

WESTTOWN TOWNSHIP

1039 Wilmington Pike
West Chester, PA 19382
610-692-1930
Email: administration@westtown.org

Post Office Box 79
Westtown, PA 19395
FAX 610-692-9651
www.westtownpa.org

AGENDA

Westtown Township Board of Supervisors Regular Meeting

Monday, October 21, 2024 – 7:30 PM

Westtown Township Municipal Building

1039 Wilmington Pike, Westtown

- I. Call to Order & Pledge of Allegiance
- II. Summary of Board of Supervisors Workshop – October 21, 2024
- III. Approval of Board of Supervisors Meeting Minutes – October 7, 2024
- IV. Departmental Reports
 - A. Westtown-East Goshen Police Department – Chief Bernot
 - B. Planning Commission – Jack Embick
 - C. Fire Marshal – Gerry DiNunzio
 - D. Finance Department – Cindi King
- V. Public Comment (Non-Agenda Items)
- VI. Old Business - None
- VII. New Business
 - A. Consider Resolution 2024-12 for Approved Number of Vehicles
 - B. Authorize Advertisement for Crebilly Land Acquisition Hearing
 - C. Consider Appointment for the Environmental Advisory Council
 - D. Nomination for Chester County Association of Township Officials (CCATO) Voting Delegate
- VIII. Announcements
 - A. Westtown Township is Accepting Public Comments on the 5-year Goose Creek Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) and Pollutant Reduction Plan (PRP) Update
 - B. Director of Zoning and Code Enforcement
 - C. Parks & Recreation Commission and Historical Commission Vacancies
 - D. Historical Commission Lecture on Saturday, November 9
- IX. Public Comment (All Topics)
- X. Payment of Bills
- XII. Adjournment

How to Engage in the Public Comment Sections of a Township Meeting

Public Comment is heard at three (3) different points during the meeting:

1. **BEFORE OLD BUSINESS** - The public is permitted to make public comment on any matter not on the agenda. This comment period is subject to the time constraint in (d) below
2. **PRIOR TO** any action on a motion on an Agenda item. Public Comment at this stage is limited to the item under discussion (e.g. it is not appropriate to initiate a discussion on police services if the body is acting upon a sewer issue).
3. **AFTER NEW BUSINESS.** - Public Comment is open to any legitimate item of business which can be considered by that Township Board/Commission (e.g. Planning Commission can discuss issues having to do with plan reviews, but cannot discuss why the Township does not plow your street sooner. Supervisors can discuss nearly every issue).

How to make a comment to any Township Board/Commission:

- a. The Chair will announce that the Board/Commission will now hear public comment, either on a specific issue or generally.
- b. You must then obtain recognition from the Chair prior to speaking.
- c. Once you have the floor, state your name and address for the record.
- d. You may then make your comment or ask your question. You will have three (3) minutes to make your statement, unless the Chair has announced otherwise, so please come prepared!

WESTTOWN TOWNSHIP BOARD OF SUPERVISORS REGULAR MEETING
Westtown Township Municipal Building, 1039 Wilmington Pike, Westtown
Monday, October 7, 2024 at 7:30 PM

Present: Chair Tom Foster, Vice Chair Ed Yost, Police Commissioner Dick Pomerantz, Township Manager and Director of Planning & Zoning Mila Carter, Parks Coordinator Pam Packard, and Township Solicitor Robert Jefferson.

I. Pledge of Allegiance & Call to Order

Mr. Foster called the meeting to order at 7:32 PM. He stated that the meeting was being recorded on Zoom, and with a slight delay on YouTube.

II. Summary of Board of Supervisors Workshop, October 7, 2024

Mr. Foster reported that the Board met in Executive session prior to tonight's meeting to discuss personnel, legal, and real estate matters. In the workshop, the Board received an update on the fundraising campaign for the Crebilly land acquisition, discussed the Stokes Estate Conditional Use settlement proposal, continued discussion on the preliminary budget for 2025, and accepted public comment.

III. Approval of Meeting Minutes from September 16, 2024

Mr. Yost made a motion to approve the meeting minutes from September 16. Mr. Pomerantz seconded. There was no public comment and the motion passed 3-0.

IV. Departmental Reports

A. Public Works Department – Pam Packard

Ms. Packard stated that the crew spent much of September removing hazard trees and open space field mowing. She reported there were some issues with several traffic signals at West Chester Pike at Cavanaugh Court and Marketplace shopping center, Skiles Blvd and Route 202, and Route 926 and Shiloh Road. She added that a water main replacement project by Aqua on Blenheim Road started, and will take about two months to complete. She also reported that the first phase of the Pleasant Grove force main replacement between the pump station and Westbourne Road on S. Concord Road has been completed, and the project has now progressed to the section between Westbourne and Oakbourne Roads. Ms. Packard explained that this section is state owned, therefore, work will be reduced to between 9AM and 3PM, M-F, and one lane will be closed with flaggers, so motorists should plan accordingly. She further added that future projects include repairing the benches in the scout fire circle at Oakbourne Park that were damaged by a fallen tree, and stump grinding in all the parks where hazard trees were removed.

Mr. Pomerantz recounted an issue that one of his neighbors recently had with PECO service, and expressed that communication between public utilities, their subcontractors, and Township residents could be improved. Ms. Packard stated that communication with PECO and Aqua regarding major infrastructure improvements is very good, but added that the Township has little involvement in situations where an individual resident experiences an outage or other issue with public utilities.

B. Parks & Recreation Commission (P&R) – Pam Packard

Ms. Packard reported that the gorgeous fall weather yesterday drew hundreds of people to Westtown Day. She thanked PS Orthodontics, WSFS Bank – Glen Mills, the Westtown School, and Carroll Engineering Corporation for their generous financial support, and the student volunteers who helped with orderly field parking, carnival games, and the kids' craft activity. She noted the ten finalists and winners of the 2024 Parks and Recreation

Amateur Photo Contest on display in the meeting room. Ms. Packard provided that the Commission will meet on Tuesday, October 15 to begin planning the winter holiday lights contest and their 2025 slate of events.

Mr. Pomerantz thanked Pam for her work on Westtown Day, and encouraged residents to check out the improvements to the athletic core that have been recently completed.

C. Planning Commission (PC) – Russ Hatton

Mr. Hatton reported that the Commission continued their discussion regarding regulatory provisions for development setbacks from transmission pipelines and noted a document dated 2014 from Chester County Planning Commission (CCPC) on the subject matter. He expressed regret that the document was not available when the proposed plans for the Stokes Estate were in discussion. He also provided that the Commission was nearing the end of its discussion on amendments to fence regulations, and was now waiting on the Township solicitor and the CCPC review of the proposed changes. Mr. Hatton further added that amendments to the sign ordinance is on the agenda for their next meeting. He noted that the land development proposal for the Chase Bank was under review.

D. Historical Commission (HC) – Dan Campbell

Mr. Campbell reiterated thanks to Township staff for their work on Westtown Day. He recapped that the Commission went through discussions of revising the historic ordinance with input from planning consultant John Snook, and have been waiting for a completed draft from the Township solicitor. Mr. Campbell announced the next history lecture, which is on the history of Darlington Corner, which is at the intersection of Routes 202 and 926. He further provided that the Commission was working on ideas for the celebration of the 250th anniversary of the country. Mr. Campbell explained that he had been attending meetings with the Chester County 250 Committee, and is inviting the Township residents to participate in a discussion with the Commission on October 19th to generate ideas for activities in Westtown. Mr. Campbell also mentioned that the Commission is hoping to display artifacts that West Chester University students found at the Huey ruins located at S. New Street at the Township building.

E. Environmental Advisory Council (EAC) – Bob Yeats

Mr. Yeats reported that the Council had a very productive meeting this month. He explained that he and Joe Debes visited Blenheim Road where tree planting by the Chester Ridley Crum Watersheds Association has taken place and as a result, suggested to abandon riparian buffer restoration efforts in the Plumly Open Space and instead to refocus their efforts on the tributary that leads into Goose Creek near Blenheim Road. Mr. Yeats further believed that it would be more beneficial to work within a more publicly accessible area. He noted that the Council participated in Westtown Day with various materials and craft activity. Mr. Yeats added that they have reviewed the results of the resident survey from 2022 to make sure they are aligned with the next year's goals. He recapped that the Chairwoman of the Litter Lifters of West Vincent attended their meeting to share about their activities and to provide guidance on a future roadside cleanup efforts. Mr. Yeats also noted that the Rustin High School environmental club was interested in learning more about the Council. He shared that two more Bird Town designation signs were ordered, which he hope to receive in the coming weeks for installation as endorsed by the Board.

Mr. Foster agreed with Mr. Yeats on the refocusing their efforts and advised to contact Mr. Gross, Director of Public Works, for further discussion.

F. Township Solicitor – Robert Jefferson

Mr. Jefferson reported that his work focused on items related to the Stokes Estate settlement proposal, Crebilly land acquisition and bond closing. He further provided that he has been reviewing the proposed fence and sign ordinance amendments, as well as evaluating potential enforcement actions at Jefferson apartment complex pertaining to violations of the Property Maintenance Code. He noted the completed work on the stormwater management operation and maintenance agreement and financial security agreement related to the proposed solar energy system at Westtown School, and is looking into the class action settlement with A.J. Blosenski.

V.Public Comment (Non-Agenda Items)

Ramen Patel, 811 Sage Road, noted his attendance at Westtown Day and expressed pleasure seeing so many kids enjoying it. He suggested having a table with the general Township related information in the future and to consider directional signage to guide visitors from the mansion area to the athletic area of the park. Mr. Patel was disappointed to see politics being represented at Westtown Day, which he felt was detracting from the family oriented experience of the event.

Russ Hatton, 940 Kilduff Circle, echoed Mr. Patel's comments about the presence of political candidates and parties at Westtown Day. Mr. Pomerantz stated that political representation was confined to a room inside the mansion during past events, and wondered whether that policy should be reconsidered.

Helen Kelleher, 1002 Martone Drive, expressed concern about her neighbor's chicken coop. She felt that parcels in her neighborhood are too small to maintain chickens without creating a rodent problem. Ms. Kelleher also expressed concerns with the traffic and speeders cutting through her neighborhood to avoid the traffic signal at Routes 926 and 352. Mr. Pomerantz asked Ms. Kelleher to email him the traffic related concerns, so that he can raise it at the next Police Commission meeting.

VI.Old Business

There was none.

VII.New Business

A. Consider Approval of the Stokes Estate Conditional Use Application Settlement Proposal

Mr. Foster explained that Section 170-601C(1) of the Zoning Ordinance permits residential development, including single-family detached dwellings, in accordance with the provisions of Article IX, Flexible Development Procedure, of the Zoning Ordinance by conditional use. He summarized that on May 4, 2023, the applicant submitted a conditional use application to construct 85 single-family dwellings and appurtenant improvements on the total of four parcels of land totaling approximately 81 acres located along Shiloh Road in the Township's R-1 Residential Zoning District, which was denied by the Board on December 27, 2023. On February 14, 2024, the applicant appealed the decision and subsequent to filing of the appeal, the parties negotiated a possible settlement agreement to resolve the land use appeal, the terms of which were presented in tonight's workshop.

Mr. Foster further summarized that the modified proposed development includes a reduction in the number of dwelling units to comply with the required open space calculations, allows additional units as a density bonus for preservation of the existing Stokes home and recording of the façade easement to preserve the façade of the Stokes home, construction of an additional internal street to connect to proposed Road "C" to address emergency access concerns, construction of a school bus stop with a car pullover area, dedication of additional right-of-way along Shiloh Road, and contribution of \$50,000 to the Township to be used for traffic calming measures along Shiloh Road and retiming

of the existing traffic signal at Route 926 and Shiloh Road. He also provided that the modified proposed development was determined to deviate from the Township's Zoning Ordinance, Subdivision and Land Development, and Stormwater Management Ordinance in certain aspects, and therefore, it would require approval of several waiver requests. Mr. Foster noted that the proposed settlement agreement has been reviewed by the Township consultants and the Township solicitor.

Mr. Yost made a motion to approve the proposed settlement agreement between Fox Clearing, LLC and the Board of Supervisors of Westtown Township pertaining to the modified proposed development of the Stokes Estate as presented. Mr. Pomerantz seconded. Mr. Foster called for public comment.

Mr. Pomerantz expressed his understanding of both sides of the argument related to the proposed development, some of which were voiced during the tonight's workshop, but said that there has to be a better solution. With no current answers, he stated his intent to deny the proposal. He further stated that he hoped that a plan can be found that meets the economic needs of the developer, but better addresses the safety concerns of the neighbors.

Mr. Yost stated that the primary objective of being an elected official is to ensure the safety of the residents, and said that the plan as it stands does not do that. Without finding a better way to address the safety concerns, Mr. Yost stated that he has chosen to deny the proposed settlement agreement.

Mr. Foster stated that as a long-time resident, he has a special connection with the Stokes family and hated to see the land sold, but respected the family's decision to sell their property. Mr. Foster acknowledged the parties' concerns about pipeline safety, but stated that the developer has complied with the Township's requirements. With little chance of winning a lawsuit, Mr. Foster stated that denying the proposed settlement agreement would not only be expensive, but also likely result in the developer returning to the original plan and all the contingencies that were asked for would disappear. With that in mind, Mr. Foster voiced his approval of the settlement agreement.

The motion to approve the settlement agreement was denied, with Mr. Pomerantz and Mr. Yost voting against, and Mr. Foster voting for the proposed settlement agreement.

B. Authorize Chair to Execute Financial Security Agreement and Stormwater O&M Agreement with BSR Solar LLC for Grading Permit for Construction of Ground Mounted Solar Energy System at the Westtown School Campus

Mr. Foster stated that Township Solicitor reviewed the draft financial security agreement and stormwater operation and maintenance (O&M) agreement with BSR Solar LLC for the construction of ground mounted solar energy system at the Westtown School campus. He recapped that the conditional use application was approved at the Board's August 21, 2023 public meeting.

Mr. Yost made a motion to authorize the Chair to execute the financial security and stormwater O&M agreement with BSR Solar LLC for grading permit associated with the solar energy system installation at the Westtown School campus. Mr. Pomerantz seconded. There was no public comment, and the motion passed 3-0.

C. Consider Resolution 2024-11 for 2024-2026 Auditor Contract

Mr. Foster stated that the Township is in receipt of an audit engagement letter from John R. Hanna & Sons LLC for 2024-2026, at an annual price of \$16,000 for 2024, \$16,400 for 2025, and \$16,800 for 2026. Pursuant to Section 917 of the Second Class Township Code, the Township has advertised its intent to contract with a Certified Public Accountant

to replace the elected auditors and make an examination of the Township's accounts for this three-year period.

Mr. Yost made a motion to adopt Resolution 2024-11, appointing John R. Hanna & Sons LLC, Certified Public Accountant for the fiscal years 2024 - 2026. Mr. Pomerantz seconded. There was no public comment, and the motion passed 3-0.

D. Consider Award of the Winter 2024-2025 Group Sodium Chloride Contract

Mr. Foster reported that the Township received three bids for the winter 2024-2025 salt contract. This is a group contract with Westtown, East Goshen, and West Goshen Townships for a combined minimum quantity of 1200 tons. The participating Townships collectively recommend that the bid be awarded to the lowest bidder, Eastern Salt Company, in the amount of \$65.00/ton delivered, for a total contract amount of \$78,000, of which Westtown's contracted tonnage is 200 tons (\$13,000).

Mr. Yost made a motion to award the Winter 2024-2025 Sodium Chloride Contract to Eastern Salt Company in the amount of 65.00/ton delivered. Mr. Pomerantz seconded. There was no public comment, and the motion passed 3-0.

E. Consider Award of 2025-2027 Sludge & Sewage Transportation and Disposal Contract

Mr. Foster stated that the Township received two bids for the 2025-2027 sludge hauling and disposal contract. The existing hauler, McGovern Environmental, provided the lowest responsible bid at \$185,550.

Mr. Yost made a motion to award the 2025 – 2027 Sludge & Sewage Transportation and Disposal contract to McGovern Environmental in the amount of \$185,550. Mr. Pomerantz seconded.

Mr. Embick, 194 Pheasant Run Road, asked where the sludge is disposed of and whether there is a hold harmless clause in the contract. Ms. Packard stated that she would find out and let him know. There was no additional public comment, and the motion passed 3-0.

F. Consider Letter of Support for West Chester Fire Department (WCFD) Local Share Account (LSA) Grant Program

Mr. Foster explained that the West Chester Fire Department has requested a letter to support their application for a LSA grant with a maximum amount of \$1,000,000 to obtain a major piece of firefighting apparatus. The grant would provide approximately 75% of the funding necessary to acquire a Pierce Enforcer Pumper, which will serve the WCFD and citizens in the Borough of West Chester and West Goshen, Westtown, East Bradford, Thornbury, and Birmingham Townships, for the next twenty years. A new truck, which will replace an outdated fire apparatus, will enhance the operational capabilities with advanced technology, improved maneuverability, and increased water capacity. Awarded grant funding is expected to reduce capital allocations in the next contract, which is shared amongst the members according to our formula.

Mr. Yost made a motion to authorize Township Manager on behalf of the Board of Supervisors to issue a letter of support for the West Chester Fire Department's application to the LSA grant program for the purchase of a new apparatus. Mr. Pomerantz seconded. There was no public comment, and the motion passed 3-0.

G. Accept Resignation of Meghan Hanney from Environmental Advisory Council (EAC)

Mr. Foster stated that Meghan Hanney has served on the EAC since 2021, and thanked her for her contribution to our community. Mr. Yost made a motion to accept Meghan Hanney's resignation. Mr. Pomerantz seconded. There was no public comment, and the motion passed 3-0.

H. Consider Payment Application #2 to Eagle Contracting and Landscaping, Inc. for Thorne Drive Basin Retrofit Project

Mr. Foster announced that Cedarville Engineering Group, LLC has reviewed this payment request and recommends approval of payment application #2 to Eagle Contracting and Landscaping, Inc. for construction activities associated with the Thorne Drive Basin Retrofit project for the month of August. He summarized that the work included wet pond excavation and rough grading, installation of 4'x2' precast concrete outlet control structure with grate inlet, including trash rack and 6" HDPE reverse pipe, installation of 42" type DW headwall, fine grading and reseeding, forebay French drains, installation of riprap apron, and erosion control.

Mr. Yost made a motion to approve payment application #2 in the amount of \$109,764.06 to Eagle Contracting and Landscaping, Inc. for the Thorne Drive Basin Retrofit project. Mr. Pomerantz seconded. There was no public comment, and the motion passed 3-0.

I. Consider Payment Application #17 (Final) to MECO Constructors Inc. for Oakbourne Park Project

Mr. Foster stated that Pennoni recommends approval of payment request #17 in the amount of \$280,153.15 to MECO Constructors, Inc. for improvements to the Oakbourne Park Athletic Complex. This payment includes release of all remaining retainage, and this final invoice represents payment in full. Under terms of the contract, MECO remains obligated to guarantee the work and remedy any defects for a period of two years. The contractor has certain remedial tasks already scheduled.

Mr. Yost made a motion to approve payment application #17 (final) to MECO Constructors, Inc. in the amount of \$280,153.15. Mr. Pomerantz seconded. There was no public comment, and the motion passed 3-0.

VIII. Announcements

Mr. Foster made the following announcements:

- A. The Township is seeking applicants for the Director of Zoning and Code Enforcement** - The full job description can be found on the Township website. Interested applicants should send their resume and letter of interest to Township Manager. Westtown Township offers a competitive salary and benefits package.
- B. The Township is seeking applicants for the Parks & Recreation Commission and the Historical Commission** - Information on what each of these commissions do can be found on the Township website. Interested residents should submit a resume or brief statement of interest to the Township Manager. Applicants must be current in all municipal obligations.
- C. Yard Waste Collection – Saturday, October 12** - Yard waste must be in biodegradable paper bags or containers that can be dumped. Plastic bags are not accepted. Branches under 3" in diameter must be cut approximately 3 foot in length, bundled and tied, and placed at the curb by 6 AM on collection day. No rocks, logs, stumps, dirt, or ashes will be taken.

D. America250 Planning – 10 AM, Saturday, October 19 – Members of the Historical Commission will lead a planning session for events in Westtown Township as part of the America250 celebration. The meeting will be held in Stokes Hall.

E. Used Bicycle and Sewing Machine Collection – 12PM-3PM, Sunday, October 20 - The Chester County Solid Waste Authority, in partnership with Pedals for Progress, is collecting used bicycles and sewing machines at the West Goshen Municipal Complex. All adult and child bike donations and sewing machine donations will be sent to Togo, Tanzania, Belize, Guatemala, and Albania. A donation of \$20 per item is requested to help offset shipping costs overseas.

IX. Public Comment (All Topics)

Mr. Pomerantz expressed his desire for the Township to promote America250 planning meeting, stating that he does not want the representation to be strictly the Historical Commission. He said that he would like to see representation from the other Township commissions as well as the Board. His goal is to get a lot of feedback and involvement from the Township residents. Ms. Packard stated that the meeting was included on the third quarter utility bill message, and would be promoted through the Township website, social media, and listserv.

X. Payment of Bills

Mr. Yost made a motion to approve the General Fund bills for \$495,100.64, Open Space Fund for \$60,000.00, Enterprise Fund bills of \$23,382.86, PLGIT P-Card for \$140,305.12, Capital Project Fund bills of \$268,720.80, and ARPA Funds of \$130,513.00 for a grand total of \$1,118,022.42. Mr. Pomerantz seconded. There was no public comment, and the motion passed 3-0.

XI. Adjournment

There being no further business, Mr. Foster made a motion to adjourn the meeting at 8:54 PM.

Respectfully submitted,
Liudmila Carter
Township Manager

WESTTOWN TOWNSHIP PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING MINUTES

Stokes Assembly Hall, 1039 Wilmington Pike
Wednesday, October 9, 2024 – 7:00 PM

Present

Commissioners, Russ Hatton (RH), Jack Embick (JE), Brian Knaub (BK), Jim Lees (JL), Joseph Frisco (JF). Absent were Tom Sennett (TS), and Kevin Flynn (KF). Township Manager and Director of Planning & Zoning Mila Carter was also present.

Call to Order and Pledge of Allegiance

Mr. Embick called the meeting to order at 7:02 PM.

Adoption of Agenda (RH/JL) 5-0

Mr. Embick proposed changes to the order of the agenda with old business items first for discussion. Ms. Carter noted that the applicant for a new bank at the Westtown Marketplace will be in attendance at the next meeting. Mr. Hatton made a motion to accept the agenda with revisions. Mr. Lees seconded. All were in favor of the motion.

Approval of Minutes

1. The meeting minutes from September 18, 2024 have been tabled due to lack of quorum.

Announcements

None.

Public Comment – Non Agenda Items

None.

Old Business

1. Ordinance Amendments – Digital Displays

Mr. Embick explained that the draft amendments to zoning regulations, Article XVIII Signs, pertaining to signs located on lots with institutional uses and general regulations on sign illumination options, including digital displays, have been prepared by the Township staff based on the previous feedback from the Planning Commission. He expressed that he considers digital displays to be distracting and personally irritating, and that he views them as mini billboards and TV screens. Mr. Embick expressed his preference for messaging type signs that display text and not interactive graphics.

Mr. Embick raised several concerns with existing regulations. He referred to Section 170-1809, Signs located on lots with agricultural use, pointing out that the Township does not have a definition of agricultural use, therefore anyone with a garden or growing flowers in their yard could potentially claim such use. Mr. Embick also referred to Section 170-1808, Regulations by sign type: limited duration, temporary and portable signs, specifically to the requirement that a date of erection of such sign must be placed on a sign post or support of the sign. He wondered whether it was applicable to the political signs. Ms. Carter did not believe it was. Mr. Embick noted that such signs are mostly mounted on thin metal stakes and questioned where the erection date could be written. Ms. Carter pointed out that she has not seen any dates ever written on temporary signs. Mr. Embick asked whether it was worth regulating if it is not being enforced. Mr. Hatton believed that some of these provisions came out of desire to address the clutter of signs posted for real estate purposes throughout the Township or posted by various clubs and organizations along Shiloh Road. He believed that those signs located at the intersection of state roadways cannot be enforced. Ms. Carter agreed. Mr. Embick believed that enforcement issue was a separate issue.

Mr. Embick further referred to Section 170-1812, Signs located on lots with an Institutional Use, where the change in regulation is proposed that would affect the churches, because it would allow digital displays on lots that front arterial highways. He raised concern with the introductory paragraph of the section, which listed the following institutional uses which these regulations are applicable to: schools, religious institutions, municipal buildings, hospitals, clubs, or permitted uses of a similar nature. Mr. Embick questioned the ambiguity of the phrase "permitted uses of a similar nature" and suggested for that to be corrected.

Mr. Embick also questioned the proposed language related to the use of message center signs and digital displays for off-premises advertisement and how it relates to the use of billboard. Ms. Carter explained that the purpose of billboard is primarily for the off-premises advertising and such billboard can be a message center sign or a digital display, therefore, the goal was to ensure that digital displays installed for the purposes of the use on a specific property were not used for off-premises advertisement. Mr. Embick suggested to add that explanation to the definition of a billboard to make it clearer. Ms. Carter believed it was already noted under provisions related to billboard, but she would verify that. Mr. Hatton agreed that the language can be simplified. Mr. Embick noted that there were so many different type of signs with their own intricacies, which makes it more challenging and complex to regulate.

Mr. Hatton asked whether the distinction was made between message center signs and digital displays as previously brought up. Mr. Embick pointed out that definitions were revised to create a clear distinction between various sign types. Ms. Carter noted that the main issue was the definition of changeable display sign that incorporated both message center sign and digital display. She learnt that they are three distinct type of signs and shall not be intermixed in the regulations, therefore, the regulations were cleaned up to reflect that. Mr. Embick expressed his agreement with revised definitions.

Mr. Hatton asked about the proposed amendment to Section 170-1812, which would allow digital displays on all lots with institutional uses that have frontage on arterial highway. Mr. Embick noted that it would be applicable not only to churches, but to hospitals and schools and clubs and other permitted uses of similar nature. Mr. Embick expressed his reluctance to recommend approval for such amendment. Mr. Hatton suggested to come to a decision on the subject matter.

Mr. Frisco asked about the reasoning behind the change. Mr. Embick summarized that current regulations only allow for message center signs for all lots with institutional use, including churches, but digital displays are only permitted for lots with a principal educational or school use. He further explained that religious institutions that currently have manual copy signs, have come forward with the request to install digital displays to advertise activities on their premises, which would require an ordinance amendment. Mr. Embick noted that it was also a question of aesthetics and appearance. Mr. Frisco stated that he was not supportive of multiple digital displays along the roadways and wanted to maintain the rural character of Westtown. He expressed his understanding of churches having an ability to advertise various activities and events. However, Mr. Frisco felt that more discussion was needed before recommendation.

Mr. Knaub expressed his support for allowing churches to install digital displays due to their location with frontage on main roadways. He also felt it was valuable for the churches to communicate to the community and to reach their patrons. Mr. Lees agreed with Mr. Knaub and expressed his support for proposed amendments.

Mr. Embick reminded the Commission that the Township cannot regulate the content of the signs. Mr. Knaub pointed out the existing billboard along Route 202 that is already doing

what the churches would like to do. He felt that the community base organization should be able to do that.

Ms. Carter pointed out that some of the proposed language regarding use of the digital display during an emergency could potentially be a first amendment issue as noted by the Township solicitor. Mr. Embick asked how the Township would oversee that. Ms. Carter stated it would be up to the applicant to coordinate such use with an appropriate agency. Mr. Embick wondered whether such language should remain in the ordinance. Ms. Carter thought that the applicant should be made aware that an option for emergency messaging is available. She recapped that Chief Bernot stated that digital displays can be used to let passerby know that the premises are being used as a shelter when emergency takes place. Mr. Embick felt that the Township can ask the applicant to do so, but cannot require that.

Mr. Embick asked whether the Commission was ready to vote on recommendation. Ms. Carter noted that if the Commission was in approval, the next step was for the Board to authorize Act 247 review and if desired, to present the proposed changes to the Board. Mr. Embick expressed that the unresolved issue is the use of digital signs for religious institutions. He summarized that Mr. Knaub and Mr. Lees were in favor, while he and Mr. Hatton were not and Mr. Frisco has not decided yet. Mr. Frisco asked Mr. Embick's reasoning. Mr. Embick recapped that he found digital displays to be distracting with too much color and movement. Mr. Hatton asked whether Mr. Embick was supportive of message center signs. Mr. Embick expressed that he was. Mr. Hatton asked whether everyone understood the difference between message center sign and digital display. Mr. Embick simplified that message center signs do not allow for graphics, pictures or video and are monochromatic with only text and symbols. Ms. Carter that some of the technology is the same, but how it is being conveyed is different.

Ed McFalls, representative with the Westminster Presbyterian Church, explained that many programs taking place at the church have graphics associated with them, therefore, having that ability was important. He recapped some of the programs that are beneficial to the community. Mr. McFalls hoped that the graphics would attract people, who want to participate in activities or to provide help to the community. Mr. McFalls stated that by limiting the sign to just text, it made the messaging boring and not aligned with the 21st century. He further added that it was not their intent to be distracting or intrusive and felt that attractive graphics will better represent the church and its opportunities. Steven Crum, representative with the Advent Lutheran Church, added that they were not putting up another gas station sign, but something that is attractive and beneficial for the community.

Mr. Embick stated that the Commission trusts that the residents, property owners and visitors would use good judgement and good taste when it comes to messaging but he felt that it was not always the taste. He was hesitant to recommend approval knowing that content was not something that the Township want or can regulate. Mr. Knaub reiterated his support for permitting digital displays for the churches. Mr. Lees asked whether the proposed amendment is to permit digital displays only for schools and religious institutions. Mr. Embick clarified that the proposed language is to permit digital display for all lots with institutional uses that have frontage on arterial highway, including Route 202, 926 and Route 3. Mr. Lees was supportive of digital display use for schools and religious institutions. Mr. Embick recapped that the concern might be how easy it is to be established as a church. Mr. Crum pointed out that zoning limits those uses as well. Ms. Carter asked whether the Commission wanted to see all incorporated changes prior to moving forward. The Commission trusted that the changes as discussed would be incorporated.

2. Land Development Application – 1506 West Chester Pike

The Commission tabled the discussion until the next meeting.

Mr. Embick asked Al Federico, the Township Traffic Engineer, who was present, whether there were any items pertaining to land development that he wanted to bring up for the discussion. Mr. Federico noted that the parking related issue was addressed. He also provided that as required by the Code, the applicant has completed a traffic study, which ultimately indicated the need for signal retiming. He explained that a few years ago an adaptive signal timing system on West Chester Pike was put in place, but PennDOT has not entirely sure how it is programmed at the moment and they don't have a record of that. He further added that the record that the applicant's engineer used for their traffic study predated the PennDOT's system, therefore they have been working with PennDOT and the vendor to figure out what the signal's settings are.

Mr. Federico believed that main discussion item remaining was modifications to the bus stop and pedestrian access to that. He described the location of the bus stop and pedestrian amenities within and surrounding the shopping center and referred to the exhibit that was submitted by the applicant that showed a proposed connection between the west side of the shopping center building, across the Burger King drive-thru to the bus stop. He further added that the Transportation Management Association of Chester County (TMACC) just completed an evaluation of this transportation corridor and provided recommendations for improvements to bus stops. Mr. Federico stated that proposed by the applicant modifications were better than what is there now, but believed that it was not the most feasible approach. He suggested for the applicant to consider the walkway going straight up along the west side of the existing driveway. Mr. Federico believed that it would be simpler and more logical for pedestrians. He stated that there were two inbound lanes that are +/- 26 feet wide, where probably 2 feet could be taken out plus 3 feet out of the greenway, which would accommodate a 5-foot wide sidewalk along one side straight up to the bus stop.

Mr. Hatton asked whether it would be compliant with the Americans with Disability Act (ADA) standards. Mr. Federico believed that if it was not specifically within the guidelines of the ADA, it would be permissible as a technical infeasibility, because they are placing it along the driveway. He explained that the applicant would not be regrading the driveway. Mr. Lees asked about the grade and whether it would be possible to achieve. Mr. Federico believed that it would be. Mr. Lees asked about the bus stop design. Mr. Federico believed that it would be a basic bus stop, and explained that SEPTA has design guidelines with a required minimum of a 5-foot pad. He brought up the issue of funding a long term maintenance of bus shelters. Mr. Lees asked whether this bus stop will have a shelter and lighting. Mr. Federico did not know for sure but thought there were no shelter.

Mr. Embick asked whether Mr. Federico had any additional comments about circulation around the bank. Mr. Federico stated that he did not.

New Business

1. Pennsylvania Supreme Court Case – Environmental Rights Amendment (ERA)

Jack Embick summarized a case recently decided by the Pa. Supreme Court: Shirley v. Pa. Leg. Ref. Bureau, No. 85 MAP 2022 (Pa, July 18, 2024) and the majority opinion. He explained that the case was about a dispute pertaining to putting regulations for the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI) in the regulations of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Mr. Embick provided that the RGGI is a cooperative effort among several states to cap and reduce carbon dioxide emissions from the power sector. Mr. Embick further explained that the issue was that there were a number of environmental organizations that asked to participate in the case, however, the Legislative Reference Bureau said no, which led to a lawsuit and desire by multiple agencies to get involved. He explained that the judge had to determine whether the interested parties meet certain standards before being involved in the case. Mr. Embick pointed out that these standards included whether these environmental groups have standing, which is a legal term that relates to whether you are the right party to

sue and whether you are injured in some way. The other issue was intervention, which he explained that once a party sues another party, a third party that is interested in the litigation, wants to have the same status as the original plaintiffs and defendants. The third issue is mootness, because eventually the regulations are included into the Pennsylvania Bulletin, so the question was why it should be decided if the relief requested was already granted. Mr. Embick further provided that the Courts decided that the environmental groups have standing, because their individual members are harmed, so the groups have associational standing in the litigation. He explained that as far as intervention, the standards that are set forth in the rules that govern these things, where you have to demonstrate that you have an interest that is important and you have to show that your interests are appropriately represented by someone who is already in the case. Therefore, the Department of Environmental Protection is already a party and should be able to represent the interests of environmental groups.

Mr. Embick wanted to point out the concurring opinion by two Justices, Donohue and Chief Justice Todd, who articulated a different basis for determining the standing of these environmental groups. He recapped that Justice Donohue says that it is Article I, Section 27 of the ERA, stating that the amendment imposes upon all agencies and entities of our government in their role of trustee the duty to prohibit the degradation, deamination and depletion of the public natural resources as well as the duty to act appropriately through legislative actions to protect the environment. Mr. Embick stated that it meant that the townships have the role to implement the ERA. He questioned where it leaves the Township and its obligations if any citizen can make claims against the Township for violating constitutional rights in the future.

Public Comment

Al Federico made several comments pertaining to digital display related regulations. He expressed that the message shall be legible for the travelling public based on driving speeds. He raised a point about the separation distance issue between the PennDOT's variable message sign and the open planned for Westminster Presbyterian Church. Mr. Embick raised a concern about symbols and graphics potentially emulating the traffic safety signs. Mr. Federico stated that he would prefer less distractions along the roadways and provided that in general, fewer signs is better, but acknowledged the desire of conveying messages to the public.

Mr. Lees asked about the progress on self-driving vehicles. Mr. Federico stated that there was not much progress made and it is limited within specific areas, mostly cities, which have been mapped. He talked about legal challenges and technological setbacks.

Reports

1. Mr. Hatton provided the BOS report from October 7th meeting.

Adjournment (JF/JL) 5-0

The meeting was adjourned at 8:49 PM.

Next PC Meeting:

- **October 23, 2024, 7:00 PM**

PC Representative at next Board of Supervisors Meeting:

- **Monday October 21, 2024, 7:30 PM** – Kevin Flynn/Brian Knaub

Respectfully submitted,

Mila Carter

Planning Commission Secretary



WESTTOWN TOWNSHIP

1039 Wilmington Pike
West Chester, PA 19382
610—692-1930
email: administration@westtown.org

Post Office Box 79
Westtown, PA 19395
FAX 610-692-9651
www.westtownpa.org

October 9, 2024

Westtown Township incident report for September 2024

For September, there were 97 calls for service in Westtown (Fire and EMS). Forty-one incidents were in the West Chester Fire Department district and 56 in the Goshen Fire Company district. Year to date, there have been 794 calls for service.

The peak time for incidents in September was between 8 am and 4 pm.

The West Chester Fire Department responded to eight calls for service of those eight, four were fire alarms, and the Goshen Fire Company responded to 14 calls for service, of those 14, three were fire alarms.

The remaining 75 calls for service were medical responses handled by Good Fellowship EMS and Goshen Fire Company EMS.

No burning complaints for September but I did speak at the West Chester Area Committee of Government meeting held at Westtown Township

Respectfully submitted,

Gerald R. DiNunzio, Jr
Fire Marshal
Emergency Management Coordinator

**WESTTOWN TOWNSHIP
TREASURER'S REPORT
SEPTEMBER 2024**

ACCT#	DESCRIPTION	9/1/2024 BALANCE	SEPTEMBER RECEIPTS	SEPTEMBER EXPENDITURES	9/30/2024 BALANCE
GENERAL FUND		\$8,641,580.12	\$1,096,661.56	-\$1,391,477.79	\$8,346,763.89
01-100-015	Univest General Fund	\$783,871.07	\$601,943.89	(\$759,170.22)	\$626,644.74
01-100-100	PLGIT P-Card Prime	\$277,171.56	\$300,595.07	(\$165,601.78)	\$412,164.85
01-100-110	PLGIT P-Card Class	\$302.83	\$165,626.03	(\$165,601.78)	\$327.08
01-106-000	PLGIT Prime	\$2,229,066.39	\$9,905.58	(\$301,104.01)	\$1,937,867.96
01-106-100	PLGIT Class	\$590.46	\$2.40	\$0.00	\$592.86
01-106-115	Univest GF Savings	\$4,348,762.13	\$17,585.16	\$0.00	\$4,366,347.29
01-107-000	KBCM Investments	\$1,001,402.27	\$963.43	\$0.00	\$1,002,365.70
01-110-000	Petty Cash	\$413.41	\$40.00	\$0.00	\$453.41
OPEN SPACE FUND		\$469,496.86	\$116,839.04	-\$240,940.00	\$345,395.90
04-106-000	Open Space - PLGIT Prime	\$355,230.28	\$2,622.15	(\$15,340.00)	\$342,512.43
04-106-100	Open Space - PLGIT Class	\$114,266.58	\$114,216.89	(\$225,600.00)	\$2,883.47
SEWER FUND		\$3,701,124.39	\$54,165.47	-\$312,003.47	\$3,443,286.39
08-100-015	Univest Enterprise Checking	\$778,484.32	\$38,629.90	(\$300,213.24)	\$516,900.98
08-100-115	Univest Prepaid UB Cash	\$13,614.82	\$1,185.06	(\$11,790.23)	\$3,009.65
08-106-000	PLGIT Prime	\$2,119,428.21	\$9,135.75	\$0.00	\$2,128,563.96
08-106-015	Univest WW MM	\$789,597.04	\$5,214.76	\$0.00	\$794,811.80
REFUSE FUND		\$803,306.45	\$25,568.69	-\$106,310.58	\$722,564.56
09-100-015	Univest CASH - REFUSE FUND	\$303,306.45	\$25,568.69	(\$106,310.58)	\$222,564.56
09-106-015	Univest Refuse MM	\$500,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$500,000.00
CAPITAL PROJECT FUNDS		\$989,264.40	\$901,955.60	-\$1,277,327.52	\$613,892.48
18-100-015	Univest Capital Projects Checking	\$23,432.89	\$628,659.24	(\$627,054.92)	\$25,037.21
18-100-105	Univest CP Oakbourne Park Master	\$452,497.40	\$0.00	(\$174,887.19)	\$277,610.21
18-100-115	Univest CP Special Projects	\$3,896.90	\$20,000.00	(\$20,570.00)	\$3,326.90
18-100-125	Univest Thorne Drive Basin	\$58,608.84	\$100,000.00	(\$100,706.06)	\$57,902.78
18-100-205	Univest Credit Card Rewards	\$151,213.79	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$151,213.79
18-100-605	Univest 926/Shady Grove Signal	\$52,060.00	\$0.00	(\$225.00)	\$51,835.00
18-100-805	Univest CP Sewer	\$247,554.58	\$153,296.36	(\$353,884.35)	\$46,966.59
ARPA FUND		\$770,489.43	\$133,700.27	-\$261,026.00	\$643,163.70
19-100-000	ARPA FUND - PLGIT PRIME	\$769,989.43	\$3,062.91	(\$130,513.00)	\$642,539.34
19-100-100	ARPA FUND - PLGIT CLASS	\$500.00	\$130,637.36	(\$130,513.00)	\$624.36
DEBT SERVICE FUNDS		\$1,394,901.23	\$393,782.21	-\$82,800.00	\$1,705,883.44
23-100-105	Univest 2022 DS (Oakbourne Park)	\$277,652.47	\$44,676.86	\$0.00	\$322,329.33
23-100-115	Open Space DS	\$41,610.21	\$165,861.80	(\$82,800.00)	\$124,672.01
23-100-805	Univest 2021 DS (05/12)	\$325,845.37	\$49,000.00	\$0.00	\$374,845.37
23-100-815	Univest 2021 DS (SE06)	\$749,793.18	\$134,243.55	\$0.00	\$884,036.73
CAPITAL RESERVE FUNDS		\$7,040,421.18	\$117,828.33	-\$273,142.10	\$6,885,107.41
30-122-000	GF Univest MM Capital Rsv (U)	\$3,150,478.58	\$42,907.07	\$0.00	\$3,193,385.65
30-122-001	GF Univest MM Capital Rsv (D)	\$2,136,919.98	\$8,185.10	(\$120,000.00)	\$2,025,105.08
30-122-200	WW Univest MM Capital Rsv (D)	\$1,753,022.62	\$66,736.16	(\$153,142.10)	\$1,666,616.68
LIQUID FUEL FUNDS		\$386,919.66	\$888.01	\$0.00	\$387,807.67
35-100-105	Liquid Fuel Ckng - Univest	\$386,919.66	\$888.01	\$0.00	\$387,807.67
ESCROW FUND		\$2,463,174.20	\$5,653.18	\$0.00	\$2,468,827.38
40-100-015	Univest Landscapes Escrow	\$23,159.39	\$53.15	\$0.00	\$23,212.54
40-100-025	Univest Flintlock (Rustin Res)Escro	\$5,405.34	\$12.41	\$0.00	\$5,417.75
40-100-035	Univest 1594 W Chester Realty Esc	\$17,843.25	\$40.95	\$0.00	\$17,884.20
40-100-045	Univest WT School -Athletic Fid Es	\$2,416,766.22	\$5,546.67	\$0.00	\$2,422,312.89
GRAND TOTAL - ALL FUNDS		\$26,660,677.92	\$2,847,042.36	(\$3,945,027.46)	\$25,562,692.82
	Key Bank Totals	\$1,001,402.27	\$963.43	\$0.00	\$1,002,365.70
	PLGIT Totals	\$5,866,545.74	\$735,804.14	(\$1,134,273.57)	\$5,468,076.31
	Univest Totals	\$19,792,316.50	\$2,110,234.79	(\$2,810,753.89)	\$19,091,797.40
	Petty Cash	\$413.41	\$40.00	\$0.00	\$453.41

**WESTTOWN TOWNSHIP,
CHESTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA**

RESOLUTION NO. 2024-12

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF WESTTOWN TOWNSHIP, CHESTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA PROVIDING FOR THE APPROVED NUMBER OF VEHICLES TO BE PURCHASED AND DISPOSED OF FOR YEAR 2025 BY THE CHARTER MUNICIPALITIES FOR THE WESTTOWN-EAST GOSHEN REGIONAL POLICE DEPARTMENT PURSUANT TO THE WESTTOWN EAST GOSHEN POLICE AGREEMENT.

WHEREAS, East Goshen Township and Westtown Township (the “Charter Municipalities”) entered into the Westtown East Goshen Police Agreement dated December 7, 2020 (the “Agreement”) re-establishing the Westtown-East Goshen Regional Police Department as an unincorporated association providing police protection to the Charter Municipalities; and

WHEREAS, the Agreement created the Westtown-East Goshen Regional Police Commission as a legally independent unincorporated and nonprofit association to be the governing body of the Westtown-East Goshen Regional Police Department; and

WHEREAS, Article VII, Section J.2 of the Agreement provides that “[u]nless specifically agreed to by both Boards of the Charter Municipalities to the contrary, the Commission shall purchase a minimum of four (4) vehicles each year, even in the event of a default budget;” and

WHEREAS, Article VII, Section J.4 of the Agreement provides that “[u]nless specifically agreed to by both Boards of the Charter Municipalities to the contrary, the Commission will dispose of four (4) vehicles every year, even in the event of a default budget;” and

WHEREAS, the Charter Municipalities intend to enter into an agreement providing that the Commission shall purchase two (2) vehicles for the year 2025 and provide for the disposal of two (2) vehicles for the year 2025.

NOW THEREFORE, the Board of Supervisors of Westtown Township, hereby **RESOLVES** as follows:

A. Westtown Township, a Charter Municipality and signatory to the Westtown East Goshen Police Agreement (“Agreement”), hereby agrees that the Commission shall purchase of two (2) vehicles for the year 2025 instead of four (4) vehicles per year specified in Article VII, Section J.2 of the Agreement.

B. Westtown Township, a Charter Municipality and signatory to the Agreement, hereby agrees that the Commission shall provide for the disposal of two (2) vehicles for the year 2025 instead of the four (4) vehicles per year specified in Article VII, Section J.4 of the Agreement.

C. Sections A. and B. above shall be subject to the agreement of East Goshen Township as a Charter Municipality to the Westtown East Goshen Police Agreement.

All Resolutions or parts of Resolutions conflicting with any provision of this Resolution are hereby repealed insofar as the same affects this Resolution.

RESOLVED THIS ____ DAY OF _____, 2024

**BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
WESTTOWN TOWNSHIP**

Thomas Foster, Chair

Edward Yost, Vice Chair

Richard Pomerantz, Police Commissioner

ATTEST:

Township Secretary

NOTICE

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Board of Supervisors of Westtown Township will hold a public hearing on Monday, November 4, 2024 commencing at 7:30 p.m., prevailing time, at the Township Building, 1039 Wilmington Pike, West Chester, Pennsylvania, 19382. The public hearing shall address the Township's purchase of real property known as Crebilly Farm, (UPI No. 67-4-29.4) located at 201 W. Street Road for Township Open Space. The executory documents necessary to effectuate the Open Space purchase are available at the Township building for public review at no charge. On the above date, the Township will conduct a hearing, and thereafter the Board of Supervisors may vote on a motion or resolution to effectuate the above-referenced Open Space purchase.

Members of the public may attend in person, make public comment remotely via Zoom at the following link: <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89939917814> or may observe the meeting via YouTube live at the following link:

https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCpeMKfH_U_VpJ7FHo0TkwtA

If you are a person with a disability wishing to participate in the aforementioned hearing and require auxiliary aid, service or other accommodation to observe or participate in the proceedings, please contact the Township at 610-692-1930 to discuss how your needs may best be accommodated.

**WESTTOWN TOWNSHIP
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

Thomas Foster, Chair

GAWTHROP GREENWOOD, PC

Patrick M. McKenna, Solicitor

Check Register

Westtown Township

18-Oct-24

From: 08-Oct-24 To: 21-Oct-24

Check No	Check Date	VendorNo	Vendor	Check Amount	Status
Bank Account: 1 General Fund - Univest					
18228	10/8/2024	1001207	1696 Thomas Massey House	\$185.00	O
18229	10/8/2024	1021	BELFOR	\$5,933.48	O
18230	10/8/2024	7258	Blue Dog Printing & Design	\$267.70	O
18231	10/8/2024	1201	Charles A. Higgins & Sons, Inc	\$10,705.00	O
18232	10/8/2024	7130	Frattura Landscape Service	\$640.00	O
18233	10/8/2024	7262	Key Business Solutions Inc	\$34.99	O
18234	10/8/2024	173	KNOX EQUIPMENT RENTAL	\$45.65	O
18235	10/8/2024	15	Office Basics, Inc.	\$114.25	O
18236	10/8/2024	1001210	PA Audubon Council	\$120.00	O
18237	10/8/2024	1001211	PA Comptroller Operations	\$65.50	O
18238	10/9/2024	1009	Ann Marie Cassidy	\$1,215.00	O
18239	10/9/2024	878	Intercon Truck Equipment	\$135.56	O
18240	10/9/2024	1000791	Meco Constructors Inc.	\$20,000.00	O
18241	10/10/2024	186	Good Fellowship Volunteer Rel	\$12,397.99	O
18242	10/10/2024	187	W C Volunteer Firemans Relie	\$37,193.97	O
18243	10/14/2024	1000951	Blue Mountain Mulch	\$777.00	O
18244	10/14/2024	48	H. A. Weigand Inc	\$257.50	O
18245	10/14/2024	175	MAIN LINE CONCRETE & SU	\$43.80	O
18246	10/14/2024	1000276	Municipal Capital	\$19,621.65	O
18247	10/14/2024	1000074	NAPA AUTO PARTS	\$48.24	O
18248	10/14/2024	778	Petro Commercial Services	\$586.95	O
18249	10/14/2024	406050	Protree Services LLC	\$4,000.00	O
18250	10/14/2024	1001178	Red Tail Restoration	\$1,899.90	O
18251	10/14/2024	5738	StrategicLink Consulting, LLC	\$2,990.00	O
18252	10/14/2024	1000102	WordTech Inc	\$424.92	O
Bank Total:				\$119,704.05	
Bank Account: 8 Enterprise Fund - Univest					
1720	10/8/2024	1001206	Eastcom Associates, Inc.	\$5,824.00	O
1721	10/8/2024	1074	LENNI ELECTRIC CORPORA	\$5,738.92	O
1722	10/8/2024	5715	Xylem Dewatering Solutions In	\$6,110.08	O
1723	10/14/2024	1196	McGovern Environmental, LLC	\$2,097.16	O
1724	10/14/2024	1164	Univar Solutions USA, Inc.	\$3,322.04	O
Bank Total:				\$23,092.20	
Bank Account: 18 Capital Project Fund Univest					
1391	10/9/2024	1001185	Eagle Contracting Landscapin	\$109,764.06	O
1392	10/9/2024	1000791	Meco Constructors Inc.	\$260,153.15	O
1393	10/14/2024	406052	Pennoni	\$3,815.00	O
Bank Total:				\$373,732.21	
Total Of Checks:				\$516,528.46	