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get started. Good evening everyone, and
welcome to the conditional use hearing for
the Crebilly tract and Toll Brothers
developers. This is our tenth meeting. We
will please risefor our Pledge of
Allegiance.
(Pledge of Allegiance takes
place.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. As
at the last meetings we introduced the
Westtown Board of Supervisors. I would like
to introduce Mrs. Carol DeWolf, to my right,
vice chair; Mr. Tom Haws, police
commissioner, to her right. I'm Mike DiDomenico. And to my far left is Mr. Robert Pingar, our Township Manager; and Mr. Pat McKenna, our township solicitor. And at this time $I$ will turn the meeting over to Mr. McKenna.

MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman. Good evening, ladies and
gentlemen. Let me know if you can't hear me for some reason. This is the tenth hearing on this application. We were continued from October 24 th of 2017 .

As we have with every hearing
I'll ask, is there anyone recording the hearings this evening? Yes, sir. If you wouldn't mind identifying yourself.

MR. BRAXTON: John Braxton.
MR. MCKENNA: Anyone else?
Okay. We have a number of
housekeeping matters to get through tonight before we get to public comment. First, Mr. Thompson, $I$ just want to confirm with you, you did e-mail me earlier today and said that the Neighbors of Crebilly did not have any
other witnesses to present this evening. I just want to confirm that is still the case. MR. THOMPSON: That is still correct, Mr. McKenna.

MR. MCKENNA: You all do have a microphone at your table if you would like to use it.

At the last hearing we asked all parties if they had any objections to the exhibits to please submit those in writing. The only one I received was from Mr. Adelman. I believe all counsel received a copy of that.

Late this afternoon $I$ did receive a response from Ms. Camp in opposition to the objections by Mr. Adelman. I would like to mark those Board exhibits, I have pre-marked Toll's objections as Exhibit $B-32$, as in board, and $I$ have marked Ms. Camp's reply letter today as Exhibit B-34.

Anything further from any counsel on those objections?

MR. ADELMAN: Nothing further.

MS. CAMP: You said 32 was Mr.
Adelman's?
MR. MCKENNA: 32 is Gregg's
objections. 34 - - I'm skipping 33, I'll come back to that in a second -- was your reply.

Having reviewed the objections and the response from the Planning Commission, the township is going to overrule the objections from Toll. We are going to admit the exhibits as they have been presented. They are going to give them the weight to which they are entitled.

And for the record what we have
right now in terms of exhibits, Gregg, correct me if I'm wrong, from Toll I have Exhibits A-1 through A-40.

MR. ADELMAN: I believe that's correct. Let me double check, please. MR. MCKENNA: While you are looking, $I$ have Planning Commission 1 through Planning Commission 20. Neighbors for Crebilly I have NC-1 through NC-6. West Chester Area School District $I$ have SD-1. Thornbury Township I have Thornbury 1 .

I'm going to mark as Exhibit B-33-- while you are looking, Gregg, I'm going to do some housekeeping - - was an e-mail that Mr. Adelman sent to me and all counsel dated November 20th, 2017 . What Mr. Adelman put in writing was that Toll is going to give the township an extension of time to provide a written decision in this case. So let me explain that a little briefly.

The Board is required to give their decision both orally, they have to vote at a public meeting, and in writing within 45 days from the time that we close the record, which we all anticipate will be tonight.

So theoretically, 45 days from today a written decision and an oral decision of the Board has to be rendered and supplied to all the parties.

Mr. Haws did not make
reelection, and $I$ know it is the stated
intention of the Board to have this Board as a group, since they have heard all the hearings, vote on this application. It was Toll's stated desire to have a decision
before the end of the year, so those two things were in common.

So the Board is going to render a decision before the end of the year. Specifically, I'll give you the date. It is going to be on December $28 t h$ of this year at 6:00 p.m. at the township building. That will be the oral decision of the Board. Because the Board is making a decision before the end of the year the applicant has given us 45 more days from that date to issue the written decision. Given the amount of evidence, the transcripts and the number of exhibits, it is going to take some time to put the decision together. So Toll has agreed in writing to give the township an extension so long as they render a decision before December 29th, which we are going to do.

That decision will be noticed. It will be on the LISTSERV. It will be on the township's website. But it is going to be December 28 th at 6:00 p.m. at the township building.

Mr. Adelman, did I state that correctly?

MR. ADELMAN: That is correct.
MR. MCKENNA: All right.Are
you agreeing with Exhibits $A-1$ through $A-40$ ?
MR. ADELMAN: Yes, I am. I
move for admission.

MR. MCKENNA: Ms. Camp, you are
good with Planning Commission 1 through Planning Commission 20?

MS. CAMP: Correct.

MR. MCKENNA: And, Mr.
Thompson, Neighbors of Crebilly, it is 1 through 6?

MR. THOMPSON: 1 through 6. I would note that Toll has objected to NC-2, and I would join in the Planning Commission's rebuttal of that since it is the same as PC-18, the Sean Moir map.

MR. MCKENNA: Understood. We
are going to admit all of the exhibits as presented. We are going to overrule allof the objections. I wanted to make sure we had them correct before we did so.

Last week I had corresponded with the represented parties, the lawyers in this case. The Board has asked for what we call findings of fact and conclusions of law. We have let counsel know that that deadine is December 15th, it is a Friday, by 5:00 o'clock. Any party is welcome to submit them, but $I$ will tell you that findings of fact require that you have to cite to the record, which means you have to cite to the transcript and you have to cite the exhibits, and the conclusions of law requires legal conclusions.

Typically just the lawyers do it. But I don't want to foreclose anyone from doing it. Any party has the right to submit them. So if you so desire, they are due in my office by 5:00 o'clock on December 15th, which is a Friday.

The Board is going to meet at least twice in executive session to discuss the matter in December. We currently have December 5th at 6:00 p.m. scheduled, and we have December 14th at 2:00 p.m. scheduled. I
want to announce that ahead of time. If we have any additional executive sessions before the decision is rendered, we will let you know about that the night the decision is rendered.

All right. So those are all the preliminary matters.

Copies of the written decision when it is finally done and signed will be sent via regular mail to all parties, number one, as well as the applicant. It will also be posted on the website. So in case you aren't a party, you will have the opportunity to review it. But as a party it will be provided to you as it is required.

Tonight there is no further evidence or witnesses by any of the parties, so we are devoted solely to public comment. If anybody showed up late, there are sign-in sheets down in the front here that we would ask you to please sign in. Some of them are for Westtown residents. Some of them are for non-Westtown residents. We are going to use that to keep track of public comment this
evening.
In general, we are going to limit public comment to four minutes because we have so many people. That's also the same consistent policy that we have for regular Board meetings. So four minutes of public comment. We are going to keep track of the time, and you are going to hear the alarm go off. It is kind of like oral argument for us, so we are going to ask you to wrap it up if you are still going.

If you are reading a statement, I would like a copy of it. If you wouldn't mind giving me the copy that you have. I'm going to collectively mark any written statement, whether you are here tonight or whether it was provided to the township from the last hearing until now, we are going to mark that as a Board exhibit. That's going to be Exhibit B-35. So that the Board will have it and you will have the opportunity to review it as they are deliberating.

In addition, if you give me
your statement $I$ can then give it to the
court reporter, which she can use when she is putting her notes of testimony together, so that will be a big help.

If you are reading, please speak slowly. We have a tendency, myself included, to speak rather fast when we are reading. Please try to speak up, because the court reporter is going to try to get it down for everyone this evening.

> Please put your name and
address on the statement if you are handing it up so that $I$ know whose statement it is.

Last thing, you all have been very professional, very courteous, very respectful throughout this entire process. I think the Board has commented on that extensively. I will tell you from my years of experience, it is unusual, but it is certainly welcome. So $\quad$ would simply ask if you please continue that here this evening. It is your opportunity to be heard. We want to hear from you. The Board wants to know how you feel. We just ask that you please be respectful of the applicant, of the Board, please be respectful of the others that are here this evening who want to be heard. So that's why we are limiting it to four minutes.

If you have duplicative comments, please keep that in mind. Try to keep them short so that we don't run afoul of somebody else that may want to be heard.

Again, please speak up so that the court reporter can hear you. I think that's all.
One more time, all right, one
last time, if you are just arriving, we do have sign-in sheets down front. We ask you to please sign in. That's going to be my list that $I$ use to call everyone up for public comment. Some sign-in sheets arefor Westtown residents. Some are for non-Westtown residents.

Mr. Adelman, do you have any
other housekeeping matters?
MR. ADELMAN: No, I do not.
MR. MCKENNA: Counsel, anything
further?

MS. CAMP: Nothing.
MR. MCKENNA: All right. We are going to take the names in the order in which they are on the sheet. We are going to take the Westtown residents first. If I mispronounce your name, I apologize. Please let me know so that $I$ don't continue to do that.

So there are two microphones down at the end of each aisle. They are working. Please feel free to take them off the stand, however you are comfortable, and that will be for public comment.

First on the list is Bill
Vosburgh, 1151 Lake Drive in Westtown. Please.

MR. VOSBURGH: My name is Bill
Vosburgh, 1151 Lake Drive. I have about four quick topics, one on sewer, roads -MR. MCKENNA: Hold on. And slow down a little bit and speak up. She can't hear you.

The more you can look at the court reporter, the better, and closer you
can get into that microphone, where it is really uncomfortable, the better.

MR. VOSBURGH: I wanted to do
four minutes. That's why I'm talking fast.
I have four topics, water, and
sewer, roads, district heritage considerations.

For water, my family has lived here since March of 1958. Our well is 32 feet deep. It has changed depth twice. Once in the 1970 s, when they built - THE CHAIRMAN: Hold on a
minute. We can't hear you.
MR. VOSBURGH: You can't hear
me?
MS. DEWOLF: Put the microphone straight.

MR. VOSBURGH: Is that better? I'm almost touching it. Okay.

We have been here since 1958 . We have a 32-foot well. It has changed depth twice, once in the 1970 s when they built houses along Birmingham Road, once in the 1990 shen Dave Robinson and his family
developed, when they built the Robinson tract south of 926 .

I had my well tested in
February and March of this year, and it is still 20 feet down, the total depth. Since this development is going right between, I'm very concerned that creek will change my well. If that's the case $I$ would like you to drill me a new well. Okay.

The next item is sewer. A
sewer system being proposed I think is an excellent thing to have. I don't mean it negatively, but $I$ see nothing that would go well with that. My only concern is if something does happen, then they provide sewer systems for everybody, or if they contaminate our wells, they provide public water for everybody.

> Roads. The internal roads they
have suggested at 30 feet are really too narrow for living. I don't know if they are public roads or private roads. If they become public, $I$ hope we don't have to pay for any improvements. Everything will be
done by them.
They have suggested that school buses should be at the end of the, they should not go through the developments. That will be almost a disaster. The reason being, I watched the school buses in the morning come down, and they are at the end of the street, the parents drive there.

My development will be one to three parents, one to three cars at the end of our street. Well, since this development is about 16 times bigger, that will be between 15 and 48 cars every morning waiting for the school bus. I think that will be most unfair, not just there, for the traffic congestion on Pleasant Grove or 926 . Probably Pleasant Grove.

Also, the development, they
have no parking that $I$ can see that is really useful to the residents. So once the residents move in, there is not going to be any space, and you are going to have a city within a rural township or suburban township, and $I$ think that is totally unfair to the
residents.
Also for the roads, since $I$
moved back, I bought my house in 1992, I have driven through the 926/202 intersection between 22- and 25,000 times, so I have a very good idea of what it is like.

Now, before the bridge was out, the traffic was extremely backed. I could not make left turns out of my development. Once the bridge was closed, really got very nice and pleasant because $I$ could drive up and down 926 without a problem.

Since the bridge has been reopened all the traffic has not come back, which is good for Toll because it is not as bad. But it is still not acceptable.

An average time or a common time coming west on 926 toward 202 , if $I$ stop at the abandoned house, if I'm stopped there, that can be a five- to ten-minute stop to get through the light.

The last time $I$ was stopped behind, it was really a lot of fun, because $I$ was so pleased, $I$ got there early. I was
looking in the rear view mirror. Before I left the line was back over the hill, back to Bridlewood. So my stop was five minutes. The next people were not.

The reason it was sofast, there were no trucks for some reason on 202 during that small time. Behind me the trucks came up. The line got longer. If you get to Bridlewood, the wait is ten to 25 minutes. Again, it all depends on trucks. That seems to be the very big thing.

MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Vosburgh, you hit four minutes. If you wouldn't mind trying to reach the end, I would appreciate that.

MR. VosBurgh: The most important thing is our history, and $I$ think what happened on this property is probably tantamount to our Revolutionary War success. The generals, the people who joined the battle here, some of them were up where the farmhouse is on 926, some of them were up the hill all the way to where the fence ends.

The fact that this part held on
for as long as it did kept the Army from being trapped and kept the American Revolution alive. That's the most important thing. We cannot change, nobody can change. That's our history.

Okay. I'm sorry to go so long. MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.

Vosburgh. I appreciate that. Tony Simkovich, 821 Kimberly Lane.

MR. SIMKOVICH: Okay?
MR. MCKENNA: Absolutely.
MR. SIMKOVICH: I have lived
here for 33 years. My wife lived on Shady Drive when she grew up. We lived just on the other side of Stetson. But before I start, could I ask by a show of hands of the supervisors how many of you folks live on the other side of 202?

MR. MCKENNA: You mean the west side of 202?

MR. SIMKOVICH: The west side Of 202. I think it is just Mrs. DeWolf. MR. MCKENNA: Correct.

MR. SIMKOVICH: I only do that because $I$ think you need to have a personal stake in what we are going through on the other side of 202. And I'm sure Ms. Dewolf knows that.

First and foremost, $I$ have a concern about, obviously, the traffic. If you go down here on 926, 8:00 o'clock in the morning, and it takes you about 20,25 minutes to get through the light at 202 . That being said, the traffic gets backed up from 202 all the way back almost to New Street. I don't believe there was any eyeball testing done on the traffic recommendation based upon the traffic patterns.

Secondly, $I$ don't think they took into account how to get to 202 now that they have closed off Rosary Lane in west Goshen Township.

Thirdly, how Westtown Woods and Jacqueline Drive with speed bumps, I'm not sure that's the best solution for traffic pattern in our area. And last thing
regarding traffic, $I$ think that whatever is done traffic wise, $I$ think Toll Brothers and PennDOT are a package deal and they need to be lumped together in whatever street resolution is done. It is not just a Toll Brothers problem. It is not a PennDot problem. It is a gross traffic problem that has to be solved.

Secondly, on water, water table
and runoff, about eight years ago we had an event called Hurricane Ivan. Crebilly Farms was not rolling hills. Crebilly Farm was a brown lake. And $I$ fear that anything, any increased storms we are having is going to make the problem even worse. So if we go back, I couldn't get home on South New Street for a day or two because of the water situation.

I don't know whether you have researched it or not, but $I$ think you ought to take a look at it.

Let me see. Also, too, the economic assessment $I$ think is incomplete. How long is it going to take to sell these
houses? What about the impact to our housing values, the property values? And also, what is going to happen in terms of increased costs on our property taxes with new schools and infrastructure?

Let me see. I guess bottom line, quality of life in Chester County is at stake. That being said, I think you folks did a tremendous job. I was to all the meetings, the planning meetings and the Supervisors' meetings all except one, and I'm glad you guys didn't explode, because it has been a real challenge. So thank you again for your service, and hopefully the quality of life will be sustained. MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr. Simkovich. Cecilia Wright, 1151 Lake Drive.

So I have a suggestion of one of our Supervisors. What we are going to do is call up the next one as well so we can have you at the ready as soon as the next person is done. That's Mr. Pomerantz, Dick

Pomerantz, 1005 Robin Drive, if $I$ can have you next.

Go ahead, ma'am.
MS. WRIGHT: Thank you. I
appreciate the attention that you have spent to the details and allowing us to comment on that. I have sat through every meeting but one. And I think it is a shame that it was left to the very end to have comments from the public because that is who is going to be impacted.

I doubt people that are
representing Toll Brothers or the people that
they have as specialists live in the area that's being impacted. I highly doubt it is a personal issue for them. Maybe it is, but I doubt it.

Your decisions are
irreversible. You are holding a very heavy weight on your shoulders. This is something that's going to impact not just the people that are here and concerned but their children, their children's children, and their children's children, and so on. So you
are looking at hundreds of years of your decision and what people will think of what goes on with property and why decisions have been made the way they were.

I don't -- I would welcome that
for myself. I think that it is a difficult and challenging decision that you have. But I think you should keep it in the foremost of your mind that this is something that is not just offhanded or something that can be taken in a careless manner.

The differences are numerous
for the area. Traffic has been the major concern because we live with it every day. The traffic is horrible. The traffic is not attended to. And the roads stink. There is potholes everywhere. You look at 202, they are backed up to Matlack Street on a regular basis. So traffic is something that needs to be considered whether the houses are built or not built.

But we have significant impacts on our schools, as represented by representative of the school district.

The views will be impacted by a specialist that spoke a couple of meetings a g o.

We have historical connections
that are impacted. Sewage. And a significant increase in the township costs, whether that's obvious or not.

I think preserving the
Brandywine Battle area is of utmost importance because of the historical significance, and not replaceable. It is something that we cannot really ignore.

Because I am in research and it
is sort of something that I am concerned about, I looked at the extensive lawsuits around Toll Brothers. And when you Google Toll Brothers what comes up are many lawsuits, many, hundreds of lawsuits that Toll Brothers has had to deal with.

So I'm not going to get into
that. You can Google that yourself. Recently, as of November 23 rd, 2017 .

But their issue that $I$ think is
important to bring out is the quality of the
building. What they intend to build, whether it be 300 or 400 , the quality of what they build seems to be in question.

There are stucco damage, water damage, roofing material is shoddy, faulty construction, failure to comply with building codes, houses that were built with vinyl bricks, whatever that might be. I'm not sure.

They also in their budget have awhile back, two years back, estimated a liability of $\$ 80$ million that they needed to pay out and it is currently at $\$ 324.4$ million. So they recognize that what they are building is not going to withstand even ten years of time.

The Consumer Affairs website also has leaky windows, defective heaters, mold, foundation damage, trusses not attached. Well, $I$ would think that would be an important thing.

So somehow in the beginning of all of this, once they get whatever they have in their pre-approval and all that is
handled, who is really going to look at what the house, how the house is built and the quality of the house? Because they have many, many issues with even the state of Pennsylvania attorneys.

So I think that those things
need to be thought about and considered, especially if you are in any way considering 400 houses versus 300 houses.

And the other thing that $I$
think that the Board would need to really consider is the value of the area where Mr. Robinson's house stands, so the area where the Brandywine Battle was actually fought.

I haven't heard anything that was a real impact to the community that they started on the first meeting. I haven't heard any reason to say, hey, yea, this will be nice. I can't think of one. So maybe that's the consideration, would be that part of that area, maybe up to the fence, let them build the houses along 202 and let the new people put up with the 202 traffic and keep them off of 926.

I don't know how they are going to get in and out of their community. All of you need to drive down Pleasant Grove Road. All of you need to look at the traffic on New Street, because that's having significant -MR. MCKENNA: Ma'am, you have hit your four minutes, if you wouldn't mind wrapping up.

MS. WRIGHT: I actually knew I had. So I wanted to say thank you for your consideration of all the facts that are not necessarily talked about. Thank you.

MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, ma'am.
Mr. Pomerantz has the microphone, and we have on deck Richard Weaver, 1014 South Chester Road. So after Mr. Pomerantz is Mr. Weaver.

MR. POMERANTZ: Tell me if it is loud enough for you.

MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Pomerantz, I have never had a problem hearing you.

MR. POMERANTZ: Dick Pomerantz, Richard Pomerantz, 1005 Robin Drive. I'm chairman of the Westtown Planning Commission,
and $I$ want to make sure that everybody understands I'm not here speaking as the chairman of the Westtown Planning Commission, nor am $I$ here to represent any point of view other than $m y$ own as a 30 -plus-year resident. Many of those who are here are had the opportunity to hear and to sit through the Planning Commission proceedings that took place on the 40-plus conditions that were recommended. I'm not here to discuss those.

But this does lead me to three points $I$ would like to make and bring to the attention of the Board. First, on behalf of the residents a thank you to the Board for your diligence in listening to the hours upon hours of expert testimony, and l know more than, as those other members of the PC, we know that as many hours as you put in here is exponentially more of what you have had to read. So more power to you and thank you for listening.
Second, I took the liberty to
review all the minutes of every PC meeting
with Toll, as well as every single page of every transcript of each of the meetings with the Board of Supervisors. And there is one comment that Andrew from Toll made in response to a question during the $P C$ meeting that still resonates. He basically was asked the question: From everything that you have heard -- and he was asked this at almost every meeting -- have you heard anything that has helped change your mind? And he said: No, I want to keep hearing and listening to, all the way to the end.

Respectfully, it would appear that as of this point, from what $I$ have read, there has been no flexibility on the part of Toll. They have, as everybody would have expected, they have argued against about everything. And if they have agreed to any change of plans $I$ simply haven't seen them. But, of course, $I$ may be looking in the wrong places.

And in fairness, $I$ took Toll at face value to be open to any of the ideas, any of the recommendations, any thoughts of
the expert testimony, as well as the residents.

Instead, I think what we have seen, and $I$ say this with respect, is intransigence. It has been the Toll way or no way. And $I$ understand the job that both Andrew and Gregg have to do. They both have their jobs to do, and $I$ respect both of them, as I do with the Robinson family.

One of my favorite comments or pieces of literature is the Pulitzer prize-winning Profiles in Courage by John Fitzgerald Kennedy. And this is really for the Board. As you decide and make a decision as to what, where you are going to take this process to, $I$ want to remind you, if you haven't read the book, read it. It has to do with the challenges that range from, that people have to make that range from conflict to moral leadership, and the different decisions they have to make where they didn't go for the expedient decision, and in so doing they showed graceful courage under the most intense pressure.

And, thus, if you feel that
Toll has demonstrated a genuine concern and care for $W$ Westown in terms of their proposal, of Toll's proposal, that Toll has demonstrated a sincere understanding of how important Crebilly has been to us and that their proposal reflects the history and understanding the history and cultural character of the township, then, if you decide to approve, then hopefully you will approve it with the almost four dozen conditions that were suggested or proposed or recommended by the Planning Commission. However, should you conclude that Toll's interests have not sufficiently reflected that of what Crebilly has meant to the township, and, instead, has looked at Crebilly as a piece of property to fill with houses strewn across its landscape, instead of understanding that for us in this township it is an iconic centerpiece of our township, and if you understand that, and if you see it that way, then $I$ strongly and respectfully encourage you to take the road less traveled,
and much more challenging, by voting no to this development, and then find a way, and then hopefully through the leadership of the Board, Township Manager, Mr. McKenna, the good faith of Toll and the Robinson family, find a way to make the Robinson family whole and Toll whole, while at the same time allowing Crebilly to remain the soul of our township. Thank you.

MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.
Pomerantz. Mr. Pomerantz, if you wouldn't mind, Jody, can you grab that from him. We will collect any of the written statements you have. Again, we will mark them collectively as a Board exhibit.

Richard weaver is at the microphone. On deck is David Raab, $R-A-A-B$, of 112 Macroom Avenue.

MR. WEAVER: My name is Richard Weaver, and I am a resident of the township at 1014 South Chester Road. I have been a resident of the township now for 32 years, and $\quad$ basically have one overriding question to the Board of Supervisors, and that is:

Other than for the additional tax revenue that this development will bring, $I$ would like to hear one, one benefit that this development is going to bring to the residents of this township. You put out a lot of public notice of how important the residents of Westtown are, and that westtown is its residents.

This development is going to do nothing but create problems for your residents. And $I$ cannot see any possibility that the increased tax revenue has of coming close to balancing that loss to your residents. Thank you. MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, sir. Mr. Raab, David Raab. MR. RAAB: I don't have a comment. MR. MCKENNA: No comment.

Thank you.
Marilyn Powell.
MS. POWELL: No, no comment.
I'm just listening.

MR. MCKENNA: Okay. Ellen
Steele.
MS. STEELE: No comment.
MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, ma'am.
Bob Daull, 1163 Lake Drive.
MR. DAULL: Hi. I'm Bob Daull,
1163 Lake Drive. And I'm a 35 -year resident of Westtown Township.

I just have an observation and then a request. There have been many issues raised over the past year, such as the traffic situation, the schools, the taxes, waste treatment, and the history of this piece of property. My primary concern that's already been addressed here very succinctly over the past 15,20 minutes and that's the traffic situation.

I think we can all agree that a minimum of 700 vehicles will be added to the traffic congestion that already exists along Route 926 between 202 and South New Street, and $I$ don't see where any of the proposed recommendations of the traffic engineers would resolve this problem.

My request, and $I$ ask the Supervisors, when you are deciding how to vote on this project that you please give a lot of weight, well, to all the issues because they are all important, but to the traffic issue, and that you also give weight to the fact that your vote would maintain the beautiful landscape that we have at Crebilly Farm, or you will change it permanently. So I ask you to give those matters a lot of weight when you are making your decision on how you are going to vote. Thank you.

MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr. Daull. Gloria Daull is at the microphone. Jennifer Kramer is next after. Ms. Daull. MS. GLORIA DAULL: I live at 1163 Lake Drive. Lake Drive is in between New Street and Birmingham Road, rightoff Route 926 .

My first comment, $\quad$ want to
applaud Mr. Vosburgh for mentioning the wells. If the wells were to get damaged by this development, I also feel Toll Brothers
should fix the water problems that would result.

Aside from that, I'm addressing the Township Supervisors, I'm not going to repeat what has been discussed regarding traffic, emergency vehicles not being able to drive through, and the possibility of having to build a new school, and the history and environment.

I ask the Township Supervisors
to think of the citizens in this room and other residents of the area before you cast your vote. Think of the fact that none of us want 300-plus new homes in our area. Think of how this would impact Westtown.

I would like to mention that
the Crebilly Farm site could benefit the community by making it into a year-round facility, it could be used for a daycare, winter and summer sports, wedding venue, biking and hiking trails.

I implore you, the Township
Supervisors, to think of the citizens of our beautiful township before you cast your vote.

Thank you.
MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, ma'am. Jennifer Kramer is at the microphone. After her is, $I$ believe it is Kristin Kramer of 1046 Dunvegan. All right, ma'am.

MS. JENNIFER KRAMER: First of all, $I$ would like to thank the Board for their work sofar.

My family has lived on Dunvegan Road since 1980, and $I$ have lived here my entire life, so we are clearly vested in Westtown's development and improvement.

I know others have mentioned it, but $I$ am also going to talk about the traffic. I have been very skeptical of the due diligence done towards the traffic studies. I attended Representative Comitta's meeting with PennDOT earlier this year. And it seems like Toll's plans largely correspond with PennDOT's plan to alleviate current traffic, not with the additional 1200 or so trips per day.

Furthermore, the studies
completed neglected the considerable traffic that travels 202 through Jacqueline Drive, which --

MR. MCKENNA: Ma'am, can I ask you to slow down a little bit --

MS. JENNIFER KRAMER: Sure.
MR. MCKENNA: -- because the reading is a little difficult. I apologize to interrupt.

MS. JENNIFER KRAMER: That's fine.

Furthermore, the studies completely neglected the traffic that goes through Jacqueline Drive to 202, which not only impacts those West town residents but also my family personally. We have attended every meeting regarding this matter, and absolutely no projections have been made about traffic coming out of the west Pleasant Grove exits from the carriage homes and cutting through Dunvegan Road to get to New Street in the event of traffic, and then to Jacqueline Drive and 202 .

Our neighborhood has many
pedestrians and children, and no sidewalks. And any increase in non-neighborhood traffic is a cause for concern, much less increased traffic by irritated commuters. Add to the financial and environmental impact of the building and loss of scenic views and historic resources, there is nothing about this development which benefits westtown residents. Only significant threats to our quality of life and potentially our safety. Thank you.

MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, ma'am. Kristin Kramer.

MS. KIRSTIN KRAMER: No comment.

MR. MCKENNA: No comment.
Thank you, ma'am.
Leonard Mammucari is next at the microphone. And after Mr. Mammucari is Ann Repetto.

MS. REPETTO: No comment.
MR. MCKENNA: No comment.
Thank you, ma'am.
MR. MAMMUCARI: Good evening. I would like to make a brief statement, since I haven't won the lottery yet.

Tonight $I$ believe everyone here knows how $I$ stand on Crebilly Farm, with the position that the Board should especially consider the recommendations of the Planning Board which Toll Brothers development can't comply with.

I suggest with the traffic
problems in the township already and with specific $202 / 926$ problems that the traffic study presented by Toll Brothers is totally inaccurate and a study -- and the development would multiply congestion.

As far as sewer, drip, for which 300 homes in the township, which already has had approval from the Pennsylvania Environmental Protection Agency -- I assume that's the right one -- to proceed with what $I$ think is monumentally in a good year, a good four-year plan. Why should we consider a drip system?

Bring back the ten-acre subdivision settlement, if not farming, I
submit that to the Township Supervisors.
MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.
Mammucari. Next I would like Amy Harkins, and after Ms. Harkins is Ken and Anne Cangin of Bracken Court. Amy Harkins to the mic.

MS. HARKINS: No comment.
MR. MCKENNA: No comment, okay. Ken or Anne Cangin. Thank you, sir. I'm sorry if $I^{\prime} m$ saying that incorrectly. MR. CANGIN: Ken Cangin from 742 Bracken Court, my wife Anne Marie is with me.

I would like to open my comments by saying $I$ respect the fact, having worked in business for 40 years, that Toll Brothers has a business and is trying to make a profit and maximize their share of its profits.

That being said, part of being in business is being a good corporate citizen and a good neighbor. I don't necessarily believe, $I$ don't necessarily believe that that is always the case. Some cases maybe, but not always the case.

I was here for one meeting and our superintendent of schools was told when there was a shortfall, by the Toll Brothers, raise taxes. My biggest concern about that is that if the tax base goes up, and it may well do that if the houses don't sell at the projected value, and they drop or get sold off, so we don't have some uninhabited eyesore sitting in Crebilly, that the tax base that goes up will drive our current seniors out of their existing homes and damage what we have here, which is a beautiful, beautiful township. Thank you. MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, sir. Myron Grubaugh, 1024 Dunvegan Road. And after Mr. Grubaugh is Ginger Gray of Jacqueline Drive. MR. GRUBAUGH: Would you like $m y ~ c o p y, ~ m y ~ h a r d ~ c o p y ? ~$ MR. MCKENNA: When you are done, if you wouldn't mind, sir, we would like that copy. Thank you.

MR. GRUBAUGH: Good evening.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment on
these proceedings. My name is Myron Grubaugh. My wife and I reside at 1024 Dunvegan Road.

First of all, I wanted to express my deep, deep disappointment at this and past Board of Supervisors for the utter and complete lack of action and disregardon doing what many other surrounding communities have already done. Namely, establish an open land preservation fund for this community. As an example, if we took a $\$ 100$ tax per household for every year since we moved into this township in 2000, based on approximately 3700 households, this township would have had approximately $\$ 5.55$ million to contribute toward the price required to place Crebilly Farm into conservancy as of 2015 . Instead, over the years all of the westtown boards did nothing. So, here we are, fighting Toll Brothers and the Robinsons to try and save this beautiful farm, our history and preserve some level of quality of life. When we moved here, I had no idea how important that farm was to the

Battle of Brandywine. Mr. Mike Miller showed us how important the preservation of Crebilly can be to our future, and to our past. Staff rides by current military commanders and leaders allow them to understand the importance that landscapes can have on a battle, and allow them to use that knowledge in current military campaigns.

I am a degreed electrical
engineer. I have attended all of the Planning Commission meetings and the Board of Supervisors conditional use meetings about this development. I have to admit that I am completely dumbfounded as to how this development can be allowed to move forward. I have heard nothing about concrete development plans. Instead, I have heard about preliminary design plans, conditional design plans, and conceptual design plans. I don't understand how the BOS can be asked to approve such a complex development without actual concrete plans and specifications. At least, the development can be delayed until real plans and
specifications can be produced and delivered to the Supervisors so that they can perform a true and thorough review of the proposed development. Then, and only then, can the Board make a truly informed decision about whether this development should be allowed to move forward.

Also, given the new information
about the probability that skirmishes did occur on Crebilly Farm, it would be completely remiss of the Board of Supervisors to fail to impose a requirement of ground penetrating radar to determine if there is, indeed, bodies buried on Crebilly, or other buried, historically significant, artifacts that would be destroyed upon development. Once you start tearing up the ground, you can't turn back.

Finally, $\quad$ want to enter into the public record a portion of a letter that was written by O. James Lighthizer, president of the Civil War Trust, to the Westtown Planning Commission. The Civil War Trust is a nonpartisan, nonprofit organization devoted
to the preservation America's hallowed battlefields, including Revolutionary War battlefields. According to the date of the letter, Mr. Lighthizer sent this letter to the Planning Commission on or around october 2, 2017. In this letter he states: With this letter, I seek to convey the Trust's concern with the Toll Brothers' proposed development of the $322.36-a c r e$ site known locally as "Crebilly Farm," located in Westtown Township. Significantly, this property is situated within the historic footprint of the Brandywine Battlefield. Later in the same issue he states: Although the Civil War Trust recognizes that people need places to live, work and shop, we believe it is possible to balance development with historic resource and land preservation, so local communities can benefit from the economic opportunities that both can provide. Preserved battlefields are heritage tourism magnets that generate revenue for states and localities through the county, through the
county. Further, passive and educational recreational open spaces like battlefields contribute to the quality of life of local residents. (Sandy Hollow Heritage Park being a local example of such benefits). A housing development can be moved; historic battlefields cannot, and once they are destroyed they are gone forever.

There are many other points I could make about the problems the development, this development will cause, from wastewater issues, traffic congestion, school overcrowding, to increased taxes that all of us will be forced to pay for this development. I could also point out all the horror stores that have been made public about other Toll Brothers developments. Somebody already thankfully did that. But I will leave that to all my fellow Westtown neighbors.

Finally, $I$ urge you to deny this conditional use application, based on lack of proper planning and engineering design, loss of quality of life for those
residents who will be most affected by the development, significant worsening of already horrible traffic and congestion problems, and the loss of a historically significant part of a Revolutionary War battlefield ground. Then, if you deny it, you must take action to preserve the farm in the future, while providing a means for the owners to profit from the sale of their property, as is their right. You can't simply deny this application and expect this to not happen again.

Thank you for your time.
Respectfully submitted.
MR. MCKENNA: Ginger Gray is next at the microphone. After Ms. Gray is Jim or Sue Mutter of Jacqueline Drive. Ma'am, are you able to reach that?

MS. GRAY: Is this okay?
MR. MCKENNA: Yes. Please get
real close to the microphone.
MS. GRAY: I would like to
remind the Board of Supervisors, which I'm
sure isn't necessary, that when you were elected to these positions it was with the promise that you would make decisions in the best interests of the people of Westtown. And then $I$ would challenge you to show us one thing about this proposed development that is in our best interests.

We have heard the various experts, a Ph. D. on environmental topics who warns about Crebilly being a special consideration considering the streams on the property and the danger to the Brandywine watershed, which Wilmington gets their drinking water from eventually.

We have heard from Dr. Scanlon, the superintendent of the West Chester School District, who tells us that this is going to have a financial impact on our school district, not to mention the impact on the quality of life here. And $I$ don't think there is anybody in this room who believes the traffic experts who tell us that a simple left turn lane is going to solve all of our traffic problems. Anybody that sat on 926 or

202 knows that this is a fallacy.
You know, and then to the
Brandywine Battlefield. A portion of the American Revolution, where we fought hard, our Patriots fought hard for our independence and our freedom, the freedom to stand here and speak for ourselves to topics like this. We cannot deny people the quality of life that they moved here for. And if this development is allowed to go forward, it is going to be on your conscience because we are not going to be able to gain back all of what we lose.

Why, when we have heard from presidents of local homeowners' association of Toll Brothers' developments, who have been in litigation for years with these people due to shoddy workmanship, do we think that Westtown is suddenly going to be different than all of the rest?

They are going to build these houses with their shoddy workmanship. They are going to take the money and run. And Westtown is going to be left holding the bag.

And $I$ would urge the Board of Supervisors to vote against this and protect the quality of life for everyone in Westtown. Thank you. MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, ma'am. Jim or Sue Mutter at the microphone, and on deck is Francis Field. MR. FIELD: No comment. MR. MCKENNA: No comment for Francis Field.

MS. MUTTER: Thank you. Sue Mutter, 604 Jacqueline Drive in westtown. I just want to thank our Supervisors for your time, for your careful consideration of all of this evidence and information that you have been listening to for over a year, for every person that came out on Monday night after a long Thanksgiving weekend, you can be sure that there are dozens and dozens of residents who feel just as passionately we do who are unable to be here.

And I have seen them in over
the year that we have been attending meetings. I have seen many, many faces. I
have yet to meet a fellow resident who feels even mixed about this development. And as everyone has already said, $I$ don't see any benefits to it.

But while $I$ have confidence in you guys to consider all the evidence, I ask you to be brave, as brave as the men that fought on this sacred land, to vote no. Don't fear the threats of lawsuits and deep pockets that Toll Brothers has. We are behind you. We will support you in that if you vote no.

And if you are unable to do
that, then attach every condition that you can to this development. And, again, we support you in the long battle ahead.

But what $I$ just want to draw attention to is that these two men are the representatives of Toll Brothers. So they are the faces of Toll Brothers. I'm a substitute teacher in the west Chester schools, and they are reminding me of a couple middle school students sitting in the back of the room, snacking, with their legs
crossed. Oh, my. These two men and Toll Brothers do not deserve to be stewards of this historic, sacred, precious land and cannot be replaced. So vote no. MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, ma'am. Next at the microphone is Michele Barbacane. After Ms. Barbacane is Bob Mastrovito of Sharon Circle. MS. BARBACANE: Good evening. I would like to, first of all, thank the Board for representing us as citizens and residents of the township.

I have lived here 26 years. I am on the west side, off of West Pleasant Grove Road. Coming here this evening I had to wait for three traffic lights, coming out of West Pleasant Grove Road, just to make a left-hand turn to get down on 926 .

I was going to talk a lot about
the traffic. I think we have discussed that pretty thoroughly. It just aggravates people who live here tremendously. Since I first moved here it has gotten progressively worse. I can't imagine how difficult it will be to
maneuver yourself around this township with the addition of that many more residents and cars.

We no longer can make a left off of West Pleasant Grove Road. If you go the opposite direction, you can no longer make a right onto Rosary Drive. So I know to get places I'm going down and making U-turns and going backwards and forwards. So it is already bad. And i implore you to really have that be a consideration. Yes, we would get more tax revenue in the township. You also will have a lot of very, very aggravated people who live here.

I moved here because I thought it was a beautiful and lovely township. I still think that it is.
It just, I implore you to
follow your conscience in making a decision about taking the gem of our township away, because once it is gone, it is gone, and there is no getting it back. There is a history, there is a beauty, there is a flow
in this township that is being interrupted and disrupted daily, and we really don't want to make it worse.

And $I$ know that you guys work hard and you spend a lot of time devoted to this township. I thank you for that. And I hope that you will bear in mind that you are representing the residents, and it is the residents who want to stay here and live here and do it in some sort of a peaceable, smooth manner. Thank you.

MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, ma'am.
Bob Mastrovito at the
microphone. After Mr. Mastrovito is Rebecca Daull of Lake Drive.

MR. MASTROVITO: Bob
Mastrovito, 825 Sharon Circle. I have been a resident of the township for about 33 years. Recently, $I$ read about Toll Brothers being able to build a new community on Tigue Road, East Bradford Township. I read a little bit of the approval, and when $I$ did read it, it upset me a little bit when I said -- when I read that East Bradford Township was
reluctantly approving the development on Tigue Road because of Toll Brothers being able to skate under the law, just barely meeting the minimum requirements on all the conditions that they set forth, such as the same, similar conditions that westtown is imposing on Crebilly.

And what I'm asking is that the
Board of Supervisors look at the 48 to 50 conditions that were submitted by the Planning Commission, and if those conditions are not met 100 percent by Toll Brothers that you should vote no.

Maybe give them a little
tolerance, 95 percent of everything. But you should look at that, and don't have anything that you would be doing in a reluctant way. Either 100 percent approval or 100 percent disapproval. Nothing in between.

Thank you very much.
MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, sir. Rebecca Daull is at the
microphone.
MS. REBECCA DAULL: Good
evening. I have lived here for 36 years. And I'm going to frame my comments tonight from more of a theoretical. Just because you have the right to do something doesn't mean you should. And just because you can, should you? It is not can you, but should you. And that's how $I$ feel like this development is. They can. But should they? We have the beauty of open
space is what makes Chester County unique and, unfortunately, desirable. This can cause an endless cycle of development, more people, more schools, more development, more problems. And I'm afraid that we are not addressing the unintended consequences of this project. Progress and development is not automatically or always a good thing. We have seen endless development in the past 20 years of shopping centers, homes, schools. We have seen increasing traffic, and, unfortunately, increase in very deadly car accidents on 202 . We have seen a decrease in open space. And meanwhile, while that is being pushed out,
our quality of life has decreased, and we are seeing endless cookie-cutter developments on what was very unique and historical homes and very unique developments.

> At such a high price point I'm
afraid these homes will not sell. The average family is not going to buy these homes. They are going to sit. They are going to be decreased in value. And we are going to be left with eyesores sitting on what was once a beautiful hill.

I agree that this should be
something that benefits the community. Crebilly Farm, if it is to be sold, should become like East Goshen Park, with trails, with venues for farmers markets, for performances, something that actually adds value to the community, versus just more homes that we don't need. I'm afraid that once Toll puts up these houses, they will be like the music man and leave town to the next venture and not see the consequences.

They haven't proved that they can maintain stormwater, or the wells, or even maintain the traffic that is already so horrendous on 202 and 926, while we, the residents, once they have left town, are left to clean up their mess created by poor planning and execution, and, frankly, blatant disregard for traffic patterns, historic and ecological value, school district limitations, and, most importantly, the current residential opponents.

I urge you to vote no. Thank you.

MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, ma'am. Laura Baselice, if $I^{\prime} m$ saying that correct, Station Way?

MS. BASELICE: No comment.
MR. MCKENNA: No comment. All right. I'm going to move on to non-Westtown Township residents. I'm going to take this opportunity then to make the announcement again, if there is anyone who has come in late, we have sign-in sheets down front that we would ask you to please just put your name and address, and whether or not you reside in

Westtown or you reside outside of Westtown. That's how we are keeping track of our public comment here this evening.

So I see some people coming down. We are going to move on to non-Westtown Township residents. Randell Spackman.

MR. SPACKMAN: I may have to raise this. There, is that good? That work okay, guys?

Thank you very much. I have been through these processes before. They are very arduous and long, and $I$ appreciate the amount of attention that's going into all this.

> Our farm, as you know, the CSA, Thornbury Farm, we have a lot at stake with this development in keeping our agriculture alive. A couple different things that have been done in other townships nearby has been suggested here, is to move the homes to the east. We did this for Brandywine at Thornbury development when they came in and did that work. It has been done to keep
those right-of-ways and viewsheds open. Something we have done in Thornbury Township with different builders, and Squire Cheyney Farm, was to put easements on the buildings and barn to retain them.

I do appreciate Toll's efforts to keep some of the buildings from this gentlemen's farm era. I would like to see an easement, though, on the facade of the barns to scale and some of the other minor home structures that are being retained, so if they were to burn down or get recreated, there would still be some image of the farm that we remember.

The history is being slowly erased from the township. I think it is important that maybe also as one of the conditions the home at 926, which is fairly mundane, a lot of homes didn't get a full formal history review, in my opinion, that home should be pulled out of the density and just sold for the retention, to keep that as some history of the 926 corridor. I think that is important.

One of the things that we strive for on our farm is agriculture, and everybody is aware, which $I$ haven't heard yet tonight, is the deer population. For us it is very important. Last year we lost \$8,000 in just tomatoes from feeding very hungry deer. Toll has done this before in other developments that have done deer pushes and things like that. We also ask in the HOA, if this is in any form approved, that those covenants be put in to having a deer management program, because if those deer harbor along the stream beds in that area and come over to our farm to eat, we could not continue to do our farming, as stated by the current farmers of Crebilly.

One of the things to do is to look at this as a whole for the community and figure out how we can work and push the density perhaps to the east, so it does work for farming and residents in the area, and keep our history alive and keep the buildings that we remember and the viewsheds. Thank you.

MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.
Spackman.
Next at the microphone is Anne Satterthwaite of Weatherstone Drive, and after her is Diane Lemonides.

MS. SATTERTHWAITE: So Dear
Westtown Supervisors:
I have lived in Chester County
in East Goshen and Willistown Townships for the past 54 years. In those years, I have seen numerous family farms devoured by housing and shopping developments in West town and other townships in Chester County. Where I once relaxed and enjoyed driving by beautiful fields of livestock and grain, I see houses, townhouses and stores. With all this development, traffic has grown exponentially. Now a days, I spend my time stuck in traffic on routes 1, 3, 202, 322, and 926. With all the building and traffic, I can certainly say that my quality of life has also diminished exponentially.

As supervisors, are you not charged with protecting the quality of life
of your Westtown community and the adjacent township communities? This past spring, the Toll Brothers traffic person, I don't know what her name was, said that the current state of traffic near Crebilly Farm is rated as F. With an additional 600 plus cars, if calculated at two cars per unit, the rate will become an $F$ minus, minus, minus, minus. Is this not adversely impacting the quality of life of the Westtown and surrounding communities?

In five, ten, 15 , or 20 years
would you as supervisors like to be remembered as the township supervisors who allowed Crebilly Farm, the crown jewel of Westtown Township, to be developed into houses? Conversely, wouldn't you like to be remembered as the supervisors who stood up to Toll Brothers and protected the last large family farm in Westtown Township?

To quote the Willistown
Conservation Trust on their website, "Our land feeds our bodies and souls, and it is the most important legacy we can pass on intact to our children and grandchildren." Thank you.

MR. MCKENNA: Thank you. At the microphone now is Diane Lemonides, and next after her is Marie Kania of Newtown Square.

MS. LEMONIDES: My comment is a plea for open space and historic preservation. We are all aware that our open space is dwindling and it is our region's most cherished asset. We can't take back developed land. And it is our responsibility for future generations that we plan carefully, with purpose for tomorrow. Please vote no.

MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, ma'am.
At the microphone now is Marie Kania, and after her is Mindy Rhodes of West Bradford.

MS. KANIA: Thank you. I would like the record to show that although my post office box is Newtown Square, I live at Willistown Chase in Willistown Township. I don't really live in Newtown Square. And that's because where my development is, the
post office couldn't handle all of our mailboxes back in 1997, so we have the Newtown Square post office.

You cannot regain open space once it is lost. Just as you and $I$ have been enriched by such fields and meadows, our children and children's children deserve to have open space to enjoy.

What does it merit a community
to gain more houses but lose their open space?

Imagine a plaque placed on the farm that says: This open space was saved for you by West town Township residents and supervisors in 2017 .

Or a plaque that will read:
This development has robbed you of the enjoyment of beautiful open fields by Westtown Township supervisors in 2017 .

Thank you.
MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, ma'am.
Mindy Rhodes is at the
microphone, and after her is Carl Balis.
MS. RHODES: Mindy Rhodes, 331

Broad Run Road, West Bradford.
I was born and raised in West Chester. And when my father bought our farm on General Hill Drive it was a dirt road. I have seen a lot of change in my 44 years.

I would like to address Andrew Semon, the developer. Thank you for being so gracious any time our paths have crossed in this last year. If you are granted conditional use by this Board, I realize that legally you will have every right to develop Crebilly Farm with the current application submitted. I do not like it. I do get it. Just because you can, does not necessarily mean you should.

And $I$ have faith in you that you can do better than the plans we have seen so far. I wonder if you would in this case think outside the box of so many typical development projects by your company and consider a different direction for Crebilly Farm.

What if you permitted a Phase One archaeological survey? What if you made
the decision to protect our national history and keep the development out of the Brandywine Battlefield swath? What if the Civil War Trust, Preservation Pennsylvania, our county, state and local conservancies pulled together to purchase that part of Crebilly Farm and buy down the development rights? What if you were a catalyst to help bridge the gap between the Robinson family and Westtown Township?

What if you helped the land Owners see a bigger picture and a different way? What would the national headines look like for your company once the media caught wind of "Toll Brothers develops historic land thoughtfully and saves American history?" Every one of us in this room stems from the events that occurred September 11th, 1777 , on the land $o f$ Crebilly Farm and the surrounding area. That was the birth of our nation.

To the West town Township Board of Supervisors: Thank you to each of you for your hard work and thank you for taking this
so seriously. I can imagine this isn't quite what you thought you signed up for, yet here we are. A development of this magnitude will permanently cripple our community. When $I$ try to imagine what West Chester will be like without Crebilly Farm and then upon her demise, gain such an inappropriate and massive development on historic land, I equate it to severing an artery and leaving a gushing geyser that will never recover. Over the last year, I have attended every single Planning Commission meeting and conditional use hearing. I can honestly say, never, in the 50 plus hours of meetings and testimony, nor in countless letters, e-mails and phone calls $I$ have exchanged over the last year regarding this subject, have $I$ ever heard a single soul say: Gee, $I$ really can't wait for that historic farm to get developed with over 300 houses. The fate of this community and surrounding communities lies within the decision of three of you make. Please keep going. Please do not give up. Find a way.

Prepare to strap in for the next chapter as the rest of us are already prepared to do.

The people of West town Township are your constituents. They voted for you. They chose you. The three of you work for them. Though I think it wise to be frugal in spending other people's money, it is their money. I think their message has been clear and consistent: Do not grant conditional use to this developer. Please leave no stone unturned. If not you, then who? Thank you. MR. MCKENNA: At the microphone now is Carl Balis. After Mr. Balis is Ken Lawson.

> MR. BALIS: Thank you. I'm from Glenolden in Delaware County, and even though I'm not from this area, I'm very familiar with it, this area. I have been out here literally hundreds of times. I used to work around here. I've had friends out here. And in a larger sense it wouldn't matter whether $I$ was familiar with the area or not. I could literally be from another continent and still speak here, because this kind of
problem is not just this township. It is not just this state. It is not just this country. It is the whole world right now who faces this kind of issue.

And the issue really is
development and overdevelopment, and what kind of effect does it have on the people that live there.

And it just seems that people somehow equate those two things, and I've never quite understood, because overdevelopment and development are not the same thing. In fact, we are exactly the opposite. Because development, on the one hand, is something constructive. It is positive. It enhances a community.

Overdevelopment is totally
destructive and destroys a community. They are not the same thing. When you reach a certain point where you just have to say, you know what, enough, no more. And it is really a common sense kind of decision.

You know, $I$ have been listening to all the different studies and the experts
and testimony, and I'm thinking, you know what, you really don't need those things to know. Like, for example, do you really need a traffic study to know about traffic around here? Seriously. I mean, I live in Delaware County. But it is the same general kind of a thing.

It has gotten to the point even
there where, depending on what time day of the day you are going to travel, you really have to think, you know, I can't really even go anywhere right now because if $I$ even try to move I'll sit in traffic for 45 minutes. I might as well wait 45 minutes and then go. Or you might say, well, you know, $I$ can't take this road because now it is going to be so crowded, I got to go ten miles out of my way to make sure $I$ can get there.

Well, is that the kind of
community you really want to live in? Can anyone say that doesn't affect the quality of their life, that it has no meaning?

I mean, these kinds of things
are not abstraction, and that's a real point that needs to be brought out. People talk about these things and people stop it. Well, they are just concerned about these stupid things, just the fool with pie-in-the-sky notions, these irrelevant things.

No, they are not irrelevant.
These are everyday things that you actually live. And getting back to traffic, that's not philosophical. That's not speculation. It is not theory. That's reality. You are in the traffic. You don't need any studies to know about it. And you know that if there is another community and even more cars, we can add one and one and you know that it makes two, and if you add two and one you get three.

> So for all these reasons that I have talked about, plus just a general, I want to add another thing, just a general quality of life, you know, open space, again, it is one of those things, people will talk about it and people stop, no, you know, they have their head in the cloud somewhere.

No, not at all. My feet are both right on this ground, right on the floor. Because open space does affect how you feel about the place where you live. I'm not saying you have to keep everything open. But if you develop to the point where you have nothing left, where everything around you is concrete and steel, it starts to affect the quality of your life, that the place you called home, it is no longer home. It becomes just a place where you happen to exist, but it is not a home. And there really is a difference. You know, you got a home, means something to you. The place where you exist, ah, you could move somewhere else and it wouldn't make any difference to you.

So open space is critically important and it has value. Again, this is not an abstraction. It is not a philosophy. It has real, everyday value, and you feel your community is a place you truly love, that has a meaning for you because you carry it around with you 24 hours a day. It
affects your psyche and how you feel about your own life.

MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Balis, it is your four minutes. I ask you if you can wrap up.

MR. BALIS: Oh, okay. I hope that you will, for all the reasons that $I$ have given, and all the reasons other people have given, $I$ hope you will absolutely say: Enough. Enough is enough. And stop this. Thank you.

MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Lawson is at the microphone, and after Mr. Lawson is William Worth of Meetinghouse Road.

MR. LAWSON: Thank you. Thank you to the Board of Supervisors for your work here. Thank you to the audience and my fellow citizens for coming here.

> I feel kind of awkward having my back to the citizens, because the citizens really are the ones that are in charge here. And $I$ mean whether we embrace that or not is another question, but ultimately the power in our country and our system lies with the
citizens, and certainly that is no less true now than in any other time. Ultimately, the citizens will decide. We will decide what happens here.

About three years ago $I$ went up
to Boston. I was at Nathaniel Hall. And I walked in to see the place. And $I$ told them I was from Brandywine Battlefield, very proud. And they just sort of shook their head and said: Oh, what a shame. I didn't know what they meant. I said: What do you mean? They said: Yeah, we hear what is happening down there. It is really terrible. This is a national
embarrassment what is happening at the Brandywine Battlefield. It is a world embarrassment, what we are doing to our Revolutionary War battlefields.

This whole discussion about
whether it is a swath or Hessians marched there, it is insanity.

There has not been a real discussion that $I$ have heard about this whole issue, about the history that we are
contemplating destroying forever. 240 years ago something happened, right on Crebilly battlefield. We know what happened because there was maps drawn at the time. We know what happened because Robert E. Lee's father was there, and he wrote in his memoirs about what he saw there as a member of the Virginia regiment. We know what happened there because Marquis de Lafayette came back and told us that shedding blood at Brandywine, very close to Crebilly Farm, was the greatest honor of his life.

We know what happened because George washington, right here at Dilworthtown, very likely rode up, as he was often wont to do, to be with the front lines and saw what was happening on Crebilly Farm. We know what happened because Pulaski's charge that turned the Brandywine red with blood of the Patriots happened right at that location.

And we are thinking about developing it and turning it into a home, village of 400 homes, of destroying it for
the next 240 years.
Let me tell you something, everything that we say here, everything, with the technology that exists today, every word that is spoken, every word that is written, every picture that's taken goes basically into a database that goes into a cloud.

Now what happens? That goes into an AI inference engine for parts. We know what happened 240 years ago on Crebilly Farm because of hand-drawn maps. But make no mistake about it, in 240 years from now, when researchers and historians go back to figure out how these battlefields were ever destroyed, they are going to know exactly who did it. They are going to know the descendants of who said what, what side they were on, how they contributed to saving this battlefield or destroying it.

There is no hiding place to run 240 years from now. Everything will be known. So keep that in mind.

We have no farther to look than

Yellow Springs to see an example for how an historic area can be preserved. Yellow Springs is beautiful. You know, Yellow Springs wasn't even a battlefield, but during Valley Forge that's where Washington had his troops, his sick men taken, and they died there. So it is very much a burial ground. But it is beautifully preserved. There are, no doubt, many burial sites in and around Crebilly Farm. And you know what $I$ heard? We are going to take one of the likely locations on the battlefield where bodies very likely are buried and use it as a cesspool. That's true.
I'm probably running out of
time. I have been doing this, as you
probably know, since 2014 , and $I$ attended I think every meeting in 2014 . And, you know, I want to thank you for your service. And I know it can't be easy. Ultimately, the decision is going to come to you. Ultimately, whatever decision you make, and the reasons you give, are going to go into
the history books. This is history in its making right now. There will be no hiding place from the decisions you make. And you will be held accountable for it either way. So, thank you. MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr. Lawson.

At the microphone now is William Worth. After Mr. Worth is Steven Lyons.

MR. WORTH: Good evening. I am the co-owner, along with my two brothers, of a 115-acre farm in nearby Birmingham Township. The farm is under a conservation easement, arranged by the Brandywine Conservancy. My wish has always been that Crebilly Farm would have gone the same, gone in the same route.

In my youth, back, back in the
'40s, $\quad$ had many fun times playing around the farm with Jimmy Robinson. One summer I was helping load hay on to a wagon being pulled by a big old tractor across the family fields.

I could argue for preserving the land fought upon by the soldiers of the American Revolution, and argue against increased traffic. But those arguments have already been well made.

My plea tonight to the Board, and to the Planning Committee members, is to act upon what you believe is best for the community, the children, and their children, and how they could grow to love this surrounding protected land, and to carry it forward, as their parents did. Thank you. MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, sir.

Steve Lyons, no comment?
MR. LYONS: No comment.
MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.
Lyons. I apologize, I'm having a hard time reading this next one. I think it is Jeannette and Kirk, maybe Lindvig? MR. LINDVIG: Lindvig. MR. MCKENNA: Lindvig, I
apologize, on Boot Road. MS. LINDVIG: We have no comment.

MR. MCKENNA: Thank you. All
right. I think we are going to take a ten-minute break at this point, alow the court reporter to have a little bit of a rest. We will be back in ten minutes to begin again.
(Recess taken.)
(Ms. Camp and Mr. Thompson not
present at this time.)
MR. MCKENNA: Ladies and
gentlemen, we want to resume public comments. I would ask you please to resume your seats. We are going to go back on the record. We are going to go back to a number of Westtown residents. At the microphone next will be Douglas Anderson of Jacqueline Drive. After Mr. Anderson will be Kristine Lisi.

MR. ANDERSON: Good evening.
Is this on?

MR. MCKENNA: It is on. You have to get very close to the microphone.

MR. ANDERSON: All right.
Thank you very much for letting us be here.

I have a number of comments, and start out with a quote from the Toll Brothers website: When we build a new community, we believe in adding something vital to the area, something that measurably contributes to the lives within the community in a positive and dynamic way.

My whole discussion is
basically to see how much this development jives with their stated objectives, their stated mission.

I am familiar with meetings
like this. I'm a geophysicist, have been an expert witnesses in explosives, with blasting near houses and so on. And in my experience, I have seen many times at township meetings where a quarry would be blasting and the houses around it complain. And one of the problems is that in these situations the quarry started out in boondocks, the houses were developed around there later, so both parties are right. The quarry is right. And the neighbors are right.

So who is wrong? The
developers. Something inappropriate. They did something that they shouldn't have been doing.

Again, what has happened. Do we want to fight inappropriate development? Again, when we build a new community, we believe in adding something vital to the area, something that measurably contributes to the lives of the community in a positive and dynamic way.

So is this appropriate? Is this an appropriate development?

If you look at a map of the lot sizes in the area, everything west of 202 in Westtown, except for a few small sections, is one acre or so. This development is proposed to be on a third-acre and a quarter-acre, the estate and the luxury or the executive lots. Very small, not in character with Westtown.

But we went to Liseter, my wife and $I$ drove out and saw the Liseter development and could see, once, in fact, we got through the claustrophobic entry, you could see the fact that there is a section
that Toll Brothers set aside for larger, one-acre lots.

So, in fact, this could be done. This could be done. When we build a new community, we believe in adding something vital to the area, something that measurably contributes to the lives in the community in a positive and dynamic way.

So what is the motivation here?
The motivation by Toll Brothers apparently is greed, because, and they are driven by the investors.
understand it, is to get extra townhouses in, to squeeze more in than, in fact, they were allowed to at the very beginning.

Can we move to traffic? Much
has been said about traffic. When I saw the first study that came out that ignored New Street versus, and Pleasant Grove, I noticed that that wasn't part of the study. I thought this is not going to bode well for all these studies. In fact, when you really
think about the study of the traffic in this area, I imagine New Year's Eve, a fellow going into a party, getting drunk, going to the toilet, finds the toilet is plugged. What is he going to do? Well, I'm drunk enough I don't care. I'm going to take a dump and it doesn't matter, because it's all going to be clogged.

However, when he leaves, on New
Years Day they are going to clean that toilet. This project is going to dump the traffichere and nobody, there will be no New Years Day to clean up the toilet afterwards, after they leave.

When we build a new community, we believe in adding something vital to the area, something that measurably contributes to the lives within the community in a positive and dynamic way.

The history, what is this going
to contribute to the history of the area? What is this going to add?

Is my time almost up?
MR. MCKENNA: Your time is up. I was going to remind you, I would just ask if you conclude, please.

MR. ANDERSON: There are two alternatives. One is to have Toll Brothers reconsider what they are doing. And the other is to, in my opinion, have the Board produce such onerous conditions on this that they feel like that they are not going to do it. That's happened before, $\quad$ know.

In addition, this community, the people that we have here, are committed in opposition to this development, and there are a lot of things that could be done, publication wise, and to show that this Toll Brothers operation is not appropriate. Thank you.

MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.
Anderson. At the microphone is Kristine Lisi, and after her are Don and Pat Hays of Jacqueline Drive.

MS. LISI: I'm a Westtown
Township resident and $I$ have attended every single meeting except for one Planning Commission meeting, and $I$ actually had my
husband stand up at that meeting for me so that he could talk about the threatened or endangered species that were given in numerous environmental protection reports on the Crebilly Farm and I'm hoping that's been passed along. I realize, I don't know if the Supervisors ever received that report, but I gave it to the Planning Commission. And I also only missed one of these conditional use hearings, and that was the September meeting. I was sorry to miss it, but sometimes life gets in the way.

But $I$ do want to thank the
Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors for the time going through this. It has obviously been arduous for everyone, even the Toll Brothers side as well, as this has been going on for years.

It was an interesting analogy
to follow, coming up here, but it did remind me of the one conditional use hearing we had in Westtown School when Toll Brothers brought an expert to try to say that the pharmaceuticals that are expelled and go into
the wastewater treatment system, the expert actually tried to say that there is a bug that humans secrete and that all those pharmaceuticals would be hauled away with the solid waste.

I cannot begin to tell you what kind of bullshit that is. And $I$ can't believe, $I$ can't believe that's an expert that Toll Brothers would bring out to say that that is really what happens. I can tell you with certainty that is not the case. Pharmaceuticals go into our wastewater. They are changing the genders of amphians, amphibians. I can't say the word right now. You know what I'm trying to say.

But I'm digressing because I
followed that other gentleman and it made me think of it.

There is no need to talk about traffic because we know the traffic is horrific. Anyone who lives in this township, and whether it is for one year or 40 years, knows that the traffic is horrific.

We already know that our first
responders in our community are completely over stretched because they are covering multiple townships. Westtown Township does not own its own first responders force.

I thought that the woman who was the expert on wastewater management that spoke at the last conditional use hearing was really good. I just wish she had discussed the fact that a community of this kind of development is going to have heavy use of pesticides and fertilizers, and that's a lot of chemical going into our wastewater treatment -- wastewater systems. Not wastewater systems but going into our water tables and all of our watersheds. Someone else has already
addressed it, I don't need to bring up the fact that Toll Brothers is in thousands of lawsuits. Any time they do a development, there are always problems and there is thousands of lawsuits. But, again, that doesn't matter to this conditional use. The conditional use is on the 23 different points the Planning Commission brought up, and $I$ am
begging the Board of Supervisors to make sure that Toll Brothers isn't just lightly scratching the surface of those conditional uses, that we are really looking at every single point and making sure that the conditions are being met. That's it. MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, ma'am. Don or Pat Hays, Jacqueline Drive. Are either of the Hays here, Don or Pat Hays? I assume they have left. Jennifer, is it Stafford? New Street. MS. STAFFORD: Hi. I'm going
to do my best to read my terrible handwriting.

I'm here again in front of you
as this is a matter that's very personal to me on a number of different fronts.

One, I live on New Street,
about a half a mile from the proposed development site, and I'm afraid of what is going to become of my front yard once the road -- once the development and/or if the development comes into fruition.

Two, our family was given a 150-acre land grant from George Washington as payment for my two great, great, etcetera, uncles having served as his personal
bodyguards. The farm is a working farm about an hour from here and set in the middle of urban sprawl. With the help of land trusts, local governments and conservancies, it is now preserved in perpetuity for its historical significance. Just like Crebilly Farm, it too is considered a crown jewel in the Delaware Valley's open space initiative. Three, our family dealt with Toll Brothers directly before the farm was preserved and $I$ have firsthand experience with their tactics and the integrity of their word, or lack thereof.

Just like everyone here, I care
about maintaining the integrity of our local community and what makes it unique, but also about preserving those places directly tied to significant events in our nation's history. The development will strip the pastoral landscape of our local community and forever remove a piece of our nation's history.

Study after study shows that the townships and its residents lose financially with dense developments like this one. Besides taking away our quality of life, the open space and what makes our township unique, it strains the infrastructure across the board. The developer does not absorb these costs. The taxpayers do.

Studies also show that the townships receive great financial benefits by maintaining open space versus incurring those financial burdens associated with developments of this intense density.

Toll Brothers has not
demonstrated that they've met the necessary thresholds around numerous environmental concerns, traffic issues and historical ramifications. Toll Brothers has a long history of not dealing in good faith with townships or homeowners; suing to remove or diminish conditional items after the deal is
closed, leaving land stripped of its topsoil after economic conditions change, land ruined and unusable. After witnessing Toll representatives showing up at my grandmother's funeral, matriarch of our family farm, $\quad$ can personally attest that they'll sink to any depth to get a good deal. The community has made it clear that the wish and priority is not to allow this land to be developed. There are other avenues remaining via land trusts, preservation groups and the township's residents that would stand behind an open space initiative from a financial perspective. These groups work to make the family whole while allowing the community to maintain open space.

I would like to kindly remind the Board that they're in the driver's seat here, not Toll, and you represent the people of the township, not Toll Brothers. The best interests of this community, environmentally, financially, historically, do not lie in a second-rate housing development.

I implore you to vote a strong no on this development. I'm going to leave you with what $I$ think is a fitting quote that I came across from George Washington. "Experience teaches us that it is much easier to prevent an enemy from posting themselves than it is to dislodge them after they have possession."

Thank you.
MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, ma'am. Next at the microphone is Peter DuFault. After Mr. DuFault is Ken Hemphill. MR. DUFAULT: Knowing that my statement that $I$ have prepared is more than four and a half minutes $I$ 'm going to cherry pick it. I have given a copy of it to the Board and ask that it be included as part of the record that the court reporter is keeping.

Part of my working career has been 20 years with a realty firm in Northern New Jersey that developed and constructed both office and industrial parks and planned residential communities. I have an
appreciation for the complexities of this matter, realities of the zoning applicable to the property, and the competing interests involved.

Much testimony has been given and there are many very valid concerns, and many have spoken very eloquently about them. I hate to bring up traffic issues, but that's the one issue that gives the Brandywine at Thornbury community legitimacy and standing as interested party, so I feel that I must.

With all due respect to PennDOT, who has a vested interest, and the traffic engineers who have testified for the applicant, $I$ would encourage them to put aside their statistics, formulae, maps, measurements and technical jargon for a moment, and consider the realities experienced by residents.

Picture yourself in the following situations: As a resident of West Pleasant Grove Road and surrounding areas and
contending with commuters who use this narrow road as a cut-through speedway to avoid the 202/926 intersection during morning rush hours.

As a resident of Brinton
Village on 926 whose spouse suffered a cardiac event on a weekday morning, and the rescue squad, after negotiating the delay at the intersection, was further delayed because the entrance to the development was blocked by backed-up traffic on Street Road.

As a resident of Brandywine at Thornbury who must make a left turn from Bridlewood Boulevard to go westbound on 926 during the morning rush hour, but can't safely do so, because the eastbound traffic backup blocks both the view and the intersection itself.

At the heart of these problems is the intersection of Routes 202 and 926 . It is a long-standing nightmare for anyone who lives in the area. To this the Toll Brothers project would add 317 new dwelling units, approximately 600 cars. In other
words, to a pot of water that's boiling over, more water is added. While the additional water may cool the situation, it does nothing to reduce the underlying problem of volume at the intersection.

Much has been said, and much
has been said, much has been -- little has been done. I got that wrong. Supposedly, these relatively minor changes proposed by PennDot will not only improve current traffic flow but also absorb the impact of the additional vehicle trips generated by the development. Does anyone who lives in the real world believe that? Even PennDot doesn't. Even before the Toll Brothers project was introduced, PennDot itself acknowledged, more than two years ago, that upon completion of their proposed plan, the intersection's level of service, currently an $F$, would still be an $F$, and they predicted it would remain so through 2035 .

No matter how many trips were added, the testimony is always the situation will be improved, even if the improvement is
from one level of service $F$ to another level of service F. It remains a failed intersection.

When I was in school I
occasionally got an F. I did not, was not able to convince my mother or my father that it was a good $F$, it was better than the $F$ I got the prior semester. I don't think that people here believe an improvement of a few minutes makes a big difference.

Particularly, one need not be a traffic engineer to assess the potential impact on surrounding roads. What is now a major traffic nightmare may well be elevated to standstill status, particularly at morning rush hours, when testimony shows that the eastbound queue extends from US 202 nearly a half mile back to Bridlewood Boulevard, and contains approximately 100 cars, meaning it may take 15 to 20 minutes for the vehicle at the proposed Bridlewood/926 intersection to finally clear the $202 / 926$ intersection. I think prior witnesses have already made that comment.

Let me talk a minute about the problems --

MR. MCKENNA: $\quad$ ' m going to ask you not to do that, if that's okay. You have reached your four minutes. I'm going to ask if you wouldn't mind reaching your conclusion. Thanks.

MR. DUFAULT: I knew you were going to do that to me.

In summary, whether PennDOT and some other traffic engineers think we can or should absorb the additional traffic from these homes, I ask you to consider the negative impact the connector road and its alignment with Bridlewood Boulevard would place upon not only on our residents but the residents of Westtown as well.

Enough is enough. And a line
must be drawn somewhere, and this is as good a place as any to draw it.

This development as proposed with a connector road and four-way intersection is the straw that breaks the proverbial camel's back. We strongly urge
you to look carefully at the potential scale of this proposed development, and particularly to reject the connector road and the creation of a four-way intersection with Bridlewood Boulevard.

I thank you for your time and for your many hours you have put in and still have to put in. Thank you.

MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.
DuFault. Ken Hemphill is at the microphone. And after Mr. Hemphill is Gillian Fitzpatrick.

MR. HEMPHILL: I was involved in the Beaver Valley fight down the road, and in those meetings Concord Township essentially had one meeting and then rubber stamped the development for a campaign voter, it turned out to be. So it is really refreshing to see how professional this Board has been, how much time you have taken with this process. We really appreciate it. I know I do.

So a hundred years, a hundred plus years of case law have essentially given
us a situation where corporations are people under the law. And it is because you are people, you have all the same rights as a flesh and blood person, you have the right to sue, you have the right to enter a contract. You should pay taxes. Frequently they don't, corporations don't.

And as Baron Thurlow said,
"Corporations have no soul to save and no body to incarcerate."

But you are immortal and you
have vast resources, and you come to townships, and you run amok, like the Frankenstein monster that we all created because we all kind of agreed to have corporations in our society, and you run amok nevertheless. And you create environmental damage wherever you go.

But townships have a weapon
since 1971 when the legislature unanimously passed two sessions in a row the Environmental Rights Amendment, and when it was approved by the general public in 1971 it received the largest majority of, the largest
vote majority of any vote that had ever taken place in Pennsylvania history.

So under this environmental
protection amendment you are bound to protect the environment of your constituents, and that essentially means that any project that presents or can cause environmental harm to your constituents, you are bound by the Environmental Rights Amendment to say no. In fact, your oath of office requires that you take an oath of -- your oath of office requires that you follow the or promise to adhere to the Pennsylvania Constitution, which means that in that you promise, well, Pennsylvania Constitution contains the Environmental Rights Amendment, so it is, really, you have an easy decision: Does this help Westtown's environment or does it hurt Westtown's environment?

And clearly, looking at these plans and listening to all the experts over the last 12 months, it is clear that these plans hurt West $\begin{aligned} & \text { an } \\ & \text { Township. Thank you. }\end{aligned}$ MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, sir.

Gillian Fitzpatrick is at the microphone, and David Turner is on deck. MS. FITZPATRICK: All right. So the first point $I$ would like to make tonight is that Jeffrey Madden, which was the stormwater management witness, provided testimony regarding the feasibility of submitted plans for Toll Brothers. He works for a company called ESE Consultants. I would like to point out on the record that according to the ESE website, ESE Consultants, Inc., is a wholly owned subsidiary of Toll Brothers.

I would like to point out to Mr. Adelman that you cannot call into question the integrity of the stormater management witness presented by Neighbors for Crebilly because she has also represented activist groups in the past, when your own witness is literally on your payroll. MR. MCKENNA: Ma'am, if you wouldn't mind, a little closer to the microphone. The Board is having a hard time hearing you. And can you slow down a little
bit because it is a little quick with your reading.

MS. FITZPATRICK: Additionally,
Mr. Madden testified that the soil remediation technique used to mitigate the impact of stormwater management --

MR. MCKENNA: Hang on.
Eleanor, were you able to get that? Maybe come to the microphone maybe so she can see you a little better. I apologize. I can generally tell from her body language if she is getting it or not. Get real close to that microphone.

MS. FITZPATRICK: Can you hear me now?

MR. MCKENNA: Not really. Put it up a little bit towards your mouth.

MS. FITZPATRICK: There?
MR. MCKENNA: There you go.
MS. FITZPATRICK: Additionally,
Mr. Madden testified that the soil
remediation technique used to mitigate the impact of stormwater management would fall on the homeowners and would be policed by the

HOA for compliance. Therefore, the homeowners are responsible for enforcing that practice on themselves. This is a conflict of interest and that will not happen. If there are no laws in place to demand this, no enforcement agency, no way to educate new homeowners, and it would cost them money, it will not be done. Therefore, I ask the Board to realize this is not a legitimate stormwater management practice.

My second main point is that the superintendent of the West Chester School District, Dr. Scanlon, testified that the district would most likely move to add modular classrooms in the event that the neighborhood is built and will result in a substantial increase in students. I would like to support the call to assess an impact fee to Toll Brothers to help the school district avoid this. My main reason being: I graduated from Unionville High School back during a fight between taxpayers and the district to expand the school to accommodate an increasing number of students. The school
district moved to modular classrooms and placed them in the front and the back of the school. I attended classes in these classrooms for two years, and felt at risk ever day that $I$ walked in and out of the front doors with no way to keep out an intruder.

While it's horrible to think
about, armed intruders are a part of American life at this point, with another shooting occurring at an elementary school within the past month. That school avoided deaths by locking down the school using practiced plans. Modular classrooms interrupt these plans and make it increasingly difficult for a school to protect its students. Though I don't have kids of my own, I would be furious as a parent that if this neighborhood goes in, my school district would have to choose between cutting their current offerings and adding modular classrooms. God forbid anything were to happen at a West Chester school impacted by this development, modular classrooms would surely make the situation
harder to secure. As a past student that had to worry about this every day during my final years of high school, $I$ plead you not to put the school district in that situation. Don't risk the safety of students for a political ploy.

My third point is that this
isn't the only development. There is 150
homes proposed for the Tigue development. There is 600 units of apartments going into the Greystone Manor off of 322 near West Chester. You have 110 townhomes currently in West Whiteland Township being built on the side of 202 south. You have 200 proposed apartment units at the corner of Matlack and 202, and proposed development on Oakland Road near the Dilworthtown Inn. So this isn't happening in a vacuum. This isn't 600 cars. This is thousands of cars in the next five to six years.

Lastly, these aren't just
numbers on a page. You decreasing the quality of life for those of us that live here. So, Andrew, when you lay your head
down tonight, in your home on the National Historic Registry, one street away from one of the largest preservations of land in Chester County, the Stroud Preserve, be sure to know that your home choice sends a message: You won't even buy the junk your company produces. So why should anyone else? MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, ma'am. At the microphone at this time is David Turner. After Mr. Turner is Catherine Quillman.

MR. TURNER: No comment.
MR. MCKENNA: No comment from Mr. Turner. Ms. Quillman. After Ms. Quillman is Elizabeth Moro.

MS. QUILLMAN: I have included photos that you are going to get, but obviously people can't see them.

My name is Catherine Quillman.
I live in West Chester. I am a local historian and author. I'm currently under a contract to write a book titled The American Revolution in the Brandywine Valley. However, my interest in the battlefield dates
to the late ' $80 \mathrm{~s}, 1980 \mathrm{~s}$, when I first wrote about a grassroots organization that is now part of the Chester County Planning Commission called the Brandywine Battlefield Task Force.

> I wrote about this group when I
was a suburban staff writer for The
Philadelphia Inquirer. There were numerous articles over the years, including one in 2000 that focused on the so-called battle over the Fieldpoint subdivision, originally a Toll Brothers project. I don't know if Toll was part of the final agreement but only 46 acres of what we now call Sandy Hollow Heritage Park was saved.

> I bring this up because with
the exception of Sandy Hollow and the recent acquisition of the Dilworth Farm, no large-scale parts of the battlefield have been preserved beyond scenic easements. Of course, this greatly saddens me that a battle that has been called the turning point of the American Revolution is now largely crammed with housing developments, despite decades of
preservation efforts.
I believe the entire Crebilly property should be saved and not merely the so-called battlefield corridor. I also want to inform you of another American Revolutionary site that Toll, in my opinion, has destroyed. According to the wise Preservation Planning, which wrote the so-called historic narrative or documentation, more than a thousand soldiers encamped on the former Daniel Evans homestead, near the village of Eagle on Route 100 or what Toll now calls Chester Springs. The property is part of Toll's Byers Station, which spans two townships. Toll's first development stage began in 2001 . I have included a recent photo of the Daniel Evans homestead, where General Wayne joined Washington on September 21st, 1777, after the Paoli Massacre. Despite the decades since Toll purchased the former Evans and Ewing farm, the 18 th century Evans homestead is still used as a Toll office and is not being treated as an historic structure as promised.
hole of the sewer treatment system is within yards of the house, and the rare springhouse/residence now faces what $\operatorname{call}$ a wall of grass, which is part of a 2 -foot high embankment. As you can see from the photos, several trailers have been there since 2001 and they have been there so long, the wheels are now embedded into the ground. According to Upper Uwchlan Township records which $I$ acquired, Toll received bonus density despite the fact that the Evans barn, described as Wise as dating to the 1700 s , was never converted into a clubhouse. Instead it was razed. To quote the township minutes of 2003, the barn, quote, was sound in 2002 but changing the roadway grade several years ago shifted the pressure on the basement walls, which compromise the barn. It wasn't maintained and continues to deteriorate. The minutes also speak of Toll Brothers' recreational amenities. Evidently, that was more of an issue than saving any
historic buildings. In fact, several early buildings, outbuildings including a wagon house dating to the 18 th century, was damaged by a fallen tree in early 2002 and left to rot instead of being removed, for instance, to Uwchlan Township's park known as Upland Farm on Route 100 .

Another barn in West Vincent
Township was converted to a clubhouse.
However, the early house next to it remains boarded up today. Another 18 th century house was renovated by Toll, but it was described as cheaply done and care wasn't even taken to match the roof of the old roof, the color of the roof to the new plastic addition, which they call plastic, I included a photo. I was told by a local historian that the barn that belonged to this house mysteriously burned to the ground since Toll began this phase of the development in 2006 .

I bring up what was once known as the Evans/Ewing farm, because, like Crebilly, it was not only eligible for the National Register of Historic Places, the
paperwork was completed but never submitted by the Ewing family.

I should also point out that
Byers Station has nearly the same development plans as Crebilly's such as carriage houses and single family homes.

MR. MCKENNA: Ma'am --
MS. QUILLMAN: Yes.
MR. MCKENNA: I'm sorry to
interrupt, but you have exceeded your four minutes.

MS. QUILLMAN: I have one last issue.

MR. MCKENNA: If you wouldn't mind concluding.

MS. QUILLMAN: My conclusion
is, as several residents have said in these meetings, they are concerned with long-term care of Crebilly's historic buildings. Thank you.

MR. MCKENNA: Thank you. If we could go off the record for one minute. (Discussion off the record.) MR. MCKENNA: Okay. At the
microphone now is Elizabeth Moro. After Ms. Moro is, $I$ believe it is Mr. Mork, I'm having a hard time with that name, of Brintons Bridge Road in Birmingham. Go ahead, ma'am. MS. MORO: At a meeting to defend the possibility of another option for Crebilly Farm, I shared with Chadds Ford Live the importance to make every effort we can to preserve our historic battlefields and to honor those who valiantly fought for the birth of our nation. By keeping these spaces open, every generation has the opportunity to re-witness the battle and hear the courageous tales of our founders. It is about preserving our quality of life, historically and environmentally.

And $I$ am told that there is a sign on the property itself that says: You are entering the Brandywine Battlefield. This is about principle over profit, patriotism to our country for the larger good, and preservation of our natural resources and bucolic views.

At a time in our nation's
history where all these things are being questioned, let us not let this moment pass when we have the chance to make the difference. Some have criticized on what merits $I$ present my thoughts, and it is this: I am a citizen of this state and a resident of the county. I have a vested interest in retaining the beauty of our area for generations to come. Under Article one, Section 27
of the Pennsylvania Constitution, "The people have a right to clean air, pure water, and to the preservation of the natural, scenic, historic, and esthetic values of the environment, Pennsylvania's public natural resources are the common property of all the people, including generations yet to come. As trustees of these resources, which you are, the Commonwealth shall conserve and maintain them for the benefit of all the people." And this legal precedence is the ruling that saved Beaver Valley.
And to further make my point,

John Dernbach, widener Law University professor, has written about the ERA, the equal -- excuse me - Environmental Rights Amendment, and his analysis was cited by Chief Justice Castille in his landmark opinion.

The right to clean air and pure water is equivalent to the right to free speech.

The people of Pennsylvania voted four to one in favor of the bipartisan amendment.

According to the Supreme Court: The right delineated in the first cause of Section 27 presumptively is on par with the rights, and enforceable with the same extent as, any other right reserved to the people in Article 1, which is some pretty important things which are also being challenged today, the right to life, liberty, property and the pursuit of happiness; it includes freedom of speech, freedom of religion, the right to trial by jury, and the right to bear arms. This is not a small decision that is being made here. And I respect every
single one of you here. We have become almost like a family, we have spent so much time together. I'm from a very large family. I'm one of 12 kids. And $I$ know sometimes hard decisions need to be made, and often we offend one another because of our principles. But at the end of the day, we have to do the right thing for the greater good and not just for the dollar. Thank you.

MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, ma'am. Sir, I'm glad you understood what $\quad$ was trying to say with your name. I apologize. Is it Jarl Mork?

MR. MORK: It is Jarl Mork.
MR. MCKENNA: Jarl Mork. After Mr. Mork is Vince Moro.

MR. MORK: Well, I'm going to speak first to you, the Supervisors of West town Township. I appreciate the work that you have put in, along with the Planning Commission, and $I$ appreciate the gravity of the situation. But $I$ will speak to also the Supervisors of Thornbury Township, of Birmingham Township, and $I$ should add to this
list East Bradford Township, the Borough of West Chester, and the West Chester University, because they are all going to be affected severely. You have the responsibility to protect the health, safety and welfare of the current and future citizens of your townships, and of those who pass through your townships, and to preserve open space, farmland and historical properties. Are you doing everything you can to foresee the impacts and to satisfy those responsibilities?

To the Robinsons: You have the opportunity to leave a positive legacy and good neighborhood relations by working with the community to preserve as much of the farm as possible and still achieve a reasonable return by engaging in a dialogue with the land conservancies, the developers and the other interested parties.

To Toll Brothers: You have the opportunity to improve your image and practices by working with the interested parties to achieve a reasonable development
and a reasonable profit, and to also preserve a significant portion of the historic property.

To PennDOT: You have the opportunity and the responsibility to get ahead of the serious and worsening traffic situation on Routes 202 and 926 , and other surrounding roads to include Pleasant Grove Road, New Street and Birmingham Roads.

To all parties: Do the right thing. Plan and act to minimize the number of homes and maximize the preserved open space of Crebilly Farm. Thank you.

MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, sir.
At the microphone is Vince Moro, Chadds Ford, and after Mr. Moro is Cathy Robbins-Metzger.

MR. MORO: Good evening
everybody. And $I$ just wanted to thank
everybody from the Board, representatives of Toll Brothers, but, the most important, the people that stand behind me, the residents of this township, residents from other townships who have come forward to attend the hearings and meetings in support of saving Crebilly

Farm.
When I look upon the stage I get goose bumps. I get goose bumps not because $I$ enjoy coming to these meetings and township hearings but because I graduated two children on this very stage. They got their diplomas here. And one thing we did when the kids graduated and throughout their years of school is we taught them to go out to the community and to respect and regard others. When it came time for them to fill out college applications, they were taught and guided so that they were perfect and they were complete. And when we finally set them free we said: Go out to the community and in everything you do, put your best foot forward.

> So in addressing the various
parties here, the Robinson family, $I$ don't know if any of you are here, but most people know me as one of the founders of the Neighbors for Crebilly. I'm not here representing Neighbors of Crebilly tonight. I'm here as a proud father. And I would ask
you to put your best foot forward and work with the community in making this reconsideration and some change here on this plan.

Toll Brothers, Andrew and Gregg, you are doing your job. I get that. I understand that. But the plans that you brought forward, some of the words that describe it throughout these hearings, incomplete, disregarding/disrespectful of the zoning requirements. Some of the basic, basic things that the township asks for in the Zoning Code is to comply. The words rudimentary, conceptual on your application, which we know we ask our kids to be perfect and to put an application together, put their best foot forward, your application is rudimentary, conceptual for your consultants. On top of that, when you consider the Environmental Rights Act, it is unconstitutional. So tonight when you put your daddy hats on, think about it. Think about putting your best foot forward. But for right now you should be ashamed.

To the Board of Supervisors: I thank you. When you make your decisions, I ask that you put your best foot forward and to really think about the impact that this is going to have on the community. And thank you for your time too. I get it, firsthand, running for public office, working in public office, being a public servant, with a wife who is a candidate for Congress, and the amount of time spent, time you will never get back, time that is put forward in the communities so that the community can become a better place. So $I$ want to thank each and every one of you, Mr. Haws especially on going out of term. Thank you.

I'll leave with a quote. It
goes back to our children, and we kind of adopted this quote going into this project. And it says: We do not inherit the land from our ancestors. We borrow it from our children. Thank you.

MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, Mr.
Moro. At the microphone now is Cathy Robbins-Metzger. After Ms. Metzger is Cheryl
or Stephen Koblensky.
MS. ROBBINS-METZGER: Thank you very much for allowing us to voice our opinions. I do not live in your township. I live in East Bradford, I live on South Bridge Road. I have only been herefor about seven years. I moved up herefrom the very overdeveloped State of Florida.

But my husband and I have a beautiful historic home right off of Creek Road and 842. And since moving up here I have been blessed to enjoy the beauty of Chester County and am so proud to live in this area because, for the most part, everyone up here takes such pride in the open space and the history here.

My daughter was just here, my daughter who is a captain in the military, and she was just here for the holidays, visiting for Thanksgiving. And I drove, we drove by Crebilly Farms several times, and I explained to her what was going on. And I asked her, based on the last couple meetings I went to, about the staff rides and the
military expert we had here, and she was very familiar as she had participated in several, was stationed in Virginia.

And one of the things she said to me as we were talking about it was that historic battlefields are crucial, and not only educating citizens but also training new officers in all aspects of war, supply, logistics, tactics. Many battlefields are little more than a monument or trail that has been polluted and trampled by the ignorant. It is so rare to stand witness to so many untouched acres and to try to imagine the necessary carnage that took place on such a flawless piece of nature.

When people discuss historic battlefields, the majority that are brought up are usually Civil War battlefields. To have the chance to preserve such a monumental piece of history, of our nation's history, where our Patriots stood and fought against the greatest military power in the world at that time, and won, is an exceptional rarity, and entire landscape unscathed by the
ever-growing concrete ocean, a piece of land that has helped secure this nation's ability to stand independently, something we celebrate with ardor every year, to let it go and become swallowed up by modern development is no different than if the Patriots that fought here laid down their weapons along their side.

We must stand up and fight for this, to preserve this land, it is such an important part of our history. And my daughter was in Afghanistan and came back. She was a history major. And I just, I am just so proud to live in such a beautiful community. And please just do the right thing. Vote your conscience and do the right thing.

And to Toll Brothers: You have an awesome opportunity here to do the right thing. To Mindy's point, what kind of $P R$ and free advertising would you receive if you did the right thing? Every person in here tonight can go home and tell their friends and their family what an awesome thing that

Toll Brothers did, was to allow Crebilly to be saved and not be raped by your company for greed. Thank you.

MR. MCKENNA: At the microphone now is Cheryl Koblensky, and after her is James Hammerman.

MS. KOBLENSKY: I want to thank you for this opportunity to speak. I wasn't prepared tonight with any statement or any quotes or anything. I have attended all the Planning Commission meetings, and tonight $I$ was just here to show support and $I$ was not going to have a comment, but $I$ could not leave tonight without just making it known and going on record that this is such an important decision.

As a resident of Thornbury
Township, what you decide here moving forward affects our legacy, all of our generations moving forward. It has an historical
significance. It has environmental impact issues. It is all of the things that we have spoken about this evening. There are so many things to lose, and the only thing that c can
see that we gain is money.
And so we talk about doing the right thing. It affects many different communities as well, so as part of Thornbury Township, I live at Brandywine at Thornbury, and $I$ wanted to thank Peter DuFault for kind of bringing it to the attention, it is not just everyone that takes 926 and 202 , but our particular development is really at risk as a cut-through for all of the people looking to make shortcuts, and it is going to affect our quality of life, the people that live in the community, for a really long time.

So please take this under
consideration. And we appreciate all of the time that you have put into this decision. Thank you.

MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, ma'am.
James Hammerman. Is Mr. Hammerman still here?

MR. SPACKMAN: He left.
MR. MCKENNA: He is not
present. Next, and $I$ apologize, $\quad$ cannot read the last name, Pamela, is it Clan?

Clard? Skyline Drive, Glen Mills. I'm sorry, ma'am.

MS. CLOUD: That's okay.
MR. MCKENNA: Say your last
name.
MS. CLOUD: Pam Cloud.
MR. MCKENNA: Cloud, that makes
a lot more sense.
MS. CLOUD: Sorry. It is my
handwriting.
I'm going to be very honest
here. I did not plan to come to this meeting tonight. So I don't have anything formally prepared. But $I$ have been watching for months and months. And $I$ spent the past two or three days with my son, who is probably the youngest person here in this audience tonight, sitting back there very patiently waiting for me to say what $I$ need to say, we spent the past few days hiking in open space in Chester County, all over the place, and exercising, out with friends, and $I$ can't say how much $I$ appreciate the areas that already have been saved.

And it is very emotional that, for me, it is not just this development, it is all of the development. I work in real estate, and a lot of people move to Chester County to get away from all of the development that they have already experienced. And $I$ hate to see this area become what everybody else is trying to get away from.

And I appreciate the time to speak to you guys, and to Toll, and just hope that you will do the right thing, as everybody else has said. And I also want to support all the people here that have worked so hard to save Crebilly Farm, and $I$ really am here to show my support for them as well. And my son said he would support me if $I$ get up and say this. So thank you so much.

MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, ma'am.
Next is Renee Bender, and after Ms. Bender is Elizabeth Tankel.

MS. BENDER: Hi everybody. I have a brief comment. Those before me have spoken much more eloquently. I ask that you
not - -

MR. MCKENNA: Ma'am, can you speak a little closer to the microphone. The Board is having a tough time hearing you.

MS. BENDER: I was going to ask that you not underestimate the value that Crebilly Farm has as open space for us, and those who come after us, and that you do all you can to prevent this development and destruction.

MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, ma'am. Elizabeth Tankel.

A VOICE: She just stepped out for a moment.

MR. MCKENNA: We can come back to Elizabeth. Rich Cole, Pony Court.

MR. COLE: I give my appreciation to the Board of Supervisors, Planning Commission and to all the people that have spoken tonight. I'll try to complement what everybody has been saying with some thoughts maybe that haven't been covered.
Where are the legions of
homeowners that support Toll? Are there any of them? Have any of them shown up and presented to us and shown us what a better community we are going to have because of your neighborhood that you want to put in?

Five years from now we are not going to regret letting them in -- I mean we are going to regret letting them in. Toll is going to survive without us by finding other poor bastards who don't care as much about their present or their past. Why not just go ahead and let Toll move on to their next, to their next exploitation.

There are so many different areas where the development is going to negatively impact communities, and $\quad$ think about my own neighborhood where the connector road will end up going through and heading south, and we will get all that traffic that's trying to bypass 202. And right now it is a quiet little street, where kids play, and get on buses, and go play basketball, and friendships are made, and people walking their pets. That will all have to change.

And $I$ guess the funny thing is that that's something that just can't really be quantified. The easy stuff is what they do, is when they talk about how much, how many homes are going to be built, the cost of the homes, and it basically comes down to a profit and loss statement. And they've got so many different layers of profitability built into what, into what they are doing that they are never going to end up giving an appropriate contribution to what we are going to end up paying.

Because what they are really
trying to do is benefit from all the effort that we put into building our communities and our homes and having nice homes. If this was a terrible neighborhood they never would have come in here. They are in here because they know that they are going to get something from us.

So I would just hope that you recognize, and $I$ recognize how difficult this must be, but we don't owe them anything, and five years from now we will know that we made
the right decision and they will have moved on to some other neighborhood.

So thank you for doing the
right thing.
MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, sir.
Ms. Tankel.
MS. TANKEL: Hi.
MR. MCKENNA: Just confirm,
Elizabeth Tankel.
MS. TANKEL: Elizabeth Tankel,
17 Wildwood Drive.
MR. MCKENNA: After Ms. Tankel
will be Boots Tolsdorf. Go ahead.
MS. TANKEL: Malvern,
Pennsylvania.
First of all, thank you to you who are listening and paying attention. But I really want to address the people in the audience. I live in Willistown Township. We have a very active land conservancy and we have supervisors who stood up to the tremendous pressure of development. I hope that you three supervisors will reach out to our supervisors in willistown, because since

I moved here in 1982, Willistown has changed very little. It is so recognizable to me. The topography hasn't changed. The architecture hasn't changed.

But when $I$ drive through your township I see tremendous changes. It is unrecognizable to me.

I remember one night in June $\circ$ f
1982 I was driving a car that wasn't very good. I had just gotten married and $I$ was visiting a friend on Boot Road. And I had to drive down 202 at night. It was pitch black. And all could do was pray that my car wouldn't break down on 202 because it was, there was nothing, there were no houses, there were no businesses. And I thought, oh good God, if I get stranded here, I'm screwed. No cars driving by.

And now I look at that same 202 and it is unrecognizable to me. Everyone who is here, please -- I'm right against the microphone -- but please remember, you have power. Talk to your friends. Don't let this go. Don't give up. Keep fighting, because
you can still save this.
My township $I$ hope is safe. It has changed very little. But your township has changed tremendously. Hold on to what is left. Thank you so much. God bless you.

MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, ma'am.
Next on the list is Boots Tolsdorf. After Ms. Tolsdorf is Mark Landon.

MS. TOLSDORF: I didn't come here to speak tonight. I don't have anything prepared. But I speak from a long-time resident of Chester County. My parents lived here in 1941 when $I$ was six months old, and we lived south of West Chester on Burke Road. We have lived in East Bradford, West Bradford Township. I raised my children in westtown Township. And I now live in East Goshen Township.

You haven't heard of me before and $I$ haven't been to very many meetings because I don't always stay here. I do have a home in Florida where we usually spend the winter. But whenever anybody asks me where my home is, I always say Chester County. My
parents were very active here. My father and mother, my father started the Red Clay Association, the Brandywine Valley Association, with a bunch of other people. He was very involved in associations in West Chester.

And I'm very proud to say that both my boys who own businesses here in town fell in love with the township and this county so much that they decided to live here and raise their families here. So the three generations of us here. And $I$ hope that my grandchildren will continue to live here at some point as well.

I have seen historical
buildings. I lived in an historical building, built in west town Township in 1816, I lived there for 30 years. I preserved that house because, as someone said earlier, I felt like a caretaker. I didn't own that house. I simply was there to carry on the historical preservation of that house that was started by people, the Hoopes family who built it. I was fortunate to sell it to a
restoration architect, so $I$ know he bought it because he loved it.

Because Chester County is such a vital part of my heart, Crebilly Farm is as well. I have seen buildings come and go. I have seen the mansion house go in West Chester. I have seen the Friends Meeting house at the corner of Church and Chestnut in West Chester go. And $I$ know how that has impacted a lot of people. Once it is gone, it is gone.

So although I am not a resident of Westtown Township anymore, $\quad$ certainly am a resident of Chester County, and I, like so many of you who were so much more eloquent than $I$ tonight, feel the same way you do. And $I$ certainly hope for the preservation of history, because $I$ think open lands is incredibly important. But $I$ leave my heart here, and $I$ hope and understand that most of you have too. Thank you.

MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, ma'am. Mark Landon, Birmingham Road.

MR. LANDON: Thank you. I'm

Mark Landon. I live near Crebilly Farm on Birmingham Road, in Birmingham Township. I would like to take just a moment to speculate, and $I$ speculate that if we were to poll all the Revolutionary war scholars in the U.S., not many would think this development is a very good idea.

If we could consult a
significant sample of environmental
scientists, it is hard to believe this project would get their approval. I think if we were to ask the tens of thousands of motorists who drive through west town Township on Routes 202 and 926 each day that none would think this development is a good idea.
I believe that if you were to
survey all the parents, taxpayers and staff of the West Chester Area School District and ask if this development was a good idea, you would hear a resounding no.

And $I$ wonder what the first responders who serve our needs would say if you asked them if they thought this development was a good idea and would keep us
safer. I suspect it would not.
And if you ask the 10,000
Westtown residents who elected this distinguished Board, $I$ bet you would find very few who think developing Crebilly Farm is a good idea.

I suspect if you ask for a show of hands in this room we wouldn't see too many, maybe other than from the Toll folks, who think this is a good idea.

So if you think that $I$ might be on the right path with my assumptions and that the significant majority of all these important constituencies don't think the development is wise, $I$ think it is clear, this Board needs to find a way to prevent this project from moving forward. Thank you. MR. MCKENNA: Thank you, sir. Ladies and gentlemen, that was all the names we had listed on the sign-up forms that are in front of us. Subject to turning to the Board members, I'm going to ask one last time, final call, is there anyone else who has a comment tonight?

I'm not hearing any. Then it is appropriate for us to conclude this evening. I remind everyone, the exhibits have been admitted this evening. We will close the record. There will be no further testimony or evidence presented to the Board. They will render their decision at a pubic meeting on December 28 th, it is a Thursday, at 6:00 p.m. in the township building.

I want to thank every one of
you. I appreciate your patience. I appreciate your respectfulness, your attitude throughout this process. That includes all counsel, Mr. Adelman, everyone that was before us, the Board, and especially everyone in Rustin and the entire school district, Mr. Scanlon, everyone for accommodating us over the last ten months.

Have a great holiday. We will
see you at the end of December.
(Proceedings conclude at 9:00
p.m.)
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| 1770:17 <br> contemplating [1] - 1833:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { corresponded [1] - } \\ & \text { 1763:1 } \\ & \text { corridor }[2] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1858:14 } \\ & \text { creation [1] - 1857:4 } \\ & \text { Crebilly [54] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cutting [2]-1794:21, } \\ & \text { 1863:20 } \\ & \text { cycle }_{[1]}-1813: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1763:18, 1763:22, } \\ & \text { 1763:23, 1763:24, } \end{aligned}$ |
| contending [1] - | 1817:23, 1867:4 | 1756:8, 1756:14, |  |  |
| 1853:1 <br> continent | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{cost}_{[2]}-1862: 7, \\ & \text { 1889:5 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1757:24, 1759:22, } \\ & \text { 1762:13, 1776:11, } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { decide [5] - 1786:14, } \\ & \text { 1787:10, 1832:3, } \end{aligned}$ |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1883:18 } \\ & \text { decided }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | 1849:18 dense [1] - 1849:5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1833:23, 1896:5 } \\ & \text { development }[92] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1819:11 } \\ & \text { DEWOLF [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { discussed [3] - } \\ \text { 1792:5, 1809:20, } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1893:10 | density [4] - | 1770:6, 1771:9, | 1755:15, 1769:16 | 1846:8 |
| deciding [1] - 1791:2 | 1817:21, 1818:20, | 1771:11, 1771:18, | DeWolf [3] - | Discussion [1] - |
| decision [33] - | 1849:16, 1868:12 | 1772:9, 1788:2, | 1756:23, 1774:23, | 1870:23 |
| 1760:8, 1760:11, | deny [4]-1803:21, | 1789:2, 1789:4, | 1775:4 | discussion [3] - |
| 1760:16, 1760:24, | 1804:6, 1804:10, | 1789:10, 1791:24, | dialogue [1] - | 1832:19, 1832:23, |
| 1761:4, 1761:8, | 1806:8 | 1793:13, 1795:8, | 1875:18 | 1839:8 |
| 1761:10, 1761:12, | depth [4]-1769:10, | 1796:7, 1796:13, | Diane [2] - 1819:5, | dislodge [1] - 1851:7 |
| 1761:15, 1761:18, | 1769:21, 1770:5, | 1800:13, 1800:15, | 1821:4 | disregard [2] - |
| 1761:20, 1764:3, | 1850:7 | 1800:17, 1800:22, | Dick [2]-1777:24, | 1799:7, 1815:7 |
| 1764:4, 1764:8, | Dernbach [1] - | 1800:23, 1801:4, | 1783:22 | disregarding/ |
| 1779:2, 1779:7, | 1872:24 | 1801:6, 1801:16, | DIDOMENICO ${ }_{[1]}$ - | disrespectful [1] - |
| 1786:14, 1786:22, | descendants [1] - | 1802:9, 1802:18, | 1755:14 | 1878:10 |
| 1791:12, 1801:5, | 1834:17 | 1803:6, 1803:11, | DiDomenico [1] - | disrupted [1] - |
| 1810:20, 1824:1, | describe [1] - 1878:9 | 1803:15, 1804:2, | 1757:2 | 1811:2 |
| 1825:23, 1827:22, | described [2] - | 1805:6, 1806:10, | died [1] - 1835:6 | distinguished ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| 1835:22, 1835:23, | 1868:13, 1869:12 | 1808:2, 1808:15, | difference [4] - | 1896:4 |
| 1859:17, 1873:23, | deserve [2]-1809:2, | 1812:1, 1813:7, | 1830:13, 1830:16, | district [11] - 1769:6, |
| 1883:16, 1884:16, | 1822:7 | 1813:12, 1813:13, | 1855:10, 1872:4 | 1779:24, 1805:19, |
| 1890:1, 1897:7 <br> decisions [7] | $\begin{gathered} \text { design }[4]-1800: 18, \\ \text { 1800:19, 1803:24 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1813:16, 1813:19, } \\ & \text { 1816:18, 1816:23, } \end{aligned}$ | differences [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1815:8, 1862:14, } \\ & \text { 1862:20, 1862:23 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1778:18, 1779:3, | desirable [1] - | 1819:17, 1821:24, | different [13] - | 1863:1, 1863:19, |
| 1786:21, 1805:3, | 1813:11 | 1822:17, 1823:20, | 1786:20, 1806:19, | 1864:4, 1897:16 |
| 1836:3, 1874:5, | desire [2]-1760:24, | 1824:2, 1824:7, | 1816:19, 1817:3, | District [4] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1879:2 } \\ & \operatorname{deck}[4]-1783 \end{aligned}$ | 1763:17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1825:3, 1825:8, } \\ & \text { 1827:6, 1827:12, } \end{aligned}$ | 1823:21, 1824:12, | 1759:23, 1805:17, |
| 1788:17, 1807:6, | $\begin{gathered} \text { despite [3] - } \\ \text { 1866:24, 1867:20, } \end{gathered}$ | 1827:14, 1839:9, | 1827:24, 1846:23, 1847:18, 1882:6, | 1862:13, 1895:18 documentation [1] |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1860:2 } \\ & \text { decrease }_{[1]} \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | 1868:12 <br> destroyed [4] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1840:5, 1840:12, } \\ & \text { 1840:16, 1840:22, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1884:3, 1888:14, } \\ & \text { 1889:8 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1867:10 } \\ & \text { dollar }_{[1]}-1874: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1813:23 } \\ & \text { decreased }[2] \end{aligned}$ | 1801:16, 1803:8, 1834:15, 1867:7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1843:12, 1846:10, } \\ & \text { 1846:19, 1847:21, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { difficult }[5]-1779: 6, \\ & \text { 1794:8, 1809:24, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Don [3] - 1843:19, } \\ & \text { 1847:8, 1847:9 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1814:1, 1814:9 decreasing [1] - | 1834:15, 1867:7 <br> destroying [3] - <br> 1833:1, 1833:24, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1847:23, 1847:24, } \\ & \text { 1848:23, 1850:24, } \end{aligned}$ | 1863:15, 1889:22 digressing [1] - | done [20]-1764:9, 1771:1, 1775:14 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1864:22 } \\ & \text { } \operatorname{deep}_{[4]}-1769: 10, \end{aligned}$ | 1834:20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1851:2, 1853:10, } \\ & \text { 1854:13, 1856:21, } \end{aligned}$ | $1845: 16$ <br> diligence [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1776:2, 1776:5, } \\ & \text { 1777:24, 1793:17 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1799:5, 1808:9 $\text { deer }[5]-1818: 4,$ | 1827:18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1857:2, 1857:17, } \\ & \text { 1863:23, 1864:8, } \end{aligned}$ | 1784:16, 1793:17 | 1798:21, 1799:9, |
| deer [5] - 1818:4, 1818:7, 1818:8, | destruction [1] - $1887 \cdot 10$ | 1864:9, 1864:16, | Dilworth [1] - | 1816:20, 1816:24, |
| 1818:11, 1818:12 | destructive [1] - | 1867:16, 1869:20, | Dilworthtown [2] - | 1818:8, 1841:4, |
| defective [1] - | 1827:18 | 1870:4, 1875:24, | 1833:15, 1864:17 | 1843:13, 1854:8, |
| 1781:18 1871.6 | details [1] - 1778:6 | 1882:5, 1884:9, | diminish [1] - | 1862:8, 1869:13 |
| defend [1] - 1871:6 | deteriorate [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1886:2, 1886:3, } \\ & 1886: 6 \text { 1887:a } \end{aligned}$ | 1849:24 | doors [1] - 1863:6 |
| degreed [1] - 1800:9 | 1868:21 | 1886:6, 1887:9, | diminished ${ }_{[1]}$ | double [1] - 1759:18 |
| Delaware [3] - | determine [1] - | 1888:15, 1890:22, | 1819:22 | doubt [4]-1778:12, |
| 1826:16, 1828:5, | 1801:13 | 1895:7, 1895:15, | diplomas [1] - | 1778:15, 1778:17, |
| 1848:12 | develop [2] | 1895:19, 1895:24, | 1877:7 | 1835:9 |
| delay [1] - 1853:8 delayed [2] - | 1823:11, 1830:6 | developments [10] - | direction [2] - $1810 \cdot 6,1823.2$ | Douglas [1] - 1838:16 |
| $1800: 24,1853: 9$ | developed [7] - <br> 1770:1, 1820:16 | 1771:4, 1803:17, | 1810:6, 1823: <br> directly [2] - | 1838:16 <br> down [26]-176 |
| deliberating [1] - | 1821:12, 1825:20, | 1806:16, 1814:2, | $1848: 14,1848: 21$ | $1766: 8,1767: 14$ |
| 1765:22 | 1839:21, 1850:10, | 1814:4, 1818:8, | $\operatorname{dirt}[1]-1823: 4$ | 1768:10, 1768:21, |
| delineated [1] - | 1851:22 |  | disappointment [1] - | 1770:5, 1771:7, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1873:13 } \\ & \text { delivered [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | developer [3] - 1823:7. 1826:10. | 1849:16, 1866:24 develops [1] - | 1799:5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1772:12, 1775:8, } \\ & \text { 1783:3, 1794:5, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1801:1 | 1849:10 | 1824:15 devoted [3] - | 1812:19 | 1809:18, 1810:8, |
| mand [1] - 1862:5 | developers [3] - | 1764:18, 1801:24, | disaster ${ }_{[1]}$ - 1771:5 | 1815:22, 1816:5, |
| emise [1] - 1825:7 | 1756:15, 1840:1, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1/64:18 } \\ & 1811: 5 \end{aligned}$ | discuss [3] - | 1817:12, 1824:7, |
| demonstrated [3] - | 1875:19 |  | 1763:21, 1784:11, | 1832:13, 1857:14, |
| 1787:2, 1787:5, | developing [2] - |  | 1881:16 | 1860:24, 1863:13, |


| 1865:1, 1882:7, | duplicative [1] - | ELEANOR ${ }_{[1]}$ - | engine [1] - 1834:9 | essentially [3] - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1889:6, 1891:12, | 1767:5 | 1755:23 | engineer [2] - | 1857:16, 1857:24, |
| 1891:14 | during $[7]$ - 1773:7, | elected [2] - 1805:2, | 1800:10, 1855:12 | 1859:6 |
| dozen [1] - 1787:11 <br> dozens [2] - 1807:19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1785:5, 1835:4, } \\ & \text { 1853:3, 1853:15, } \end{aligned}$ | 1896:3 <br> electrical [1] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { engineering [1] - } \\ & \text { 1803:23 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { establish [1] - } \\ & \text { 1799:9 } \end{aligned}$ |
| Dr [2]-1805:15, | 1862:22, 1864:2 | 1800:9 | engineers [3] - | estate [2] - 1840:18, |
| 1862:13 | dwelling [1] - | elementary [1] - | 1790:23, 1852:15, | 1886:4 |
| draw [2]-1808:17, | 1853:23 | 1863:11 | 1856:11 | esthetic [1] - |
| 1856:20 | dwindling [1] - | elevated [1] - | enhances [1] - | 1872:14 |
| drawn [3]-1833:4, | 1821:10 | 1855:14 | 1827:16 | estimated [1] - |
| 1834:11, 1856:19 | dynamic [4]- | eligible [1] - 1869:23 | enjoy [3] - 1822:8, | 1781:11 |
| drill [1] - 1770:9 | 1839:7, 1840:10, | Elizabeth [7] - | 1877:4, 1880:12 | tcetera [1] - 1848:3 |
| drinking [1] - | 1841:9, 1842:19 | 1865:15, 1871:1, | enjoyed [1] - | Evans [5] - 1867:11, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1805:14 } \\ & \text { drip [2] - 1796:15, } \end{aligned}$ | E | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1886:21, 1887:12, } \\ & \text { 1887:16, 1890:9, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1819:14 } \\ & \text { enjoyment }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1867:18, 1867:21, } \\ & \text { 1867:22, 1868:13 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1796:22 |  | 1890:10 | 1822:18 | Evans/Ewing [1] - |
| Drive [26]-1768:15, | e-mail [3] - 1757:23, | Ellen [1] - 1790:1 | enriched [1] - 1822:6 | 1869:22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1768:18, 1774:15, } \\ & \text { 1775:22, 1777:19, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1760:4, 1898:5 } \\ & \text { e-mails [1] - 1825:16 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { eloquent [1] - } \\ & \text { 1894:15 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { enter [2] - 1801:19, } \\ & 1855 \cdot 5 \end{aligned}$ | Eve [1] - 1842:2 evening [20] - |
| 1778:1, 1783:23, | Eagle [1] - 1867:12 | eloquently [2] - | entering [1] - | 1756:12, 1757:8, |
| 1790:5, 1790:7, | early [4]-1772:24, | 1852:7, 1886:24 | 1871:19 | 1757:15, 1758:1, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1791:18, 1794:2, } \\ & \text { 1794:14, 1794:23 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1869:1, 1869:4, } \\ & \text { 1869:10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\text { embankment }{ }_{[1]}$ | entire [5] - 1766:15, | 1765:1, 1766:9, |
| 1798:17, 1804:17, | easement [2] - | $\left.{ }_{\text {embarrassment }}{ }^{2}\right]$ - | 1881:24, 1897:16 | 1795:24, 1798:23, |
| 1807:11, 1810:7, 1811-15, 1819.4, | 1817:9, 1836:15 | 1832:15, 1832:17 | entitled [1]-1759:12 | 1809:9, 1809:15, |
| 1811:15, 1819:4 1823:4, 1838:17 | 1817:4, 1866:20 | mbedded [1] | entrance [1] - | 3:1, 1816 |
| 1843:20, 1847:9, | easier [1] - 1851:5 | embrace [1] | entry [1] - 1840:23 | 1876:17, 1883:23, |
| 1885:1, 1890:11 drive [8] - 1771:8, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { east }[2]-1816: 22 \text {, } \\ & \text { 1818:20 } \end{aligned}$ | 1831:22 | environment [5] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1897:3, 1897:4 } \\ & \text { event }[4]-1776: 11, \end{aligned}$ |
| 1772:11, 1783:3, | East [8] - 1811:21, | 1792:6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1792:9, 1859:5, } \\ & \text { 1859:18, 1859:19, } \end{aligned}$ | 1794:22, 1853:7, |
| 1792:7, 1798:10, | 1811:24, 1814:15, | emotional | 1872:15 | 1862:15 |
| 1891:5, 1891:12, | 1819:9, 1875:1, | 1886:1 | environmental [9] - | events [2]-1824:18, |
| 1895:13 | 1880:5, 1892:15, | encamped [1] - | 1795:5, 1805:9, | 1848:22 |
| driven [2]-1772:4 | 1892:17 | 1867:11 | 1844:4, 1849:19, | eventually [1] - |
| 1841:12 | eastbound [2] | encourage [2] - | 1858:17, 1859:3, | 1805:14 |
| driver's [1] - 1850:19 | 1853:16, 1855:17 | 1787:24, 1852:16 | 1859:7, 1883:21, | ever-growing [1] - |
| driving [3] - 1819:14, | easy [3] - 1835:21, | end [15]-1761:1, | 1895:9 | 1882:1 |
| 1891:9, 1891:18 | 1859:17, 1889:3 | 1761:4, 1761:10, | Environmental [6] | everyday [2] - |
| drop [1] - 1798:7 | eat [1] - 1818:14 | 1768:10, 1771:3, | 1796:18, 1858:22, | 1829:8, 1830:21 |
| drove [3] - 1840:21, | ecological [1] - | 1771:7, 1771:10, | 1859:9, 1859:16, | everywhere [1] - |
| 1880:20, 1880:21 | 1815:8 | 1773:14, 1778:9, | 1873:2, 1878:20 | 1779:17 |
| drunk [2] - 1842:3, | economic [3] - | 1785:12, 1874:7, | environmentally ${ }^{2]}$ | evidence [5] - |
| 1842:5 | 1776:23, 1802:20, | 1888:18, 1889:10, | - 1850:22, 1871:16 | 1761:13, 1764:17, |
| due [4]-1763:18, | 1850:2 | 1889:12, 1897:20 | equal ${ }_{[1]}$ - 1873:2 | 1807:14, 1808:6, |
| 1793:17, 1806:17, | educate [1] - 1862:6 | endangered [1] - | equate [2]-1825:9, | 1897:6 |
| 1852:13 | educating [1] - | 1844:3 | 1827:10 | evidently [1] - |
| DuFault [4] - | 1881:7 | endless [3] - | equivalent [1] - | 1868:23 |
| 1851:12, 1857:10, | educational [1] - | 1813:12, 1813:18, | 1873:7 | Ewing [2] - 1867:21, |
| 1884:6 | 1803:1 | 1814:2 | era [1] - 1817:8 | 1870:2 |
| DUFAULT [2] - | effect [1] - 1827:7 | ends [1] - 1773:23 | ERA [1] - 1873:1 | exactly [2]-1827:13, |
| 1851:13, 1856:8 | effort [2] - 1871:8, | enemy [ ${ }^{1]}$ - 1851:6 | erased [1] - 1817:16 | 1834:16 |
| dumbfounded [1] - | 1889:14 | enforceable [1] - | ESE [3] - 1860:9, | example [4]- |
| 1800:14 | efforts [2] - 1817:6, | 1873:15 | 1860:11 | 1799:11, 1803:5, |
| dump [2] - 1842:7, | 1867:1 | enforcement ${ }_{[1]}$ - | especially [4] - | 1828:3, 1835:1 |
| 1842:11 | eight ${ }_{[1]}$ - 1776:10 | 1862:6 | 1782:8, 1796:5, | exceeded [1] |
| Dunvegan [5] - | either $[3]-1812: 18$, | enforcing [1] - | 1879:14, 1897:15 | 1870:10 |
| 1793:5, 1793:10, | 1836:4, 1847:9 | 1862:2 | Esquire [4]-1756:2, | excellent [1] - |
| 1794:21, 1798:15, | Eleanor [3]-1861:8, | engaging [1] - | 1756:3, 1756:5, | 1770:12 |
| 1799:3 | 1899:7, 1899:21 | 1875:18 | 1756:7 | except [3] - 1777:11, |


| 1840:15, 1843:23 exception [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1880:22 } \\ & \text { explode [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1826:18, 1826:22, } \\ & \text { 1839:12, 1881:2 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1769:4, 1773:5 } \\ & \text { fate }[1]-1825: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1849:5, 1850:23 } \\ & \text { findings }[2]-1763 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1866:17 | 1777:12 | families [1] - | father [6]-1823:3 | 1763:8 |
| xceptional [1] - | xploitation [1] - | 1893:1 | 1833:5, 1855:6 | [1] - 1794:11 |
| 1881:23 | 1888:13 | family [23]-1769:8, <br> 1769:24, 1786:9 | 1877:24, 1893:1, 1893:2 | firm [1] - 1851:21 |
| 1825:17 | 1839:14 | 1788:5, 1788:6 | lity [1] - 1781:5 |  |
| use [1] - 1873:2 | exponentially [3]- | 1793:10, 1794:1 | [1] - 1873:10 | 75:6, 1782: |
| cution [1] - | 1784:20, 1819:18 | 1814:7, 1819:11 | [1] - 1786:10 | 4:14, 1791:21, |
| 1815: | 18 | 1820:20, 1824:9 | fear [2] - 1776:13, | 3:7, 1799:4, |
| cutiv | 9:5 | 1836:23, 1848:1 | 1808 | 09:10, 1809 |
| 1763:21, 1764:2 | extends [1] | 1848:13, 1850:6 | feasibility [1] | 1841:20, 1845:24, |
| 1840:18 | 1855:17 | 1850:16, 1870:2, | 1860:7 | 1846:4, 1860:4, |
| ercising [1] - | extension [3] - | 1870:6, 1874:2 | ebruary [1] - 1770:4 | 1866:1, 1867:1 |
| 1885:22 | 1760:7, 1761:17, | 1874:3, 1877:19 | [1] - 1862:19 | 1873:13, 1874:18, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { exhibit [2] - 1765:19, } \\ & 1788: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1898:6 } \\ & \text { extensive [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1882:24, 1893:2 } \\ \operatorname{far}_{[4]}-1757: 2, \end{gathered}$ | eding $[1]$ - 1818:6 <br> eds [1] - 1820:23 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1890:16, 1895:21 } \\ \text { firsthand [2] - } \end{gathered}$ |
| hibit [4] - 1758:19 | 1780:15 | 1793:9, 1796:15 | feet [4]-1769:10, | 1848:15, 1879: |
| 1758:21, 1760:1, | siv | 1823:18 | 1770:5, 1770:2 | ting [1] - 1851:3 |
| 1765:20 | 1766:17 | farm [22] - 1799:21, | 1830:1 | Fitzgerald [1] - |
| Exhibits [2] - | nt ${ }_{[1]}$ - 1873:15 | 1799:24, 1804:7, | fell [1] - 1893:9 | 1786:13 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 1759:16, } 1762: 5 \\ \text { exhibits [10] - } \end{gathered}$ | extra [1]-1841:15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1816:16, 1817:8, } \\ & \text { 1817:13, 1818:2, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { fellow }[4]-1803: 1 \\ 1808: 1.1831: 18 . \end{gathered}$ | FITZPATRICK ${ }_{[5]}$ <br> 1860:3, 1861:3, |
| 1758:10, 1758:17, | 17 | 1818:14, 1820:20 | 18 | 1861:14, 1861:18 |
| 1759:10, 1759:14, | eyeball [1] - 1775:14 | 1822:13, 1823:3 | felt [2] - 1863:4 | 1861:20 |
| 1761:14, 1762:21, | sore [1] - 1798:9 | 1825:20, 1836:13 | 1893:20 | tzpatrick [2] |
| 1763:11, 1897:3, | eyesores [1] - | 1836:14, 1836:21, | fence [2]-1773:23, | 1857:12, 1860:1 |
| 1898:4, 1898:7 <br> EXHIBITS [1] - | 1814:10 | $\begin{aligned} & 1848: 5,1848: 14, \\ & 1850: 6,1867: 22, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1782:21 } \\ & \text { fertilize } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { five [6] - 1772:20, } \\ & \text { 1773:3, 1820:12, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1898:3 } \\ & \text { exist }[2]-1830: 12, \end{aligned}$ | F | 1869:22, 1875:16 Farm [34]-1776:12, | 1846:11 <br> few [4] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1864:19, 1888:6, } \\ & 1889: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1830:15 } \\ & \text { existing }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { facade }[1]-1817 \\ & \text { face } \left._{[1]}-1785: 2\right\} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1791:9, 1792:17, } \\ & \text { 1796:4, 1799:17, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1855:9, 1885:20, } \\ & \text { 1896:5 } \end{aligned}$ | fix [1] - 1792:1 flawless [1] - |
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| 1787:24 | 1781:11, 1808:18 | nscathed | 1871:10 | VOSBURGH [5] - |
| travels [1] - 1794:2 | 1809:1, 1820:7 | 1881:2 | [1] - | 769 |
| treated [1]-1867:24 | 1827:10, 1829:16, 1836:12, 1843:3, | ${ }_{\text {untouched [1] }}$ | Valley [5] - 1835:5, | 1769:14, 1769:18, |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { treatment }[4] \text { - } \\ 1790: 13,1845: 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1836:12, 1843:3 } \\ & \text { 1848:1, 1848:3, } \end{aligned}$ | 1881:13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1857:14, 1865:23, } \\ & \text { 1872:22, 1893:3 } \end{aligned}$ | 1773:16 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1846: 13,1868: 2 \\ \text { tree }[1]-1869: 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1854:17, 1858:21, } \\ & \text { 1863:4, 1867:15, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1826:11 } \\ & \text { unusable } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Valley's }[1] \text { - 1848:12 } \\ & \text { value }[9]-1782: 12, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1760:23, 1791:3, } \\ & \text { 1791:7, 1791:12, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1777:9, 1890:22, | typical [1] - 1823:19 | unusual | 1814:9, 1814:1 | 1807:2, 1808:8, |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { typically }{ }_{[1]} \text { - } \\ & 1763: 14 \end{aligned}$ | 1766:18 | 1815:8, 1830:19, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1808:12, 1809:4, } \\ & \text { 1812:13, 1815:11 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1809:22, 1892:4 |  | 1765:10, 1766:7 | values [3] - 1777:2 | 1821:15, 1851:1 |
| ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ - 1873:2 | U | 1766:12, 1767: | 1872:14 | 1859:1, 1882:16 |
| tried [1]-1845:2 <br> trips [3] - 1793:23 | 181 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1767:16, 1768:21 } \\ & \text { 1772:11, 1773:8, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { various }[2]-1805: 8 \text {, } \\ & \text { 1877:18 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { voted [2] - 1826:4, } \\ & \text { 1873:10 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1854:13, 1854:22 troops [1] - 1835:6 | U.S [1] - 1895: ultimately [4] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1773:21, 1773:22 } \\ & \text { 1774:15, 1775:11 } \end{aligned}$ | vast ${ }_{[1]}$ - 1858:12 <br> vehicle [2]-1854:12, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { voter }_{[1]}-1857: 17 \\ & \text { voting }[1]-1788: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| trucks [3]-1773:6, | 1831:23, 1832:2, 1835:21, 1835:23 | 1777:22, 1779:18, | 1855:20 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 1773:7, 1773:10 } \\ \text { true [4]-1801:3 } \end{gathered}$ | 1835:21, 1835:23 <br> unable [2]-1807:20, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1780:17, 1782:21, } \\ & \text { 1782:23, 1783:8, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { vehicles [2] - } \\ \text { 1790:19, 1792:6 } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1832:1, 1835:15, | 1808:13 unanimous | $\begin{aligned} & 1798: 5,1798: 10 \\ & 1801: 17,1814: 2 \end{aligned}$ | nture [1] - 1814:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { wagon [2]-1836:22, } \\ & \text { 1869:2 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 1858:20 | 1815:5, 1820:18, 1825:2. 1825:24 | nues [1] - 1814:16 | $\begin{gathered} \text { wait }[4]-1773: \text { ? } \\ \text { 1809:16, 1825:1 } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1830:22 } \\ & \text { trusses [1] - 1781:19 } \end{aligned}$ | uncles [1] - 1848:4 uncomfortable [1] - | 1831:5, 1832:5, | versus [4]-1782:9 <br> 1814:18, 1841:21, | 1828:14 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trust }[5]-1801: 22, \\ & \text { 1801:23, 1802:15, } \end{aligned}$ | 1769:2 <br> unconstitutional [1] | 1833:15, 1842:13, <br> 1842:23, 1842:24, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1849:14 } \\ & \text { vested }[3] \text { - 1793:12, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { waiting [2] - } \\ & \text { 1771:13, 1885:19 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1820:22, 1824:4 <br> Trust's [1] - 1802:7 trustees [1] - | - 1878:21 <br> under [8]-1786:23, <br> 1812:3, 1836:14, | 1844:1, 1844:20 <br> 1846:17, 1846:2 <br> 1850:4, 1852:8, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1852:14, 1872:7 } \\ & \text { via }[2]-1764: 10 \\ & 1850: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { walked [2] - 1832:7, } \\ & \text { 1863:5 } \\ & \text { walking [1] - 1888:23 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1872:18 } \\ & \text { trusts [2] - 1848:7, } \end{aligned}$ | 1858:2, 1859:3, <br> 1865:21, 1872:10 | 1853:11, 1861:1 <br> 1866:16, 1869:1 <br> 1869:21, 1880:7, | vice [1] - 1756:24 <br> view [3] - 1773:1, | wall [1] - 1868:5 <br> walls [1] - 1868:20 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1850:11 } \\ & \text { try }[9]-1766: 7 \end{aligned}$ | 1884:14 underestimate [1] - | 1880:11, 1880:14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1784:4, } 1853: 17 \\ & \text { views }[3]-1780: \end{aligned}$ | War [10] - 1773:19, |
| 1766:8, 1767:6, $\text { 1799:21, } 1825: 5$ | 1887:6 underlying [1] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1881:18, 1882:5, } \\ & \text { 1882:9, 1886:18, } \end{aligned}$ | 1795:6, 1871:23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1801:22, 1801:23, } \\ & \text { 1802:2, 1802:15, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1828:12, 1844:23, | 1854:4 | $\begin{aligned} & 1888: 2,1888: 18, \\ & 1889: 10,1889: 12 \end{aligned}$ | 1817:1, 1818:23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1804:5, 1824:4, } \\ & \text { 1832:18, 1881:18, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1881:13, 1887:20 } \\ & \text { trying }[7]-1773: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { understood }[3]- \\ \text { 1762:20, 1827:11, } \end{array}$ | 1890:21, 1891:2 | Village [1] - 1853:6 <br> village [2] - 1833:24 | 1832:18, 1881:18, 1895:5 |
| 1797:16, 1845:15, | 1874:11 <br> unfair [2] - 1771:15 | 1896:20 <br> Upland [1] - 1869:6 | 1867:12 | war [1] - 1881:8 <br> warns [1] - 1805:10 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 1888:20, 1889:14 } \\ \text { turn }[5]-1757: 5, \end{gathered}$ | 1771:24 <br> unfortunately ${ }^{[2]}$ - | Upper [1] - 1868:10 upset $[1]$ - 1811:23 | Vince [2] - 187 1876:15 <br> Vincent ${ }_{[1]}$ - 1 | Washington [5] 1833:14, 1835:5, |
| 1801:18, 1805:23 | 1813:11, 1813:21 | ban [1] - 1848:7 | $\text { vinyl }{ }_{[1]}-1781: 7$ | 1848:2, 1851:4, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1809:18, 1853:13 } \\ & \text { turned [2] - 1833:19, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { uninhabited }{ }_{[1]} \\ & \text { 1798:8 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { urge [4] - 1803:2 } \\ \text { 1807:1, 1815:11, } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Virginia [2] - 1833:7, } \\ & \text { 1881:3 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1867:19 } \\ & \text { waste }[2]-1790: 13, \end{aligned}$ |
| 1857:18 | unintended [1] | 1856:24 | visiting | 1845:5 |
| Turner [4]-1860:2, | 1813:15 | [1] - 1855:17 | 1880:20, 1891:11 | wastewater $[7]$ - |
| 1865:10, 1865:14 <br> TURNER ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 1862:21 | $\text { eful }[1]-1771: 20$ | vital [5] - 1839:4, 1840:7, 1841:6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1803:12, 1845:1, } \\ & 1845: 12,1846: 6, \end{aligned}$ |
| 1865:12 | $\text { unique }[5]-1813: 10 \text {, }$ 1814:3, 1814:4, | [1] - 1847:4 <br> most $[1]$ - 1780:9 | $\text { 1842:16, } 1894$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1846:12, 1846:13, } \\ & \text { 1846:14 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { turning [3] - } \\ & \text { 1833:23, 1866:22, } \end{aligned}$ | 1848:20, 1849:8 | ter [1]-1799:6 | ICE [1] - 1887:13 ce [1] - 1880:3 | atched [1] - 1771:6 |
| 1896:22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { unit }[1]^{-1820: 7} \\ & \text { units }[3]-1853: 24 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Uwchlan [2] - } \\ \text { 1868:10, 1869:6 } \end{gathered}$ | lume [1] - 1854:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { watching [1] - } \\ & \text { 1885:14 } \end{aligned}$ |


| water [15]-1769:5, | 1774:22, 1809:14, | 1821:22, 1890:19, | Wright ${ }_{[1]}$ - 1777:18 | Yellow [2]-1835:1, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1769:8, 1770:18, | 1840:14 | 1890:24, 1891:1 | WRIGHT ${ }^{2]}$ - | 1835:3 |
| 1776:9, 1776:17, | westbound [1] - | Wilmington [1] - | 1778:4, 1783:9 | yellow [1] - 1835:2 |
| 1781:4, 1792:1, | 1853:14 | 1805:13 | write [1] - 1865:22 | youngest [1] - |
| 1805:14, 1846:14, | Westtown [57] - | wind [1] - 1824:15 | writer [1] - 1866:7 | 1885:17 |
| 1854:1, 1854:2, | 1756:5, 1756:22, | windows [1] - | writing [4]-1758:10, | yourself [4] - |
| 1854:3, 1872:12, | 1764:22, 1764:23, | 1781:18 | 1760:6, 1760:12, | 1757:16, 1780:21, |
| 1873:7 | 1767:18, 1767:19, | winning [1] - | 1761:16 | 1810:1, 1852:21 |
| watershed [1] - | 1768:5, 1768:15, | 1786:12 | written [9]-1760:8, | youth [1] - 1836:19 |
| 1805:13 watersheds [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1775:21, 1783:24, } \\ & \text { 1784:3, 1787:3, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { winter }{ }^{22]} \text { - 1792:20, } \\ & \text { 1892:23 } \end{aligned}$ | 1760:16, 1761:12, 1764:8. 1765:15. | 7 |
| 1846:15 | 1789:8, 1790:8, | Wise [2] - 1867:7, | 1788:13, 1801:21, | Z |
| Wayne [1] - 1867:18 ways [1]-1817:1 weapon [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1792:15, 1794:15, } \\ & \text { 1795:8, 1799:18, } \\ & \text { 1801:22, 1802:11, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1868:13 } \\ & \text { wise }[4]-1776: 2, \\ & \text { 1826:6, 1843:14, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1834:5, 1873:1 } \\ & \text { wrote [4] - 1833:6, } \\ & \text { 1866:1, 1866:6, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Zoning }[1]-1878: 13 \\ & \text { zoning }[2]-1852: 2 \text {, } \\ & \text { 1878:11 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1858:19 } \\ & \text { weapons [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1803:19, 1805:4, } \\ & \text { 1806:19, 1806:24, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1896:15 } \\ & \text { wish [3] - 1836:16, } \end{aligned}$ | 1867:8 |  |
| 1882:7 | 1807:3, 1807:11, | 1846:8, 1850:9 | X |  |
| Weatherstone [1] - | 1812:6, 1815:18, | withstand [1] - |  |  |
| 1819:4 | 1816:1, 1816:6, | 1781:15 | XVIII [1] - 1755:8 |  |
| WEAVER [1] - | 1819:7, 1819:12, | witness [5] - 1860:6, |  |  |
| 1788:19 | 1820:1, 1820:10, | 1860:17, 1860:20, | Y |  |
| Weaver [4] - | 1820:16, 1820:20, | 1871:13, 1881:12 |  |  |
| 1783:16, 1783:17, | 1822:14, 1822:19, | WITNESS ${ }_{[1]}$ - | yard [1] - 1847:22 |  |
| 1788:16, 1788:20 | 1824:10, 1824:22, | 1899:15 | yards [1] - 1868:3 |  |
| website [6] - | 1826:3, 1838:15, | witnesses [4] - | year [19]-1761:1, |  |
| 1761:22, 1764:12, | 1840:15, 1840:19, | 1758:1, 1764:17, | 1761:4, 1761:6, |  |
| 1781:17, 1820:22, | 1843:21, 1844:22, | 1839:14, 1855:23 | 1761:10, 1770:4, |  |
| 1839:2, 1860:11 | 1846:3, 1856:17, | witnessing [1] - | 1790:11, 1792:18, |  |
| wedding [1] - | 1859:23, 1874:19, | 1850:3 | 1793:19, 1796:21, |  |
| 1792:20 | 1892:16, 1893:17, | woman [1] - 1846:5 | 1799:12, 1807:16, |  |
| week [1] - 1763:1 | 1894:13, 1895:13, | won [2] - 1796:2, | 1807:23, 1818:5, |  |
| weekday [1] - 1853:7 | 1896:3 | 1881:23 | 1823:9, 1825:11, |  |
| weekend [1] - | WESTTOWN ${ }_{[1]}$ - | wonder [2] - | 1825:17, 1845:22, |  |
| 1807:18 | 1755:2 | 1823:18, 1895:21 | 1882:4 |  |
| weight [5]-1759:12, | Westtown's [3] - | Woods [1] - 1775:21 | Year's [1] - 1842:2 |  |
| 1778:20, 1791:4, | 1793:13, 1859:18, | word [4]-1834:4, | year-round [1] - |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1791:6, 1791:11 } \\ & \text { welcome }[4] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | 1859:19 <br> wheels [1] - 1868:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1834:5, 1845:14, } \\ & 1848: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1792:18 } \\ & \text { years [40] - 1766:17, } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1756:13, 1763:7, | WHEREOF ${ }_{\text {[1] - }}$ - 1899:15 | words [3] - 1854:1, | 1774:14, 1776:10, |  |
| 1766:19, 1779:5 | 1899:15 Whiteland [1] - | $1878: 8,1878: 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1779:1, 1781:11, } \\ & \text { 1781:16, 1788:22, } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| wells [4] - 1770:17, | 1864:13 | $\begin{gathered} \text { workmanship [2] } \\ \text { 1806:18, 1806:22 } \end{gathered}$ | 1797:15, 1799:18, |  |
| 1791:23, 1815:1 | whole [8]-1788:6, | works [1] - 1860:8 | 1806:17, 1809:13, |  |
| West [27] - 1755:11, | 1788:7, 1818:18, | world [4] - 1827:3, | 1811:18, 1813:1, |  |
| 1775:19, 1794:19, | 1827:3, 1832:19, | 1832:16, 1854:14, | 1813:19, 1819:10, |  |
| 1805:16, 1808:21, | 1832:23, 1839:8, | 1881:22 | 1820:12, 1823:5, |  |
| 1809:14, 1809:17, | 1850:16 | worry [1] - 1864:2 | 1832:5, 1833:1, |  |
| 1810:5, 1821:18, | wholly [1] - 1860:12 | worse [3] - 1776:15, | 1834:1, 1834:10, |  |
| 1823:1, 1823:2, | Widener [1] - | 1809:23, 1811:3 | 1834:13, 1834:22, |  |
| 1825:5, 1852:23, $1862: 12,1863: 22$, | 1872:24 | worsening [2] - | 1844:18, 1845:22, 1851:21, 1854:17, |  |
| 1862:12, 1863:22, 1864:11, 1864:13, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { wife [5]-1774:14, } \\ & \text { 1797:11, 1799:2, } \end{aligned}$ | 1804:2, 1876:6 | 1857:23, 1857:24, |  |
| 1865:20, 1869:8, | 1840:20, 1879:8 | 1836:11 | 1863:4, 1864:3, |  |
| 1875:2, 1892:14, | Wildwood [1] - | Worth [3]-1831:1 | 1864:20, 1866:9, |  |
| 1892:15, 1893:5, | 1890:11 | 1836:9 | 1868:18, 1877:8, |  |
| 1894:6, 1894:9, | William [2] - | wrap [2] - 1765:10, | 1880:7, 1888:6, |  |
| 1895:18 | 1831:14, 1836:9 | 1831:4 | 1889:24, 1893:18 |  |
| west [6] - 1759:22, | Willistown [7] - | wrapping [1] - | Years [2] - 1842:10, |  |
| 1772:18, 1774:20, | 1819:9, 1820:21, |  | 1842:13 |  |

