1747:7
 mine [2] - 1542:22,
1655:3
 minimal[1]-
1532:22
 minimum [5] -
```

1626:22, 1627:6,

1655:9, 1678:19,	1567:22, 1574:1,	1531:3, 1531:5,	1581:7, 1581:8,	1651:7, 1651:8,
1679:1	1576:4, 1593:3,	1531:7, 1531:11,	1581:9, 1581:11,	1652:5, 1655:4,
minute [4] - 1626:15,	1613:17, 1616:16,	1531:12, 1531:14,	1581:13, 1581:14,	1655:16, 1655:22,
1691:1, 1715:22,	1634:16, 1637:2,	1531:15, 1531:21,	1581:17, 1581:20,	1657:14, 1657:16,
1729:24	1637:13, 1640:1,	1531:22, 1532:1,	1583:3, 1583:6,	1657:17, 1657:19,
minutes [4] -	1641:10, 1646:14,	1532:3, 1532:4,	1585:15, 1585:16,	1657:20, 1658:1,
1517:14, 1523:7,	1649:13, 1656:2,	1532:6, 1532:7,	1585:18, 1585:20,	1658:3, 1658:6,
1565:7, 1715:23	1681:3, 1708:14,	1532:9, 1532:23,	1586:1, 1586:3,	1658:13, 1658:14,
missed [1] - 1564:16	1709:5, 1721:12,	1533:2, 1533:5,	1586:5, 1586:7,	1658:16, 1658:17,
mistake [2] -	1723:5, 1747:11	1533:18, 1534:1,	1586:11, 1586:19,	1658:19, 1659:3,
1582:24, 1635:9	motion [5] - 1532:15,	1534:2, 1534:5,	1586:24, 1587:4,	1659:4, 1659:7,
mistakes [1] -	1749:22, 1750:13,	1534:6, 1534:8,	1588:2, 1588:5,	1659:9, 1659:15,
1635:7	1750:16, 1750:17	1534:9, 1534:10,	1588:7, 1588:9,	1659:18, 1659:23,
	mountain [1] -	1534:12, 1534:16,	1588:10, 1588:13,	1683:22, 1683:24,
mitigate [3] -	1573:14	1534:18, 1534:22,	1588:15, 1591:5,	1687:15, 1687:17,
1537:20, 1601:6,	Mountain [2] -	1534:23, 1535:2,	1591:8, 1591:11,	1687:20, 1689:5,
1603:14	1637:15, 1637:20	1535:3, 1535:7,	1591:14, 1591:17,	1689:7, 1689:10,
mitigating [1] -	mountains [1] -	1535:9, 1535:10,	1591:22, 1591:24,	1696:19, 1696:20,
1702:13	1542:19	1535:13, 1535:14,	1592:15, 1592:16,	1696:22, 1696:24,
mitigation [2] -		1535:16, 1538:5,	1592:18, 1592:21,	1697:1, 1697:2,
1536:21, 1603:17	mounted [1] - 1546:4	1538:16, 1538:21,	1593:6, 1593:7,	1697:4, 1697:5,
mixed [1] - 1732:19	move [11] - 1521:2,	1539:9, 1539:18,	1593:9, 1593:15,	
modal [1] - 1529:7	1570:15, 1573:6,	1539:19, 1539:10,	1593:16, 1597:15,	1697:6, 1697:7,
mode [1] - 1570:1	1618:4, 1658:4,	1540:1, 1540:3,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1697:8, 1697:9,
moderate [1] -	1708:3, 1718:23,		1597:18, 1597:24,	1697:10, 1697:13,
1672:2	1719:6, 1721:8,	1540:7, 1540:9,	1598:1, 1598:2,	1697:14, 1697:15,
modern [14] -	1730:23, 1747:4	1540:13, 1541:4,	1598:4, 1598:11,	1697:16, 1697:18,
1521:4, 1521:5,	moved [4] - 1517:21,	1541:9, 1541:11,	1598:14, 1598:18,	1697:20, 1697:21,
1544:11, 1544:16,	1628:24, 1639:9,	1541:14, 1541:20,	1598:20, 1598:22,	1697:22, 1697:23,
1548:5, 1548:8,	1694:6	1543:5, 1544:9,	1598:23, 1599:6,	1697:24, 1698:2,
1548:17, 1548:19,	movement [1] -	1544:13, 1544:16,	1601:13, 1626:7,	1698:3, 1698:4,
1548:20, 1549:3,	1564:10	1544:19, 1544:21,	1626:10, 1626:12,	1698:6, 1698:7,
1551:7, 1551:13,	movements [3] -	1545:2, 1550:7,	1626:14, 1626:17,	1698:9, 1698:10,
1553:20, 1569:9	1576:20, 1579:5,	1550:15, 1550:20,	1630:22, 1631:3,	1698:17, 1699:4,
modify [1] - 1662:3	1583:13	1551:2, 1551:4,	1631:9, 1631:13,	1699:9, 1699:23,
modular [2] -	moving [4] - 1533:9,	1551:6, 1551:10,	1636:12, 1636:14,	1700:3, 1700:14,
1737:18, 1737:19	1658:17, 1702:9,	1551:12, 1551:15,	1636:16, 1636:18,	1701:11, 1701:18,
Moir [3] - 1540:19,	1721:14	1551:24, 1552:3,	1638:8, 1638:12,	1702:6, 1702:9,
1577:3, 1577:9	MPC [1] - 1519:21	1552:4, 1552:6,	1638:14, 1638:16,	1703:4, 1703:13,
moment [2] -	MR [512] - 1514:13,	1552:7, 1552:9,	1638:20, 1638:23,	1703:20, 1704:10,
1658:20, 1662:5	1514:23, 1514:24,	1552:12, 1552:13,	1639:4, 1639:8,	1705:7, 1705:20,
Monday [2] -	1515:4, 1515:5,	1560:6, 1560:9,	1639:10, 1639:12,	1706:7, 1706:9,
1517:20, 1518:1	1515:7, 1515:9,	1560:16, 1560:19,	1642:18, 1642:21,	1706:19, 1706:22,
money [3] - 1722:6,	1515:10, 1515:11,	1562:12, 1563:6,	1642:24, 1643:2,	1707:15, 1707:22,
1722:18, 1726:19	1519:2, 1519:4,	1563:9, 1563:12,	1643:4, 1643:11,	1708:24, 1709:9,
month [7] - 1513:21,	1519:6, 1519:11,	1563:14, 1563:18,	1643:12, 1643:14,	1709:12, 1710:16,
1515:19, 1516:13,	1520:14, 1520:20,	1563:23, 1564:2,	1646:16, 1646:18,	1714:15, 1714:19,
1518:4, 1627:6,	1520:24, 1521:1,	1571:20, 1571:22,	1646:23, 1647:1,	1715:11, 1715:13,
1740:24	1521:3, 1521:12,	1576:8, 1576:10,	1647:3, 1647:5,	1715:14, 1715:18,
months [1] - 1514:1	1521:16, 1521:18,	1576:11, 1576:13,	1647:6, 1647:8,	1716:3, 1716:9,
MORO [2] - 1515:7,	1522:3, 1522:8,	1577:12, 1577:14,	1647:9, 1647:11,	1716:11, 1716:16,
1515:10	1522:9, 1522:11,	1577:17, 1577:20,	1647:12, 1647:13,	1716:21, 1717:3,
	1522:13, 1523:2,	1579:13, 1579:18,	1647:14, 1647:23,	1717:10, 1725:2,
Moro [1] - 1515:7	1523:8, 1523:9,	1579:22, 1579:23,	1648:8, 1648:13,	1725:12, 1725:14,
mortars [1] - 1569:22	1523:10, 1523:11,	1580:1, 1580:2,	1648:21, 1649:13,	1725:16, 1729:23,
Moscharis [2] -	1523:13, 1523:15,	1580:5, 1580:7,	1649:24, 1650:2,	1730:2, 1730:3,
1513:10, 1516:13	1523:17, 1523:21,	1580:8, 1580:10,	1650:4, 1650:5,	1730:5, 1730:7,
most [29] - 1523:7,	1523:24, 1524:3,	1580:11, 1580:13,	1650:9, 1650:10,	1730:9, 1730:11,
1554:8, 1555:6,	1524:6, 1524:9,	1580:14, 1580:16,	1650:11, 1650:13,	1730:13, 1730:14,
1555:8, 1557:2,	1525:7, 1525:11,	1580:17, 1580:20,	1650:16, 1650:22,	1730:15, 1730:16,
1565:20, 1565:22,	1530:23, 1531:1,	1580:22, 1581:1,	1650:23, 1650:24,	1730:18, 1730:20,
1566:3, 1566:21,		1581:4, 1581:6,	1651:4, 1651:5,	1730:21, 1734:22,

4704.04 4705.5
1734:24, 1735:5,
1735:7, 1735:8,
1735:13, 1735:14,
1735:16, 1735:17,
1735:19 1735:21
1735:19, 1735:21, 1735:24, 1736:16,
1735.24, 1730.10,
1736:17, 1736:19,
1736:20, 1738:7,
1738:10, 1738:12,
1738:13, 1738:14,
1738:13, 1738:14, 1738:15, 1738:17,
1738:18, 1738:19,
1738:20, 1738:21,
1738:23, 1739:2,
1739:6, 1739:16,
1739:23, 1740:2,
1740:12, 1740:13,
1740:16, 1741:12,
1741:15, 1741:18,
1741.10, 1741.10,
1742:9, 1742:12,
1742:14, 1742:16,
1742:17, 1742:19,
1742:24, 1743:2,
1743:3, 1743:6, 1743:7, 1743:9,
1743:12, 1743:15,
1743:17, 1743:19,
1743:21, 1743:24,
1744:2, 1744:4,
1744:8, 1744:9,
1744:11, 1744:14,
1744:20, 1744:22,
1745:3, 1745:7,
1745:13, 1745:16,
1745:17, 1745:22,
1746:2, 1746:3,
1746:12, 1746:15,
1747:3, 1747:8,
1747:10, 1747:13,
1747:14, 1747:20,
1747:22, 1748:1,
1748:4, 1748:5,
1748:6, 1748:11,
1748:12, 1749:3,
1749:8, 1749:11,
1749:12, 1749:14,
1749:20, 1749:21,
1745.20, 1745.21,
1750:6, 1750:12,
1750:15, 1751:7,
1751:12, 1751:13,
1751:15, 1751:18,
1751:19
<b>MS</b> [79] - 1523:5,
1524:14, 1524:20,
1024.14, 1024.20,
1525:10, 1525:14,
1530:21, 1534:15, 1534:17, 1535:6,
1534:17, 1535:6,
1535:15, 1535:20,
1536:6, 1536:9,
1536:11, 1536:14,

1536:19, 1537:7,

1537:11, 1537:18, 1537:24, 1538:3, 1539:22, 1577:16, 1580:24, 1581:3, 1581:10, 1581:16, 1581:19, 1586:9, 1588:12, 1627:21, 1628:2, 1631:2, 1631:7, 1646:22, 1650:15, 1650:21, 1651:9, 1651:12, 1651:23, 1652:4, 1652:7, 1653:12, 1653:18, 1653:22, 1654:4, 1654:9, 1654:13, 1654:18, 1655:1, 1655:23, 1656:16, 1656:24, 1684:3, 1684:5, 1687:13, 1697:17, 1697:19, 1698:1, 1698:8, 1710:17, 1711:4, 1711:20, 1711:24, 1712:3, 1712:20, 1713:4, 1713:18, 1713:23, 1714:17, 1714:21, 1715:5, 1735:4, 1736:22, 1736:24, 1738:9, 1738:16, 1738:22, 1747:6 mud [2] - 1619:10, 1619:12 multi [2] - 1529:7, 1684:23 multi-modal [1] -1529:7 multi-structured [1] - 1684:23 multiple [7] -1618:14, 1667:14, 1674:24, 1712:17, 1713:13, 1721:16, 1721:23 municipalities [7] -1654:19, 1720:21, 1724:2, 1724:12, 1727:22, 1728:5, 1728:22 municipality [3] -1728:3, 1728:7, 1734:15 municipality's [2] -1590:9, 1645:21 Murnane [4] -1534:18, 1650:20, 1697:18, 1738:8 MURNANE [3] -1650:21, 1697:19, 1738:9

**must** [2] - 1642:5, 1690:8

#### N

name [7] - 1515:6, 1541:1, 1541:22, 1546:3, 1587:6, 1591:3, 1628:1 narrative [2] -1562:10, 1634:21 Narrative [2] -1589:7, 1684:16 nation [1] - 1565:6 national [1] - 1546:8 natural [4] - 1596:1, 1652:23, 1663:24, 1664:8 nature [9] - 1553:15, 1560:24, 1584:4, 1592:11, 1629:16, 1633:5, 1637:4, 1654:24, 1656:20 Navy [1] - 1548:15 NC-1 [3] - 1542:4, 1658:8, 1753:6 NC-2 [3] - 1564:12, 1577:2, 1753:7 NC-3 [2] - 1568:7, 1753:8 NC-4 [12] - 1587:20, 1588:16, 1636:22, 1640:22, 1660:5, 1660:10, 1660:12, 1662:24, 1665:3, 1671:15, 1677:2, 1753:9 NC-5 [11] - 1591:10, 1591:12, 1592:23, 1593:10, 1598:13, 1598:15, 1658:8, 1658:11, 1714:17, 1714:20, 1753:10 NC-5-a[1] - 1598:16 NC-6 [4] - 1598:17, 1598:21, 1658:9, 1753:11 near [3] - 1575:5, 1600:7, 1690:17 nearby [3] - 1558:10, 1597:5, 1613:18 nearest [2] - 1600:7, 1601:18 necessarily [3] -1533:10, 1555:23, 1748:9 necessary [3] -1662:4, 1688:4, 1702:23

need [40] - 1520:15,

1543:5, 1546:19, 1548:3, 1586:20, 1591:19, 1607:17, 1626:8, 1628:1, 1651:15, 1655:24, 1664:18, 1665:12, 1665:17, 1666:1, 1675:2, 1677:11, 1677:19, 1677:24, 1679:11, 1681:9, 1685:17, 1686:10, 1688:19, 1694:16, 1695:23, 1696:16, 1698:23, 1705:14, 1707:13, 1712:10, 1712:12, 1712:14, 1719:23, 1736:12, 1736:13, 1743:13, 1744:11 needed [11] -1538:22, 1539:15, 1662:3, 1676:22, 1690:2, 1690:3, 1691:2, 1701:24, 1702:11, 1704:5, 1705:3 needs [5] - 1532:13, 1627:16, 1657:3, 1673:14, 1745:4 neighborhood [2] -1637:24, 1649:4 **NEIGHBORS** [1] -1753:5 Neighbors [9] -1513:7, 1515:8, 1515:23, 1518:6, 1541:2, 1637:21, 1658:10, 1714:16, 1746:20 net [1] - 1719:12 never [5] - 1533:7, 1554:4, 1647:21, 1701:19, 1748:17 never-ending[1] -1748:17 new [8] - 1556:14, 1556:15, 1605:15, 1654:8, 1654:15, 1719:17, 1721:19, 1722:24 New [6] - 1528:14, 1532:12, 1570:4, 1570:11, 1648:5, 1714:6 news [1] - 1639:23 next[17] - 1544:5, 1547:9, 1569:14, 1570:8, 1596:6, 1596:7, 1597:9,

1601:3, 1627:6,

1653:12, 1655:2, 1665:3, 1686:6, 1724:19, 1740:24, 1749:15 nice [1] - 1586:21 night [5] - 1517:18, 1517:19, 1630:18, 1643:6, 1751:5 nine [6] - 1513:24, 1596:8, 1596:14, 1643:6, 1669:24, 1679:17 ninth [2] - 1513:21, 1513:22 nitrates [4] -1612:22, 1633:9, 1633:14, 1670:20 nitrogen [1] - 1633:8 nobody [4] - 1571:1, 1571:2, 1743:10, 1743:24 non [4] - 1684:24, 1711:15, 1711:21, 1711:22 non-structural [4] -1684:24, 1711:15, 1711:21, 1711:22 none [8] - 1534:10, 1580:13, 1592:3, 1639:23, 1640:24, 1650:4, 1650:11, 1697:9 nonresidential [2] -1720:20, 1720:22 normally [4] -1547:15, 1547:18, 1568:18, 1573:5 north [1] - 1666:20 Notary [1] - 1754:8 notations [1] -1658:11 note [3] - 1613:16, 1617:17, 1725:3 noted [2] - 1522:18, 1634:18 notes [1] - 1754:11 nothing [14] -1519:18, 1530:22, 1540:1, 1582:16, 1584:19, 1585:18, 1646:16, 1646:22, 1658:3, 1658:14, 1683:22, 1687:14, 1734:23, 1743:3 notice [9] - 1618:20, 1741:14, 1741:24, 1742:10, 1743:13, 1743:14, 1744:7, 1744:24

November [9] -

1517:18, 1517:19, 1518:2, 1520:11, 1521:19, 1522:15, 1626:22, 1746:9, 1751:23 nowhere [3] -1685:3, 1685:10, 1690:17 **NPDES** [6] -1645:22, 1646:2, 1670:23, 1677:20, 1685:15, 1711:14 number [33] -1515:14, 1515:15, 1557:2, 1587:18, 1594:9, 1597:2, 1602:13, 1604:11, 1607:8, 1614:21, 1620:5, 1620:10, 1634:10, 1634:13, 1634:16, 1634:22, 1635:5, 1635:17, 1637:9, 1643:6, 1657:3, 1657:5, 1667:22, 1678:21, 1690:20, 1699:16, 1705:17, 1710:1, 1710:6, 1718:15, 1718:21, 1721:18, 1733:5 numbers [17] -1596:12, 1602:22, 1603:1, 1624:1, 1635:10, 1667:15, 1676:16, 1681:2, 1681:3, 1682:9, 1682:10, 1690:19, 1691:24, 1692:20, 1700:13, 1704:3, 1733:3 numerous [4] -1629:4, 1709:18, 1710:13, 1713:14 nutrient [1] -1612:19 nutrients [3] -1633:8, 1633:22, 1634:8 0

O&M [1] - 1709:3 o'clock [2] - 1512:11, 1751:3 oath [8] - 1524:13, 1524:18, 1541:17, 1586:17, 1640:13, 1659:13, 1659:19, 1717:1 object [15] - 1521:13, 1521:18, 1521:22, 1522:1, 1522:10, 1522:12, 1551:20, 1551:22, 1591:18, 1597:15, 1638:9, 1642:18, 1743:9, 1743:15, 1748:13 objected [1] -1551:16 objection [30] -1521:16, 1522:17, 1522:20, 1523:8, 1523:9, 1525:8, 1550:8, 1550:10, 1550:22, 1550:23, 1552:5, 1552:11, 1560:6, 1563:13, 1563:14, 1564:1, 1588:7, 1588:11, 1598:3, 1627:18, 1639:11, 1642:21, 1643:1, 1643:9, 1743:8, 1744:21, 1744:22, 1745:9, 1745:10, 1746:1 objections [6] -1521:20, 1522:14, 1747:5, 1750:19, 1751:1, 1751:8 obligation [1] -1730:17 obtain [4] - 1665:12, 1670:22, 1677:13, 1685:15 obtained [1] -1712:17 obtaining [1] -1690:21 obvious [1] -1564:19 obviously [3] -1519:17, 1747:24, 1748:12 occurred [1] -1734:3 occurring[1] -1656:3 occurs [2] - 1607:4, 1608:21 October [8] -1512:11, 1518:17, 1588:17, 1634:21, 1718:8, 1745:19, 1754:12, 1754:16 OF [5] - 1512:1. 1512:2, 1753:18, 1754:5 off-peak [1] - 1536:2

offer [26] - 1520:16,

1521:22, 1521:24,

1522:5, 1522:12, 1522:20, 1544:10, 1555:18, 1578:16, 1578:18, 1588:3, 1636:7, 1718:22, 1737:16, 1740:18, 1741:3, 1741:9. 1742:18, 1743:1, 1745:12, 1745:14, 1746:22, 1748:2, 1749:15, 1750:24 offered [8] - 1524:23, 1525:5, 1525:23, 1550:23, 1551:5, 1551:7, 1581:24, 1744:13 offering [3] -1550:15, 1717:7, 1744:5 office [4] - 1637:2, 1637:13, 1639:24, 1727:18 officers [4] -1553:12, 1565:1, 1567:23, 1575:13 official [2] - 1725:21, 1731:13 officially [2] -1518:18, 1724:14 offset [1] - 1724:20 often [3] - 1599:24, 1615:2, 1615:10 old [2] - 1548:20, 1553:11 on-lot [2] - 1686:23 on-site [2] - 1612:15, once [5] - 1569:4. 1662:4. 1674:11. 1704:23, 1721:15 one [106] - 1533:14, 1538:5, 1538:7, 1539:13, 1540:11, 1550:20, 1552:7, 1554:7, 1558:18, 1562:14, 1564:18, 1564:20, 1564:21, 1570:10, 1570:13, 1573:13, 1577:7, 1578:1, 1594:16, 1597:2, 1598:14, 1598:15, 1598:21, 1599:4, 1600:5, 1600:8, 1601:12, 1601:13, 1602:19, 1606:2, 1607:22, 1610:17, 1616:15, 1617:4, 1617:5, 1617:21, 1618:1, 1618:2, 1618:5,

1622:5, 1623:3, 1623:8, 1623:10, 1623:20, 1624:12, 1624:13, 1624:19, 1631:22, 1632:3, 1635:9, 1637:22, 1638:17, 1639:1, 1640:8, 1648:1, 1648:4, 1653:2, 1655:4, 1660:15, 1664:19, 1665:18, 1666:8, 1666:10, 1670:23, 1671:3, 1672:2, 1679:3, 1681:22, 1681:24, 1690:24, 1691:19, 1692:11, 1692:12, 1693:10, 1693:13, 1698:12, 1699:20, 1699:22, 1702:12, 1704:19, 1705:17, 1707:24, 1708:12, 1708:23, 1709:16, 1709:22, 1709:23, 1710:2, 1710:9, 1712:12, 1714:21, 1718:9. 1720:7. 1723:4, 1735:24, 1739:6, 1740:8, 1740:17, 1742:20, 1743:16, 1744:5, 1745:14, 1746:6, 1749:12, 1751:4 one-acre [6] -1623:8, 1691:19, 1692:11, 1692:12, 1693:13, 1709:23 one-year [2] -1665:18, 1671:3 ones [3] - 1681:4, 1688:20, 1742:20 ongoing [1] - 1528:6 online [1] - 1617:18 open [9] - 1517:5, 1582:2, 1585:11, 1629:9, 1629:14, 1630:10, 1630:14, 1750:1, 1750:2 opens [1] - 1556:14 operate [1] - 1528:21 operating [1] -1732:20 operation [8] -1532:21, 1537:5, 1663:15, 1705:1, 1708:6, 1708:16, 1708:20, 1709:7 opinion [28] -1521:9, 1526:7, 1527:5, 1527:15,

1528:15, 1552:19, 1566:20, 1573:24, 1575:7, 1578:1, 1590:15, 1604:9, 1604:16, 1605:1, 1605:2, 1613:2, 1614:17, 1619:22, 1634:10, 1636:3, 1640:24, 1641:2, 1655:6, 1655:11, 1655:17, 1655:24, 1683:10, 1683:15 opinions [3] -1589:2, 1589:13, 1635:24 opportunities [1] -1614:2 opportunity [7] -1516:15, 1520:7, 1520:11, 1536:23, 1627:6, 1629:16, 1660:3 opposed [3] -1637:11, 1649:15, 1671:13 opposite [1] -1584:24 options [2] - 1539:3, 1564:23 order [10] - 1521:20, 1589:1, 1613:6, 1627:10, 1645:18, 1685:14, 1687:6. 1690:13, 1716:5, 1748:21 Ordinance [4] -1527:7, 1530:16, 1604:19, 1692:24 ordinance [12] -1618:3, 1620:14, 1620:22, 1621:1, 1655:9, 1655:15, 1657:12, 1664:21, 1684:8, 1685:6, 1687:7, 1709:19 ordinances [6] -1589:22, 1595:20, 1606:24, 1632:6, 1642:5, 1642:6 ordnance [2] -1548:1, 1549:8 organisms [2] -1609:18, 1610:5 original [2] -1578:19, 1679:17 originates [1] -1656:12 otherwise [1] -1536:18 Ott [2] - 1719:14,

1720:15 1540:10 1610:9, 1644:23 1654:15 PENNSYLVANIA [1] perfect [1] - 1586:24 PAGE [1] - 1753:18 party [7] - 1517:6, - 1512:3 outcome [3] perform [3] -1560:5, 1563:10, page [26] - 1590:13, 1517:7, 1588:10, Pennsylvania [23] -1512:11, 1557:14, 1705:10 1621:2, 1622:20, 1709:10, 1739:3, 1554:16, 1621:19, outlined [1] - 1685:1 1740:18 1587:13, 1587:18, 1687:4 1631:15, 1634:19, 1592:7, 1603:3, outside [2] -1637:7, 1638:24, PASDA [2] - 1592:7, performance [1] -1712:24, 1740:19 1640:4, 1663:4, 1593:4 1620:3, 1622:24, 1642:4 outsized [1] -1665:4, 1666:22, pass [2] - 1540:10, 1625:6, 1639:14, performed [3] -1667:17, 1669:18, 1731:7 1640:11, 1646:3, 1555:4, 1661:10, 1666:23 1671:16, 1673:22, passed [2] - 1718:3, 1654:5, 1656:8, 1675:9 over-designed [2] -1674:16, 1677:3, 1672:23, 1709:18, 1682:18, 1682:21 1722:7 performing [1] passes [1] - 1722:22 1710:13, 1724:10, overall [10] -1677:15, 1678:11, 1555:14 1727:20, 1741:21, 1678:13, 1678:14, perhaps [4] -1562:20, 1605:15, passionate [1] -1680:5, 1720:18, 1742:2, 1744:19, 1630:20 1515:16, 1520:11, 1674:7, 1675:13, 1727:19 1754:1 1681:23, 1683:18, past [10] - 1527:21, 1540:10, 1591:22 pension [1] - 1740:6 pages [3] - 1665:1, 1691:3, 1691:5, 1566:10, 1579:16, perimeter [2] -1753:8, 1754:9 people [13] -1694:10, 1708:16 1628:18, 1630:15, 1696:4, 1696:5 paper [4] - 1592:14, 1517:12, 1535:22, overlay [6] - 1592:8, 1647:21, 1721:17, period [4] - 1569:20, 1592:12, 1598:5, 1596:9, 1688:17, 1549:9, 1553:19, 1721:21, 1722:16, 1701:2, 1701:7, 1556:16, 1557:6, 1598:7, 1598:21, 1689:4 1738:5 1746:8 paragraph [1] pasture [1] - 1595:4 1558:11, 1558:21, 1753:11 periods [2] - 1536:2, 1685:21 1563:1, 1594:24, overload [1] pat [1] - 1751:7 1542:13 parameters [1] -1599:24, 1609:5, 1623:22 patience [3] permanent [1] -1629:12 1590:8 1717:4, 1717:19, 1740:11 overlying [1] people's [1] - 1708:5 parcel [2] - 1594:12, 1673:6 1751:22 permit [12] per[12] - 1660:20, 1614:4 overrule [4] patio [1] - 1682:23 1519:22, 1525:12, parent [1] - 1719:8 1662:1, 1663:14, 1552:11, 1645:22, 1552:10, 1563:24, patios [2] - 1682:14, 1664:16, 1665:8, parenthesis [1] -1639:11, 1643:12 1682:20 1665:13, 1670:23, 1668:18, 1670:3, overruled [1] -1684:17 Patricia [1] - 1535:12 1677:13, 1677:20, 1670:17, 1701:1, parents [3] -1685:15, 1700:22, 1522:21 PATRICK [1] -1711:11, 1729:13, 1721:10, 1721:12 1712:15, 1728:9 overrun [1] - 1567:18 1513:2 1731:19 Park [3] - 1559:2, permits [1] - 1646:2 overseer[1] patterns [1] - 1678:6 perc [20] - 1600:13, 1559:23, 1560:3 1708:16 permitted [4] pave [1] - 1607:5 1600:15, 1601:15, park [1] - 1546:8 1520:1, 1625:17, oversight [1] paved [2] - 1607:9, 1615:1, 1615:2, part [25] - 1527:5, 1632:1, 1645:19 1712:18 1611:24 1615:3, 1698:13, 1527:24, 1539:7, perpetual [1] overwhelming [1] -PAVELCHEK [4] -1698:15, 1704:2, 1532:14 1550:14, 1560:14, 1545:5 1535:2, 1581:8, 1705:8, 1705:9, 1560:18, 1565:20, personal [2] own [4] - 1554:20, 1697:23, 1738:14 1705:12, 1705:23, 1570:4, 1576:4, 1561:8, 1583:19, 1519:18, 1723:9 Pavelchek [4] -1706:1, 1706:2, 1576:7, 1583:23, 1620:21 1535:1, 1581:7, personally [3] -1706:4, 1706:12, owner[1] - 1587:11 1594:19, 1594:20, 1697:22, 1738:13 1514:4, 1555:4, 1706:23, 1707:1, 1609:7, 1613:17, owner's [1] - 1709:6 pay [5] - 1618:19, 1737:22 1707:4 1620:11, 1641:10, owners [1] - 1708:8 1722:18, 1732:1, pesticides [1] percent [22] -1646:15, 1679:20, 1633:7 Oxford [1] - 1654:20 1734:7 1567:9, 1583:14, 1708:10, 1711:7, Philadelphia [5] oxygen [1] - 1634:1 payment [2] -1606:9, 1664:17, 1711:9, 1725:1, 1557:13, 1557:22, 1724:19, 1734:2 1664:18, 1665:9, 1729:7, 1740:6 1566:14, 1574:7, PC-13 [1] - 1530:12 1666:1, 1666:6, partially [1] -1654:5 PDF [1] - 1617:22 1670:20, 1679:5, 1564:16 Phillip [4] - 1534:7, p.m [3] - 1512:12, PDFs [2] - 1592:11, 1702:23, 1703:11, participation [1] -1746:10, 1751:24 1535:3, 1580:18, 1617:18 1703:17, 1703:19, 1726:21 1650:8 PA [6] - 1512:8, peak [5] - 1526:24, 1703:21, 1704:9, particular [3] -1674:2, 1679:12, Phoenixville [1] -1536:2, 1621:21, 1720:3, 1726:11, 1552:2, 1560:14, 1587:13 1685:2, 1744:19, 1626:4, 1635:2 1726:14, 1726:15, 1667:14 phosphorous [3] -1745:18 pedal [1] - 1629:22 1740:4 parties [6] - 1515:14, 1633:9, 1633:12 pack [1] - 1586:8 pedestrians [1] percentage [2] -1515:15, 1657:20, photographs [2] package [2] -1532:19 1671:2, 1739:20 1716:1, 1740:20, 1547:16, 1673:16 1572:2, 1753:8 Penn [1] - 1543:20 percolation[1] -1750:2 packet [3] - 1540:14, physically [1] penn [1] - 1639:17

PennDOT [2] -

1529:1, 1530:2

parties' [1] - 1747:5

parts [3] - 1564:8,

1571:23, 1591:9

packets [1] -

1679:4

Perelman [1] -

1613:9

picked [1] - 1710:6

picking [1] - 1633:1 1687:11, 1696:14, 1529:14, 1536:17, 1567:3, 1612:23, 1699:8, 1699:24, 1542:22, 1549:7, 1612:24 picture [8] - 1568:10, 1701:13, 1704:6, 1559:10, 1559:13, possible [5] -1570:3, 1570:8, 1564:24, 1568:3, 1705:19, 1706:11, 1533:14, 1660:17, 1570:11, 1571:3, 1707:12, 1707:13, 1574:16, 1574:18, 1661:2, 1690:18, 1571:19, 1571:21, 1710:22, 1711:8, 1585:2, 1593:10, 1571:23 1690:19 1712:5, 1712:6, 1613:5, 1613:20, possibly [2] pictures [4] -1540:20, 1568:6, 1712:21, 1714:16, 1628:24, 1629:4, 1668:24, 1737:17 1715:4, 1719:19, 1630:16, 1643:13, post [12] - 1619:11, 1619:15, 1619:16 piece [8] - 1566:4, 1719:23 1658:7, 1658:15, 1622:3, 1635:2, Plan [2] - 1718:14 1658:21, 1662:21, 1566:19, 1567:21, 1645:23, 1665:18, 1663:5, 1663:7, 1628:7, 1629:24, planned [2] - 1614:5, 1665:19, 1666:5, 1666:23, 1673:23, 1712:8 1682:10, 1688:1, 1630:20, 1740:11 Planning [8] -1681:22, 1716:6, 1688:8, 1688:23, pieces [1] - 1565:15 1721:22, 1748:24, 1513:6, 1515:18, 1703:18 Pike [1] - 1512:11 1750:17 1516:22, 1524:21, post-construction PILOT [1] - 1734:1 Point[1] - 1519:10 [4] - 1645:23, 1688:1, 1646:20, 1684:2, PINGAR [1] -1735:3, 1746:21 points [4] - 1526:1, 1688:8, 1688:23 1512:17 1548:1, 1562:7, pipe [2] - 1651:14, planning [1] - 1708:2 post-development 1660:11 plans [27] - 1527:22, [2] - 1635:2, 1703:18 1651:22 policy [2] - 1656:22, 1589:10, 1600:1, posted [1] - 1592:12 Pipeline [3] -1617:8, 1617:16, 1656:23 potential [10] -1637:11, 1640:6, 1617:17, 1642:20, pollutant [1] - 1609:9 1526:16, 1528:3, 1640:19 pollutants [10] -1652:17, 1661:20, 1536:4, 1536:16, pit [2] - 1673:7, 1615:14, 1615:16, 1663:13, 1663:14, 1607:2, 1610:15, 1673:8 1667:2, 1667:8, 1632:23, 1633:1, 1610:17, 1682:13, pits [5] - 1604:13, 1673:9, 1673:13, 1633:3, 1633:4, 1704:13, 1721:2 1673:3, 1673:11, 1673:19, 1673:23, 1633:18, 1633:21, potentially [9] -1679:3 1677:11, 1681:11, 1670:22, 1672:5 1536:4, 1617:14, Pittsburgh [1] -1685:12, 1688:1, pollution [4] -1635:18, 1648:17, 1639:15 1691:22, 1691:23, 1612:18, 1612:19, 1651:21, 1652:2, place [9] - 1513:19, 1621:17, 1632:17 1691:24, 1696:15, 1655:21, 1696:10, 1561:23, 1564:7, 1734:19 pond [6] - 1601:24, 1708:3 1583:8, 1628:15, planted [1] - 1671:6 1625:19, 1625:22, power [2] - 1537:7, 1641:13, 1703:21, planting [1] -1651:12, 1651:16, 1537:10 1721:21, 1737:13 1679:14 1651:19 practice [5] places [3] - 1571:15, plantings [1] pool [1] - 1682:23 1587:14, 1622:5, 1584:8, 1673:10 1671:6 Poole [4] - 1723:23, 1711:1, 1711:11, plain [1] - 1556:10 plants [1] - 1681:8 1725:7, 1729:7, 1712:24 plan [62] - 1526:5, play [2] - 1572:4, 1753:15 Practices [1] -1526:9, 1559:16, pools [1] - 1609:12 1627:5 1685:2 1589:20, 1594:4, plaza [2] - 1654:1, popped [1] - 1573:8 practices [8] -1594:6, 1595:22, 1620:4, 1622:6, 1602:3, 1604:10, 1654:2 popping [1] -Plaza [2] - 1654:16 1706:16 1656:14, 1662:7, 1606:6, 1606:17, plazas [1] - 1654:15 population[1] -1698:18, 1707:17, 1606:23, 1616:10, 1610:11 1710:21, 1711:13 Pleasant [3] -1621:9, 1622:3, 1527:14, 1631:7, portion [10] - 1521:9, PRD [1] - 1644:7 1623:12, 1631:18, pre [10] - 1606:11, 1714:6 1524:7, 1545:5, 1642:1, 1642:3, 1670:7, 1680:10, 1665:19, 1665:20, pleasure [1] -1642:5, 1642:9, 1702:16, 1746:23, 1666:2, 1666:7, 1658:24 1644:7, 1655:8, 1746:24, 1749:4, 1670:12, 1670:21, pledge [1] - 1513:17 1658:6, 1663:16, 1750:1 1682:10, 1721:10, 1664:23, 1671:11, Pledge [1] - 1513:18 1721:11 portions [4] -1671:13, 1673:4, plenty [2] - 1619:8, 1563:15, 1614:11, pre-development [4] 1673:6, 1673:7, 1691:15 1646:9, 1692:5 - 1606:11, 1666:2, 1673:10, 1673:16, pocket [1] - 1555:1 1666:7, 1670:12 1674:11, 1675:12, podium [2] - 1541:5, position [6] -1519:16, 1541:24, pre-school [2] -1675:16, 1679:7, 1716:22 1570:16, 1572:11, 1721:10, 1721:11 1683:13, 1683:16, point [36] - 1520:14, 1685:22, 1686:2, 1744:9, 1745:23 precludes [1] -1522:2, 1526:2, possibility [3] -1520:19 1686:16, 1686:22, 1528:12, 1528:18,

predominantly [1] -1667:24 preliminarily [1] -1652:22 Preliminary [1] -1674:20 preliminary [36] -1589:8, 1589:17, 1589:18, 1594:9, 1602:2, 1602:3, 1602:14, 1602:15, 1603:4, 1641:24, 1652:20, 1652:21, 1653:7, 1661:7, 1661:9, 1661:23, 1662:12, 1663:13, 1665:6, 1674:10, 1675:3, 1677:11, 1681:8, 1681:11, 1683:11, 1683:12, 1685:19, 1687:11, 1694:3, 1694:4, 1694:15, 1696:15, 1704:6, 1705:16, 1707:13 prepare [1] - 1750:3 prepared [8] -1577:2, 1588:19, 1589:8, 1591:19, 1653:9, 1750:6, 1750:10, 1751:3 Presbyterian [2] -1528:1, 1532:2 PRESENT[1] -1512:17 present [11] -1515:21, 1516:8, 1516:9, 1516:10, 1516:12, 1516:15, 1521:24, 1525:9, 1659:24, 1716:13, 1749:10 presentation [1] -1719:20 presented [12] -1515:21, 1522:1, 1527:21, 1527:24, 1620:11, 1662:19, 1673:18, 1683:17, 1686:16, 1716:5, 1718:1, 1748:3 presenting [1] -1516:1 presently [1] -1742:21 preservation [1] -1575:8 Preservation [1] -1637:15

preserve [3] -

1518:24, 1556:6,
1556:8
preserved [8] -
1521:11, 1521:17,
1522:18, 1555:16, 1578:1, 1664:13,
1664:14, 1664:15
preserving [3] -
1522:22, 1556:24,
1577:22
presume [1] - 1744:20
presumed [2] -
1523:13, 1536:15
pretty [6] - 1530:4,
1549:23, 1594:7, 1600:14, 1606:11,
1618:13
prevent [1] - 1633:16
prevents [2] -
1609:17, 1744:23
<b>previous</b> [4] - 1601:10, 1680:19,
1684:11, 1723:22
previously [22] -
1524:17, 1560:7,
1570:14, 1576:14, 1659:5, 1659:12,
1659:16, 1661:4,
1667:6, 1674:3,
1674:8, 1674:23,
1676:16, 1677:7,
1678:10, 1681:14, 1681:21, 1689:23,
1691:18, 1698:11,
1699:20, 1707:8
Price [1] - 1591:3
primarily [5] - 1536:2, 1604:14,
1726:3, 1726:12,
1736:7
primary [3] -
1594:16, 1605:22,
1609:9 <b>prime</b> [3] - 1582:21,
1585:14
Princeton [3] -
1557:12, 1561:22,
1582:21
principal [1] - 1640:13
principles [5] -
1526:13, 1548:17,
1548:19, 1548:20,
1562:2 printed [1] - 1681:17
pristine [1] - 1569:7
privilege [1] - 1730:4
problem [5] -
1523:22, 1617:24,

```
1634:3, 1649:17,
                          1640:6, 1644:6,
1649:18
                          1656:20, 1667:1,
                          1674:17, 1677:4,
 problems [5] -
1606:20, 1608:22,
                          1682:8, 1684:17,
1700:2, 1718:18,
                          1691:6, 1699:15,
                          1718:13, 1728:23,
 proceed [6] - 1540:8.
                          1740:7, 1740:9
1571:4, 1593:8,
                           projections [1] -
1593:17, 1600:4,
                          1720:7
1677:13
                           projects [12] -
                          1543:23, 1637:3,
 proceeded [1] -
                          1637:14, 1638:11,
1521:21
 proceeding [1] -
                          1654:24, 1656:22,
                          1680:15, 1680:19,
1559:19
                          1689:21, 1704:20,
 proceedings [1] -
                          1718:10, 1728:3
                           promote [1] -
 Proceedings [1] -
                          1645:15
1751:24
                           proof [8] - 1520:16,
 proceeds [2] -
                          1521:23, 1521:24,
1572:23, 1687:11
                          1522:5, 1522:12,
 process [13] -
                          1522:21, 1749:15,
1652:13, 1652:24,
                          1750:24
1661:5, 1661:7,
                           properly [5] -
1684:22, 1691:4,
                          1585:3, 1611:19,
1713:17, 1724:13,
                          1612:20, 1619:6,
1728:2, 1728:13,
                          1619:7
1736:6, 1737:8,
1750:14
                           properties [9] -
 produced [2] -
                          1529:8, 1611:4,
                          1635:19, 1648:23,
1593:2, 1742:1
                          1648:24, 1696:18,
 product [1] -
                          1708:5, 1722:5,
1719:21
                          1722:20
 profession[1] -
                           property [51] -
                          1521:11, 1551:3,
 Professional [2] -
                          1559:5, 1559:8,
1587:16, 1640:12
                          1559:20, 1568:6.
 professional [6] -
                          1570:5, 1570:12,
1527:15, 1549:16,
                          1583:9, 1583:15,
1636:2, 1636:3,
                          1591:16, 1619:24,
1640:11, 1653:9
                          1628:7, 1628:19,
 Professor [1] -
                          1629:24, 1630:21,
                          1632:23, 1646:7,
 profiles [2] -
                          1646:9, 1646:12,
1589:18, 1652:21
                          1646:14, 1647:18,
 program [7] -
                          1647:19, 1647:24,
1554:18, 1676:17,
                          1648:7, 1648:9,
1676:20, 1721:20,
                          1648:16, 1648:19,
1734:6, 1734:11,
                          1648:22, 1649:2,
1734:15
                          1649:4, 1649:14,
 programs [6] -
                          1649:22, 1655:20,
1722:19, 1734:10,
                          1666:13, 1666:20,
1737:15, 1737:16,
                          1708:7, 1722:9,
1737:23, 1737:24
                          1722:16, 1723:6,
 progress [1] -
                          1726:12, 1731:23,
1557:19
                          1732:7, 1733:1,
 prohibited [1] -
                          1733:3, 1736:2,
1519:24
                          1736:8, 1737:3,
 project [20] - 1538:9,
```

1737:12, 1739:8,

1739:9

1721:2

1718:2

1587:8

1519:8

1595:12, 1605:6,

1613:3, 1615:20,

```
propose [2] - 1686:9,
1689:19
 proposed [47] -
1526:23, 1530:19,
1537:16, 1559:15,
1572:24, 1588:21,
1589:21, 1590:21.
1596:8, 1601:17,
1603:11, 1603:16,
1605:5, 1611:20,
1612:14, 1613:2,
1613:23, 1614:5,
1615:20, 1616:17,
1616:20, 1618:10,
1619:3, 1619:21,
1624:3, 1625:1,
1631:18, 1641:1,
1651:15, 1655:8,
1657:10, 1663:14,
1663:22, 1664:6,
1673:4, 1674:1,
1674:17, 1674:19,
1675:24, 1677:4,
1677:6, 1680:22,
1684:17, 1684:19,
1685:12, 1690:11,
1733:13
 proposing [3] -
1594:4, 1594:7,
1605:4
 proposition [1] -
1565:9
 protect [12] - 1574:7,
1584:3, 1584:19,
1584:24, 1602:11,
1604:20, 1629:20,
1664:16, 1664:18,
1665:6, 1665:9,
1695:22
 protected [3] -
1570:1, 1619:24,
1696:1
 protecting [2] -
1665:10
 protection [3] -
1605:7, 1606:15,
1645:14
 Protection [5] -
1741:22, 1742:5,
1742:6, 1742:22,
1744:18
 protestant [1] -
1638:7
 protestants [1] -
1636:24
 protocols [1] -
1620:2
 provide [15] -
```

1516:3, 1516:18,

1526:19, 1526:24,

```
1600:10, 1613:3,
1613:6, 1615:21,
1621:23, 1662:21,
1674:18, 1677:5,
1684:13, 1684:20,
1685:8
 provided [16] -
1617:9. 1624:24.
1634:9, 1634:21,
1641:2, 1658:9,
1663:12, 1665:5,
1674:20, 1684:15,
1685:13, 1695:6,
1710:23, 1714:18,
1723:15, 1737:6
 provides [2] -
1638:10, 1685:7
 providing [1] -
1611:18
 provision [3] -
1692:22, 1693:4,
1693:7
 provisions [1] -
1589:12
 proximally [1] -
1596:10
 proximate [1] -
1614:24
 proximity [4] -
1596:20, 1596:21,
1597:3, 1597:13
 prudent [1] -
1539:11
 Pryze [1] - 1535:4
 pub [1] - 1743:18
 Public [2] - 1727:1,
1754:8
 public [18] - 1517:5,
1619:23, 1626:23,
1627:12, 1627:20,
1632:15, 1640:14,
1723:7, 1740:23,
1746:11, 1747:2,
1748:22, 1749:4,
1750:5, 1751:2,
1751:5
 publications [3] -
1544:1, 1545:14,
1744:3
 published [6] -
1554:22, 1562:22,
1564:16, 1680:9,
1743:22, 1744:17
 pull [2] - 1542:24,
1574:24
 purchased [1] -
1546:7
 purpose [1] -
1546:20
```

purposes [2] -

1520:18, 1564:11 push [2] - 1566:10, 1570:21 put [25] - 1520:16, 1539:6, 1546:12, 1547:2, 1558:12, 1590:23, 1596:10, 1608:15, 1609:21, 1623:2, 1623:20, 1624:18, 1625:24, 1626:1, 1632:3, 1672:8, 1676:19, 1703:20, 1716:8, 1716:10, 1719:23, 1728:20, 1736:3, 1740:22 putting [2] - 1611:17, 1733:2 puzzle [3] - 1558:13, 1558:14, 1567:21

## Q

qualifications [2] -1551:21, 1588:8 qualified [3] -1525:4, 1550:8, 1551:21 quality [18] -1602:12, 1603:23, 1604:21, 1607:3, 1607:19, 1607:22, 1619:24, 1621:7, 1621:20, 1667:5, 1670:13, 1670:16, 1671:1, 1671:9, 1671:18, 1672:24, 1693:3, 1737:6 Quality [1] - 1742:6 quantitative [1] -1526:19 quarry [3] - 1647:23, 1648:22, 1649:4 Quarry [3] - 1516:7, 1532:5, 1647:17 quarter[1] - 1668:5 quarter-of-an-acre [1] - 1668:5 Queens [1] - 1565:3 questioning [1] -1615:20 questions [118] -1530:24, 1531:2, 1531:4, 1531:5, 1531:10, 1531:11, 1531:13, 1531:14, 1531:21, 1531:24, 1532:3, 1532:6, 1534:5, 1534:8, 1534:15, 1534:17,

1534:22, 1535:2, 1535:6, 1535:9, 1535:13, 1535:15, 1535:19, 1539:19, 1544:20, 1546:11, 1549:6, 1564:24, 1576:9, 1577:13, 1577:15, 1577:16, 1577:18, 1579:20, 1579:22, 1579:24, 1580:3, 1580:4, 1580:10, 1580:12, 1580:15, 1580:16, 1580:18, 1580:19, 1580:23, 1580:24, 1581:2, 1581:3, 1581:5, 1581:6, 1581:8, 1581:10, 1581:12, 1581:13, 1581:15, 1581:16, 1581:18, 1581:19, 1581:21, 1585:21, 1600:10, 1626:11, 1629:11, 1630:13, 1636:13, 1638:13, 1647:1, 1647:4, 1647:5, 1647:7, 1647:8, 1650:9, 1650:15, 1650:17, 1650:21, 1650:23, 1651:4, 1651:7, 1652:6, 1666:11, 1667:15, 1687:16, 1687:18, 1696:21, 1696:22, 1697:1, 1697:3, 1697:13, 1697:19, 1697:21, 1697:23, 1698:1, 1698:3, 1698:6, 1698:8, 1698:11, 1707:24, 1715:6, 1725:20, 1735:4, 1735:6, 1735:7, 1735:12, 1735:15, 1735:18, 1735:19, 1735:23, 1736:18, 1738:9, 1738:11, 1738:12, 1738:18, 1738:20, 1738:22, 1739:4 queues [2] -

1526:14, 1537:4

1591:6, 1626:20,

1627:9, 1627:19,

1655:5, 1715:21,

quickly [2] -

1627:14, 1735:2

quintessential [1] -

1715:22

1628:19

quick [8] - 1538:5,

quite [3] - 1560:23, 1590:24, 1604:12 quote [2] - 1573:6, 1710:18

## R

radar [1] - 1582:6 Radley [17] -1531:16, 1593:22, 1593:24, 1594:13, 1604:22, 1605:10, 1605:20, 1617:6, 1617:15, 1625:21, 1651:14, 1651:20, 1676:10, 1676:11, 1702:19, 1703:3 rained [1] - 1607:12 rainfall [1] - 1671:3 rains [2] - 1607:5, 1612:1 raise [9] - 1600:9, 1628:14, 1730:7, 1730:11, 1736:1, 1737:23, 1739:9, 1739:16, 1739:20 raises [2] - 1596:22, 1601:4 ran [1] - 1515:14 range [2] - 1539:4, 1623:13 rate [20] - 1603:15, 1621:21, 1626:4, 1632:21, 1635:2, 1656:8, 1670:3, 1676:2, 1676:4, 1676:5, 1676:7, 1676:18, 1678:22, 1679:4, 1679:18, 1680:4, 1702:4, 1710:19, 1721:5, 1739:10 rates [5] - 1603:21, 1606:10, 1704:14, 1705:6, 1723:1 rather [3] - 1585:10, 1637:4, 1687:22 ratio [17] - 1623:9, 1623:16, 1623:17, 1624:3, 1624:12, 1625:1, 1625:7, 1625:17, 1631:21, 1680:11, 1687:21, 1691:3, 1699:17, 1700:17, 1701:3, 1701:14 ratios [29] - 1623:4. 1623:12, 1623:24, 1624:23, 1631:17,

1631:24, 1644:16,

1679:21, 1680:6, 1680:12, 1680:14, 1680:16, 1680:18, 1680:20, 1680:22, 1681:15, 1688:5, 1688:14, 1688:19, 1689:13, 1689:16, 1689:18, 1689:22, 1689:23, 1690:3, 1690:11, 1690:12, 1699:10 Ray [2] - 1719:14, 1720:15 re [1] - 1567:19 RE[1] - 1512:7 re-enforces [1] -1567:19 reach [1] - 1675:9 reached [1] - 1721:9 react [1] - 1561:24 read [7] - 1525:24, 1547:15, 1547:16, 1560:11, 1573:6, 1653:4, 1684:14 read-ahead [1] -1547:15 reading [1] - 1559:9 ready [1] - 1540:8 real [2] - 1541:6, 1591:6 really [24] - 1522:9, 1537:12, 1545:24, 1546:1, 1557:8, 1558:24, 1560:21, 1567:10, 1567:24, 1582:5, 1590:19, 1595:15, 1600:2, 1602:4, 1603:10, 1629:2, 1630:2, 1630:17, 1649:20, 1710:21, 1714:7, 1715:8, 1732:10, 1748:18 realm [2] - 1699:21, 1700:11 reason [7] - 1514:15, 1520:5, 1520:10, 1522:10, 1556:5, 1633:22, 1678:3 reasonable [1] -1675:9 reasoning [1] -1536:20 reasons [5] - 1528:3, 1556:7, 1558:18, 1594:17, 1624:20 reassessments [1] -1737:13 rebuttal [8] -1516:21, 1516:24,

1524:23, 1525:5, 1658:21, 1716:4, 1746:19, 1749:2 recalled [1] -1524:11 recalling [1] -1524:22 receive [1] - 1726:10 received [5] -1629:4, 1660:18, 1723:17, 1730:1, 1730:23 receiving [1] -1651:20 recent [2] - 1593:4, 1616:17 recently [2] - 1629:4, 1721:23 Recess [2] -1626:16, 1716:2 recharge [10] -1603:24, 1604:4, 1604:7, 1607:20, 1611:11, 1611:17, 1622:15, 1649:7, 1695:24, 1696:8 recognize [3] -1542:4, 1587:22, 1602:15 recognized [1] -1525:2 recollection [2] -1643:5, 1690:21 recommend [4] -1527:18, 1528:13, 1704:15, 1733:22 recommendation [7] - 1526:12, 1527:10, 1529:5, 1529:10, 1529:12, 1687:23, 1688:14 recommendations [1] - 1526:2 recommended [3] -1644:8, 1688:9, 1688:10 reconfiguring [1] -1712:7 reconsider [1] -1526:3 reconsideration [1] -1684:21 record [30] - 1515:2, 1515:20, 1518:24, 1520:16, 1521:14, 1522:22, 1524:7, 1524:8, 1524:10, 1540:12, 1541:22,

1564:11, 1570:2,

1571:21, 1586:14,

1587:6, 1592:19, 1592:20, 1592:22, 1626:18, 1631:14, 1716:1, 1716:4, 1724:15, 1745:8, 1746:14, 1747:1, 1749:1, 1750:2, 1754:9 recording[1] -1514:21 recoup[3] - 1739:8, 1725:7 1739:13, 1739:17 recover [1] - 1737:1 recovered [1] -1736:3 recross [4] -1585:17, 1657:18, 1657:21, 1689:9 RECROSS [3] -1684:4, 1687:19, 1752:3 rECROSS-**EXAMINATION** [1] -1689:9 1612:4 **RECROSS-EXAMINATION** [2] -1684:4, 1687:19 rectangle [2] -1565:17, 1579:11 red [3] - 1593:11, 1596:10, 1714:22 redesigned [1] -1655:18 redirect [4] -1539:21, 1583:4, 1657:15, 1715:12 REDIRECT [4] -1525:13, 1583:5, 1659:22, 1752:3 reduce [9] - 1537:4, 1538:23, 1608:1, 1754:7 1645:6, 1645:11, 1657:3, 1657:5, 1665:17, 1670:20 reduced [5] - 1635:3, 1657:7, 1660:20, 1703:16, 1703:19 reducing [2] -1657:4, 1737:10 reduction [11] -1606:9, 1611:14, 1621:20, 1622:16, 1676:23, 1679:5, 1702:23, 1703:11, 1703:21, 1704:3, 1704:8 reductions [5] -1728:4, 1728:8 1666:1, 1670:12, Reichert [1] -1676:9, 1676:13, 1535:17 1676:22 rejected [2] -

refer [1] - 1728:12 reference [10] -1532:11, 1590:14, 1595:6, 1599:20, 1599:22, 1616:16, 1652:9, 1673:10. 1714:16, 1745:18 referenced [5] -1530:9, 1590:13, 1661:14, 1725:5, referencing [1] -1667:17 referendum [2] -1630:10, 1739:21 referred [1] -1745:18 referring [7] -1537:15, 1601:9, 1682:13, 1682:17, 1708:13, 1714:23, 1728:12 reflect [2] - 1604:2, reflected [1] -1606:24 reflection [1] -1607:16 refusal [1] - 1601:23 regard [2] - 1652:10, 1728:13 regarding [5] -1540:22, 1592:2, 1644:5, 1663:8, 1663:20 regards [2] -1579:14, 1588:23 Regional [1] -1688:16 Registered [1] registered [2] -1587:16, 1653:9 regular [3] - 1542:24, 1565:9, 1737:21 regularly [1] - 1533:1 regulating [1] -1700:10 regulation [2] -1687:22, 1699:12 regulations [12] -1644:17, 1644:20, 1644:21, 1644:24, 1645:3, 1645:17, 1662:2, 1663:15, 1670:4, 1670:17,

1689:22, 1690:10 related [7] - 1526:17, 1559:20, 1561:3, 1589:6, 1590:18, 1632:16, 1726:3 relation [2] -1648:18, 1649:22 relationship [2] -1620:16, 1669:21 relatively [1] -1672:2 relevance [1] -1551:23 relevancy [1] -1522:2 relevant [2] -1547:16, 1551:18 relocated [1] -1674:15 rely [1] - 1579:3 relying [1] - 1577:5 remain [4] - 1525:4, 1538:1, 1713:3, 1713:7 remains [3] -1563:13, 1563:15, 1750:2 remediate [1] -1678:5 remediation [2] -1702:10, 1705:3 remediations [1] -1708:4 remember [4] -1570:13, 1645:13, 1711:8, 1714:4 remind [4] - 1524:12, 1552:14, 1586:19, 1595:18 remote [1] - 1519:10 removal [1] -1612:21 remove [1] - 1615:16 Rendemonti [9] -1516:14, 1534:9, 1580:19, 1650:10, 1697:14, 1736:18, 1740:19, 1741:7, 1745:8 RENDEMONTI [22] -1513:10, 1534:10, 1580:20, 1650:11, 1697:15, 1736:19, 1741:12, 1741:18, 1742:12, 1742:16, 1742:19, 1743:2, 1743:6, 1743:12, 1743:17, 1743:21, 1744:2, 1744:8,

1744:14, 1745:13,

1745:17, 1746:2 render[1] - 1589:14 rendered [2] -1636:1 rendering [1] -1560:16 renovated [1] -1728:6 rent [1] - 1737:19 repeat [4] - 1564:3, 1644:1, 1664:3, 1720:15 rephrase [3] -1644:2, 1730:15, 1730:18 report [7] - 1596:15, 1597:1, 1693:19, 1709:14, 1722:13, 1725:1 Report [1] - 1674:20 Report) [1] - 1684:18 reporter [4] - 1515:3, 1541:12, 1608:12, 1626:8 Reporter [1] - 1754:8 REPORTER [4] -1512:23, 1627:24, 1753:18, 1754:5 reports [1] - 1600:2 represent [8] -1541:2, 1602:22, 1634:14, 1636:23, 1636:24, 1637:17, 1640:19, 1641:11 representation [1] -1603:5 representative [2] -1641:17, 1731:13 represented [1] -1639:2 representing [3] -1515:8, 1524:21, 1626:5 represents [1] -1514:8 request [12] -1518:13, 1518:17, 1518:20, 1519:6, 1519:7, 1519:17, 1520:3, 1521:13, 1705:16, 1731:16, 1748:24, 1750:23 requested [3] -1662:17, 1704:22, 1732:2 requesting [3] -1718:5, 1724:18,

1731:19

requests [2] -

1733:16, 1750:20

require [4] - 1530:3, 1532:18, 1547:11, 1677:8 required [17] -1589:16, 1632:6, 1632:13, 1652:12, 1663:9, 1663:11, 1670:3, 1670:11, 1679:18, 1680:4, 1690:6, 1694:24, 1700:18, 1704:3, 1704:5, 1707:1, 1708:22 requirement [10] -1621:10, 1621:12, 1624:13, 1677:9, 1679:19, 1688:13, 1690:7, 1696:13, 1701:1, 1710:5 requirements [41] -1589:19, 1589:23, 1590:18, 1594:16, 1595:19, 1595:23, 1601:20, 1603:3, 1604:18, 1606:9, 1606:23, 1613:21, 1615:13, 1620:14, 1622:24, 1645:22, 1652:17, 1653:1, 1655:9, 1655:14, 1655:19, 1665:22, 1667:4, 1667:5, 1674:2, 1674:4, 1674:11, 1676:8, 1681:18, 1685:14, 1685:18, 1686:21, 1687:12, 1695:20, 1696:16, 1700:21, 1702:5, 1702:24, 1703:12, 1704:8, 1711:2 requires [3] -1620:23, 1642:3, 1664:22 requiring [1] -1606:9 research [4] -1519:20, 1549:15, 1605:13, 1612:10 Research [2] -1605:12, 1653:15 reserve [2] -1517:13, 1517:19 reserved [3] -1516:3, 1516:23, 1753:12 reshuffled [1] -1630:7 resident [1] - 1682:5 residential [5] -

1637:5, 1637:6,
1656:7, 1668:23,
1720:24
residents [4] -
1513:23, 1536:6, 1536:17, 1682:4
resigned [1] - 1690:2
resolution [12] -
1718:3, 1724:16, 1725:6, 1729:18,
1729:22, 1730:24,
1731:5, 1731:6,
1731:20, 1732:14, 1736:3, 1753:15
resolutions [1] -
1731:7
resolve [1] - 1550:21 Resorts [1] -
1637:17
resource [1] - 1578:3
resources [2] -
1562:13, 1587:15 respect [23] -
1545:14, 1547:12,
1549:11, 1550:11,
1550:13, 1551:1, 1551:2, 1560:17,
1584:10, 1588:3,
1638:13, 1640:17,
1642:10, 1656:16, 1662:11, 1667:15,
1676:3, 1680:3,
1683:4, 1683:11,
1683:15, 1748:5, 1749:23
respectful [1] -
1517:10
respectfully [1] - 1519:17
respond [1] - 1522:4
responded [2] -
1518:10, 1518:11
response [2] - 1662:14, 1666:10
responsibility [2] -
1709:2, 1709:7
responsible [3] - 1528:9, 1708:5,
1709:10
rest [3] - 1566:11,
1566:12, 1639:13 restore [1] - 1604:6
restricted [1] -
1732:24
result [3] - 1535:22,
1536:7, 1732:6 results [4] - 1613:16,
1660:19, 1677:12,
1705:10
resume [4] - 1545:4,

```
1636:21, 1637:7,
1639:1
 retest [2] - 1707:1,
1707:13
 retesting [1] -
1704:15
 retired [1] - 1544:2
 retreat [1] - 1574:23
 retrofit [1] - 1654:10
 return [1] - 1631:11
 returning [1] -
1607:13
 reuse [1] - 1741:22
 Reuse [1] - 1744:14
 reusing [1] - 1608:2
 revenue [21] -
1637:2, 1637:14,
1640:1, 1640:2,
1654:22, 1654:23,
1720:14, 1720:23,
1722:18, 1726:2,
1726:3, 1726:5,
1726:7, 1726:11,
1726:12, 1726:22,
1736:2, 1736:12,
1737:14, 1738:2
 review [32] -
1520:18, 1525:18,
1525:22, 1530:5,
1530:6, 1530:11,
1530:12, 1540:22,
1562:19, 1587:21,
1588:20, 1589:1,
1599:23, 1631:16,
1640:23, 1643:18,
1643:21, 1644:3,
1660:4, 1660:12,
1662:24, 1665:3,
1669:10, 1673:24,
1674:5, 1677:11,
1683:9, 1683:20,
1685:21, 1687:24,
1753:9
 reviewed [18] -
1559:15, 1560:21,
1561:3, 1562:13,
1562:16, 1562:19,
1563:21, 1642:9,
1642:13, 1643:16,
1643:17, 1643:21,
1643:23, 1644:2,
1644:4, 1644:10,
1712:16, 1713:12
 reviewing [1] -
1527:2
 reviews [1] - 1646:2
 revising [1] -
```

1719:19

1567:1

Revolution [1] -

```
Revolutionary [12] -
1542:17, 1545:14,
1545:19, 1546:15,
1548:24, 1555:12,
1557:23, 1558:14,
1562:20, 1567:10,
1571:17, 1585:6
 revolutionary [1] -
1545:16
 ride [18] - 1532:23,
1533:2, 1533:5,
1545:23, 1546:3,
1546:10, 1546:19,
1546:20, 1547:14,
1548:2, 1553:9,
1553:12, 1553:13,
1553:20, 1554:22,
1555:14, 1555:23,
1556:13
 rides [17] - 1542:14,
1542:15, 1542:16,
1543:2, 1545:20,
1545:22, 1546:14,
1549:12, 1549:14,
1553:22, 1554:17,
1554:24, 1555:3,
1555:11, 1556:5,
1561:14, 1561:15
 ripples [1] - 1609:12
 rise [4] - 1513:16,
1569:18, 1570:15,
1572:18
 risking [1] - 1565:5
 River [2] - 1594:21,
1634:5
 river [3] - 1565:3,
1566:16, 1594:19
 Riverkeeper [1] -
1637:12
 RMR [1] - 1512:23
 road [17] - 1566:9,
1566:12, 1566:13,
1571:10, 1571:15,
1574:7, 1574:20,
1578:9, 1589:17,
1595:7, 1604:14,
1648:5, 1648:15,
1652:20, 1667:24,
1704:1, 1728:10
 Road [3] - 1527:14,
1631:8, 1714:6
 roads [6] - 1612:1,
1665:11, 1672:1,
1682:2, 1696:2,
1713:11
 ROBERT [1] -
1512:17
 Robert [4] - 1516:9,
1534:21, 1650:22,
1738:10
```

1615:9, 1615:11, 1615:14, 1649:15, 1661:22, 1661:24 role [1] - 1546:12 rolled [1] - 1567:4 rolling [1] - 1594:2 roof [2] - 1703:24, 1711:18 roof-top [2] -1703:24, 1711:18 roofs [2] - 1612:2, 1622:13 rooftop [2] - 1671:21 room [1] - 1541:7 rose [1] - 1557:20 Rose [1] - 1637:16 rotary [1] - 1532:20 Round [4] - 1567:14, 1567:15, 1567:16, 1574:10 roundabout [7] -1528:14, 1528:16, 1528:23, 1529:2, 1532:12, 1533:8, 1533:11 roundabouts [3] -1528:20, 1532:17, 1532:18 rounds [1] - 1573:9 Route [13] - 1526:4, 1526:8, 1526:23, 1527:9, 1527:13, 1528:4, 1528:15, 1529:16, 1537:2, 1666:9, 1666:18, 1702:17, 1702:22 routed [1] - 1670:9 rudimentary [14] -1641:24, 1642:2, 1671:20, 1686:2, 1686:3, 1686:4, 1691:7, 1691:9, 1696:9, 1696:12, 1700:7, 1705:21, 1706:10, 1710:22 rule [4] - 1517:15, 1660:14, 1743:23, 1751:14 rules [1] - 1522:5 ruling [2] - 1520:15, 1520:19 run [3] - 1514:5, 1676:17, 1715:19 Run [17] - 1531:16, 1593:22, 1593:24,

Rocco [5] - 1680:10,

rock [10] - 1601:19,

1681:6, 1688:15,

1689:1, 1689:15

1601:23, 1613:14,

1594:13, 1604:22, 1605:10, 1605:20, 1617:6, 1617:15, 1625:21, 1651:15, 1651:20, 1676:10, 1676:11, 1702:19, 1703:3 running [1] -1607:18 runoff [37] - 1595:3, 1595:10, 1602:21, 1602:23, 1602:24, 1603:2, 1603:9, 1603:15, 1603:21, 1607:6, 1608:15, 1611:22, 1615:17, 1616:12, 1619:12, 1620:17, 1620:21, 1621:5, 1621:7, 1621:24, 1622:11, 1634:15, 1635:2, 1635:6, 1635:14, 1648:14, 1649:17, 1649:22, 1651:20, 1656:11, 1657:6, 1657:7, 1691:11, 1693:1, 1693:3, 1696:4 Rustin [6] - 1517:21, 1517:23, 1719:12, 1719:24, 1746:10, 1751:6

# S

S-T-E-I-D-L-E [1] -1628:3 sacred [1] - 1558:24 safe [2] - 1526:24, 1533:11 safety [4] - 1569:2, 1619:23, 1635:18, 1640:14 sailor[1] - 1575:21 sake [1] - 1598:12 sales [1] - 1723:10 Samuel [1] - 1519:8 sand [1] - 1600:24 Sandy [9] - 1559:1, 1559:23, 1560:3, 1560:23, 1571:4, 1571:5, 1571:19, 1583:10, 1584:11 satellite [1] - 1549:3 satisfactory [1] -1663:10 satisfied [1] -1662:18 satisfy [2] - 1537:13, 1645:18

<b>saturated</b> [1] -	1731:3, 1731:6,	sections [3] -	sending [6] - 1608:1,	1664:5, 1664:15, 1678:15, 1678:17,
1632:7	1732:2, 1732:13,	1590:12, 1616:12,	1608:3, 1608:9,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
saving [2] - 1582:22,	1733:7, 1733:15,	1618:3	1609:13, 1615:14,	1693:1
1630:20	1733:18, 1734:1,	secure [1] - 1517:23	1618:6	shallow [1] - 1607:14
saw [8] - 1516:4,	1736:1, 1737:4	sediment [13] -	sends [1] - 1608:10	shape [6] - 1609:10,
1555:19, 1561:11,	schools [5] - 1630:6,	1608:10, 1609:9,	senior [2] - 1734:5,	1667:21, 1671:4,
1570:13, 1578:9,	1719:9, 1721:7,	1609:14, 1609:16,	1734:7	1674:15, 1678:2,
1579:12, 1650:6,	1728:6	1618:24, 1619:5,	sense [2] - 1659:1,	1687:2
1658:11	Schwandt [2] -	1633:11, 1651:17,	1747:12	share [1] - 1628:11
scanlon [1] -	1754:7, 1754:21	1677:18, 1712:16,	sensitivity [1] -	sheet [2] - 1691:17,
1717:13	SCHWANDT [1] -	1713:16	1595:24	1720:13
Scanlon [13] -	1512:23	sedimentation [2] -	sent [3] - 1518:9,	Shenandoah [1] -
1516:20, 1531:22,	science [1] - 1562:3	1712:19, 1713:13	1632:20, 1632:22	1553:22
1580:2, 1647:9,	scientific [1] -	see [58] - 1520:13,	sentence [1] -	shifted [1] - 1564:14
1697:2, 1716:19,	1636:4	1545:8, 1545:13,	1685:3	shifts [1] - 1582:23
1717:3, 1725:3,	scientist [2] -	1550:21, 1555:18,	separation [4] -	Shoemaker [1] -
1725:17, 1738:24,	1704:21, 1705:5	1557:9, 1557:18,	1662:2, 1695:21,	1654:5
1739:5, 1740:14,	scoping [2] - 1530:5,	1563:2, 1564:9,	1704:23, 1705:15	shoes [1] - 1553:18
1752:21	1530:11	1564:13, 1565:13,	September [3] -	<b>shoot</b> [2] - 1575:18,
SCANLON [7] -	Scott [1] - 1534:24	1565:15, 1565:16,	1515:14, 1525:16,	1609:3
1531:24, 1580:4,	screen [2] - 1590:24,	1565:20, 1565:23,	1525:19	Shores [1] - 1542:20
1647:10, 1697:3,	1599:14	1568:12, 1568:20,	sergeants [1] -	short [1] - 1562:7
1716:20, 1716:23,	<b>SD-1</b> [2] - 1727:19,	1568:22, 1568:23,	1569:10	shortage [1] - 1729:3
1725:11	1753:14	1569:19, 1569:22,	Series [1] - 1627:5	shortfall [2] - 1737:4,
scanned [1] -	se [2] - 1711:11,	1570:16, 1570:18,	seriously [1] -	1739:12
1592:11	1729:13	1570:20, 1571:7,	1640:15	shortfalls [1] -
scans [1] - 1617:18	seal [1] - 1754:16	1571:11, 1572:8,	serpentine [2] -	1737:11
scenario [3] -	Sean [3] - 1540:19,	1572:9, 1572:12,	1649:14, 1649:18	shot [2] - 1571:15,
1568:1, 1682:7,	1577:2, 1577:9	1573:7, 1584:6,	serve [2] - 1536:4,	1575:19
1682:11	searching [1] -	1584:15, 1584:16,	1537:13	show [28] - 1599:5,
scenic [1] - 1594:19	1549:20	1584:18, 1585:3,	service [1] - 1554:19	1600:3, 1616:19,
SCHOOL [1] -	seasonal [7] -	1586:7, 1592:10,	services [2] - 1536:5,	1628:24, 1632:13,
1753:13	1599:10, 1599:11,	1593:21, 1596:19,	1722:19	1658:10, 1660:17,
School [14] -	1613:12, 1641:21,	1597:7, 1603:9,	session [2] -	1662:2, 1667:3,
1512:10, 1630:5,	1675:22, 1695:13,	1608:6, 1608:7,	1518:16, 1519:13	1670:19, 1671:21,
1716:18, 1717:11,	1695:17	1608:18, 1608:20,	set [9] - 1542:9,	1675:1, 1675:13,
1718:4, 1719:1,	second [12] -	1614:7, 1619:8,	1589:2, 1590:8,	1675:16, 1675:20,
1724:17, 1725:3,	1527:10, 1540:18,	1623:13, 1629:15,	1673:7, 1728:4,	1676:22, 1677:9,
1725:22, 1726:24,	1540:22, 1550:20,	1634:4, 1634:5,	1728:8, 1729:15,	1677:24, 1687:10,
1727:1, 1729:16,	1552:7, 1571:21,	1676:22, 1700:2,	1733:3, 1754:16	1693:23, 1700:13,
1753:14	1571:23, 1663:4,	1715:24, 1720:10	settle [1] - 1672:15	1701:9, 1702:20,
school [47] -	1663:7, 1667:9,	<b>seeing</b> [5] - 1626:7,	settles [1] - 1609:16	1704:23, 1706:5,
1516:20, 1580:3,	1721:22, 1745:5	1711:8, 1718:23,	several [12] - 1528:3,	1707:1, 1748:15
1630:4, 1718:11,	secondary [2] -	1719:6, 1719:10	1559:24, 1581:23,	showed [1] -
1718:20, 1718:22,	1664:19	seek [2] - 1700:21,	1593:23, 1593:24,	1614:22
1719:11, 1719:15,	secondly [1] -	1733:18	1670:1, 1672:22,	showing [1] -
1719:18, 1720:7,	1627:9	seeking [2] -	1675:21, 1695:19,	1604:11
1720:11, 1720:19,	Secretary [2] -	1731:16, 1737:1	1711:15, 1718:12,	shown [44] -
1721:10, 1721:11,	1548:11, 1548:15	seem [2] - 1582:8,	1728:7	1529:20, 1593:12,
1722:1, 1722:3,	section [9] -	1699:11	sewage [3] -	1596:11, 1596:12,
1722:8, 1722:23,	1525:24, 1527:8,	seeping [1] - 1604:3	1612:15, 1612:17,	1597:22, 1602:5,
1723:6, 1723:16,	1590:1, 1620:22,	select [1] - 1547:17	1612:18	1603:12, 1613:20,
1724:7, 1724:11,	1621:1, 1621:4,	selected [2] -	Sewage [1] - 1742:7	1616:10, 1641:20,
1724:22, 1725:6,	1652:16, 1653:11,	1678:15, 1679:10	sewer [2] - 1608:20,	1657:8, 1661:17,
1726:1, 1726:6,	1702:17	self [1] - 1648:1	1671:24	1661:20, 1666:4,
1720.1, 1720.0,		self-contained [1] -	shade [1] - 1672:10	1672:23, 1673:8,
1720:1, 1720:0, 1727:5,	Section (a) - 1527:6			
	<b>Section</b> [9] - 1527:6, 1530:17.		- · ·	
1727:2, 1727:5, 1727:7, 1727:20,	1530:15, 1530:17,	1648:1 <b>sell</b> [1] - 1722:5	shaking [1] - 1626:8	1673:10, 1673:12,
1727:2, 1727:5, 1727:7, 1727:20, 1728:17, 1728:19,	1530:15, 1530:17, 1590:2, 1590:3,	1648:1	shaking [1] - 1626:8 shall [10] - 1590:4,	1673:10, 1673:12, 1673:14, 1673:15,
1727:2, 1727:5, 1727:7, 1727:20,	1530:15, 1530:17,	1648:1 sell [1] - 1722:5	shaking [1] - 1626:8	1673:10, 1673:12,

1682:9, 1686:19,	1595:24, 1596:21,
1691:22, 1691:23,	1602:5, 1602:7,
1692:8, 1692:10,	1602:10, 1602:11,
1692:14, 1692:20,	1603:24, 1606:2,
1693:9, 1693:22,	1611:9, 1612:15,
1694:21, 1704:22,	1613:23, 1614:10,
1706:1, 1710:7,	1614:11, 1616:5,
1712:11, 1713:15,	1618:11, 1622:14,
1713:16, 1715:4	1622:19, 1624:10,
shows [20] -	1625:8, 1635:6,
1601:18, 1613:19,	1636:7, 1636:10,
1616:15, 1662:6,	1652:20, 1652:23,
1671:21, 1673:4,	1653:17, 1654:3,
1673:6, 1675:12,	1655:18, 1656:1,
1676:6, 1683:18,	1660:16, 1660:18,
1684:12, 1688:17,	1661:17, 1662:7,
1694:9, 1695:6,	1663:21, 1664:5,
1696:13, 1699:2,	1664:10, 1664:11,
1699:24, 1702:20,	1665:7, 1665:9,
1707:20, 1709:14	1665:11, 1665:17,
side [10] - 1557:12,	1667:3, 1669:9,
1557:14, 1559:14,	1669:22, 1670:7,
1565:24, 1570:4,	1670:23, 1671:20,
1578:9, 1578:11,	1672:17, 1672:18,
1606:2, 1619:19	1673:16, 1675:1,
sides [7] - 1584:7,	1675:20, 1675:21,
1585:4, 1585:7,	1676:6, 1676:19,
1608:7, 1609:1,	1676:24, 1677:14,
1619:4, 1619:9	1677:19, 1678:16,
sidewalks [1] -	1679:16, 1680:13,
1529:7	1681:23, 1681:24,
sight [3] - 1569:5,	1682:21, 1683:19,
1571:8, 1572:6	1685:18, 1686:12,
signal [6] - 1527:13,	1687:1, 1691:5,
1527:16, 1527:19,	1693:24, 1694:9,
1528:4, 1528:7,	1694:11, 1694:15, 1694:21, 1694:23,
1528:10	1694:24, 1695:6,
signalized [2] -	1699:2, 1699:3,
1528:22, 1538:10	1702:21, 1703:10,
significant [2] -	1703:18, 1703:23,
1594:7, 1603:13	1707:20, 1707:21,
significantly [2] -	1708:4, 1708:19,
1632:1, 1633:19	1709:23, 1710:8,
siltation [1] -	1710:9, 1710:10,
1594:18	1711:6, 1712:7,
similar [3] - 1538:6,	1712:18, 1712:22,
1561:18, 1728:2	1713:15, 1714:12
<b>Simon</b> [2] - 1640:10, 1640:17	site-specific [1] -
	1625:8
Simons [1] - 1640:20 simple [1] - 1707:3	sites [7] - 1561:9,
•	1562:24, 1572:5,
simply [1] - 1522:22 single [3] - 1515:1,	1615:3, 1672:22,
1628:20, 1668:3	1709:18, 1713:10
sit [1] - 1623:22	sits [2] - 1622:1,
sit[1] - 1623:22 site [108] - 1529:23,	1625:23
1535:23, 1549:11,	sitting [1] - 1618:21
1535.23, 1549.11, 1589:17, 1590:20,	situation [1] -
1590:23, 1593:18,	1533:15
1594:2, 1594:22,	situations [1] -
, 1001.22,	

1634:20
six [2] - 1540:10, 1721:21
sixth [1] - 1637:7
size [4] - 1676:20,
1702:3, 1737:23,
1738:3
sized [2] - 1702:2,
1702:3
sizing [2] - 1700:19
skipped [1] -
1601:16 <b>Skros</b> [6] - 1516:10,
1535:8, 1581:11,
1651:3, 1698:2,
1738:17
SKROS [5] - 1535:9,
1581:13, 1651:4,
1698:3, 1738:18
Skupp [1] - 1534:13
slide [4] - 1520:22,
1569:14, 1596:7, 1597:10
slightly [1] - 1694:6
slope [7] - 1616:9,
1678:5, 1695:16,
1695:19, 1712:8,
1712:12, 1713:20
sloped [1] - 1618:14
slopes [14] - 1619:4,
1619:19, 1664:15, 1664:17, 1677:16,
1677:17, 1677:10,
1678:1, 1679:14,
1704:12, 1712:4,
1712:5, 1712:9,
1713:8
slow [5] - 1608:11,
1615:18, 1633:12,
1664:1, 1664:3
<b>small</b> [6] - 1606:1, 1610:5, 1625:19,
1691:1, 1702:16,
1734:12
smaller [1] - 1721:14
smother [1] -
1610:12
soak [1] - 1607:10
Sobers [1] - 1534:24
Society [1] - 1640:12
<b>soil</b> [11] - 1607:14, 1615:5, 1618:10,
1618:16, 1624:19,
1632:12, 1646:10,
1686:23, 1705:5,
1708:14
soils [20] - 1614:4,
1614:10, 1614:12,
1614:16, 1615:7,

1618:23, 1622:14,

```
1632:7, 1636:10,
1646:8, 1646:10,
1656:3, 1656:12,
1667:19, 1672:4,
1678:16, 1678:17,
1679:13, 1695:14,
1704:21
 sold [2] - 1720:3,
1722:10
 soldier [2] - 1562:4,
1575:21
 soldiers [3] - 1549:6,
1555:19, 1582:5
 solely [1] - 1739:8
 solicitor [2] - 1514:9,
1537:10
 solids [1] - 1670:21
 solution [1] -
1622:18
 someone [1] -
1520:6
 sometimes [7] -
1562:9, 1616:19,
1618:13, 1634:3,
1644:23, 1645:1,
1728:9
 somewhat [1] -
1539:7
 somewhere [7] -
1583:14, 1618:6,
1618:8, 1673:15,
1690:23, 1726:23,
1741:10
 son [1] - 1561:8
 sooner[1] - 1713:9
 sorry [29] - 1544:15,
1563:7, 1571:22,
1593:20, 1601:16,
1608:13, 1615:18,
1621:7, 1648:20,
1653:4, 1663:4,
1663:7, 1664:2,
1664:4, 1669:18,
1675:5, 1675:18,
1676:10, 1695:2,
1700:3, 1706:19,
1713:4, 1733:10,
1738:8, 1740:2,
1744:11, 1746:12,
1747:3
 sort [3] - 1538:10,
1562:15, 1652:11
 sought [1] - 1727:6
 sound [1] - 1747:6
 sounds [1] - 1746:23
 source [2] - 1605:23,
1656:9
 Sources [4] -
1578:19, 1582:16,
1726:5, 1726:7
```

South [3] - 1532:12, 1570:11, 1714:6 southbound [3] -1526:14, 1539:5, 1539:6 southeast [1] -1680:10 Southeastern [1] -1688:16 Southern [2] -1605:12, 1612:11 southwest [1] -1593:23 space [8] - 1582:2, 1629:9, 1629:14, 1630:10, 1630:14, 1656:17, 1729:4, 1740:11 Spackman [6] -1532:7, 1534:3, 1580:11, 1650:2, 1697:8, 1735:17 SPACKMAN [10] -1532:9, 1532:23, 1533:2, 1533:5, 1533:18, 1534:1, 1580:13, 1650:4, 1697:9, 1735:19 speaking [1] -1630:12 speaks [2] - 1527:8, 1568:19 special [2] - 1606:15, 1740:5 specialty [2] -1542:22, 1546:23 species [2] -1610:11, 1612:9 specific [17] -1543:23, 1547:12, 1549:11, 1550:13, 1551:17, 1579:14, 1589:11, 1589:24, 1590:12, 1625:8, 1666:7, 1669:4, 1680:14, 1681:23, 1691:18, 1699:15, 1704:19 specifically [9] -1518:5, 1519:22, 1521:7, 1525:21, 1545:8, 1551:8, 1588:23, 1597:11, 1684:10 specified [5] -1669:8, 1688:20, 1691:16, 1692:5, 1709:6 specifies [1] -1664:12

speculative [1] -1539:7 speeds [1] - 1528:21 spelling [1] - 1628:1 spending [2] -1558:21, 1628:12 spent [1] - 1737:9 spoken [3] -1626:19, 1627:18, 1676:17 **sporadic** [1] - 1668:3 sports [1] - 1726:21 spot [5] - 1623:3, 1623:20, 1624:19, 1625:20, 1632:4 spray [1] - 1679:13 spreadsheets [1] -1676:22 Spring [1] - 1628:16 spring [4] - 1610:7, 1696:2, 1722:2, 1723:5 springboard [1] -1681:16 square [2] - 1682:4, 1682:12 stabilization [4] -1678:6, 1712:4, 1712:8, 1713:20 stabilize [1] - 1678:7 stabilized [2] -1619:6, 1712:10 stabilizing [1] -1712:23 stable [3] - 1677:22, 1678:1, 1712:14 stack [1] - 1538:23 staff [31] - 1542:14, 1542:15, 1542:16, 1543:2, 1545:20, 1545:22, 1545:23, 1546:3, 1546:14, 1546:19, 1546:20, 1547:1, 1547:14, 1548:2. 1549:12. 1549:14, 1553:9, 1553:12, 1553:20, 1553:22, 1554:17, 1554:21, 1554:24, 1555:3, 1555:11, 1555:14, 1555:23, 1556:5, 1556:13, 1561:14, 1561:15 stage [7] - 1686:6, 1687:6, 1687:11, 1700:2, 1707:7, 1707:18 stages [1] - 1675:3 stance [4] - 1674:4, steep [7] - 1608:7,

1692:12, 1701:24,

1704:19 stand [4] - 1556:17, 1572:14, 1574:4, 1751:22 standard [5] -1687:22, 1688:9, 1688:10, 1698:17, 1699:6 standards [3] -1606:11, 1606:16, 1704:17 standing [5] -1547:6, 1559:9, 1567:23, 1701:6, 1701:16 standpoint [1] -1704:11 stands [1] - 1668:5 star[1] - 1542:23 start [7] - 1533:10, 1597:7, 1599:2, 1663:3, 1721:16, 1746:10, 1751:4 started [2] - 1573:9, 1626:24 starting [2] - 1600:9, 1720:10 starts [1] - 1608:17 state [13] - 1519:1. 1538:8, 1538:17, 1541:21, 1576:20, 1587:6, 1594:16, 1601:19, 1606:23, 1628:21, 1723:9, 1726:15, 1727:19 State [3] - 1543:21, 1721:24, 1724:6 statement [8] -1522:6, 1527:1, 1555:16, 1635:20, 1635:21, 1639:6, 1721:3, 1727:24 states [11] - 1587:19, 1667:18, 1680:11, 1692:18, 1693:13, 1705:22, 1709:19, 1710:14, 1720:19, 1724:1, 1724:5 States [6] - 1519:9, 1540:16, 1543:16, 1555:7, 1555:9, 1558:6 stating [3] - 1640:23, 1643:20, 1692:24 stay [3] - 1627:15, 1632:7, 1695:24 stealing [1] -1741:10

1609:1, 1619:4,

1619:18, 1677:16, 1677:17, 1712:5 steeper [2] -1704:12, 1712:21 Steidle [2] - 1627:12, 1628:2 STEIDLE [4] -1627:21, 1628:2, 1631:2, 1631:7 stenographic [1] -1754:11 Stephen's [6] -1521:8, 1560:2, 1560:4, 1563:8, 1563:9, 1565:19 stepping [1] - 1547:4 steps [2] - 1547:8, 1547:10 Stetson [2] -1512:10, 1717:17 still [25] - 1519:15, 1524:13, 1526:7, 1527:1, 1529:24, 1532:13, 1533:20, 1544:2, 1554:11, 1578:14, 1583:9, 1598:13, 1642:3, 1651:1, 1659:18, 1719:3, 1723:11, 1730:7, 1730:11, 1735:10, 1737:24, 1740:17, 1747:2, 1749:5, 1750:2 stop [4] - 1532:19. 1533:10, 1547:9, 1688:12 stopped [3] -1679:19, 1686:20, 1710:19 stopping [3] -1532:16, 1532:20, 1532:22 stops [1] - 1547:17 store [1] - 1681:19 storm [5] - 1665:20, 1666:2, 1671:24, 1690:9, 1703:17 Storm [1] - 1548:14 stormwater [110] -1540:23, 1588:6, 1588:24, 1589:6, 1589:18, 1589:22, 1590:19, 1594:17, 1595:14, 1595:16, 1595:22, 1596:1, 1596:4, 1596:14, 1596:15, 1598:8, 1600:20, 1600:22, 1601:6, 1602:3, 1602:16, 1603:11,

1604:10, 1604:13, 1606:5, 1606:21, 1608:8, 1609:22, 1610:18, 1610:22, 1613:3, 1613:24, 1614:1, 1614:14, 1614:15, 1617:2, 1618:6, 1620:3, 1621:3, 1622:3, 1622:8, 1623:1, 1623:19, 1624:6, 1632:13, 1632:16, 1634:21, 1636:8, 1641:18, 1642:1, 1642:2, 1642:4, 1642:9, 1643:16, 1644:3, 1644:12, 1645:6, 1645:11, 1645:19, 1645:23, 1648:3, 1649:16, 1649:17, 1652:18, 1652:21, 1653:3, 1653:7, 1654:3, 1654:12, 1655:7, 1655:20, 1656:4, 1656:22, 1657:6, 1657:7, 1657:11, 1659:4, 1660:14, 1662:22, 1666:12, 1667:1, 1667:11, 1668:19, 1669:24, 1671:11, 1674:18, 1676:4, 1676:20, 1677:17, 1678:22, 1682:24, 1683:13, 1683:16, 1683:18, 1684:7, 1684:8, 1684:13, 1684:18, 1684:20, 1684:23, 1685:6, 1685:7, 1685:8, 1685:13, 1687:7, 1687:24, 1706:10, 1708:8, 1710:11 Stormwater [4] -1589:7, 1604:19, 1684:16, 1692:23 story [1] - 1748:17 strategies [1] -1738:4 strategy [1] -1547:22 stream [34] - 1592:7, 1594:5, 1594:14, 1595:16, 1601:3, 1603:21, 1605:15, 1605:16, 1605:24, 1606:18, 1606:19, 1607:21, 1608:19, 1609:8, 1609:10,

1609:13, 1609:17, 1610:24, 1611:6, 1611:14, 1611:18, 1611:19, 1611:21, 1611:23, 1612:9, 1612:13, 1632:24, 1644:5, 1644:6, 1651:24, 1669:19, 1670:19, 1671:17, 1696:6 stream-based [1] -1607:21 **streams** [34] -1596:20, 1602:10, 1604:1, 1604:2, 1605:6, 1605:8, 1605:14, 1605:19, 1607:13, 1607:15, 1608:6, 1608:16, 1609:19, 1612:4, 1614:8, 1619:13, 1633:2, 1641:9, 1645:16, 1649:8, 1664:12, 1664:15, 1669:23, 1670:2, 1672:7, 1672:18, 1673:1, 1696:5, 1712:6, 1712:22, 1713:3, 1713:7, 1713:9, 1713:20 Street [6] - 1528:14, 1532:12, 1570:4, 1570:12, 1648:5, 1714:6 street [1] - 1591:3 strike [1] - 1683:12 string [1] - 1518:9 stringent [1] -1607:1 strip [1] - 1555:22 strong [3] - 1590:2, 1590:3, 1595:21 strongly [1] -1622:10 Stroud [3] - 1605:11. 1612:10, 1653:14 structural [10] -1684:24, 1685:1, 1711:15, 1711:16, 1711:18, 1711:21, 1711:22, 1711:24, 1713:1 structural/non [1] -1713:1 structural/nonstructural [1] - 1713:1 structured [1] -1684:23

struggling [1] -

1715:6

1633:6, 1633:14,

tends [3] - 1612:4,

tenure [2] - 1727:9,

term [3] - 1546:1,

terminology [1] -

1560:21, 1577:22,

1579:2, 1595:13,

1595:24, 1640:1,

1664:9, 1718:16,

1728:22, 1729:4,

1547:23, 1554:9,

1554:10, 1554:11,

1554:14, 1555:18,

1555:20, 1560:12,

1568:17, 1568:20,

1569:7, 1570:1,

1570:9, 1571:8,

1571:12, 1573:8,

1578:7, 1579:11,

territories [1] -

test [23] - 1597:3,

1600:7, 1601:18,

1604:13, 1613:16,

1641:14, 1673:3,

1673:7, 1673:8,

1673:11, 1679:3,

1694:1, 1698:18,

1705:9, 1705:12,

1706:1, 1706:2,

1706:4, 1706:12,

1706:23, 1707:1,

testified [19] -

1521:4, 1524:19,

1526:22, 1541:18,

1560:7, 1576:14,

1586:18, 1637:8,

1639:3, 1642:22,

1643:3, 1643:6,

1643:8, 1646:7,

1659:14, 1661:8,

1686:1, 1714:17,

1523:1, 1523:23,

1525:4, 1560:13,

testify [9] - 1518:15,

1717:2

tested [2] - 1714:2,

1707:4

1715:1

1583:16

1546:7

terrain [22] -

terms [13] - 1521:20,

1644:9, 1663:10

1620:18, 1633:10

Tennessee [1] -

1637:4

1637:11

1734:4

1538:24

1730:1

1607:9, 1607:15, stuck [1] - 1709:14 1644:9, 1652:19, taught [4] - 1543:19, 1611:24, 1620:19 1678:21, 1678:22 1543:20, 1550:4, students [11] sufficiently [1] surges [1] - 1612:7 1554:1 1630:7, 1718:22, 1537:3 Tavani [5] - 1524:24, 1720:3, 1720:5, surmise [1] suggest [4] - 1566:1, 1617:13 1720:11, 1722:19, 1525:5, 1526:1, 1723:14, 1723:17, 1579:1, 1597:19, surplus [1] - 1718:13 1526:19, 1527:11 1732:22, 1733:5, 1749:24 surrender [1] -Tavani's [6] -1733:9 suggested [1] -1557:22 1525:22, 1527:2, 1528:12, 1529:5, studies [2] - 1688:7, 1624:4 surrounds [1] -1688:23 suggesting [1] -1545:6 1529:14, 1530:13 study [12] - 1526:18, 1749:6 surveys [2] tax [10] - 1720:23, 1530:7, 1537:17, 1721:5, 1723:1, suggestion [5] -1549:18, 1629:5 1723:10, 1726:3, 1557:8, 1578:18, 1526:4, 1529:15, suspended [1] -1734:9, 1734:19, 1666:7, 1688:7, 1530:13, 1750:9, 1670:21 1751:8 1692:20, 1692:21, sustain [1] - 1745:10 1736:1, 1736:2 1719:11, 1720:15, tax-related [1] suitability [1] sustained [1] -1732:17 1678:16 1726:3 1639:24 studying [1] suitable [4] - 1602:5, swear [1] - 1541:12 taxes [11] - 1722:1, 1556:15 1622:14, 1622:15, 1723:6, 1726:12, swimming [3] -1734:2, 1734:8, subdivision [1] -1641:22 1574:8, 1580:9, 1736:8, 1737:3, 1592:9 suited [2] - 1614:13 1647:24 1739:9, 1739:17, Subdivision [1] sum [2] - 1635:16, Swimming [3] -1530:16 1739:20 1725:10 1516:7, 1532:5, subject [3] - 1551:3, taxpayer[1] summary [1] -1647:18 1629:19 1606:6, 1612:10 1688:2 sworn [9] - 1524:18, submission [1] **summed** [1] - 1669:5 1541:17, 1586:6, taxpayers [1] -1724:23 1590:10 summertime [1] -1586:17, 1659:5, submissions [2] -Taylor[1] - 1644:11 1634:6 1659:13, 1659:16, 1589:6, 1642:7 teachers [1] - 1729:5 Sunoco [2] - 1640:6, 1716:22, 1717:1 submit [4] - 1589:17, teaching [3] -1640:18 symposium [1] -1542:14, 1545:15, 1663:9, 1725:1, superintendant [1] -1554:1 1750:3 1545:18 1727:11 synopsis [1] submitted [14] technical [1] superintendent [1] -1674:17 1526:6, 1526:18, 1644:16 system [17] - 1546:8, 1725:22 1596:24, 1604:10, technically [1] -SUPERVISORS [1] -1595:17, 1603:12, 1604:15, 1605:3, 1645:2 1512:1 1610:23, 1613:24, 1623:12, 1625:10, technique [3] -1622:10, 1623:6, Supervisors [3] -1667:3, 1688:16, 1678:7, 1708:13, 1623:8, 1623:19, 1513:2, 1542:9, 1701:13, 1701:19, 1624:14, 1624:15, 1710:14 1728:15 1751:9, 1751:10 supplement [1] -1641:18, 1671:24, techniques [3] submitting [1] -1694:16 1684:19, 1684:21, 1672:21, 1686:14, 1699:24 1684:23, 1721:13 1713:15 supplied [1] subsequent [1] -1602:17 systems [5] teleconference [2] -1642:6 1600:22, 1623:2, 1519:10, 1521:15 supply [2] - 1611:11, subsidize [1] -1624:7, 1641:22, temperature (6) -1662:15 1723:1 1708:9 1611:21, 1612:7, support [8] -1671:17, 1672:3, subsidizing [1] -1526:20, 1529:12, 1720:24 1672:11, 1672:20 T 1604:1, 1609:11, substance [1] temporary [1] -1610:10, 1616:6, table [10] - 1598:8, 1520:17 1737:18 1641:3, 1743:3 1599:10, 1599:12, substantial [2] supported [1] ten [7] - 1626:15, 1600:8, 1613:12, 1578:2, 1720:23 1628:12, 1682:22, 1625:9 1641:21, 1695:14, 1690:15, 1706:14, substantive [1] supporting [3] -1695:18, 1714:5, 1706:15, 1720:6 1522:4 1609:18, 1611:19, 1714:10 successful [1] ten-minute [1] -1616:5 1533:7 tables [1] - 1634:23 1626:15 supposed [1] tactics [1] - 1547:23 suddenly [1] tend [10] - 1614:7, 1618:22 tailor [1] - 1548:2 1615:7, 1618:15, 1612:6 surfaces [8] talks [2] - 1665:5, 1619:1, 1619:18, sufficient [6] -1594:8, 1594:11, 1680:8 1623:2, 1632:7, 1528:19, 1589:20, 1595:13, 1607:5,

4504.00 4607.40	40040 tt tt 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1711-00 1710-0	1740:10 1740:20	1620-22
1581:23, 1637:18,	tests [14] - 1597:2,	1711:22, 1712:2,	1749:12, 1749:20	1639:23
1639:22, 1747:16	1597:5, 1597:7,	1712:9, 1713:2,	Thompson [28] -	timeframe [1] -
testifying [5] -	1600:13, 1600:15,	1713:6, 1713:21,	1518:6, 1518:10,	1701:6
1516:21, 1545:21,	1601:15, 1604:12,	1714:11, 1715:2,	1518:12, 1518:13,	timing [1] - 1679:23
1638:4, 1638:6,	1614:21, 1615:1,	1717:8, 1717:16,	1518:20, 1518:24,	TIS [1] - 1530:12
1743:10	1615:2, 1615:3,	1736:5, 1736:23,	1520:20, 1522:21,	Title [2] - 1726:16,
testimony [66] -	1698:13, 1698:16,	1737:7, 1739:1,	1523:18, 1531:10,	1726:17
1516:18, 1518:15,	1705:23	1739:14, 1739:18,	1540:7, 1541:2,	today [7] - 1552:20,
1520:17, 1520:19,	Texas [1] - 1724:3	1739:24, 1740:3	1548:4, 1563:6,	1558:22, 1562:18,
1522:5, 1522:7,	text [4] - 1598:4,	themselves [16] -	1579:14, 1583:3,	1571:11, 1571:16,
1522:18, 1524:13,	1598:6, 1598:21,	1546:11, 1546:12,	1586:1, 1588:3,	1748:15, 1748:16
1524:23, 1525:5,	1753:11	1547:2, 1548:10,	1591:12, 1597:19,	Todd [4] - 1723:23,
1525:22, 1527:3,	texts [1] - 1545:16	1660:23, 1672:6,	1631:11, 1657:14,	1725:7, 1729:7,
1527:11, 1528:24,	THE [111] - 1512:1,	1676:1, 1681:16,	1658:1, 1689:8,	1753:15
1529:18, 1529:24,	1512:2, 1513:13,	1683:3, 1687:1,	1735:6, 1747:13,	together [1] -
1532:11, 1550:12,	1513:20, 1532:17,	1695:18, 1708:15,	1750:24, 1752:19	1558:12
1551:22, 1552:11,	1533:1, 1533:4,	1709:8, 1712:11,	Thornbury [10] -	tolerable [2] -
1559:18, 1560:1,	1533:13, 1533:22,	1713:3, 1713:11	1515:21, 1516:2,	1690:4, 1691:3
1563:19, 1564:1,	1535:24, 1536:15,	theory [1] - 1689:3	1516:8, 1524:24,	tolerant [1] - 1671:6
1577:6, 1577:10,	1536:24, 1537:9,	third [6] - 1528:12,	1525:9, 1525:23,	TOLL [1] - 1512:8
1585:17, 1592:1,	1537:15, 1537:19,	1536:3, 1540:21,	1532:8, 1579:21,	
1593:13, 1601:10,	1538:2, 1538:15,	1568:10, 1571:18,	1650:3, 1716:7	Toll [12] - 1513:15,
1627:7, 1638:4,	1538:20, 1539:2,	1575:15	thorough [1] -	1514:8, 1514:19,
1638:10, 1638:19,	1539:17, 1540:5,	thirties [1] - 1690:23	1687:4	1559:16, 1630:8,
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		threat [1] - 1632:15	1637:5, 1687:3,
1638:20, 1639:9,	1550:18, 1561:6,	THOMAS [1] -		1718:1, 1718:7,
1639:14, 1640:22,	1562:17, 1571:24,	1512:15	three [25] - 1536:18,	1720:17, 1723:18,
1646:6, 1658:22,	1579:17, 1581:22,	THOMPSON [68] -	1540:20, 1542:23,	1745:14
1660:1, 1660:9,	1582:3, 1582:4,	1513:7, 1519:2,	1565:1, 1568:6,	Tom [1] - 1531:16
1661:4, 1661:11,	1582:12, 1583:2,	1519:6, 1520:14,	1595:3, 1595:9,	tomorrow [1] -
1667:10, 1683:8,	1586:23, 1592:5,	1520:24, 1521:3,	1597:12, 1599:6,	1701:13
1684:11, 1686:3,	1593:3, 1593:14,	1522:3, 1522:9,	1599:7, 1599:9,	tonight [38] - 1514:4,
1693:6, 1701:19,	1598:6, 1598:19,	1523:2, 1523:21,	1690:6, 1690:8,	1514:22, 1515:13,
1705:20, 1716:12,	1627:24, 1647:20,	1531:11, 1540:9,	1700:8, 1700:24,	1516:1, 1516:6,
1718:12, 1719:7,	1648:6, 1648:11,	1540:13, 1541:20,	1701:10, 1701:15,	1516:21, 1517:3,
1725:10, 1740:21,	1648:17, 1649:5,	1544:9, 1544:16,	1712:12, 1718:15,	1517:4, 1517:12,
1741:2, 1743:1,	1649:20, 1650:1,	1550:15, 1551:6,	1719:5, 1720:2,	1517:17, 1518:8,
1745:2, 1746:7,	1651:11, 1651:18,	1551:12, 1552:3,	1720:6, 1720:18,	1518:19, 1520:10,
1748:2, 1748:8,	1652:1, 1652:15,	1552:12, 1552:13,	1742:12, 1742:13	1525:4, 1588:22,
1748:10, 1749:10,	1653:16, 1653:20,	1560:9, 1563:9,	three-bedroom [2] -	1626:24, 1627:8,
1749:17, 1749:24	1653:24, 1654:6,	1563:18, 1564:2,	1718:15, 1720:2	1647:16, 1658:15,
<b>testing</b> [40] -	1654:10, 1654:14,	1571:22, 1576:8,	three-bedrooms [1]	1660:7, 1686:1,
1600:19, 1600:20,	1654:21, 1655:11,	1583:6, 1585:15,	- 1719:5	1686:3, 1715:20,
1615:5, 1615:7,	1655:21, 1656:1,	1586:3, 1586:7,	three-day [1] -	1716:1, 1716:8,
1616:16, 1660:15,	1656:19, 1657:1,	1586:11, 1587:4,	1700:24	1716:10, 1717:5,
1660:16, 1660:18,	1657:4, 1657:13,	1588:5, 1588:9,	three-star [1] -	1717:7, 1717:22,
1661:10, 1661:23,	1657:23, 1659:17,	1588:15, 1591:8,	1542:23	1719:8, 1725:1,
1662:4, 1662:5,	1698:15, 1698:22,	1591:14, 1591:22,	three-to-one [1] -	1743:1, 1745:10,
1662:12, 1663:13,	1699:7, 1699:19,	1592:16, 1593:7,	1712:12	1746:6, 1747:16,
1673:3, 1674:13,	1700:1, 1700:12,	1593:16, 1597:24,	throughout [9] -	1747:24, 1748:3,
1674:24, 1675:3,	1700:15, 1701:17,	1598:4, 1598:20,	1622:12, 1622:13,	1750:19
1675:8, 1675:19,	1701:23, 1702:7,	1598:23, 1626:12,	1660:16, 1669:22,	took [6] - 1554:7,
1681:10, 1686:5,	1702:15, 1703:6,	1631:13, 1636:12,	1672:22, 1674:24,	1571:17, 1583:8,
1686:7, 1694:4,	1703:15, 1703:22,	1638:8, 1642:18,	1675:19, 1695:6,	1584:17, 1691:22,
1694:7, 1694:9,	1704:18, 1705:11,	1642:24, 1643:11,	1720:21	1748:14
1694:18, 1695:6,	1706:4, 1706:8,	1657:16, 1658:3,	throw [2] - 1707:16,	tool [2] - 1552:22,
1695:10, 1695:11,	1706:13, 1706:21,	1658:13, 1658:16,	1709:24	1585:13
1695:19, 1696:15,	1707:11, 1707:19,	1689:10, 1696:19,	tie [1] - 1723:4	Top [4] - 1567:14,
1698:20, 1698:23,	1708:12, 1709:5,	1735:7, 1747:14,	tie-breaking [1] -	1567:15, 1567:16,
1699.2, 1704:20,	1709:11, 1709:17,	1747:22, 1748:4,	1723:4	1574:10
1705:5, 1705:8,	1710:24, 1711:12,	1748:6, 1749:8,	Tim [2] - 1639:18,	top [8] - 1557:1,
1714:8, 1715:3			111112 1000.10,	<b>τορ</b> [σ] = 1001.1,

1566:6, 1596:10,
1621:2, 1663:4,
1678:11, 1703:24,
1711:18
topic [1] - 1551:19
topo [1] - 1673:10
topographic [4] -
1592:6, 1593:1,
1593:4, 1594:2
Topographical [2] -
1753:10, 1753:11
topographical [1] -
1591:15
topography [5] -
1592:6, 1593:19,
1602:8, 1663:21,
1664:5
total [6] - 1635:16,
1670:20, 1670:21,
1670:22, 1711:12,
1740:7
touched [1] -
1621:13
tour [2] - 1546:21,
1565:9
tourism [2] - 1558:2,
1558:4
tourists [1] - 1558:6
tours [1] - 1546:22
tours [1] - 1546:22 towards [20] -
tours [1] - 1546:22 towards [20] - 1565:23, 1568:12,
tours [1] - 1546:22 towards [20] - 1565:23, 1568:12, 1572:1, 1573:4,
tours [1] - 1546:22 towards [20] - 1565:23, 1568:12, 1572:1, 1573:4, 1573:22, 1665:16,
tours [1] - 1546:22 towards [20] - 1565:23, 1568:12, 1572:1, 1573:4, 1573:22, 1665:16,
tours [1] - 1546:22 towards [20] - 1565:23, 1568:12, 1572:1, 1573:4, 1573:22, 1665:16, 1665:23, 1666:18, 1667:20, 1667:23,
tours [1] - 1546:22 towards [20] - 1565:23, 1568:12, 1572:1, 1573:4, 1573:22, 1665:16, 1665:23, 1666:18, 1667:20, 1667:23, 1669:6, 1669:23,
tours [1] - 1546:22 towards [20] - 1565:23, 1568:12, 1572:1, 1573:4, 1573:22, 1665:16, 1665:23, 1666:18, 1667:20, 1667:23, 1669:6, 1669:23, 1703:2, 1703:3,
tours [1] - 1546:22 towards [20] - 1565:23, 1568:12, 1572:1, 1573:4, 1573:22, 1665:16, 1665:23, 1666:18, 1667:20, 1667:23, 1669:6, 1669:23, 1703:2, 1703:3, 1703:9, 1703:16,
tours [1] - 1546:22 towards [20] - 1565:23, 1568:12, 1572:1, 1573:4, 1573:22, 1665:16, 1665:23, 1666:18, 1667:20, 1667:23, 1669:6, 1669:23, 1703:2, 1703:3, 1703:9, 1703:16, 1703:19, 1704:9
tours [1] - 1546:22 towards [20] - 1565:23, 1568:12, 1572:1, 1573:4, 1573:22, 1665:16, 1665:23, 1666:18, 1667:20, 1667:23, 1669:6, 1669:23, 1703:2, 1703:3, 1703:9, 1703:16, 1703:19, 1704:9 town [1] - 1677:23
tours [1] - 1546:22 towards [20] - 1565:23, 1568:12, 1572:1, 1573:4, 1573:22, 1665:16, 1665:23, 1666:18, 1667:20, 1667:23, 1669:6, 1669:23, 1703:2, 1703:3, 1703:9, 1703:16, 1703:19, 1704:9
tours [1] - 1546:22 towards [20] - 1565:23, 1568:12, 1572:1, 1573:4, 1573:22, 1665:16, 1665:23, 1666:18, 1667:20, 1667:23, 1669:6, 1669:23, 1703:2, 1703:3, 1703:9, 1703:16, 1703:19, 1704:9 town[1] - 1677:23 townhomes [1] -
tours [1] - 1546:22 towards [20] - 1565:23, 1568:12, 1572:1, 1573:4, 1573:22, 1665:16, 1665:23, 1666:18, 1667:20, 1667:23, 1669:6, 1669:23, 1703:2, 1703:3, 1703:9, 1703:16, 1703:19, 1704:9 town[1] - 1677:23 townhomes [1] -
tours [1] - 1546:22 towards [20] - 1565:23, 1568:12, 1572:1, 1573:4, 1573:22, 1665:16, 1665:23, 1666:18, 1667:20, 1667:23, 1669:6, 1669:23, 1703:2, 1703:3, 1703:9, 1703:16, 1703:19, 1704:9 town[1] - 1677:23 townhomes [1] - 1702:16 townhouse [2] -
tours [1] - 1546:22 towards [20] - 1565:23, 1568:12, 1572:1, 1573:4, 1573:22, 1665:16, 1665:23, 1666:18, 1667:20, 1667:23, 1669:6, 1669:23, 1703:2, 1703:3, 1703:9, 1703:16, 1703:19, 1704:9 town[1] - 1677:23 townhomes [1] - 1702:16 townhouse [2] - 1616:10, 1616:23
tours [1] - 1546:22 towards [20] - 1565:23, 1568:12, 1572:1, 1573:4, 1573:22, 1665:16, 1665:23, 1666:18, 1667:20, 1667:23, 1669:6, 1669:23, 1703:2, 1703:3, 1703:9, 1703:16, 1703:19, 1704:9 town[1] - 1677:23 townhomes [1] - 1702:16 townhouse [2] - 1616:10, 1616:23 township [50] - 1517:22, 1527:20,
tours [1] - 1546:22 towards [20] - 1565:23, 1568:12, 1572:1, 1573:4, 1573:22, 1665:16, 1665:23, 1666:18, 1667:20, 1667:23, 1669:6, 1669:23, 1703:2, 1703:3, 1703:9, 1703:16, 1703:19, 1704:9 town[1] - 1677:23 townhomes [1] - 1702:16 townhouse [2] - 1616:10, 1616:23 township [50] - 1517:22, 1527:20, 1528:2, 1528:11,
tours [1] - 1546:22 towards [20] - 1565:23, 1568:12, 1572:1, 1573:4, 1573:22, 1665:16, 1665:23, 1666:18, 1667:20, 1667:23, 1669:6, 1669:23, 1703:2, 1703:16, 1703:19, 1704:9 town[1] - 1677:23 townhomes [1] - 1702:16 townhouse [2] - 1616:10, 1616:23 township [50] - 1517:22, 1527:20, 1528:2, 1528:11, 1595:12, 1595:21, 1613:21, 1618:3,
tours [1] - 1546:22 towards [20] - 1565:23, 1568:12, 1572:1, 1573:4, 1573:22, 1665:16, 1665:23, 1666:18, 1667:20, 1667:23, 1669:6, 1669:23, 1703:2, 1703:3, 1703:9, 1703:16, 1703:19, 1704:9 town[1] - 1677:23 townhomes [1] - 1702:16 townhouse [2] - 1616:10, 1616:23 township [50] - 1517:22, 1527:20, 1528:2, 1528:11, 1595:12, 1595:21, 1613:21, 1618:3, 1620:13, 1629:3,
tours [1] - 1546:22 towards [20] - 1565:23, 1568:12, 1572:1, 1573:4, 1573:22, 1665:16, 1665:23, 1666:18, 1667:20, 1667:23, 1669:6, 1669:23, 1703:2, 1703:3, 1703:9, 1703:16, 1703:19, 1704:9 town[1] - 1677:23 townhomes [1] - 1702:16 townhouse [2] - 1616:10, 1616:23 township [50] - 1517:22, 1527:20, 1528:2, 1528:11, 1595:12, 1595:21, 1613:21, 1618:3, 1620:13, 1629:3, 1629:6, 1629:20,
tours [1] - 1546:22 towards [20] - 1565:23, 1568:12, 1572:1, 1573:4, 1573:22, 1665:16, 1665:23, 1666:18, 1667:20, 1667:23, 1669:6, 1669:23, 1703:2, 1703:3, 1703:9, 1703:16, 1703:19, 1704:9 town[1] - 1677:23 townhomes [1] - 1702:16 townhouse [2] - 1616:10, 1616:23 township [50] - 1517:22, 1527:20, 1528:2, 1528:11, 1595:12, 1595:21, 1613:21, 1618:3, 1620:13, 1629:3, 1629:6, 1629:20, 1642:8, 1642:12,
tours [1] - 1546:22 towards [20] - 1565:23, 1568:12, 1572:1, 1573:4, 1573:22, 1665:16, 1665:23, 1666:18, 1667:20, 1667:23, 1669:6, 1669:23, 1703:2, 1703:3, 1703:9, 1703:16, 1703:19, 1704:9 town[1] - 1677:23 townhomes [1] - 1702:16 townhouse [2] - 1616:10, 1616:23 township [50] - 1517:22, 1527:20, 1528:2, 1528:11, 1595:12, 1595:21, 1613:21, 1618:3, 1620:13, 1629:3, 1629:6, 1629:20, 1642:8, 1642:12, 1642:19, 1643:15,
tours [1] - 1546:22 towards [20] - 1565:23, 1568:12, 1572:1, 1573:4, 1573:22, 1665:16, 1665:23, 1666:18, 1667:20, 1667:23, 1669:6, 1669:23, 1703:2, 1703:3, 1703:9, 1703:16, 1703:19, 1704:9 town[1] - 1677:23 townhomes [1] - 1702:16 townhouse [2] - 1616:10, 1616:23 township [50] - 1517:22, 1527:20, 1528:2, 1528:11, 1595:12, 1595:21, 1613:21, 1618:3, 1620:13, 1629:3, 1629:6, 1629:20, 1642:8, 1642:12, 1644:3, 1644:11,
tours [1] - 1546:22 towards [20] - 1565:23, 1568:12, 1572:1, 1573:4, 1573:22, 1665:16, 1665:23, 1666:18, 1667:20, 1667:23, 1669:6, 1669:23, 1703:2, 1703:3, 1703:9, 1703:16, 1703:19, 1704:9 town[1] - 1677:23 townhomes [1] - 1702:16 townhouse [2] - 1616:10, 1616:23 township [50] - 1517:22, 1527:20, 1528:2, 1528:11, 1595:12, 1595:21, 1613:21, 1618:3, 1620:13, 1629:3, 1629:6, 1629:20, 1642:8, 1642:12, 1644:3, 1644:11, 1652:13, 1652:23,
tours [1] - 1546:22 towards [20] - 1565:23, 1568:12, 1572:1, 1573:4, 1573:22, 1665:16, 1665:23, 1666:18, 1667:20, 1667:23, 1669:6, 1669:23, 1703:2, 1703:3, 1703:9, 1703:16, 1703:19, 1704:9 town[1] - 1677:23 townhomes [1] - 1702:16 townhouse [2] - 1616:10, 1616:23 township [50] - 1517:22, 1527:20, 1528:2, 1528:11, 1595:12, 1595:21, 1613:21, 1618:3, 1620:13, 1629:3, 1629:6, 1629:20, 1642:8, 1642:12, 1642:19, 1643:15, 1644:3, 1664:11, 1652:13, 1652:23, 1661:3, 1662:9,
tours [1] - 1546:22 towards [20] - 1565:23, 1568:12, 1572:1, 1573:4, 1573:22, 1665:16, 1665:23, 1666:18, 1667:20, 1667:23, 1669:6, 1669:23, 1703:2, 1703:3, 1703:9, 1703:16, 1703:19, 1704:9 town[1] - 1677:23 townhomes [1] - 1702:16 townhouse [2] - 1616:10, 1616:23 township [50] - 1517:22, 1527:20, 1528:2, 1528:11, 1595:12, 1595:21, 1613:21, 1618:3, 1620:13, 1629:3, 1629:6, 1629:20, 1642:8, 1642:12, 1642:19, 1643:15, 1644:3, 1664:11, 1652:13, 1652:23, 1661:3, 1662:9, 1662:10, 1662:14,
tours [1] - 1546:22 towards [20] - 1565:23, 1568:12, 1572:1, 1573:4, 1573:22, 1665:16, 1665:23, 1666:18, 1667:20, 1667:23, 1669:6, 1669:23, 1703:2, 1703:3, 1703:9, 1703:16, 1703:19, 1704:9 town[1] - 1677:23 townhomes [1] - 1702:16 townhouse [2] - 1616:10, 1616:23 township [50] - 1517:22, 1527:20, 1528:2, 1528:11, 1595:12, 1595:21, 1613:21, 1618:3, 1620:13, 1629:3, 1629:6, 1629:20, 1642:8, 1642:12, 1642:19, 1643:15, 1644:3, 1664:11, 1652:13, 1652:23, 1661:3, 1662:9, 1662:10, 1662:14, 1662:17, 1663:18,
tours [1] - 1546:22 towards [20] - 1565:23, 1568:12, 1572:1, 1573:4, 1573:22, 1665:16, 1665:23, 1666:18, 1667:20, 1667:23, 1669:6, 1669:23, 1703:2, 1703:3, 1703:9, 1703:16, 1703:19, 1704:9 town[1] - 1677:23 townhomes [1] - 1702:16 townhouse [2] - 1616:10, 1616:23 township [50] - 1517:22, 1527:20, 1528:2, 1528:11, 1595:12, 1595:21, 1613:21, 1618:3, 1620:13, 1629:3, 1629:6, 1629:20, 1642:8, 1642:12, 1642:19, 1643:15, 1644:3, 1664:11, 1652:13, 1652:23, 1661:3, 1662:9, 1662:10, 1662:14,

4670.44 4674.4
1670:11, 1671:1, 1673:17, 1674:5,
1674:9, 1675:14,
1676:8, 1677:10,
1683:21, 1684:6,
1684:7, 1684:9,
1685:10, 1685:20, 1687:12, 1691:16,
1702:5, 1719:13,
1743:14, 1751:10
TOWNSHIP [2] -
1512:2, 1753:2
Township [16] -
1512:18, 1513:5,
1513:9, 1515:19, 1515:21, 1524:24,
1525:23, 1527:7,
1525:23, 1527:7, 1604:18, 1628:17,
1628:18, 1646:24,
1674:2, 1717:19,
1723:12, 1728:15
<b>Township's</b> [2] - 1530:15, 1645:22
township's [5] -
1589:5, 1589:22,
1606:24, 1625:15,
1685:5
townships [1] -
1691:15
<b>TR-55</b> [13] - 1668:18, 1669:8, 1676:16,
1682:1, 1691:17,
1692:5, 1692:8,
1692:10, 1692:14,
1693:9, 1693:17,
1693:22, 1709:21
tract [3] - 1513:15, 1527:23, 1529:9
tractor [1] - 1533:7
tractors [2] - 1533:3,
1533:6
Trade [1] - 1565:3
traffic [13] - 1525:3,
1525:6, 1526:18,
1527:12, 1527:16, 1528:7, 1530:6,
1532:14, 1533:8,
1533:12, 1533:19,
1533:20, 1537:16
training [1] - 1542:24
trajectory [1] -
1569:23
transcript [2] - 1525:18, 1754:11
transfers [1] -
1656:17
translating [1] -
1548:19
##### 101 4G12:4

treat [3] - 1613:1,

1671:2, 1671:4

treated [2] - 1612:21, 1741:22 Treatment [1] -1744:16 treatment [2] -1612:15, 1742:1 tree [2] - 1663:23, 1664:7 trees [6] - 1668:3, 1668:5, 1704:1, 1711:7, 1711:8, 1711:20 tributaries [11] -1593:23, 1594:2, 1604:5, 1604:7, 1605:10, 1607:13, 1607:18, 1609:24, 1614:9, 1648:12, 1648:14 tributary [5] -1593:21, 1594:13, 1604:21, 1605:20, 1625:21 tricks [1] - 1553:6 troop [2] - 1576:20, 1579:5 troops [3] - 1571:3, 1571:16, 1583:15 true [10] - 1527:2, 1585:5, 1585:6, 1636:23, 1644:15, 1645:5, 1721:1, 1722:13, 1726:1, 1754:10 Trust [3] - 1516:8, 1532:8, 1650:3 try [11] - 1514:15, 1517:4, 1546:11, 1627:22, 1628:4, 1688:11, 1709:17, 1715:20, 1717:5, 1717:14, 1737:10 trying [3] - 1615:6, 1707:9, 1714:12 Tuesday [1] -1512:11 turn [4] - 1514:11, 1568:2, 1590:13, 1737:5 turned [2] - 1546:7, 1555:22 turning [1] - 1568:5 turnpike [1] -1619:17 turns [2] - 1529:23, 1582:16 two [37] - 1547:19, 1562:17, 1564:15,

Treated [2] - 1742:7,

1744:15

1564:17, 1599:9, 1601:8, 1615:3, 1619:20, 1647:24, 1654:15, 1658:21, 1662:2, 1664:12, 1664:13, 1665:17, 1666:4, 1666:5, 1666:6, 1672:3, 1679:2, 1679:6, 1695:21, 1703:18, 1704:19, 1704:23, 1705:14, 1707:9, 1707:23, 1721:2, 1722:16, 1737:9, 1740:1, 1740:3, 1741:20, 1744:6, 1744:12, 1748:14 two-day [1] -1547:19 two-foot [4] - 1662:2, 1695:21, 1704:23, 1705:14 two-year [5] -1665:17, 1666:4, 1666:5, 1666:6, 1703:18 type [5] - 1549:15, 1620:21, 1622:5, 1694:3, 1734:1 types [6] - 1633:17, 1633:20, 1669:6, 1669:9, 1693:24, 1737:4 typical [3] - 1517:16, 1670:7, 1676:1 typically [5] -1517:15, 1528:21, 1532:18, 1670:14, 1671:10 U

U.S [5] - 1544:18, 1548:6, 1590:6, 1622:9, 1653:22 ultimate [3] -1674:12, 1709:7, 1709:10 ultimately [20] -1528:9, 1529:1, 1594:20, 1605:21, 1645:17, 1666:17, 1666:19, 1670:22, 1671:8, 1672:5, 1672:7, 1672:19, 1675:14, 1677:12, 1688:15, 1701:3, 1702:2, 1702:18, 1712:14, 1733:17 uncertain [1] -

1629:2 under [19] - 1522:5, 1524:13, 1532:21, 1589:15, 1590:2, 1594:15, 1596:4, 1603:2, 1604:18, 1606:23, 1642:16, 1646:4, 1652:16, 1653:5, 1656:10, 1659:19, 1711:23, 1742:2 undercut [2] -1672:14, 1681:19 underestimate [2] -1620:18, 1635:5 undergone [1] -1606:19 underlying [4] -1632:10, 1692:16, 1693:13, 1693:24 underneath [4] -1640:9, 1666:9, 1672:1, 1702:22 understood [2] -1522:8, 1745:22 undesirable [1] -1529:22 unduly [1] - 1750:13 United [6] - 1519:9, 1540:16, 1543:16, 1555:7, 1555:8, 1558:5 units [3] - 1723:19, 1733:7, 1737:19 universities [1] -1543:19 University [6] -1543:21, 1543:22, 1595:9, 1654:4, 1654:13 unlawful [1] -1733:17 unless [5] - 1585:4, 1627:17, 1739:21, 1744:24, 1746:18 unlikely [1] -1626:24 unnecessary [1] -1529:22 unrepresented [1] -1740:20

untouched [2] -

up [58] - 1514:7,

1514:16, 1520:12,

1523:12, 1541:13,

1548:14, 1555:18,

1556:14, 1559:11,

1564:9, 1564:21,

1566:6, 1567:4,

1713:3, 1713:7

1567:19, 1570:15, 1570:22, 1571:1, 1573:4, 1574:4, 1575:13, 1582:16, 1586:21, 1587:12, 1590:23, 1591:6, 1593:12, 1599:14, 1600:6, 1601:8, 1601:12, 1609:2, 1609:4, 1622:18, 1628:11, 1628:16, 1633:1, 1655:17, 1659:20, 1665:20, 1669:5, 1673:13, 1679:20, 1689:11, 1691:24, 1695:16, 1695:18, 1707:10, 1716:6, 1716:22, 1717:14, 1725:10, 1731:10, 1741:16, 1742:9, 1747:15, 1748:15, 1748:16 upcoming[1]-1630:12 updated [1] -1598:15 upgrades [5] -1538:10, 1538:12, 1538:18, 1538:22, 1539:15 upland [1] - 1646:14 upper [1] - 1678:13 Upper[1] - 1654:19 upsize [1] - 1668:1 upstream [4] -1605:6, 1670:6, 1675:23, 1713:9 uptake [1] - 1704:2 urbanized [1] -1603:23 urges [1] - 1595:21 USE [1] - 1512:7 users [2] - 1528:24, 1533:24 uses [12] - 1590:3, 1590:15, 1590:18, 1594:15, 1602:24, 1620:15, 1620:18, 1623:3, 1634:14, 1634:15, 1652:10, 1728:21 utilized [1] - 1634:11 utilizes [1] - 1648:23

#### ٧

valid [2] - 1706:3, 1706:5 valley [1] - 1570:21 Valley [2] - 1553:22,

1558:9 value [4] - 1634:10, 1722:9, 1722:10, 1722:20 values [3] - 1620:10, 1722:24, 1737:12 variations [2] -1569:12, 1571:11 varies [1] - 1593:19 variety [2] - 1610:6, 1652:17 various [5] - 1589:6, 1619:3, 1624:20, 1656:6, 1704:14 varying [1] - 1708:20 Vasilios [1] -1513:10 vegetation [1] -1656:12 vehicles [3] -1532:18, 1532:19, 1536:12 Vera [1] - 1542:20 versus [8] - 1578:1, 1637:16, 1639:15, 1639:19, 1640:5, 1640:18, 1682:10, 1709:14 via [3] - 1516:20, 1518:15, 1747:9 viable [1] - 1632:4 vicinity [6] - 1529:9, 1694:8, 1694:12, 1695:15, 1698:14, 1714:13 video [2] - 1518:15, 1522:17 view [17] - 1519:23, 1553:11, 1559:11, 1571:19, 1572:3, 1572:15, 1573:2,

1573:9, 1573:10,

1574:1, 1574:12, 1574:16, 1575:2, 1583:9, 1584:11,

1584:23, 1658:15 viewpoint[1] -1575:17

viewshed [4] -1573:18, 1576:5,

1584:6, 1584:14 Vince [1] - 1515:7 Virginians [9] -

1565:19, 1566:10, 1567:2, 1567:8, 1568:13, 1568:15, 1571:1, 1572:13,

visit [3] - 1547:17, 1561:20, 1562:14

1584:21

visiting [1] - 1549:21 visits [1] - 1562:18 vitae [4] - 1540:15, 1542:6, 1587:22, 1636:22 vital [1] - 1584:14 vitally [1] - 1584:5 VMI [1] - 1553:24 voice [3] - 1541:10, 1543:6, 1717:14 VOICE [4] - 1524:2, 1524:5, 1606:7, 1716:15 VOIR [1] - 1545:1 Voir[1] - 1752:8 VOLUME [1] -1512:5 volume [38] - 1544:3,

1544:4, 1596:16, 1600:11, 1601:6, 1602:21, 1603:6, 1603:14, 1603:17, 1608:2, 1608:15, 1613:3, 1613:7, 1613:22, 1615:22, 1616:2, 1620:20, 1620:21, 1621:20, 1622:15, 1626:6, 1635:11, 1635:13, 1635:15, 1645:6, 1645:11, 1645:14, 1655:14, 1656:8, 1666:24, 1667:5,

1670:3, 1676:18, 1677:5, 1678:23,

1702:4, 1708:22, 1711:2

volumes [1] - 1603:2 vote [2] - 1514:4, 1723:4

voted [1] - 1520:5 voter [1] - 1739:21

voting [1] - 1729:19

## W

wagons [2] - 1533:3, 1533:6 wait [4] - 1658:4, 1658:7, 1658:23, 1729:23 Walk [2] - 1719:12, 1719:24 walk [3] - 1554:4, 1554:6, 1709:15 walking [2] - 1573:4, 1575:14 wants [3] - 1517:11, 1533:11, 1627:4 War [24] - 1542:17,

1542:18, 1542:21, 1544:4, 1545:9, 1545:11, 1545:14, 1545:19, 1546:4, 1546:6, 1546:10, 1546:12, 1548:24, 1555:12, 1557:23, 1558:14, 1562:20, 1567:10, 1571:17, 1572:9, 1585:5, 1585:6 warrant [1] - 1684:21 warranted [1] -1537:6 warriors [1] -1558:23 wash [3] - 1608:21, 1618:24, 1633:14 washed [2] -1619:10, 1619:11 washes [1] - 1609:8 Washington [17] -1543:22, 1547:5, 1557:9, 1557:10, 1557:15, 1559:11, 1561:22, 1564:14, 1564:17, 1565:11, 1566:15, 1573:3, 1573:12, 1574:12. 1653:23, 1654:1, 1724:9 Washington's [4] -1562:22, 1566:13, 1567:4, 1568:1 Waste [1] - 1742:8 wastewater [2] -1741:23, 1742:1 Wastewater [2] -1744:15, 1744:17 watch [1] - 1627:4 watching [1] -1572:3 Water [3] - 1605:11, 1653:14, 1742:6 water [91] - 1587:15,

1594:15, 1599:10,

1599:11, 1601:1,

1602:12, 1603:22,

1604:2, 1604:20,

1605:23, 1607:2,

1607:10, 1607:11,

1607:19, 1607:21,

1607:24, 1608:3,

1608:4, 1608:17,

1609:4, 1609:22,

1612:1, 1612:3,

1612:7, 1613:12,

1615:11, 1617:5,

1617:14, 1617:24,

1611:11, 1611:17,

1618:4, 1618:21, 1619:24, 1621:6, 1621:17, 1621:20, 1622:1, 1623:2, 1623:20, 1624:19, 1624:21, 1632:3, 1632:6, 1632:11, 1632:14, 1632:20, 1633:23, 1637:24, 1641:21, 1648:1, 1648:14, 1656:13, 1667:5, 1670:8, 1670:13, 1670:16, 1671:1, 1671:8, 1671:9, 1671:18, 1671:22, 1672:2, 1672:11, 1672:20, 1672:24, 1681:20, 1686:14, 1686:19, 1690:8, 1693:2, 1695:14, 1695:17, 1700:23, 1701:1, 1701:6, 1701:9, 1701:15, 1702:21, 1702:24, 1703:8, 1703:15, 1705:3, 1706:16, 1706:17, 1708:22, 1711:17, 1713:8, 1714:5, 1714:9 waters [1] - 1702:13 watershed [4] -1617:6, 1617:7, 1618:1, 1618:5

Watershed [6] -1617:6, 1617:12, 1665:24, 1667:20, 1676:11, 1676:12 waterways [1] -1621:15 Watson [4] - 1519:8, 1521:3, 1523:12, 1523:24 ways [3] - 1556:15, 1613:1, 1622:17

weaponry [1] -1549:3 weapons [2] -1549:7, 1569:20 website [4] -1554:20, 1589:5, 1592:12, 1625:15 week [1] - 1751:10 weight [2] - 1563:19,

1563:22 weighted [29] -1603:9, 1620:9, 1621:4, 1668:10.

1668:20, 1668:24, 1669:1, 1669:7,

1678:11, 1691:10, 1691:11, 1691:15, 1691:19, 1692:4, 1692:6, 1692:9, 1692:17, 1692:24, 1693:8, 1693:11, 1693:17, 1693:19, 1693:22, 1709:15, 1709:20, 1709:21, 1709:24, 1710:3, 1710:15 welcome [2] -1513:14, 1515:10 welfare [3] -1619:23, 1635:18, 1640:14 well-designed [1] -1528:23 well-drained [2] -1646:8, 1646:10 well-draining [3] -1614:4, 1614:12, 1636:10 well-known [1] -1564:7 well-suited [2] -1614:13 WELLER [8] -1535:15, 1581:19, 1651:9, 1651:12, 1651:23, 1652:4, 1698:8, 1738:22 Weller [6] - 1516:11, 1535:14, 1581:17, 1651:8, 1698:7, 1738:21 wells [4] - 1649:1, 1649:3, 1649:7, 1649:10 West [13] - 1512:11, 1519:10, 1527:14, 1531:20, 1595:8, 1630:4, 1714:5, 1716:12, 1718:3, 1718:24, 1724:16, 1725:22, 1734:13 west [9] - 1647:18, 1648:22, 1648:24, 1649:2, 1649:14, 1649:19, 1676:10, 1702:18, 1703:3 western [2] - 1576:4, 1576:7 Westminster [1] -1532:2 WESTTOWN [1] -1512:2 Westtown [9] -1513:5, 1524:21,

1527:7, 1604:18,

1628:18, 1645:21, 1674:2, 1717:19, 1723:12 wet [5] - 1625:20, 1670:1, 1670:2, 1695:24, 1696:7 wetland [3] - 1601:3, 1671:6, 1696:11 wetland-tolerant [1] - 1671:6 wetlands [22] -1602:10, 1611:2, 1611:7, 1611:10, 1611:12, 1621:16, 1665:7, 1665:9, 1665:11, 1669:20, 1670:1, 1670:6, 1670:15, 1675:23, 1695:23, 1696:1, 1696:6, 1696:7, 1713:8 Wharton [2] - 1654:8 whatsoever[1] -1643:5 whereas [1] -1614:10 WHEREOF [1] -1754:15 whole [10] - 1554:1, 1566:5, 1609:20, 1637:9, 1638:2, 1644:18, 1662:6, 1662:19, 1707:20, 1732:24 wide [1] - 1595:7 Widener [1] -1637:19 wider [2] - 1608:21, 1609:1 wilderness [1] -1572:8 William [1] - 1531:18 Wilmington [3] -1512:10, 1605:23, 1654:11 win [1] - 1552:23 wintertime [1] -1612:3 wish [2] - 1741:13, 1741:23 withdraw [1] -1565:4 witness [31] -1516:15, 1518:14, 1519:3, 1519:19, 1520:8, 1521:14,

1521:19, 1521:24,

1522:24, 1523:3,

1524:17, 1524:23,

1540:15, 1541:1,

1541:16, 1560:7, 1581:21, 1586:2, 1586:16, 1591:23, 1639:9, 1658:21, 1659:3, 1659:12, 1716:4, 1716:24, 1743:13, 1747:15, 1748:2, 1748:14, Witness [5] - 1540:6, 1585:24, 1657:24, 1715:17, 1740:15 WITNESS [103] -1532:17, 1533:1, 1533:4, 1533:13, 1533:22, 1535:24, 1536:15, 1536:24, 1537:9, 1537:15, 1537:19, 1538:2, 1538:15, 1538:20, 1539:2, 1539:17, 1540:5, 1550:18, 1561:6, 1562:17, 1571:24, 1579:17, 1582:3, 1582:12, 1586:23, 1592:5, 1593:3, 1593:14, 1598:6, 1598:19, 1647:20, 1648:6, 1648:11, 1648:17, 1649:5, 1649:20, 1650:1, 1651:11, 1651:18, 1652:1, 1652:15, 1653:16, 1653:20, 1653:24, 1654:6, 1654:10, 1654:14, 1654:21, 1655:11, 1655:21, 1656:1, 1656:19, 1657:4, 1657:23, 1659:17, 1698:15, 1698:22, 1699:7, 1699:19, 1700:1, 1700:12, 1700:15, 1701:17, 1701:23, 1702:7, 1702:15, 1703:6, 1703:15, 1703:22, 1704:18, 1705:11, 1706:4, 1706:8, 1706:13, 1706:21, 1707:11, 1707:19, 1708:12, 1709:5, 1709:11, 1709:17, 1710:24, 1711:12, 1711:22, 1712:2, 1712:9, 1713:2, 1713:6, 1713:21, 1714:11, 1715:2, 1717:8,

1717:16, 1736:5,

1736:23, 1737:7,

1739:1, 1739:14, 1739:18, 1739:24, 1740:3, 1752:3, 1754:15 witness' [2] -1540:22, 1638:13 witnesses [8] -1659:20, 1740:21, 1741:3, 1743:1, 1746:19, 1748:21, 1749:1, 1749:2 Wolter[1] - 1534:19 wonder [1] - 1556:18 wooded [1] -1664:15 woodlands [1] -1664:20 woods [3] - 1669:4, 1691:23, 1692:16 word [3] - 1569:15, 1700:7, 1707:16 words [10] - 1547:4, 1553:15, 1558:13, 1568:13, 1569:18, 1569:21, 1570:24, 1574:9, 1576:23, 1578:12 work-off [1] - 1734:6 works [4] - 1562:22, 1564:17, 1635:23, 1688:15 worksheet[1] -1711:14 World [3] - 1544:4, 1545:9, 1627:4 world [2] - 1552:24, 1555:7 worry [1] - 1566:11 worse [4] - 1566:9, 1609:5 worst [3] - 1519:24, 1682:7, 1682:10 wounded [1] -1584:22 write [1] - 1596:3 writing [3] - 1518:21, 1521:17, 1542:13

## X

written [3] - 1550:1,

**Xs** [2] - 1599:14, 1601:8 **XVIII** [1] - 1512:8

writings [2] -

1620:3, 1653:7

1562:22, 1578:20

# Υ

year [18] - 1542:16, 1543:2, 1544:5, 1595:3, 1619:20, 1665:17, 1665:18, 1665:19, 1665:20, 1666:4, 1666:5, 1666:6, 1671:3, 1703:18, 1726:19, 1734:16, 1739:24, 1740:4 years [11] - 1561:7, 1587:14, 1628:13, 1718:6, 1721:21, 1722:16, 1724:19, 1727:12, 1734:12, 1737:9, 1739:13 yesterday [1] -1571:16 yield [1] - 1532:19 yielded [3] -1719:12, 1720:2, 1723:14 young [3] - 1719:7, 1719:8, 1721:7 yourself [4] - 1514:3, 1515:2, 1520:23, 1584:3

## Z

zero [1] - 1600:8 zones [6] - 1634:1, 1661:11, 1661:13, 1661:15, 1696:17, 1705:15 zoning [2] - 1530:18, 1655:19 Zoning [1] - 1527:7